

Cohort profile: the Pharmacokinetic and clinical Observations in PeoPle over fiftY (POPPY) study

Article (Accepted Version)

Bagkeris, Emmanouil, Burgess, Laura, Mallon, Patrick W, Post, Frank A, Boffito, Marta, Sachikonye, Memory, Anderson, Jane, Asboe, David, Garvey, Lucy, Vera, Jaime, Williams, Ian, Johnson, Margaret, Babalis, Daphne, De Francesco, Davide, Winston, Alan et al. (2018) Cohort profile: the Pharmacokinetic and clinical Observations in PeoPle over fiftY (POPPY) study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, dyy072. pp. 1-7. ISSN 0300-5771

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/75788/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in International Journal of Epidemiology following peer review. The version of record is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy072>

Title:

Cohort Profile: The Pharmacokinetic and clinical Observations in PeoPle over fifty (POPPY) Study.

Author list:

E Bagkeris¹, L Burgess², PW Mallon³, FA Post⁴, M Boffito⁵, M Sachikonye⁶, J Anderson⁷, D Asboe⁵, L Garvey⁸, J Vera⁹, I Williams¹, M Johnson¹⁰, D Babalis², D De Francesco¹, A Winston⁸, CA Sabin^{*1}

1. Institute for Global Health, UCL, London
2. Imperial Clinical Trials Unit, Imperial College London
3. HIV Molecular Research Group, School of Medicine, University College Dublin
4. Caldecot Centre, King's College Hospital, London
5. St. Stephen's Centre, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London
6. UK Community Advisory Board (UK-CAB), London
7. Homerton University Hospital, London
8. St. Mary's Hospital London, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust
9. Elton John Centre, Brighton and Sussex University Hospital, Brighton
10. Ian Charleson Day Centre, Royal Free Hospital, London

Why was the cohort set up?

The Pharmacokinetic and clinical Observations in PeoPLe over fifty (POPPY) Study was initiated in 2013 as the first large-scale study to assess clinical outcomes of people living with HIV (PLWH) over the age of 50 in England and Ireland. Discussions around the management of HIV as a chronic disease have been ongoing (1-5). However, some recent studies have suggested a possible acceleration of the aging process in PLWH with reported increases in the rates of several co-morbidities, including cardiovascular disease (CVD), cancers, cognitive disorders, end-stage liver and renal disease and frailty and fracture risk (6-10). The primary aims of the study were therefore: (i) to describe the burden of clinical conditions in older PLWH; ii) to investigate whether the burden of co-morbidities is increased in PLWH compared to demographically similar HIV-negative people; and iii) to describe the outcomes of and resources required to treat some of the more common co-morbidities that were expected to occur in older PLWH to see whether these also appeared to differ between those with and without HIV. An additional, related, aim of the study is to investigate the impact of age on the concentrations of the different antiretroviral agents in the blood among PLWH. It is hoped that the study findings will contribute to the development and implementation of evidence-based recommendations for the optimal management and clinical monitoring of older PLWH. Study results will also contribute to the design of future studies for the treatment of diseases associated with ageing in this population.

Funding and ethical approval

This work is supported by various sources, including investigator initiated grants from several industry sources (Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen-Cilag and Merck Sharp and Dohme), funding from the UK National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) through a Senior Investigator Award to Professor Sabin (grant no.531482 D-OTH 170963), funding from the Biomedical Research Council (BRC) at Imperial College London to Professor Winston and via Clinical Research Network (CRN) support at National Health Service sites in England. None of the sponsors

(industry or otherwise) have any influence on the study design, the interpretation of study findings nor on the decision to present study findings. In addition, several POPPY sub-studies have been funded through grants awarded by the British HIV Association (BHIVA), University College London, and Glaxo SmithKline to study investigators. The study received ethical approval from the NHS Health Research Authority, National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee London. Ethics reference number: 12/LO/1409. POPPY has been registered with clinicaltrials.gov: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01737047>.

Who is in the cohort?

POPPY is a multicentre, prospective, observational study initially funded for three study visits which occur annually. To address the primary aims of the study, three groups of individuals were invited to participate in the study: PLWH aged ≥ 50 years; PLWH aged < 50 years; and a group of HIV-negative controls aged ≥ 50 years. All participants were of white or black African ethnicity and had acquired HIV via a sexual route (either sex between men or sex between men and women). Those recruited in the younger group of PLWH were frequency-matched to the group of older PLWH on gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation and participating clinic. HIV-negative participants were required to have a documented negative HIV test in the past 6 months or at the time of screening; this group was frequency matched to the older PLWH group on age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation and geographical location (in or out of London).

Eight clinical sites currently participate in POPPY: Chelsea and Westminster Hospital (CWH), Homerton University Hospital (HUH), Kings College Hospital (KCH), Mortimer Market Clinic (MMC), Royal Free Hospital (RFH), Royal Sussex County Hospital (RSH) and St Marys Hospital London (SMH) in England, and the Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin (DUH) in Ireland. Prior to recruitment, each centre was provided with recruitment targets (for age group, gender, race and route of HIV infection) based on the demographic characteristics of those attending each clinic (using data from the UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) Study (11) and the Dublin ID cohort (12)

to ensure that PLWH who participated in the study were broadly representative of PLWH in participating centres. The number of people to be recruited from each centre was agreed in advance with study investigators and was based on an agreed proportion of the total numbers of attendees at each clinic to ensure feasibility.

Identifying potential eligible participants and recruitment

Our goal was to recruit a study cohort that did not over-represent those who either attended clinic more frequently or who were more regular participants in research studies. To attempt to achieve this, each clinic's list of eligible attendees was ordered randomly and clinics were asked to approach those at the top of the list first, before moving further down the list should insufficient numbers at the top of the list agree to participate. Whilst not a perfect approach, this was felt to be a recruitment method that would be feasible within a busy clinic setting.

Where possible, HIV-negative participants were selected from similar underlying populations (e.g. from genitourinary medicine clinics for the white men who have sex with men participants, and local community settings for those of black African origin).

The original planned sample size was 2000 (1000 older PLWH, 500 younger PLWH and 500 HIV-negative controls) and was chosen pragmatically to reflect what was felt to be feasible given the number of people receiving care at each of the clinics. Given time constraints, however, recruitment was stopped once 1376 participants had been recruited (699 older PLWH, 374 younger PLWH and 304 HIV-negative controls) as it was felt that the additional effort that would be required to increase the sample size further would not be balanced by an increased amount of information in the cohort.

Characteristics of cohort

The total cohort includes 1377 participants. Baseline demographic, clinical and lifestyle characteristics are shown in Table 1. In brief, 1109 (80.5%) of the cohort were male (88.0%, 80.8%

and 64.0% in older PLWH, younger PLWH and HIV-negative controls, respectively), 963 (70.0%) defined themselves as men who have sex with men (MSM) and 1175 (85.3%) had a white ethnic background. The median age was 56 (Q1-Q3: 53-62) for the older PLWH, 43 (37-47) for the younger PLWH and 58 (53-63) for the HIV negative controls and almost 45% were single (54.0%, 31.0% and 15.0%, respectively). Finally, around two-thirds (64.7%) of participants are born to parents from the UK/Ireland.

[Table 1 here]

To assess the representativeness of the older cohort (the younger cohort of PLWH was selected to be demographically similar to the older cohort, rather than to be representative of the UK HIV epidemic), we compared selected characteristics of the older cohort of PLWH within the UK POPPY sites (n=667/699) to individuals attending the same clinics who would have been eligible for participation in the study (based on age, ethnicity and exposure group) at the start of 2013, but who were not included (n=4786, Table 2). Information for the latter group was obtained from the UK CHIC study. Individuals who were included in POPPY were slightly more likely to be male (88.0% vs. 85.4%), were more likely to report HIV infection through sex between men (79.8% vs. 70.4%) and to be of white ethnicity (86.4% vs. 79.5%) than non-participating individuals. The median age of the two groups was, however, similar (55 vs. 54 years) as were the proportions of individuals exposed to ART (90.9% vs. 89.6%) and the median CD4 count on 1st January 2013 (590 cells/mm³ in each group). Absolute differences in the viral load and nadir CD4 cell count between the two groups were small.

[Table 2 here]

How often have they been followed up?

The current protocol entails the following study visits: a screening visit/Visit 1 (baseline) conducted from April 2013 until January 2016, during which eligibility criteria were confirmed and informed consent was taken; visit 2 (year 1) from May 2014 to February 2017; and visit 3 (year 2) from May

2015 to February 2018 (ongoing). Whilst the baseline and year 2 visits are conducted via face-to-face interviews for all participants, the intervening year 1 visit is only conducted face-to-face for the two groups of PLWH; the HIV-negative controls were contacted by phone for a brief follow-up assessment. Current funding applications are under consideration to allow additional follow-up visits of the cohort.

At the time of writing this manuscript (August 2017), 1315 (95.5%) of the cohort have reached visit 2, and 508 (36.9%) have reached visit 3. Eleven of the study participants have died, 10 from the older group of PLWH and 1 from the HIV-negative cohort. Causes of death were: endocarditis, sepsis, ischemic and hypertrophic heart disease, myocardial infarction, adenocarcinoma, suicide, heart attack, cardiac arrest, end-stage liver disease and angiosarcoma. Thirty-two participants have withdrawn further participation, 17 from the older HIV-positive, 11 from the younger HIV-positive and 4 from the HIV-negative group. The Imperial College Clinical Trials Unit (ICTU) makes efforts to retain a low attrition rate.

What has been measured?

A detailed schematic showing the information collected at each visit is shown in Box 1. At the baseline and year 2 visit, information is collected on demographics, socio-economic status, anthropometrics and lifestyle factors. A full clinical history is taken by trained clinical research staff, with a particular focus on any co-morbidities or clinical conditions that are present, any medications that have been received and any healthcare resources that have been used over the past year. Information on family history of clinical conditions is collected along with current and historic use of antiretroviral medication, for both the older and younger PLWH. Gender-specific information has been collected for pregnancies and screening for cervical and breast cancer for women and anal cancer screening for men. Cognitive function is assessed at baseline and year 2 using specific memory and cognitive testing assessing cortical and sub-cortical function and a detailed pain assessment reports regional and widespread pain using a validated mannequin. A full dual x-ray

absorptiometry (DXA) scan is performed at baseline and year 2. Local scanners are used for measuring bone mineral density (BMD) to evaluate bone health and determine the likelihood of osteoporosis or bone fractures. To supplement this, information is also captured on any falls that the participant has experienced over the previous year, and on factors that will permit an assessment of falls and frailty risk.

[Box 1 here]

Blood (serum, plasma, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)) are taken for storage and for pharmacokinetic analysis at baseline and year 2; it is planned that these will be used for subsequent projects of the potential pathogenic mechanisms underlying age-related diseases. Plasma of 4-5 mL blood in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or lithium heparin has been collected for pharmacokinetic analysis, including but not limited to antiretroviral drug exposure and drug-drug interaction (DDI) of concomitant medication. For each participant record of sample time and time of food intake nearest to the last dose prior to sampling and food content was recorded. PLWH also reported the time of last ART dose. Other samples collected include 3X6 mL of plasma in EDTA, 15 mL of serum and approximately 5 mL of urine.

Linkage to historical longitudinal HIV data

For participants at the UK sites, data linkage is performed with the UK CHIC Study to provide access to historical longitudinal data on ART, hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) status and laboratory data including CD4 counts and percentages, CD8 counts and percentages and assessments of HIV RNA (11). For participants at the Dublin site, historical longitudinal data were available through linkage with the Dublin ID cohort, which includes all patients diagnosed with HIV and seen for care at the Mater Misericordiae University Hospital in Dublin from 1993 to 1st December 2014.

What has been found? Key findings and publications

Given the broad-subject information collected through POPPY study, a long list of projects are involved, investigating the association of aging with several co-morbidities. Future ongoing and planned projects will involve studies of: sleeping patterns, muscle biopsy, pharmacokinetic and DDIs, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular disease, cognitive analysis, the menopause in women living with HIV, hepatic steatosis, respiratory events, bone health and fractures, mental health, and chronic pain.

A recent project within POPPY investigated the agreement of different approaches used to define cognitive impairment in HIV (13). The Frascati criteria, global deficit score (GDS) and multivariate normative comparison (MNC) were used to define cognitive impairment. We found that the different criteria of cognitive impairment show fair diagnostic agreement in PLWH and that those meeting all three criteria had the highest rates of symptomatology. In another POPPY publication, Underwood et al. (14) found weak associations between the same three criteria of cognitive impairment and patient-reported measures of physical/mental functioning in older PLWH.

What are the main strengths and weaknesses?

Currently, there is no large study on HIV and the effects of ageing in the UK and Ireland.

Internationally, several research groups have developed initiatives in this area, but most of these studies are either limited by size, or are still at the recruitment stage. POPPY is a large multicentre cohort and is representative of the HIV-positive population in the UK and Ireland that achieved a remarkable enrolment of almost 1400 participants. The inclusion of an older HIV-positive group, a younger HIV-positive group and an appropriately-selected older control group in the study allows for direct comparisons of the clinical outcomes between the older HIV-positive and HIV-negative groups and the inclusion of the younger HIV-positive group elucidates the effect of age within the HIV participants.

The data linkage of POPPY with a well-established Irish HIV centre and centres that are already participating in the UK CHIC cohort, enabled the enhancement of the quality of the data and allowed access to HIV-specific historical data of the POPPY participants, minimising the resources that are required to collect historic longitudinal HIV data. The cohort will be of help in determining a wide range of data over time, related to clinical outcomes and HIV and ageing that will facilitate research that involves long-term follow-up. POPPY study is an important cohort for those investigating the mechanism of HIV and ageing, clinical conditions and impact of antiretroviral treatment in Ireland and UK.

A limitation of the POPPY study is the lack of a young HIV-negative group that would facilitate a direct comparison with the younger group of PLWH, and an over-representation of white women among the HIV-negative control group. Due to time and budget constraints this was not feasible. Future studies should consider incorporating such a group in their design in order to understand patterns and behaviours as well as similarities and differences with younger PLWH.

Can I get hold of the data? Where can I find out more?

Further information for the cohort collaboration policy can be obtained from Professor Caroline Sabin [c.sabin@ucl.ac.uk] and Professor Alan Winston [a.winston@imperial.ac.uk].

Acknowledgements

We thank all participants in the study.

POPPY Management Team: Daphne Babalis, Marta Boffito, Laura Burgess, Paddy Mallon, Frank Post, Caroline Sabin, Memory Sachikonye, Alan Winston.

POPPY Scientific Steering Committee: Jane Anderson, David Asboe, Marta Boffito, Lucy Garvey, Paddy Mallon, Frank Post, Anton Pozniak, Caroline Sabin, Memory Sachikonye, Jaime Vera, Ian Williams, Alan Winston.

POPPY sites: *Elton John Centre, Brighton and Sussex University Hospital* (Amanda Clarke, Jaime Vera, Andrew Bexley, Celia Richardson, Sarah Kirk, Rebecca Gleig); *St Stephen's Centre, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital* (Marta Boffito, David Asboe, Anton Pozniak, Margherita Bracchi, Nicole Pagani, Maddalena Cerrone, Daniel Bradshaw, Francesca Ferretti, Chris Higgs, Elisha Seah, Stephen Fletcher, Michelle Anthonipillai, Ashley Moyes, Katie Deats, Irtiza Syed, Clive Matthews, Peter Fernando, Chido Chiwome, Shane Hardwick); *Homerton Sexual Health Services, Homerton University Hospital* (Jane Anderson, Sifiso Mguni, Rebecca Clark, Rhiannon Nevin-Dolan, Sambasivarao Pelluri); *Caldecot Centre, King's College Hospital* (Frank Post, Lucy Campbell, Selin Yurdakul, Sara Okumu, Louise Pollard, Beatriz Santana-Suarez); *HIV Molecular Research Group, School of Medicine, University College Dublin* (Paddy Mallon, Alan Macken, Bijan Ghavani-Kia, Joanne Maher, Maria Byrne, Ailbhe Flaherty, Sumesh Babu); *Research Department of Infection and Population Health, University College London* (Ian Williams, Damilola Otiko, Laura Phillips, Rosanna Laverick, Michelle Beynon, Anna-Lena Salz, Abigail Severn); *St Mary's Hospital London, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust* (Alan Winston, Lucy Garvey, Jonathan Underwood, Lavender Tembo, Matthew Stott, Linda McDonald, Felix Dransfield); *Imperial Clinical Trials Unit, Imperial College London* (Andrew Whitehouse, Laura Burgess, Daphne Babalis); *Ian Charleson Day Centre, Royal Free Hospital*

(Margaret Johnson, Nnenna Ngwu, Nargis Hemat, Martin Jones, Anne Carroll, Sabine Kinloch, Mike Youle, Sara Madge);

POPPY methodology, statistics and analysis group: Caroline Sabin, Davide De Francesco, Emmanouil Bagkeris.

Funding: This work is supported by investigator initiated grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Sharp and Dohme, and ViiV Healthcare.

Other acknowledgements

We acknowledge the use of the NIHR/Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility at King's College Hospital.

The research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London and by a NIHR Senior Investigator Award to Professor C Sabin. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the department of Health.

All the POPPY clinical sites in the UK are grateful for NIHR Clinical Research Network (CRN) support.

Conflict of interest

PWM has received funding for Advisory Boards, speaker panels, preparation of educational materials and/or research grants to his institution from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, BMS, MSD, Abbvie and Janssen-Cilag. FP has received research grants from Gilead Sciences and ViiV Healthcare, and funding from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, MSD and Janssen for membership of Advisory Boards, Speaker Panels and/or for the preparation of educational materials. MB has received speaking fees from Gilead, MSD/Merck, Janssen, advisory fees from ViiV, Gilead, MSD/Merck, honoraria from Gilead for speakers' bureau, a travel grant from Gilead and has been the principal investigator in clinical trials sponsored by Gilead, ViiV, Mylan, Janssen, Bristol-Meyers Squibb.

JA receives grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Gilead Sciences; MSD, Janssen, and BMS. Non-financial support from ViiV. LG has received funding from Gilead Sciences to attend scientific conferences. AW has received honoraria or research grants from ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, BMS, Merck and Co. and Janssen. CAS has received funding from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare and Janssen-Cilag for the membership of Data Safety and Monitoring Boards, Advisory Boards, Speaker Panels and for the preparation of educational materials. EB, LB, MS, DA, JV, IW, MJ, DB and DDF have nothing to declare.

Key messages:

- POPPY is the first large-scale study of people living with HIV (PLWH) over the age of 50 in England and Ireland.
- This cohort represents a unique opportunity to investigate whether HIV is a shortcut to ageing.
- An appropriately-selected control group enables a direct comparisons of the clinical outcomes between the older HIV-positive and HIV-negative group.
- More than 95% of the 1377 participants completed a follow up visit.

Profile in a nutshell

The POPPY Study is the first large-scale study to assess clinical outcomes of people living with HIV (PLWH) over the age of 50 in England and Ireland.

PLWH aged ≥ 50 years (n=699), PLWH aged < 50 years (n=374) and HIV-negative people aged ≥ 50 years (n=304), frequency-matched for demographics and lifestyle, were recruited from eight sites in the UK and Ireland. Study visits started in April 2013. The cohort is largely male (80.5%), men who have sex with men (MSM) (70.0%) and of white ethnicity (85.3%). The median age was 56 (first quartile - third quartile: 53-62), 43 (37-48) and 58 (54-63) in the three groups, respectively.

Participants are seen annually; 586 of the cohort have attended all three currently funded visits, 11 participants have died and 32 have been lost to follow-up.

Information is collected on demographics, socio-economic status, anthropometrics and lifestyle factors. A clinical history is taken, and information is collected on medications and healthcare resources that have been used over the past year. Cognitive function, bone health and pain are assessed, and blood samples are stored. Data linkage is performed with two HIV cohort studies to provide access to historical longitudinal HIV data.

Further information can be obtained from Prof Caroline Sabin [c.sabin@ucl.ac.uk] and Prof Alan Winston [a.winston@imperial.ac.uk].

References

1. Deeks SG, Lewin SR, Havlir DV. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic disease. *The Lancet*. 2013;382:1525-1533.
2. Samji H, Cescon A, Hogg RS, et al. Closing the gap: increases in life expectancy among treated HIV-positive individuals in the United States and Canada. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e81355.
3. Brothers TD, Rockwood K. Biologic aging, frailty, and age-related disease in chronic HIV infection. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2014;9:412-418.
4. Serrano-Villar S, Gutierrez F, Miralles C, et al. Human Immunodeficiency Virus as a Chronic Disease: Evaluation and Management of Nonacquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome-Defining Conditions. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2016;3:ofw097.
5. Solomon P, Letts L, O'Brien KK, Nixon S, Baxter L, Gervais N. 'I'm still here, I'm still alive': Understanding successful aging in the context of HIV. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2017;0956462417721439.
6. Boccara F. Cardiovascular health in an aging HIV population. *AIDS*. 2017;31 Suppl 2:S157-S163.
7. Dalla Pria A, Merchant S, Bower M. Oncological challenges for an ageing population living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2017;31 Suppl 2:S185-S189.
8. Althoff KN, McGinnis KA, Wyatt CM, et al. Comparison of risk and age at diagnosis of myocardial infarction, end-stage renal disease, and non-AIDS-defining cancer in HIV-infected versus uninfected adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60:627-638.
9. Smit M, Brinkman K, Geerlings S, et al. Future challenges for clinical care of an ageing population infected with HIV: a modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 15:810-818.
10. Gonciulea A, Wang R, Althoff KN, et al. An increased rate of fracture occurs a decade earlier in HIV+ compared with HIV- men. *AIDS*. 2017;31:1435-1443.
11. U. K. Collaborative HIV Cohort Steering Committee. The creation of a large UK-based multicentre cohort of HIV-infected individuals: The UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) Study. *HIV Med*. 2004;5:115-124.

12. McGettrick P, Ghavami-Kia B, Tinago W, et al. The HIV Care Cascade and sub-analysis of those linked to but not retained in care: the experience from a tertiary HIV referral service in Dublin Ireland. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2017;1-7.
13. De Francesco D, Underwood J, Post FA, et al. Defining cognitive impairment in people-living-with-HIV: the POPPY study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2016;16:617.
14. Underwood J, De Francesco D, Post FA, et al. Associations between cognitive impairment and patient-reported measures of physical/mental functioning in older people living with HIV. *HIV Med*. 2016;18:363-369.