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Abstract

This article uses storytelling methodology to investigate the connections between urban climate-induced loss of wellbeing and (im)mobility in Bhola Slum, an informal settlement in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The settlement houses Internally Displaced People from the southern coast who built and named the slum after their home – Bhola Island. The storytelling sessions revealed that loss of belonging, identity, quality of life and social value produced in people a desire to return. Nostalgic storylines of home also shaped the narratives of the children born in the slum who often referred to the island as their home. Some women felt that the move had resulted in more liberty, but also claimed that it had increased the risk of social punishment and stigmatisation. Social stigma often extended from parents to children. More women than men reported feeling unsafe, depressed and anxious. Both mental and physical ill health were common consequences of the compromised living and working conditions of the slum. Loss of health (due to injuries or disease) has damaged wellbeing and pushed already fragile families into a downward spiral with no escape. Few empirical studies investigate ‘trapped’ populations and non-economic losses and damages in urban environments. The insights gained from this work can therefore help safeguard vulnerable populations worldwide and build more robust climate policy frameworks.
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1. Introduction

Climate-induced (im)mobility, such as displacement, can have severe impacts on a person’s mental wellbeing. The study of non-economic losses and damages has been used as one way to explore how environmental stress may influence wellbeing (Barnett et al. 2016; Boyd et al. 2017; Tschakert et al. 2017, 2019). This article elaborates on the linkages between non-economic loss and damage resulting from climate-induced migration, displacement and immobility. The empirical connections between wellbeing loss and ‘trapped’ populations will be studied through storytelling sessions carried out in an urban informal settlement1 with Internally Displaced People (IDP)2 or an ‘immobile’ group of people from coastal Bangladesh.

After facing cyclones and riverbank erosion while living on Bhola Island, people migrated to Dhaka and settled in a slum, the marginalised location of which exposes them further climatic, environmental and social risks.

Global environmental changes generate fundamental challenges to most countries’ development progress. The unique location of Bangladesh in the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta both provides the country with valuable natural resources and exposes its people to various environmental threats (Pouliotte et al. 2009; Penning-Rosswell et al. 2013). One of the most common responses for people dealing with environmental changes is to move away from them (Blaikie et al. 1994; Black et al. 2011). People do what they can to escape, and to put themselves, and their food security or livelihood sources, in a safe location. These movements

1 In this article, the author chooses to broaden the use of the word ‘slum’ to also include urban and informal ‘settlement’. This is to acknowledge and neutralise negative associations or stigma around words such as ‘slum’ and ‘slum dwellers’. Since Bhola Slum was built without the permissions or support of the government, the settlement was determined illegal and the people living there accused of occupying government land. To avoid stigma and negative connotations, the author also refrains from using terms such as ‘illegal’ or ‘occupants’.

2 In this article, Internally Displaced People (IDP) refers to UNHCR’s definition; "persons or groups of persons who have been forced or obliged to flee or to leave their homes or places of habitual residence, in particular as a result of or in order to avoid the effects of armed conflict, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights or natural or human-made disasters, and who have not crossed an internationally recognized state border." For more information, see https://emergency.unhcr.org/entry/250553/idp-definition. For further details around people’s self-identification as ‘trapped’ or ‘immobile’ in Bhola Slum, see Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2020.
can take the shape of longer mobility processes, such as in the case of migration, or the mobility
can be a more sudden escape of an impending hazard, such as in the case of evacuation. Other
times people do not move, or manage to escape, and instead end up (in)voluntarily immobile
or ‘trapped’.

References to involuntary immobility have existed in the migration literature for some
time (e.g. Carling 2002; Lubkemann 2008). Adding to this, the Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)
literature describe people’s inability to escape risky locations and situations (e.g. Blaikie et al.
1994; Elliott and Pais 2006; Thiede and Brown 2013). However, the idea of populations being
‘trapped’ in a geographical location by environmental hazards was largely unnoticed until its
appearance in the UK Government’s Foresight: Migration and Global Environmental Change
(MGEC) report (Foresight 2011). In this report, ‘trapped’ populations were proposed to define
those who are “unable to move away from locations in which they are extremely vulnerable to
environmental change” (Foresight 2011:9) as they are facing a “double set of risks” (Foresight
2011:14). This referred to people being unable to escape or move away from environmental
hazards, while at the same time being highly vulnerable to their impacts. Urban areas located
within low-income countries were therefore stated to be of particular concern (Foresight
2011:201).

Migration towards such high-risk locations, and the potential for people to become
‘trapped’ in them was a key concern. Even though the concept marked an important step in the
process of improving the protection of vulnerable people, little in the way of critical analysis
around the concept’s potential, evidence of its empirical existence, living conditions and
wellbeing of ‘trapped’ populations, have until today been registered. This conceptual weakness
perhaps emerged as a by-product of the long running dispute over the role of the environment
within the literature body of migration studies (Baldwin et al. 2016; Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2018).
This study therefore responds to the clear need for more research around (im)mobility and wellbeing to better support people facing climate change impacts. Since its appearance, Trapped Populations has largely been framed as a seemingly straightforward concept, generally referring to geographically ‘trapped’ populations in environmentally high risk rural areas due to mainly socio-economic constraints. The underlying reasons for someone’s immobility, and how their immobility state interacts with someone’s wellbeing can however be profoundly complex. In recent years a large number of articles have also emerged that question and extend our understanding of immobility and ‘trapped’ populations (Baldwin et al. 2016; Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2018, 2020; Schewel 2019). A more comprehensive analysis of how people’s social and psychological backgrounds influence their (im)mobility and wellbeing can help improve our understanding of the relations between climate-induced immobility and lost or damaged wellbeing. This will be important to better protect and support people facing climatic risks while building more robust and well-informed climate policy frameworks.

Extending immobility from a geographical to a social and psychological process through the study of its interaction with someone’s wellbeing will serve to widen our idea of immobility. To do so, more research is required to better establish how people are immobile, where they are ‘trapped’, who may end up immobile, and in what ways the immobility status may influence people’s wellbeing (or what non-economic losses and damages immobile or displaced people face). The storytelling sessions from this study will enable an analysis of how wellbeing losses were experienced by people displaced from Bhola Slum and reveal whether these experiences subsequently fed into their displacement or immobility experiences.

3 In this article, Trapped Populations or Non-Economic Loss and Damage (in capital letters) are used to refer to the concepts (noun); trapped or lost and damaged (no capital letter) are used to refer to the verbs e.g. the action of being rendered immobile or an element being lost or damaged (verb); and finally, ‘trapped’ is used to refer to the adjective e.g. when a person is labelled as being thus, while ‘lost’ or ‘damaged’ is used to describe when a non-economic element is lost or damaged (adjective).
The Non-Economic Loss and Damage concept refers to climate-induced losses and damages that cannot be traded in markets such as life, health and wellbeing, identity, knowledge and belonging (UNFCCC 2013; Thomas and Benjamin 2019). In this study, Non-Economic Loss and Damage will be used to frame the experiences that the immobile, ‘trapped’ or displaced people feel are impacting their wellbeing and (im)mobility status. The aftereffects of human mobility and displacement often include a sense of lost belonging, identity, or social cohesion, all which can have severe impacts on a person’s mental health and wellbeing. This study contributes empirical evidence on these generally under accounted for aftereffects to crucial climate policy tools that can help vulnerable populations in the future. The notions of ‘trapped’ populations and non-economic loss are potentially useful as policy tools to identify and protect those most vulnerable and exposed to environmental changes. People’s vulnerability, often rooted in socio-economic and socio-normative inequalities, is assumed to end up reducing their ability and adaptive capacity to respond to such changes. However, until today, the ambivalent shape of the concepts has restricted their functionality. The potential risks and consequences to identify ‘trapped’ people, and how to best provide support, are yet unknown. This is partly founded in a lack of understanding of people’s experiences around wellbeing loss.

Storytelling sessions, such as life histories, are well-established methodological approaches within anthropology and cultural studies. In the field of climate change migration, displacement and (im)mobility, however, storytelling investigations are only slowly emerging (Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2016, 2019, 2020; Singh and Basu 2019; Conway et al. 2019). The storytelling methodology adopted by this study seeks to address a clear empirical gap by placing people’s perceptions, values and meaning around climate-induced wellbeing, loss and (im)mobility at the centre of the analysis.
2. Method and study area

Storytelling methodology is an open-ended narrative interviewing approach that gives people the space to construct stories without unnecessary interruption. Leading or closed questions should be avoided so that cultural and social values can be captured within the storylines (Hodge et al. 2002; Kasper and Prior 2015). The methodology has been widely used to empower vulnerable groups such as women, children, immigrants and medical patients (Overcash 2003; Davis 2007; Rodriguez 2010). It is an effective way of ensuring the collection of rich and informative data, and is well suited to Discourse Analysis (DA). People often say one thing but do another. Practices or socio-normative behaviour are therefore better captured in between the sentences, or through personal narratives and storylines. Personal narratives allow the storyteller to choose what stories to tell, and whose reality to describe. A story is never random, but represents a deeper meaning. It can give important insights in the inter-social and subjective decision-making process. People use stories to position themselves, and to justify their choices and behaviour. In this way, storytelling is a methodological window into the subjective space (Pfahl and Wiessner 2007; Bell 2010; Ali 2013).

This article combines a diverse set of qualitative storytelling sessions, including individual in-depth Livelihood History Interviews (LHI) and Key Experience Sessions (KES); as well as focus group discussions involving Collective Storytelling Sessions (CSS) and a Resettlement Choice Exercise (RCE) elaborating around people’s aspirations and desires to move or stays. In total, 10 individuals contributed to 28 interview sessions, and 130 participants

4 In this article, discourse is understood as ‘collectively shared domains of statements’. As discourses can interact, complement or compete with one another, we aim to broaden the linguistic analysis beyond one collective narrative, attitude or perception (see Foucault 1981 and Fairclough 2003).

5 Storytelling is an umbrella term that describes a methodological approach involving storytelling elements (as described in Pfahl and Wiessner 2007; Bell 2010; Ali 2013). The diverse methods used in this study, e.g. Livelihood History Interviews (LHI), Key Experience Sessions (KES), Collective Storytelling Sessions (CSS) and Resettlement Choice Exercise (RCE) are in this way all different storytelling methods. For more details on each and every method, as well as access to the questionnaires see Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2016, 2020 and Ayeb-Karlsson 2018.
joined five group sessions. The first individual interview sessions involved two to three hour-long sessions spread out over two to three days. Roughly six months to one year later follow up interviews with the same informants were conducted and, with a few informants, a third set of interviews were conducted two years later (e.g. 2014, 2015, and 2016). The process of following up is an important element in the LHI and the KES approaches. First of all, trust is established between the researcher and informants over time, allowing the storylines to be extended and developed. Secondly, revisiting emotionally loaded experiences and events during different days, at different times, and in different interview settings, has proven to be an effective way of capturing different levels and nuances the same experience or event (this builds upon Experience Sample Method, e.g. Koro-Ljungberg et al. 2008 and Csikszentmihalyi and Larson 2014). Thirdly, it is important for the interview sessions to take the form of an unstructured and ‘friendly’ conversation rather than a tick-box survey questionnaire or a yes-and-no interview. By spreading out the interviews into two or three hour-long sessions over a number of days the interruption to an informant’s daily routine or livelihood commitments is also minimalised. It also prevents exhausting the informants. This approach brought about rich and energetic storytelling sessions that developed further over time. The gender balance was roughly 50/50, while livelihood, migration background, and socio-economic status reflected the overall representation in the study site.

Respondent driven sampling (or snowball sampling) facilitated the selection process. This is a non-probability sampling technique where existing participants recruit subsequent respondents from among their acquaintances (Goodman 1961; Goel and Salganik 2010; Heckathorn 2014). For this study, the initial group of respondents who formed the base of the sample were selected from diverse backgrounds and included informants with varied migration histories and different political, social, religious, livelihood, and ethnic backgrounds (Brace-Govan 2004; Kurant et al. 2011).
During the early site visits and a transect walk it became clear that the settlement was divided into strong political groups. The social division often related back to family histories, migration period and origin villages back on Bhola Island, but it also reflected the livelihood division, power status and neighbourhoods within the settlement. It was therefore crucial that the initial sample included diverse social groups from which to capture these power hierarchies. Clear power differences were identified between those who had; 1) migrated from the island in the 1970s and first built the settlement, 2) migrated later on from the island, 3) been born to parents who had migrated from the island (and divided according to their parents’ migration periods), and 4) migrated from other rural and urban areas. The final sample used by this study included a balance of these four social groups. In addition to the decisions made around the social inclusion criteria, efforts were made during the snowballing process to maintain a balance between gender, livelihood and age. A sampling route was also decided upon before arriving in the study site to ensure respondents from different neighbourhoods or geographical parts of the slum were included (Heckathorn 2002; Browne 2005).

People first started migrating to Dhaka from Bhola Island after the devastating Cyclone Bhola in 1970. As time passed, the settlers (many were living in shelters made from cardboard boxes) found a suitable area and together built and named the settlement Bhola Slum after their home island (see Fig. 1). Because Dhaka, then as well as now, struggled with lack of space, the area was initially located in a lake (and the land under water). The settlers used waste and soil to fill the lake and build pathways through the water. The houses were originally built on bamboo pillars (similar to the housing used in many coastal areas). The area (still under water) was then landfilled with waste that was covered by soil that the settlers purchased themselves. In this way, the settlers ‘made’ their own land out of water. Bhola Slum still experiences a large in and out flux of people from the island (and beyond). Most arriving from Bhola Island in recent years have lost their land and home to riverbank erosion (McNamara et al. 2016; Ayeb-
The research site is in this sense interesting as it helps shed light on people’s experiences of longer-term displacement. The study allowed people to elaborate upon their perceptions, feelings and sense of belonging in relation to the settlement and the island. People’s (im)mobility status was self-identified and its relation to their wellbeing emerged in the storylines.

3. Results

Even though the Bhola Slum settlement was built by its habitants and named after their home island, most people expressed a desire to leave. It would however be overly simplistic and narrow to argue that they are all ‘immobile’. The findings illustrated the risks and dangers of describing someone as ‘trapped’, and reveal how wellbeing loss and (im)mobility are closely interlinked. If immobility were framed as the problem that people are facing then the simple solution would be to turn the immobile people mobile, or make the non-adaptive adapt. This could turn climate policy interventions such as relocation or resettlement programs into risky policy tools (Oliver-Smith 1991; Baldwin et al. 2016; Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2018).

This study clearly portrays some of these dangers through the wellbeing lens. People’s stories outlined the nuances between their reasonings, emotions and experiences and the ways they channelled into their mobility desires. This section is structured around the thematic areas that emerged from the storytelling sessions that interlinked wellbeing loss and (im)mobility status.

3.1 Time, space and place

Time, place and space played an important role in the narratives and explanations around what people tried to escape from/to. People expressed a wish to return to their home villages (mostly on Bhola Island). The city and the village were portrayed as binary opposites, where the village
was peaceful, safe and filled with life and foods; a place where time passed by in pleasant
seconds, while the city was filled with dragged out years of dangers, conflict and hunger:

Extract 1
If we would have lived in village, our son would have grown up in a better environment than where he
is growing up now. He could ruin his life here because he may start mixing with the wrong crowd and
start socialising with ‘ruined’ children. Then he may start taking drugs because there are so many
opportunities to ruin your life in Dhaka city. In the village, there are no such options. In the village, there
is no tobacco, and there is no weed or drugs (LHI BSM70 2014).

Extract 2
We got the notice about five to fifteen days before the eviction. When they finally came here to remove
us, a conflict arose. The government officials came here with the police and physically tried to remove
us and started fighting the slum dwellers. We had a local political leader on our side. Her name is Dipty.
She is a member of the parliament now. She stood behind us and supported us in our protest. However,
the police still came here, she was arrested, and thrown in jail. The prime minister released her later on.
Two people in the settlement were severely beaten by the police (LHI BSM70 2014).

Extract 3
In such a world, I would not have to face a thousand people every day. I would not even have to talk to
you. However, Allah has sent us into this world, and in this world we are still hungry. If the riverbank
erosion would not have happened, we would still have our land. We would be able to farm that land. We
would have nice houses and enough food for all of us to eat. Our kitchens would be full of rice. I would
be able to eat whenever I wanted to. We came to Dhaka because we were starving. Those who managed
to make a living here can buy food, but for me Dhaka is still a place of hunger (LHI BSF40 2014).

Bhola Island was portrayed as home by the informants. It was narrated as a place where people
belonged, and where people wanted to be buried once they died. In some storylines, such as
those described by a middle-aged woman whose parents migrated from the island, the village
even became a place people belonged to although they were born in Dhaka after their parents’
arrival:


6 The term ‘binary opposites’ in this article refers to a situation where a pair (words, things or characteristics such
as man-woman, body-soul, black-white, east-west, and rural-urban) are defined against one and another. The
system was seen as a fundamental organiser of all languages and thoughts.
I told my children that when I become unable to move by myself, or when I get so sick that I cannot move, then I should be taken back home to my village. I want to die there, and I want my grave to be located in my village (KES BSF43 2016).

We lived so much better. We would grow rice and we had a large house surrounded by trees. We were a happy family with plenty of crops and land, but then it all went into the river. /…/ I say [I am from] Bhola. I am from Bhola. That is where I come from. /…/ Home is Bhola. If someone asks me where my house is located, I say Dhaka. Then I say that it is located at the slope of Pallabi, but if someone asks me about my home, I say Bhola (LHI BSF40 2014).

Similar nostalgic storylines are common in diaspora settlements (Spivak 1996; Schein 1999; Brubaker 2005). Studies have shown that migrant children, or second and third generations of migrants, sometimes perceive their identity to be more strongly attached to their ‘homeland’ than their parents. The children feel a strong sense of belonging even though they themselves did not migrate, but it was their parents who lived in and left the place (Anderson 1983; Butler 2001; Christou 2011).

Romanticism of the ‘homeland’ can be a way of finding a place in the displacement (Lindqvist 1991; Cohen 2008). It is a way to create certainty in an uncertain living situation, or a more stable identity than the one that is socially placed upon you (such as migrants, settlers, newcomers). The narration or idea of what life would have been like if they had stayed was shaped by this romanticism throughout the storytelling sessions. The same woman who describes the house surrounded by trees and crops, and a kitchen filled with rice continues:

[When we moved to Dhaka] my father could not work as he was too old. My brother therefore supported us economically. /…/ After he died, my parents suffered a great deal and I had to start begging. Go from door to door. /…/ [If we would have stayed] I would have been able to take care of my health. We would have our land to cultivate so our living conditions would be better. We used to have our own land so we did not have to run after people. The way of living there was good (LHI BSF40 2014).

Romanticism plays another important function. A person who ends up being mentally or psychologically ‘trapped’ may not necessarily experience it as a prison. As pointed out in several storytelling sessions, for many the move to Dhaka did not turn out the way people had hoped. There is therefore a similar risk that the return to Bhola Island would end up being a
disappointment. Staying in a place where you know the struggles can feel safer than to move
to a place where the hurdles are unknown. It may feel safer to know than not know. Certainty
is better than uncertainty.

Uncertainty can however also provide people with a feeling of safety. People do not
know what life would have been like if they had stayed on the island. Life on the island may
have become just as miserable as in Dhaka. This ignorance allows people to enjoy the nostalgia.
The idea of life being better on Bhola Island is something to hold onto. This warm memory
offered people a way to cope with their Dhaka lives. The village memory is most likely better
than ‘real life’ on the island. Returning to Bhola Island would challenge that nostalgia, and put
that collective memory at risk. In one’s mind, the idea of what life could be like remains the
same. However, trying to turn it into reality by returning would be to risk losing it. It is safer
to hold onto the idea or maintain the collective memory by never allowing it to materialise.

As illustrated, many of the storytelling sessions described aspirations, desires and even
a few attempts to return to the island. In most of the stories, the idea of returning did not evolve
beyond aspirations and desires; people never successfully moved back. In a few interviews,
people described going back to the island to visit family members. This is an important
observation as it proves that the return was not simply limited by financial or practical
elements. Some even returned to the island for some time with the intent to move back, but
ended up returning to Dhaka for emotional reasons – the move back to the island did not feel
right.

The interactions between material or economic and non-economic losses upon
someone’s wellbeing and immobility status must be acknowledged. It certainly matters in
relation to someone’s exposure and resilience or ability to bounce back. The diversity of
people’s displacement situations, or immobility statuses, and their link to wellbeing loss often
fed into the material and non-material losses they had experienced back on the island. For
example, a person who left the island after losing their home in a cyclone strike would be in a potentially different immobility and wellbeing situation than a person who lost their home and land to riverbank erosion. While some people living in Bhola Slum had family members remaining on the island to whom they could one day return, others did not. To give another example, people who lived further away from the coast on Bhola Island at times only sent one child or family member to Bhola Slum for remittances. In Dhaka, the child (often adolescent girls and boys) could work in the garment factories and send the money back to their parents on the island. Meanwhile, those living closer to the coast more often migrated as a household as the erosion directly impacted their homes and land. This difference also influenced their storylines. The narratives of those who felt as if they had lost their whole world through the erosion were often darker, and their immobility status was described as more permanent and unchangeable.

One key word mentioned in most sessions was opportunity, as in ‘if I get the opportunity to move, leave, go home, I would’. Another key element was time, as in ‘time is not right, but when time is right I will go’, or ‘when I have saved up enough money I will return’, or even ‘when the erosion slows down I will go back home’. Time also became a key concept in terms of who held the knowledge, who should be listened to in the slum, and who was settled or stable:

**Extract 7**
Those who have been here longer. They are the ones who knows best or who will be able to give you correct information about the slum (KES BSF43 2016).

**Extract 8**
Generally, when people come to the city they need time to settle down. This takes about ten to twelve years. People who are already living in the slum have more stability and order than the newcomers (CSS BSF 2016).

Those who were listened to, or who had the knowledge, turned into the ones with the decision-making and financial power in the settlement. This was reflected in the circumstances of those who had lived in the settlement the longest. The 1970 founders, and sometimes even the 1980
migration group, for example, often did not pay rent. Several of these households also reported
tending to livelihoods inhabiting power, such as security guards, NGO coordinators and project
managers responsible for the arriving funds or even electricians. As Bhola Slum is an informal
settlement, those in control of the electricity supply were in a position of power.

3.2 Gender, power and social roles

Power relations and social hierarchies can be important contributing factors to someone’s
immobility and wellbeing. The storytelling analysis, for example, showed that women and men
did not have the same opportunities within the settlement. The discursive rules, religious norms
and the expected discursive social behaviour\(^7\) were different for women and men, or for
unmarried and married women. The Collective Storytelling Sessions (CSS) proved to be useful
to identify these socio-cultural values, rules and norms. It was, for example, registered in both
the female and male CSS that the move to the city implied a normative shift for women,
especially those who were unmarried. This shift at times resulted in women ‘forgetting’ to
cover up, or to follow their religious devotions once arriving in the city. In Dhaka, women
generally have more liberty over their choices; whether or not to wear a headscarf, to move
around independently outside of the household, and to interact socially beyond the circle of
relatives and family. For these people the move to Bhola Slum opened up a new space of
decision-making power. However, for those who followed the social norms of the collective
group the restrictions upon liberties were in some ways even stricter in the slum. Unmarried
women in particular reported feeling more constrained as the risk of facing social stigma and
punishment, or losing ‘honour’, became higher with the change of social environment and
cultural shock:

\(^7\) ‘Discursive behaviour’ here refers to the normative behaviour reproduced within a discourse and therefore ‘expected’ of a person by its social surrounding. The rules and norms may vary depending on the social role of the person (Foucault 1981; Butler 2011).
I think that the environment of the village is more conservative than in the city environment in our country. A girl can get more freedom by studying, as she can then move around more freely. There is less suspicion around a girl’s behaviour and freedom in the city (CSS BSF 2016).

It is very rare for a girl to go to Dhaka alone. One girl in a hundred perhaps goes alone. What generally happens is that after some time her character changes. She ends up marrying someone and forgets about her family. If a girl moves to Dhaka for work she should keep in mind that she has to send money to her parents, and that she must wait to marry until her parents want her to do so. If she forgets this and gets into a relationship with a man, marries him and starts a new family, she may suffer in the long run. Girls often meet men in the garment factories and start a relationship, but the husband may leave her even after she has had his child. This is the punishment for marrying someone without knowing enough about him. The first six months or so the husband may behave well, but then, what generally happens is that he changes. It is the girl then who has to suffer. In this situation, she can no longer go back home to her parents - she will have to work to provide and care for his child. She will have to work alone to support herself and her child. Perhaps she keeps her child somewhere under a tree while she works, and when the child cries she comes running to calm her down. That is what her life has become, miserable. If she only would have listened to what her parents said and kept to her work, she would not have to face such a reality. Though she only cared about herself and ended up ruining her life instead. /.../ A man can surely get married again, even a girl if she is a dreamer. She could get married to another man too. Though it is the child who suffers the most in this kind of situation. A mother can re-marry with the child, or she can leave the child behind. /.../ A man can surely get married again, even a girl if she is a dreamer. She could get married to another man too. Though it is the child who suffers the most in this kind of situation. A mother can re-marry with the child, or she can leave the child behind. /.../ The mother got another husband, the father got another wife, but what is there for the child? What did she get out of all this? Shame and hatred! This is why the child suffers the most (CSS BSM 2016).

In many areas of rural Bangladesh men are responsible for the income and for providing for the household. Meanwhile, women are responsible for the home and for food preparation. This has resulted in men working outside the house, while women’s duties take place within the home. Therefore, when women step out of the house to work in the village, or migrate to Dhaka to work in the garment factories, they transgress the social order. Chaos can arise from crossing the limits of the socially agreed space and place. This could be why those women who took up work outside the house were portrayed as dishonourable and were socially punished for breaking the discursive norm. This social stigma keeps the walls of the discourse from crumbling. The girl described in the male CSS above (Extract 10), changed her character after arriving in the city. She married a boy that she met in the garment factory, got pregnant, and was thereafter abandoned. In the story, she and her child ended up stigmatised, and were forever doomed to a life of suffering, shame and hatred. The woman could try to escape the
stigma by re-marrying another man. There was, however, no escape for the child who may
even be left behind.

In the storytelling interactions with women and their children in similar positions, who
were abandoned by their husbands and fathers, they describe how this experience is the root
cause of their immobility and lost wellbeing. A middle-aged woman and her twenty year old
son, for example, narrated how they desired to return to the island, but had been paralysed or
trapped into a bad cycle due to such a life event:

Extract 11
If there was any chance to live a better life where my children had the opportunity to work, then I would
go back to the village [on Bhola Island]. However, I was left by my husband, and then I got sick. I do
not have any hope left for my future. /…/ Life was good until my husband got re-married. My husband
used to treat me well. I was very happy until he married again. /…/ It is unbearable to utter the words of
my miserable story to you. I never want to speak of them. My husband married three other women besides
me. You cannot do anything to relieve my sadness or misery. Only Allah can help me (KES BSF43
2016).

Extract 12
Life on Bhola Island is more peaceful. I think that it is better. I have lived in Dhaka for more than ten
years now, but I do not like it here. Dhaka is not my place. I want to go back. I want to live in my village.
For me life is better there. The village environment is way better than the environment here. In the village
you do not realise when six months have passed, but here it is difficult to pass each and every day (KES
BSM20 2016).

The urban risks around ‘bad’ behaviour described in the storytelling sessions often
related to drugs for men and pregnancies for women. The different responsibility over children
for women and for men was also captured in the individual interviews. The responsibilities did
not end at feeding and supporting the children. If they turn out to be ‘dishonourable’ in the
future then it is a reflection of how the childcare duties were carried out:

Extract 13
After arriving in Dhaka, he did not find any work and got involved with drugs. He gradually went into
debt to be able to buy more drugs. /…/ He had to go back to the village to take care of his children. After
coming to Dhaka, he chose the wrong path and got addicted to drugs. He feared that his children might
get involved with drugs too [if they came to Dhaka]. He did not want for his children to go down the
same path that he did (CSS BSM 2016).

Extract 14
A father can leave his children, but a mother can never leave her child. I had hoped that my children
would help me in my future days. I was very sad when I found out that my children neglected these
duties. I have no stable happiness in my life. I was forced to nourish them poorly, so now they are not
that sound either (KES BSF43 2016).
Women reported rarely having the decision-making power in the household. In any registered situation where a female informant did hold such power, the position was described as handed over to them, permanently or temporary, due to unfortunate circumstances (such as the husband’s illness, abandonment or death). In the storytelling interviews, several female respondents linked their health issues to adolescent pregnancies.

The sessions also described gender roles, such as who was the ‘natural’ decision-maker.

A woman in her forties, for example, told the story of how she was taken to the hospital to be examined by a female doctor. However, the doctor sent her home and told her that her husband needed to come and see her to receive the diagnosis. Neither her doctor nor her husband notified her about her health condition. She eventually got her diagnosis but at first the knowledge, and the decision of how to deal with the information and whether to seek treatment, was placed fully in her husband’s hands:

**Extract 15**

I got married when I was twelve years old. A few years later I gave birth to my first son. I faced a lot of problems giving birth to him. […] A woman from work was a doctor so she took me to Dhaka Medical Hospital. There they did some tests and noticed that my kidneys were failing. She gave me an injection and told me that I had to go home and ask my husband to meet with her. Then she gave me some pills and sent me home. I told my husband that he should go and meet with her. She was the one who notified my husband about my kidney failure, but she never told me what was wrong. My husband looked worried when he returned home so I tried to find out why, but he never told me what was wrong. He just started to work really hard, saved up money, and even took out a loan. The family I worked for at the time also gave us some money. […] At one point when I was sick and he could not do enough, he even thought of selling his blood, but I warned him not to do so. He does not have that much blood so he would surely have died. If we are both dead then who would look after our children? (LHI BSF40 2014).

### 3.3 Health, emotions and wellbeing

All the conducted Livelihood History Interviews (LHI) captured health issues and complications. The inescapable link between poverty and ill-health was described in the interviews as akin to being ‘trapped into a bad cycle’:

**Extract 16**

My husband cannot work properly as he had an accident. He was cutting mud on a hill and got struck by a sudden landslide. There was a pipe inside the hill and it broke creating the landslide, and he fell down in a hole and was buried. His fellow co-workers removed the mud and managed to save him. They took my husband to the hospital. Now, whenever he tries to work, he faces many problems. He is in pain
coming from both sides of his belly, and sometimes when he coughs, blood comes out of his mouth (LHI BSF40 2014).

**Extract 17**

After my wife got sick I could not manage to save up any money. She needs her medicine everyday which is about 250taka (£2.50). I cannot work due to my health issues so how am I supposed to feed her? I had to ask people I know for money, and an NGO lent me about 30,000taka (£300). The money I borrowed from people I will have to pay back with interest. If the loan would be 2000taka then I need to pay back 2800taka. So the interest is 800taka. Only 10,000taka out of the 30,000taka was from the NGO. I cannot work so I cannot pay back my debt. I do what I can, but I only manage to pay the interest (LHI BSM60 2014).

The stories illustrated how people’s life situations after arriving in the city easily turned into a downward spiral. To start with, the newcomers ended up in dangerous working and living conditions that increased the risk of getting injured or sick, and negatively damaged or eroded their wellbeing. After getting sick or injured, many (women as well as men) did not manage to retain their livelihoods. The subsequent lack of financial resources turned into a lack of food and medicine that worsened the illness. Additionally, people sometimes ended up with costly hospital bills or medicine that they only could afford by taking out high interest loans. A lack of financial means was portrayed by most as something preventing them from moving. Not being able to tend to a livelihood or carry out household duties also affected people emotionally and ended up damaging their wellbeing. Men described how they felt that they were failing their families when they were too sick to work or provide for them financially. Meanwhile, as the women left their household duties for work they had similar feelings around not spending enough time looking after the children, cooking and cleaning. It is clearly of great importance therefore to dig deeper into the roots of poverty and social inequalities to fully understand their nature and implications. The financial constraints placed upon the households described above ended up damaging people’s wellbeing in diverse ways.

Crucial non-economic elements, such as mental health and wellbeing, are often neglected in much of the literature on ‘trapped’ populations. Yet, the narratives around loss of honour, damaged or poor health, gender roles and social stigmas, were prominent in the storytelling sessions. A middle-aged woman felt the need to explain the unexplainable. Even
though her doctor was unable to determine what disease she and her daughter had, she was certain that they got it from working as housemaids in other people’s houses:

Extract 18
I do not know what disease my daughter has. Not even the doctor was able to understand what kind of sickness it is. She has fevers, but it does not show all the time. We got sick from doing household work in other people’s houses. That is how we got the disease (LHI BSF40 2014).

The storytelling sessions also captured the loss of mental health and wellbeing in other ways. The hopelessness, and the emotional numbness that the hopelessness created around the future, was expressed in several of the collective, as well as the individual, interviews. The village and city were once again described as dichotomous, where happiness, dreams and wellbeing were lost in the erosion and subsequent move:

Extract 19
Wellbeing to me is all about living a peaceful life. That is why I want to go back to Bhola Island (KES BSM20 2016).

Extract 20
When we were children we had dreams of what we would become, but our land suddenly went into the river due to the erosion and we had to come to Dhaka to survive (CSS BSM 2016).

Another similar description was around the feelings of safety, or lack thereof, such as ‘this place is very unsafe’, or ‘the island was safer’. The importance of feeling safe, moving safely, and to a safe place, were emphasised in the stories and must be understood as another bridging element between (im)mobility and wellbeing:

Extract 21
We would prefer to move together as a group instead of individually. This would make us feel safer and more comfortable about the move (RCE BSF 2014).

Extract 22
The slum provides us with no safety or security in terms of not knowing whether we can stay. The government owns the land, and they can evict us anytime. We would like to go to a safe place (RCE BSM 2014).

Wellbeing played a crucial role in people’s (im)mobility decision-making process - whether to stay or go. Women and men expressed a need to feel safe and comfortable. This was highlighted in the narratives around what an optimal resettlement or relocation process would include. Women expressed a desire to move together as a group to help them feel safer during,
and more comfortable about the move. In this way, although the physical environment changed, the social environment would remain the same.

4. Non-economic wellbeing loss and damage and (im)mobility decision-making

The storytelling sessions carried out in Bhola Slum provide climate policy-makers with rich empirical evidence on what non-economic losses people may experience after a climate-induced move and, crucially, how these losses affect people’s wellbeing and (im)mobility status. The findings also illustrate what can be done to avert, minimise and address these losses (UNFCCC 2013, 2015). The narratives identified non-economic losses that have received little attention within a climate policy context, such as the loss of honour. The storylines also identified groups who were particularly vulnerable due to existing social inequalities and power relations, such as unmarried women and abandoned children.

An important outcome of this study is the way in which it delicately revealed the complex interactions between human mobility, displacement, wellbeing and immobility. For practical reasons, climate policy and climate action often simplify and exclude these interconnections. A person is deemed to be mobile, displaced or immobile. A suggestion put forward was to differentiate human mobility and displacement through volition – voluntary and involuntary or forced movements. However, several migration scholars have elaborated around the risks associated with such a conceptual division (Black et al. 2013; Baldwin 2016; Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2018, 2020). It is, for example, not clear who has the power to decide whether a movement is voluntary, nor to determine what push factor forced a person to move (or not). The structural organisation of the classification of a person as mobile, displaced, or immobile also excludes the potential for one person to be associated with more than one (im)mobility trait. In this case study, several informants in Bhola Slum moved from/to mobility, displacement and immobility. We heard the life stories of people moving from Bhola
Island to Dhaka, ending up *displaced* in Dhaka (many of them building temporary shelters from cardboard boxes), and finally finding themselves *immobile* in Bhola Slum and desiring to return back home.

The study also demonstrated that (im)mobility decision-making is highly complex, and that mobility aspirations and desires do not necessarily lead to movements. A person’s feelings, emotions (linked to wellbeing) and social discourse strongly influenced their decision-making process and its eventual outcomes. In an attempt to outline the interactions between wellbeing and (im)mobility decision-making, discourse, power and knowledge are useful concepts (see Fig. 2). Knowledge and power may influence and limit people’s decision-making process through their discursive reality. This is because people actively construct social norms, rules and boundaries through communicative language. In similar ways, behaviour, practices and body language, as well as people’s observations and reflections around the actions of a collective group, also create and reproduce social discourses (Foucault 1981, 1995, 2002; Fairclough 2003).

The reasons behind someone’s immobility, or why a person may end up ‘trapped’, is never straightforward. In the proposed *discursive decision-making model*, a decision is not seen as an event going immediately from intention to action or behaviour, but as part of a longer decision-making process including several steps such as intention, aspiration, desire, action, behaviour, norm and value (see also Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2020). Before an idea (intention, aspiration, desire) turns into action (practise, behaviour, norm), it is perceived and socially tested through communication, speech and stories. Emotions and feelings are passed though all these decision-making layers, and help regulate, or channel people into normative thoughts, decisions and behaviours. In this way, a decision is not a linear progression going from A to Z,

*Here we acknowledge the difference between feelings and emotions; where feelings are experienced consciously, while emotions manifest either consciously or subconsciously.*
but a process within which people may move backwards and forwards, and may turn away from instigating an action, and return to an aspirational state. This is likely to be regulated by feelings and emotions taking place when elaborating upon or testing the ‘decision’ socially. Ultimately, as people are locked into social discourses their decisions are discursively regulated by power (punishment) and knowledge (discipline). These regulations interactively take place on a collective and subjective level. People, for example, discipline their desires and intentions according to the knowledge of what is socially accepted, or they may become the recipients of social punishment for behaving in a way that is outside of the discursive norm or pursuing an unaccepted behaviour in a place.

The practical function of this conceptual decision-making model is based on the empirical findings. We observed, for example, in the thematic area of time, space and place, how socio-psychological processes, or wellbeing loss and damage, were just as important immobility factors as financial means. In some of the narratives, people expressed not having adequate funds to move back, but in others people described how their mental wellbeing, or feelings and emotions, restrained their movement. People in a way ended up mentally paralysed, ‘trapped’ in the prison of the mind. This was, for example, observed in the storylines that described the collective memory of life on Bhola Island, or the imagined island life. This psychological immobility seemed to constrain people from realising the return move. People did not move back, although they desired, hoped, discussed, planned and at times even managed to save up enough money to go back. The desire and aspiration to return home was present but the decision, action and actual move had to ‘wait until things were right’.

The thematic area gender, power and social roles also illustrated how social punishment and stigma can limit or constrain a person’s decision-making process. Women (often unmarried younger women) and abandoned children were described as being punished, regulated and controlled by societal norms. ‘Honour’ played a key role in this process, where
it became evident that women and children generally did not have the same liberty to decide upon their movements as men. Some of the women and children described how specific socially stigmatising events (such as being abandoned by their husbands and fathers) prevented them from returning to Bhola Island. Stigma could extend from a fathers’ behaviour or abandonment to an abandoned mother and their child. The mother may even leave behind the child behind so that she can re-marry. This child would then face a lifetime of social discrimination and punishment.

(Im)mobility decision-making and wellbeing are important elements in a climate policy context. The storytelling sessions in this study have illustrated how a long line of non-economic losses and damages affected people’s wellbeing and (im)mobility status. Top-down planned mobility programs, such as resettlement, relocation and assisted migration, must therefore be approached with extreme care (Oliver-Smith 1991; de Sherbinin et al. 2011). We need more empirical investigations of peoples’ mental health and wellbeing losses in relation to climate-induced (im)mobility. Loss of honour, health, life, identity, place of belonging, and social value, were prominent in the narratives. We know from existing mental health studies that such losses can have severe and lifelong impacts on a person’s wellbeing. Mental ill-health, disorders and trauma require effective and sustainable treatment plans. Immediate attention directed towards these issue by climate policy-makers, practical and financial stakeholders must be on top of the agenda.

5. Conclusion

The storytelling sessions shed light on how climate-induced non-economic losses and damages experienced by Internally Displaced People impacted their wellbeing and immobility status. The methodology proved useful in providing details around the socio-psychological, cultural and subjective values that enhanced and restricted people’s (im)mobility and wellbeing. The sorts of empirical insights offered by this study are usually difficult to capture through the more
commonly used binary structured methodologies such as survey questionnaires. The unstructured and open format of the storytelling sessions allowed people to lead, change direction and guide the research narratives. In this way, the sessions reduce researcher bias and help capture unfamiliar values, perceptions and behaviours.

The study outlined a long line of climate-induced non-economic losses, as well as their (im)mobility and wellbeing impacts. The study does not propose that material or economic losses do not impact people’s wellbeing but argues that they must be afforded equal attention. Compared to the sizeable body of literature on the economic losses associated with climate change, we are currently seeing far fewer studies that focus on the equivalent non-economic losses and damages. The storylines illustrated the dangers of lapsing into a linear thought processes where immobility is framed as a simple problem with mobility as the simple solution. (Im)mobility decision-making is a delicate socio-psychological process strongly linked to people’s mental health and wellbeing. Therefore, structural movements of people may easily cause harm and erode wellbeing, agency and dignity. We need more and better insights into the support systems in place for migrants upon arrival to better understand their weaknesses and strengths. This goes beyond providing people with basic services, or practical and financial support. For example, mental health support for environmental migrants is rarely spoken of, despite it being standard protocol for refugees facing conflict and persecution. We need further research on the impacts and experiences of people who have migrated, relocated, resettled, and returned, as well as longer-term in-depth investigations in diaspora settlements. The close alignment of wellbeing and (im)mobility is worthy of caution. We must ask ourselves whether mobility is the solution for a displaced or immobile group; a solution for whom and by whom.
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Fig. 2 Discursive decision-making model

The figure illustrates a conceptual idea of how people's decision-making process is constrained and enabled by discursive and social-norms through the interaction of power (through punishment), knowledge (through discipline), feelings and emotions, and in this sense strongly aligned with a person's wellbeing (Ayeb-Karlsson et al. 2020:3).