

Corrigendum: fake news or weak science? Visibility and characterization of antivaccine webpages returned by Google in different languages and countries

Article (Published Version)

Arif, Nadia, Al-Jefri, Majed, Bizzi, Isabella Harb, Perano, Gianni Boitano, Goldman, Michel, Haq, Inam, Chua, Key Leng, Mengozzi, Manuela, Neunez, Marie, Smith, Helen and Ghezzi, Pietro (2019) Corrigendum: fake news or weak science? Visibility and characterization of antivaccine webpages returned by Google in different languages and countries. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 10. a2252. ISSN 1664-3224

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/91391/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.



Corrigendum: Fake News or Weak Science? Visibility and Characterization of Antivaccine Webpages Returned by Google in Different Languages and Countries

Nadia Arif¹, Majed Al-Jefri², Isabella Harb Bizzi³, Gianni Boitano Perano⁴, Michel Goldman⁵, Inam Haq⁴, Kee Leng Chua⁶, Manuela Mengozzi¹, Marie Neunez⁵, Helen Smith⁶ and Pietro Ghezzi^{1*}

¹ Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Falmer, United Kingdom, ² School of Computing, Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brighton, Brighton, United Kingdom, ³ Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, ⁴ Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ⁵ Institute for Interdisciplinary Innovation in Healthcare, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium, ⁶ Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

***Correspondence:**
Pietro Ghezzi
pietro.ghezzi@gmail.com

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to
Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 23 August 2019
Accepted: 05 September 2019
Published: 01 October 2019

Citation:
Arif N, Al-Jefri M, Bizzi IH, Perano GB,
Goldman M, Haq I, Chua KL,
Mengozzi M, Neunez M, Smith H and
Ghezzi P (2019) Corrigendum: Fake
News or Weak Science? Visibility and
Characterization of Antivaccine
Webpages Returned by Google in
Different Languages and Countries.
Front. Immunol. 10:2252.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02252

Keywords: information quality, google, Internet, news, news media, vaccines, autism, public understanding of science

A Corrigendum on

Fake News or Weak Science? Visibility and Characterization of Antivaccine Webpages Returned by Google in Different Languages and Countries

by Arif, N., Al-Jefri, M., Bizzi, I. H., Perano, G. B., Goldman, M., Haq, I., et al. (2018). *Front. Immunol.* 9:1215. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01215

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Supplementary Material Data Sheet 1** as published. In the tab “Australia” the value of cell M191 should be “0” instead of “1” and that of cell N191 should be “1” instead of “0.” The corrected **Supplementary Material Data Sheet 1** has been replaced in the original article.

In addition, there was a mistake in **Table 6** as published. The percentage of vaccine-negative websites for Australia should be “33.3%” instead of “16.7%.” The corrected **Table 6** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2019 Arif, Al-Jefri, Bizzi, Perano, Goldman, Haq, Chua, Mengozzi, Neunez, Smith and Ghezzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 6 | Frequency of vaccine-negative webpages in each typology.

	google.com	UK	AUS	FR	IT	Man	Port	Ara
Comm	71.4	60.0	33.3	77.8	0.0	25.0	16.7	0.0
Gov	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HP	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	12.5	27.8	7.1	0.0
News	6.8	7.0	2.0	0.0	2.1	12.1	7.3	3.9
NP	10.0	11.5	4.8	30.0	0.0	15.4	33.3	0.0
Other	34.0	40.0	39.7	30.8	30.6	29.8	56.4	15.8
Prof	7.7	7.7	5.0	7.1	0.0	10.0	17.4	0.0
ScJ	0.0	23.1	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average vaccine-negative in the total search engine result page (SERP)								
	16.6	19.7	16.0	19.6	11.5	19.0	24.2	7.5

Data indicate the percentage of vaccine-negative webpages in each typology. Cells are color coded to show difference from "expected" based on the frequency in the total SERP shown in the bottom row (red, above the expected frequency; green, below the expected frequency).