HapBead: On-Skin Microfluidic Haptic Interface using Tunable Bead
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ABSTRACT

On-skin haptic interfaces using soft elastomers which are thin and flexible have significantly improved in recent years. Many are focused on vibrotactile feedback that requires complicated parameter tuning. Another approach is based on mechanical forces created via piezoelectric devices and other methods for non-vibratory haptic sensations like stretching, twisting. These are often bulky with electronic components and associated drivers are complicated with limited control of timing and precision. This paper proposes HapBead, a new on-skin haptic interface that is capable of rendering vibration like tactile feedback using microfluidics. HapBead leverages a microfluidic channel to precisely and agilely oscillate a small bead via liquid flow, which then generates various motion patterns in channel that creates highly tunable haptic sensations on skin. We developed a proof-of-concept design to implement thin, flexible and easily affordable HapBead platform, and verified its haptic rendering capabilities via attaching it to users’ fingertips. A study was carried out and confirmed that participants could accurately tell six different haptic patterns rendered by HapBead. HapBead enables new wearable display applications with multiple integrated functionalities such as on-skin haptic doodles, mixed reality haptics and visual-haptic displays.
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INTRODUCTION

Recent progress in on-skin interfaces tends to be thin and flexible, endeavoring a range of novel applications such as bio-integrated sensing, screening and health monitoring while maintaining a good comfort level [23]. Such interfaces take the benefits of being "soft" instead of "rigid", less obtrusive and not impeding a user’s natural dexterity. This is achievable, thanks to the rapid advances in the development of versatile flexible and stretchable electronics, soft materials, micro-structural configurations, etc. However, less attention has been paid to the development of on-skin haptic interfaces [44]. This is largely due to the absence of equally reliable, responsive and expressive actuation mechanisms at a small scale and in a flexible formfactor compared with that of rigid mechanisms. Indeed, despite the technical barriers, developing on-skin haptic interfaces is seen to play an important role in the foreseeable age of smart devices [28].

Researchers have been exploring robust haptic rendering methods that can be adapted to the thin and flexible devices. For instance, a common approach is known as electrotactile interface that renders vibration-like sensations via electrostimulation. It is capable of directly activating sensory nerves with electrical current from an electrode on the skin surface [32]. By selecting appropriate voltages and currents it creates desired responses to generate sensations of vibrations, textures and even forces [38]. A notable work is Tacttoo [44], in which the authors recently demonstrated the feasibility of using thin-layered tactors to build ultra-thin tactile interfaces on fingertips. However, the confounding challenge exists on variability of skin impedance across body and between individuals, which requests complicated parameter tuning [51]. Another way is based on mechanical forces created via piezoelectric devices, and other methods such as using shape memory alloy wires [13, 14] that have been used to render non-vibratory haptic sensations like squeezing, stretching, dragging, and pulling. These open up a broad range of wearable and epidermal Virtual Reality (VR) interfaces. Though promising, these methods are bulky with components like relays and wires [51], and associated drivers are complicated with limited control of timing and precision.
This paper proposes HapBead, a new on-skin haptic interface that is capable of rendering expressive tactile sensations with simpler structure but enhanced tunability. HapBead leverages a microfluidic channel to transport and oscillate a solid bead via liquid flow. By precisely modulating the liquid flow, the bead is oscillated longitudinally at different locations, frequencies and amplitudes. In particular, the liquid flow at micro level (<1mm) allows the device to produce precise positioning and targeted control (Figure 1), by allowing a rich set of parameters such as the bead’s position, diameter, moving patterns of beads, and bead material for electrical and thermal conductivity etc. The haptic sensation is felt due to both the bead’s motion, and localized turbulence caused by its motion in the fluid when the device is softly laminated onto the skin. The tunability of the bead allows the device to produce on-demand and reconfigurable vibration sensations in the channel. Reported literature talks about haptic sensations on full pneumatic [35] or hydraulic circuit [15] via inflation and deflation of the whole fluidic chamber. Here we demonstrated that creating tactile sensations in the flow for different patterns is feasible with a single bead inside the channel. The prototype of HapBead measures i) the flow pressure required to precisely move the bead in a channel, ii) oscillating bead tangentially at different vibration frequencies using inlet and outlet flow pressure, iii) creating bead vibration based fluid pressure for haptic sensation in a micro-channel, and iv) integrating micro-channel based on-skin haptic interface on a finger tip.

Microfluidics is the science to move and manipulate fluids and particles at small scales. We used soft materials like SU8 and PDMS, and a standard soft lithography process to fabricate the molds and fluid channel, measured on the millimeter. Due to its soft and flexible nature, the fluid channels of high precision can be easily made thin and attached to a user’s body parts, even with large curvature like fingerpad. To work with smaller device size, the flow characteristics like channel dimensions, flow rate and fluid pressure were optimized. This allows the small bead inside the channel to be moved precisely, and with a wide range of manipulating parameters. This paper, for the first time, demonstrates a feasible way to exploit the microfluidic properties for rendering tactile sensations. With a proof-of-concept haptic display that is attached to a user’s fingerpad, HapBead enables new applications such as haptic doodles, mixed reality haptics and visual-haptic displays.

We conducted a controlled study to evaluate the prototype and determine whether or not users can perceive and recognize the tactile stimuli of different frequencies and amplitudes produced by the device. Six primary patterns were selected as a part of preliminary evaluation of the current implementation. Results showed that the overall accuracy for recognizing all the patterns was 91.02%, while oscillating the bead fast at the center of the channel, and oscillating the bead slowly and across the channel reached the highest accuracy. The participants found that Hapbend presented overall clear haptic sensations with localized vibrations, and confirmed that bead oscillation with larger amplitudes was more noticeable. The participants also liked the precise haptic rendering capabilities with such a concise formfactor.

This paper made the following contributions: i) the design of HapBead, a novel on-skin haptic interface that exploits motion of a bead in a microfluidic channel; ii) the haptic sensation rendering mechanism via oscillating a physical bead in the micro-channel with control on frequency and amplitude; iii) demonstration of potential applications and unique properties of microfluidic haptic interfaces.

RELATED WORK

This paper discussed around flexible on-skin haptic interface based on microfluidics, that is inspired by and builds upon prior research in epidermis user interface, wearable haptic display, and microfluidics based flexible sensors and actuators.

Epidermis User Interface

Skin has been considered as an important next generation interactive surface, that helps in exploring applications beyond the rectangular, planar, and rigid touchscreen technology [16]. Epidermis interfaces bring closer the interactions to the body, weighting future interaction towards being more subtle, intuitive and implicit [8]. Researchers have created novel input and output interface directly on skin to achieve a host of novel applications. For visual display, researchers developed ultra-thin flexible LED display that can be attached onto the body, turning the skin into visual displays [50]. Wang et al. [42] demonstrated a fabrication process to create dynamic skin visual appearances by soft fluidic actuation. For interaction, iSkin [43] proposed the idea of adding a very thin sensor overlay on various locations of the body such as the finger, forearm, or ear, turning them into input canvas. Later on, the same team developed a skin overlay with high resolution of touch sensing and supports multi-touch input on the skin [29]. For haptics, Withana et al. [44] worked on adding vibrotactile to the skin overlay, and Hamdan et al. [14] designed shape memory alloy based skin sticker to produce non-vibrotactile feedback like stretching and pinching. These works define new interface paradigms that could be envisioned with implantable devices.

The development of epidermis interfaces is achievable, thanks to the rapid advances in the development of versatile flexible and stretchable electronics. Soft electronics field has been prevailed for decades with soft, curved, time-dynamic characteristics that enable engineers to measure a broad range of biophysical, biochemical and environmental signals to quantify human performance and supervise overall health status [33]. The soft, bio-integrated sensors embedded in skin-interfaced devices can measure bio-potentials, absolute or relative physical motions and thermal signals associated with activity of...
the heart, peripheral nervous system, brain, skeletal muscles and vascular tree [33]. Biochemical signals such as metabolites (sweat, saliva and tears), electrolytes and miscellaneous biochemical signals are monitored and leveraged to predict human’s health condition. Besides, Zhang et al. [52] developed a human motion monitoring in sports using wearable graphene-coated fiber sensors. Krishnan et al. [21] firstly offered wireless, battery-free epidermal electronic device for recording skin thermal properties and hydration state. 

HapBead is a novel on-skin haptic interface, relying on an alternative technical approach (i.e., microfluidics) other than the soft electronics.

Wearable Haptic Display
Wearable haptic devices have been well studied and were showed to be effective in providing localized cutaneous stimuli such as on fingertip [2]. Researchers have been designing and exploring technical solutions to provide cutaneous sensations in multiple dimensions, such as finger worn haptic displays that produce indentation [9, 10, 31], lateral force [12, 41], and vibration [24]. Soft gloves have been developed using pneumatic actuators and piezoelectric systems to provide tactile feedback [3, 37].

In recent years, there has been a growing need to develop wearable haptic devices with compact size, that support, to some extend, real world interactions while worn. AeroFinger [7] was designed with the goal of reducing the physical size of haptic devices, it was a pneumatic device that consisted of four miniature airbags to provide force and tactile sensations to the fingertips. HydroRing [15] was also a fingertip worn device, that took a hydraulic approach and rendered pressure, vibration and temperature sensations. EPFL’s SPA-skin [35] used a multilayer quasi 2D manufacturing technique and provided a highly customizable interface with facility of the closed-loop control for multiple vibrotactile signals. Both HydroRing and SPA-skin had similar approach as ours as they are actuated with liquid and air flow, respectively, but HapBead presented a more refined, precise, and localized control provided by an oscillating bead in the channel, not via inflation and deflation on the whole fluidic chamber. Indeed, most of the thin flexible haptic interfaces are developed with vibrotactile feedback [44, 11], which is generally using piezo-electric and electroactive actuator and sensor systems. Yu et al. [51] presented a wireless, battery-free haptic interface capable of generating spatio-temporally programmable patterns of localized mechanical vibrations. Springlets [14] rendered non-vibro tactile feedback in very thin and flexible formfactor. Hapbead represents an alternative approach for on-skin haptic interface with simpler structure but enhanced tunability.

Microfluidics Based Sensors and Actuators
The field of microfluidics has grown enormously in past decade, providing integrated platforms with hybrid sensing and actuation mechanisms [5, 17] for numerous applications like fluidic displays [20], opto-fluidic components [36], soft circuit capable of multisignal physiological sensing [47], and lab-on-chip devices [27]. John Rogers’ team used soft lithography technique to develop a 900 µm thick epidermal microfluidic device for passive sweat capture and analysis for patient populations[54]. Many industrial sectors like Illumina for biological lab-on-chip devices, Fluidwell and liquid-crystal display based firms have launched successful commercial products. However, most of the developed fluidic displays are only visual. HapBead is a microfluidic display for haptic feedback. It endows potential applications to not only facilitate impaired and people with disabilities for reading like doodles, but it can also enhance the user experience by coding signals or by changing surface topographies effecting touch gestures associated with the displayed text. The use of a metal bead in the microchannel also allows to develop a closed-loop tactile feedback control by enabling metal-based capacitive and resistive sensing [45, 48].

Researchers have also worked upon microfluidics based tactile sensing [39], however, most of them are based on electro-tactile feedback. Lee et al. [40] proposed putting sensing electrodes below the microchannel as the force transducer, where the tactile signal was detected as the impedance change due to the electrodes coverage by the conductive solution. We explore the controlled fluid flow as a mean to actuate beads for haptic sensing. The proposed mechanism does not involve any electronics or complex actuation mechanism debarring the consequences like short-circuit and power consumption. New applications can be integrated with the proposed force mechanism using direct applied pressure, like automated Acupressure therapy by applying strong haptic force.

DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF HAPBEAD
We propose HapBead as a new on-skin haptic interface for users to get tactile perception. In this section, we outline important design requirements and implementation of this microfluidics based tactile devices. This helps us to elaborate how the tactile sensation is created. These considerations guided the interfaces presented in the rest of this paper and can also guide future implementations.

Characteristics of Fluid Dynamics in Microchannel
Different from normal sized tubes, typical microfluidic channel is often of rectangular or circular shape that measures < 1mm width [4]. The micro scaled fluid channel allows us to precisely control the inner liquid flow [26]. We leverage this property to develop a haptic rendering mechanism via transporting and oscillating a physical bead in the channel. To design such haptic interface with it and to be capable to apply dynamic control over it, we first have to understand the fluid dynamic properties inside micro channel.

Microfluidic flows in micro-channels are characterized with low Reynold number laminar flows, where the viscous effects are more dominant compared to inertia. Due to this approximation, the complex Navier-Stokes equation is simplified to Hagen Poiseuille flow. The fluidic pressure in the channel is given as - $P = P_1 - P_2 = R \cdot Q$, where $Q$ is the mean flow-rate, $P = P_1 - P_2$ is the pressure drop across the channel and $R$ is the microfluidic resistance [30].
The fluid channel with bead consist of three active forces, namely, the hydrostatic pressure, bead drag pressure and the channel-bead wall friction. In the proposed design, controlled flow pressure act as the actuation force. The microfluidic channels were designed with adequate dimensions, to balance the forces for pushing the beads at desired flow rate [49].

Here, the microfluidic resistance changes with the channel dimensions, geometry and the fluid characteristics. For rectangular microfluidic channel, hydraulic resistance is given as

$$R = \frac{12\mu L}{wh^3(1 - \frac{h}{w}\sum_{n=1.3.5}\frac{1}{n^3}\tanh(\frac{wh}{2h}))}$$

where, $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity, $L$ is the length of microchannel and $d$ is the diameter of micro-channel. We used conventional fluidic models to calculate the channel resistance as $R \approx 10^8 \text{kgm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ approximately. It was used to determine the required flow rate to create a particular pressure drop across the channel. For example, when the applied flow rate was $\approx 10\text{ml/s}$, it generated pressure drop of $\Delta P = R \times Q \approx 1000\text{Pa}$ in the channel, thereby generating $\approx 1\text{mN}$ force on the bead.

**Form Factor**

Smaller bead can be easier to control but may be less effective in generating oscillations. In our implementation, we experimentally determined to use a steel bead with a diameter of 0.9 mm, weighted 2.08 mg. This is to make sure haptic sensations can be produced clearly and reliably. The bead of such size also helps maintaining a clear visibility, enabling applications like visual-haptic display that we will demonstrate later. Similar to other on-skin visual and haptic devices [19, 18, 25, 42], the design of HapBead comprises of a two-layer soft plate structure (Figure 2). The bottom layer, measured 0.4 mm in thickness, embeds the micro channel, whose cross-section is 1 mm × 1 mm. This allows the bead to move freely without arching up the channel wall, while keeping lower gap between the channel and the bead. The top layer is thinner (0.3 mm in thickness), bounded to the bottom layer to seal the microchannel. The end-effector of HapBead is about 10 mm × 20 mm in width and length, and weighted 0.25 g, flexible enough that it can be attached to a user’s fingertip.

The overall thickness of the device is 1.7 mm. This is based on a bottom layer (0.4 mm) plus a top layer (0.3 mm) plus a channel (1 mm). Compared with Sprintlets [14] and Tactoo [44], Hapbead offers expressive sensations with improved precision and control, but it requires a thicker laminated structure, which results in a relatively lower comfort and, to some extent, impedes a user’s natural sensations on fingertip (i.e., not feel-through).

**Fabrication**

To pattern the channel of the device, we use the collection of techniques referred to as soft lithography [34]. However, due to the design characteristics of the device, there are some changes of fabrication sequence and process. First, the SU-8-Si master was fabricated by photolithography for polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) micro-channel casting (Figure 3a-e). A 4-inch silicon wafer was coated with SU-8 2150 photoresist and soft-baked at 65 °C for 10 minutes and 95 °C for 2 hours, respectively. The photoresist was in turn patterned using a Karl Suss MA6 Contact Aligner and photomask with a 600 mJ of UV exposure at 365 nm. A post exposure bake at 65 °C for 5 minutes and 95 °C for 30 minutes was applied after exposure. Finally, Patterned wafer was developed in SU-8 developer for 30 minutes.

Second, we used Sylgard PDMS (Dow and Corning’s Sylgard 184) with mix ratio of siloxane A cross-linker/curing agent B = 10:1 to cast and mould the devices. To ensure thoroughly mixing, the PDMS should be stirred in a flask for at least 5 minutes until it looks milky with tiny air bubbles. The prepared PDMS is then cast into the SU-8-Si master. To make the PDMS clean, transparent and to remove the unwanted air bubbles, the PDMS is degassed in a vacuum desiccator for 10 minutes. After curing in an oven at 85 °C for 3 hours, PDMS is cured, resulted in a thin layer of PDMS film, and can be peeled away easily from the mold (Figure 3f-h). In so far, the substrate of device is ready and the upper membrane is developed in the same way using a smooth silicon wafer.

As described, we developed a thin PDMS skin with 1.7 mm thickness (0.3 mm thickness of top layer and 1.4 mm thickness of substrate). Next, the upper layer and the substrate need to be bonded permanently to provide strong barriers against liquid pressure inside (Figure 3i). The PDMS layers were exposed to oxygen plasma 1 cm on top of surface for 45 seconds for activation. Then the activated PDMS surface is placed on another PDMS layer as soon as possible to form a permanent bond. 55 °C of post-bake for 4 hours is essential to increase success rate. At last, we cut the device into a flat hexagon and insert one steel bead into the channel (Figure 3j).

Tygon tubing are adhered to the ports using PDMS and post-bake procedure is carried out to reinforce the joint.

**Actuation**

The HapBead prototype consists of a dedicated microfluidic pumping unit (Elveflow 1, capable to produce 0-2 BAR) and control circuit connected via Tygon tubing to the end-effector attached on a user’s fingertip (Figure 4). The pumping unit is programmable and is capable of driving the flow of the liquid precisely. Specifically, The liquid stored in a storage

1https://www.elveflow.com/
tank is pumped to a group of 2 solenoid valves 2 (DN 1.2 mm, up to 3 bar, opening/closing 3ms) connected to the two sides of the end-effector and used to control the inlet of the flow. Another two solenoid valves are used to control the outlet of the flow on both sides. The pressure pump and the solenoid valves are controlled by a Python application with Elveflow Smart Interface SDK 3, where parameters such as switch of valve, air pressure and frequency change can be controlled precisely. We use water as the liquid medium due to its availability, inert nature and low cost. Experimentally, when the pressure is set at 300-1800 mPa with a 1-200 Hz frequency, the bead can move and stop instantly. We modulated the pressure and open/close switch through the inlet and outlet, to create tangential oscillations of the bead.

HAPTIC SENSATION AND MOVING PATTERNS OF BEAD

When the liquid is pushed, the steel bead acts as an obstacle, building up localized pressure inside the channel. The driving pressure is applied at one or another side of the channel for controlling to and fro motion of the bead. The valves control the flow switching in the micro-channel and thus, determines the resulting oscillating frequency of the bead. This oscillating frequency determines the degree of turbulence created in the channel, thereby producing dynamic haptic sensations. We discuss about three main parameters to control the highly tunable haptic feedback, namely, the bead oscillation location, amplitude and frequency. These parameters are manipulated using the driving pressure, the inlet and outlet valves, the channel dimensions and the bead specifications.

2https://www.burkert.com/en/type/6724
3https://www.elveflow.com/microfluidic-flow-control-products/flow-control-system/elveflow-software/

USER STUDY: PERCEPTION OF THE HAPTIC PATTERNS

The six primary patterns were selected as a part of preliminary evaluation of the current implementation. We conducted a user study to determine how well users could differentiate the haptic sensations produced by HapBead after a brief training period. This study serves to validate that the prototype is able
to reliably generate haptic sensations and to evaluate users’ ability to perceive and recognize the sensations.

Participants
Twelve (12) participants (5 female, avg. age = 23.9) were recruited from a local university for the study. Each participant was rewarded with about $USD 20 for their participation.

Experimental Apparatus and Procedure
The participants were first introduced with the purpose of the study and instructed to get familiar with the HapBead prototype. They were seated and rested their hand on a table while the device was lightly stretched over the fingertip of the dominant hand (Figure 6). A laptop computer ran the experimental software, showed feedback to participants, and controlled HapBead.

There were 5 blocks of the test, and in each block, the 6 haptic patterns were repeated 3 times in random order, and the participants were asked which profile they just felt. The participants were asked to take a 1-minute short break after each block. In total, there were 5 blocks × 6 haptic profiles × 3 repetitions = 90 trials per participant. The study lasted 40 mins on average.

Once the participants were outfitted with the device, participants began the first block. In each block, they first received 3 minutes training, where they were shown the stimuli in order. Then they were shown the testing set of the stimuli. Each stimulus was played 2 seconds. After each trial, they were asked to verbally report which haptic pattern they just perceived. After the study, the participants were asked to express their subjective opinions about HapBead and the haptic patterns.

Result and Discussion
The average accuracy was 91.02% (SD = 10.2%) across all the participants and all the haptic patterns. One-way ANOVA yields significant effect between the patterns ($F_{5,66} = 7.528, p < .01$). A Tukey post hoc test revealed that the pairs between pattern a and b, a and f, b and c, b and e, c and f, e and f were significantly different, while the rest pairs were not. This can be understood from Figure 7, which shows that pattern b and f reached higher accuracy (both 98.89%, SD = 2.6%) than the others, while pattern a (86.67%, SD = 8.04%) and c (82.22%, SD = 11.49%) were relatively lower in accuracy. Figure 8 shows a confusion matrix for the patterns we tested. We found that pattern a and c, representing fast bead oscillating frequencies with smaller amplitudes at the left and right side of the channel were more often confused, while the patterns with different bead oscillating frequencies were easy to distinguish. This was probably due to the turbulence caused by the fast but short bead oscillations were weak, thus decreasing the rendered sensations.

The overall performance indicated that the haptic sensations caused by the bead oscillations at various locations in the channel and with different frequencies and amplitudes made clear difference to the participants. Based on the results, we found that with HapBead, the participants were more sensitive to patterns of various vibration frequencies rather than those of various locations. This suggested that designers may consider to leverage a broad range of vibration frequencies to enrich the vocabulary of haptic sensations, while constraining the number of selected locations (e.g., 3). Though the haptic sensations were generally easy to tell, the participants felt a little numb on their fingers after a while. This suggested that designers shall allow the users to not so frequently use the device. We also found that a certain amount of learning helped improve the performance of the participants. HapBead allows designers to create a host of haptic sensations, while how to help users quickly learn the sensations will become challenging. Moreover, we noticed that the device should not be tightly wore by the participants. Otherwise, the external pressure might block the flow. Variability among the haptic perceptions of the participants was obvious. For instance, with pattern d, four participants achieved very high accuracy (100%) while two participants only reached 73.33%
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APPLICATIONS

In this section, we outline three conceptual applications that

are feasible for HapBead which emphasize its applicability for

novel haptic interfaces.

Application 1: On-Skin Doodles

The bead flow in straight microfluidic channel produces haptic

sensation. The force is controlled by the adhesion-friction

forces at bead-channel wall along with the turbulence created

by vibrating the bead. We used a single bead microfluidic

channel to prove the concept. However, multiple micro-channels

can be integrated each with single and multiple bead to display

different patterns like Figure 9a. These devices are applicable

for developing on-skin doodles and "Dynamic Braille" for

visually impaired people.

Application 2: Visuo-Haptic Display

The bead being silver in colour is readily visible through the

transparent microfluidic channel, thereby allowing to integrate

the visual feedback along with the haptic sensation. The de-

vice can provide both visual and haptic sensation for forming

different alphabets and patterns (Figure 9b). Thus, we propose
to develop visuo-haptic display using soft on-skin microfluidic

channels.

Application 3: Haptic Illusions

The device can be triggered with different motion patterns

at very high speed, to give a sense of apparent motion, in

accord to cutaneous rabbit and other haptic illusions. In these

illusions, a sequence of taps at two separated skin locations

results in the perception that intervening skin regions were

also tapped [46]. Hapbead can operate on the same principle

and create such haptic illusions (9c).

and 66.67%, respectively, making the recognition result for the

pattern very diverse. According to two participants, they were

able to feel the translation of the bead when the the device

was rendering the next trial. Though this was not desired, it in

fact showed that if improved, the translation motion could be

helpful for applications like cutaneous rabbit.

Figure 9. Conceptual scenarios where HapBead is applicable: a) on-skin
doodles; b) visuo-haptic display; c) haptic illusion.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

We used a bead in a soft microfluidic interface to provide

haptic sensation. The use of a bead in microfluidic channel

enhances the control of haptic sensation by allowing 1) oscillat-
ing the bead in different motion patterns for different vibration

frequencies and amplitudes 2) the bead also provide the vi-

sual feedback along with the haptic sensation, thus, moving
towards mixed reality haptics. The flow in a microfluidic chan-
nel was controlled to be a laminar Poiseuille flow. However,
by putting a bead in the channel, the turbulence was triggered
using the bead oscillations. The bead vibration frequency was
controlled to manipulate the amplitude of haptic sensation.

We tried three different fluids, namely, air, oil and water for

moving bead into the channel, however, the best control was

achieved using water. The air has less viscosity and density

than water, thus it applied lesser drag forces to move the bead.

Oil also had less density than water, thus it acted more as

lubricant with lesser drag force. The different properties of

fluids and channel materials like temperature, viscosity, sur-

face tension, composition and conductivity could be explored

further for recruiting more useful information.

The channel geometry also plays a significant role while de-

signing HapBead platform. We also tried circular channel

geometry for bead motion. However, we observed larger hys-

teresis/friction loss because of more surface contact area at

circular channel-bead wall. While for the rectangular channels,

the circular bead had only four point contacts at the horizontal

and vertical diameter end points. Thus, rectangular channel

showed more efficient bead control with less coagulation and

surface friction.

As for the fabrication, we tried but did not choose to 3D print

the masks (i.e., using Ultimaker S5) as they cannot provide

the same level of qualities, e.g., surface smoothness, high

temperature resistance, which are critical for the fabrication

of microfluidic channels at that micro scale. In the study, the

six primary patterns were selected as a part of preliminary

evaluation of the current implementation, but more patterns

are possible based on the control of flow rates and channel

design. During the study, we also found that the static bead

cannot be felt. However, if a user applies some pressure on

it (e.g., squeeze with another finger, or on a surface), it can

be felt. This provides opportunities for developing static and

passive haptic sensations without oscillating the bead, suitable

for power efficient scenarios.

In future, the proposed technique could be used to develop

"Dynamic Braille" for visually impaired people. The platform

could also be modified for portable DIY type fabrication using

soft plastic moulds. The automation could be achieved using

lab-on-chip fluidic techniques like electrophoresis [22] and
electrowetting [1]. Besides, the pumps and associated bulky

materials can be miniaturized to wearable fluid platforms by

using techniques like self-propelling mechanism [53] or ex-

ternal electric actuation [6]. The device could also be used

for point-of-care medical applications like Acupressure ther-

apy without any need of complex electronics. The technique

also develops toward enriching user experience by enabling

potential future applications like coded-haptic information,
We proposed and successfully demonstrated a microfluidic based on-skin haptic platform, termed here as HapBead. The motion of a solid bead in a thin, flexible and transparent microfluidic circuit, was used to create strong tunable haptic sensation. The pressurized fluid flow generated by tangential oscillation of a bead inserted in channel produced dynamic haptic forces. The tunability of bead and its oscillation amplitude and frequency allowed us to create reconfigurable haptic force. Based on the control of fluid flow, channel and bead dimensions, we developed a on-skin microfluidic haptic platform. HapBead can be applied for on-skin wearable applications like Acupressure therapies, on-skin doodles and displays without any need of complex electronics. Thus, HapBead provides a significant advancement in the field of human-computer interaction involving microfluidic technology, with a diverge market ranging from on-skin displays to point-of-care health services.
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