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In 2016, just over 2 million people worldwide acquired HIV infection, mostly via heterosexual transmission and mostly in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Antiretroviral treatment (ART) aims to suppress viral load to very low, or undetectable, levels, delaying HIV disease progression [2,3] and reducing the risk of onward transmission [4]. Following the 2015 WHO guidelines recommending ART for all HIV-positive people regardless of CD4 count, WHO and the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) issued the 90–90–90 target, aiming by 2020 to have 90% of infected people knowing their HIV status, 90% of HIV-positive people initiated on ART, and 90% of people treated with ART virally suppressed [5], so as to achieve containment of the HIV epidemic.

We commend Richard Hayes and colleagues for their success in navigating the complex logistic challenges in implementing a large-scale universal testing and treatment (UTT) intervention in sub-Saharan Africa, as described in their accompanying research article in PLOS Medicine [6]. They report how close they were able to come to reaching the first two stages of the 90–90–90 target in four communities in Zambia after one year of implementing their PopART intervention (comprising home-based HIV testing by Community HIV care Providers [CHiPs] with support for linkage to care, adherence, and retention). Among those consenting to the intervention, 6,197 HIV-positive individuals not on ART (most of whom had never been in care) were referred to care, 42% of whom initiated ART within six months and 53% by 12 months. Extrapolating to the entire population, the estimated percentage of HIV-positive adults who knew their status increased from 52% to 78% (men) and from 56% to 87% (women); percentages of known HIV-positive people on ART increased from 54% to 74% (men) and from 53% to 73% (women). The overall estimated percentage of HIV-positive adults on ART was 61% after 1 y of intervention implementation, compared to the WHO/UNAIDS target of 81% (90% of 90%).

We commend Richard Hayes and colleagues for their success in navigating the complex logistic challenges in implementing a large-scale universal testing and treatment (UTT) intervention in sub-Saharan Africa, as described in their accompanying research article in PLOS Medicine [6]. They report how close they were able to come to reaching the first two stages of the 90–90–90 target in four communities in Zambia after one year of implementing their PopART intervention (comprising home-based HIV testing by Community HIV care Providers [CHiPs] with support for linkage to care, adherence, and retention). Among those consenting to the intervention, 6,197 HIV-positive individuals not on ART (most of whom had never been in care) were referred to care, 42% of whom initiated ART within six months and 53% by 12 months. Extrapolating to the entire population, the estimated percentage of HIV-positive adults who knew their status increased from 52% to 78% (men) and from 56% to 87% (women); percentages of known HIV-positive people on ART increased from 54% to 74% (men) and from 53% to 73% (women). The overall estimated percentage of HIV-positive adults on ART was 61% after 1 y of intervention implementation, compared to the WHO/UNAIDS target of 81% (90% of 90%). We note that many process indicators in the study were based on self-report and, apparently, data from the CHiPs electronic capture system were not verified with clinic data. Further, in this setting, in which nearly 50% of all HIV-positive individuals were ART-naïve because they were newly diagnosed, it is likely that most of the 20% of people who migrated out of the area never linked to care or commenced ART. This 20% of people, no longer resident in the PopART communities, was not included in the denominator for the post-CHiPs evaluation at 12 months on linkage to care and ART initiation, which could have resulted in an overestimate of the change observed following the CHiPs intervention.
Hayes and colleagues’ findings thus confirm the high acceptability of home-based HIV testing, and a less-than-optimal linkage to care, with initiation of ART rates suggesting that those who do link to care are willing to start ART; there is a substantial proportion of people identified as HIV positive who do not (yet) link to care, can therefore not be initiated on ART, and who may continue to transmit HIV. The Agence Nationale de Recherche sur le Sida et les hépatites virales (ANRS) 12249 Treatment as Prevention (TasP) trial, also evaluating a UTT intervention in rural South Africa, recently reported that 92% of HIV-positive individuals knew their status, 49% of those people initiated ART, and 93% achieved virological suppression on ART [7]. Higher rates of ART initiation were reported from Uganda and Kenya in the Sustainable East Africa Research in Community Health (SEARCH) trial, another UTT intervention study, with 97% of HIV-positive individuals knowing their status, 93% on ART, and 90% virologically suppressed at the end of year two [8]. Similarly, estimates from the Botswana Combination Prevention Project (BCPP) trial, a UTT intervention implemented in Botswana, reported that, overall, 70% of all HIV-positive individuals were virologically suppressed, close to the UNAIDS target of 73% (90% of 90% of 90%), with 83% of HIV-positive individuals diagnosed and 87% on ART, of whom 97% achieved virological suppression [9]. The different approaches to the estimation of percentages in the HIV care cascade in these trials hinder direct comparison of results; hence, we agree with Hayes and colleagues that approaches for estimating proportions of people at different stages in the HIV cascade need to be harmonised.

Hayes and colleagues report that it was challenging to find young men at home, again in line with experience elsewhere [10]. Slow linkage to care suggests that people will only attend facilities once they prioritise doing so, and this is especially pertinent before they are driven to do so by the development of HIV symptoms and signs. An earlier study in rural KwaZulu-Natal highlighted that linkage to care was significantly less likely in those who had never been in HIV care, students in education, and those further away from the clinic, while those who had positive experience of ART in friends or family were more likely to access the trial clinic [11]. Hayes and colleagues will address progress towards the third 90% target later in their trial, but evidence from other studies suggests that once people engage with ART care, they are likely to adhere, at least in the short term [7], and that early linkage to ART care is the main hurdle in the HIV care cascade.

The big question remains whether, in the global heterosexually-driven HIV epidemic, UTT will ultimately reduce HIV incidence to levels sufficient for containment. Findings from the ANRS 12249 TasP trial in South Africa showed little impact on HIV incidence [7], likely due to the slow linkage to care. Hayes and colleagues, although suggesting success of UTT in the first round of trial implementation, do not provide information about sustainability of either HIV test offer uptake or ART adherence, issues which may be especially important in settings with high migration movements.

Overall, these results would suggest that it is unlikely that the rather optimistic forecasts, based on statistical modelling [12], of an imminent end to the global HIV epidemic will be fulfilled. The current gloomy political environment, with uncertainty about the global will to continue to support the large-scale implementation of HIV treatment and care programmes worldwide [13], further adds to the already considerable challenges faced by public health programmes in many settings with a high HIV burden. Health care system requirements for a successful UTT programme are not negligible, even if a UTT approach is found to be cost-effective [14]. Substantial resources are needed to further scale up ART for all HIV-positive adults, and allocation of limited resources will need to be optimised on the basis of evidence of efficacy. Given extensive resource constraints, there may come a time to consider whether public programmes will need to focus on providing optimal health care and support for those...
people who engage with care at public facilities and who have thus indicated that they have prioritised access to health care in their lives.
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