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Abstract

Background
Lymphatic filariasis (LF) and podoconiosis are neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that pose a significant physical, social and economic burden to endemic communities. Patients affected by the clinical conditions of LF (lymphoedema and hydrocoele) and podoconiosis (lymphoedema) need access to morbidity management and disability prevention (MMDP) services. Clear estimates of the number and location of these patients are essential to the efficient and equitable implementation of MMDP services for both diseases.

Methodology/Principle findings
A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Ethiopia using the Health Extension Worker (HEW) network to identify all cases of lymphoedema and hydrocoele in 20 woredas (districts) co-endemic for LF and podoconiosis. A total of 612 trained HEWs and 40 supervisors from 20 districts identified 26,123 cases of clinical morbidity. Of these, 24,908 (95.3%) reported cases had leg lymphoedema only, 751 (2.9%) had hydrocoele, 387 (1.5%) had both leg lymphoedema and hydrocoele, and 77 (0.3%) cases had breast lymphoedema. Of those reporting leg lymphoedema, 89.3% reported bilateral lymphoedema. Older age groups were more likely to have a severe stage of disease, have bilateral lymphoedema and to have experienced an acute attack in the last six months.

Conclusions/Significance
This study represents the first community-wide, integrated clinical case mapping of both LF and podoconiosis in Ethiopia. It highlights the high number of cases, particularly of leg
lymphoedema that could be attributed to either of these diseases. This key clinical informa-
tion will assist and guide the allocation of resources to where they are needed most.

Author summary

Patients affected by the clinical conditions of lymphatic filariasis (lymphoedema and hydrocoele) and podoconiosis (lymphoedema) require access to a minimum package of care to prevent progression of the disease, and to improve their quality of life. Clear estimates of the number and location of these patients is essential for the delivery of this care. To address this, a community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Ethiopia using the Health Extension Worker (HEW) network to identify all cases of lymphoedema and hydrocoele in 20 co-endemic woredas (districts). A total of 26,123 cases of clinical morbidity were identified. Of these, 24,908 (95.3%) had leg lymphoedema, of which 89.3% were bilateral. The results of this study will help assist the Neglected Tropical Disease (NTD) programme at the Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) in Ethiopia to effectively and equitably plan the delivery of a basic package of care to those suffering from the clinical manifestations of both diseases.

Introduction

Lymphatic filariasis (LF) and podoconiosis are neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that affect the world’s poorest people and pose a significant economic burden to developing countries [1]. Responsible for 90% of cases worldwide, *Wuchereria bancrofti* is the causative agent of LF in Africa, and is transmitted through the bite of an infected mosquito. Infection with *W. bancrofti* can be either asymptomatic, or present as both acute and chronic clinical conditions. However, the main clinical conditions of LF disease that are recognised as significant public health priorities include acute dermatolymphangioadenitis (commonly known as acute attacks), lymphoedema and hydrocoele [2]. More than 36 million people worldwide are thought to be disfigured and incapacitated by this disease, leaving them vulnerable to an extremely complex range of physical, social and economic hardships [3, 4].

Podoconiosis, unlike LF, is not due to an infectious agent but rather is the result of specific inflammatory reactions to irritant mineral particles acquired from red clay soils derived from volcanic deposits [5–7]. Specifically, greater quantities of smectite, mica and quartz within the soil have been shown to have positive associations with podoconiosis prevalence [8]. It is found in highland tropical areas of Africa, Central America and north-west India where there is commonly a high seasonal rainfall [5, 6]. It is often termed "non-filarial" lymphoedema, and can be generally clinically distinguished from LF lymphoedema by its ascending progression of disease (rather than descending), and by being most commonly bilateral, as compared with LF which is most commonly descending and unilateral [7, 9]. As with LF, stigmatisation is a common and serious problem for those affected by podoconiosis, with patients experiencing suicidal thoughts, dissolution of marriage plans, often receiving insults and being excluded at social events [10]. Further, the economic consequences of the limb condition are profound, with productivity losses per patient amounting to 45% of working days per year, causing a monetary loss equivalent to US$ 63 per annum [11].

The World Health Assembly (WHA) adopted Resolution 50.29 in 1997, encouraging Mem-
ber States to eliminate LF as a public health problem [12]. Soon after, the World Health
Organisation (WHO) launched the Global Programme to Eliminate LF (GPELF) with a target date for achieving elimination by 2020. The two component strategies of GPELF are to a) interrupt transmission through mass drug administration (MDA), and b) to manage morbidity and prevent disability (MMDP) among those affected by the clinical manifestations of the disease. Although no global target has yet been set for the elimination of podoconiosis [7], strategies aimed at its control can be divided into primary, secondary and tertiary interventions. Primary intervention includes the use of footwear, regular foot hygiene and the application of floor coverings to reduce the contact between feet and the irritant soil. Secondary and tertiary interventions focus on the management of the lymphoedema-related morbidity and include wound care, exercise, elevation of the legs, treatment of acute attacks and providing psychosocial and socio-economic support to those affected [7].

As the minimum package of care for managing the lymphoedema seen in LF and podoconiosis patients to manage morbidity is very similar, it is practical that MMDP should be integrated to help improve cost-effectiveness and extend the reach of the programme [2]. This is particularly important in Ethiopia where there is a high burden of both diseases, with 29 of the 70 LF-endemic districts considered to be co-endemic [13, 14]. However, before MMDP activities for both diseases can be implemented, better patient estimates and an understanding of the co-distributions of both diseases at district level are vital. To assist the NTD programme at the Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) to effectively and equitably plan the delivery of a basic package of care to those suffering from clinical manifestations of both diseases, we have examined the clinical burden of lymphoedema and hydrocoele in 20 co-endemic woredas (districts) of Ethiopia.

Methods

Ethics statement

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK (Research Protocol 12.22), and the Amhara and SNNP Regional Health Bureaus in Ethiopia. Informed consent was obtained from all household heads and patients involved in the study. All adult participants provided informed consent, no children participated in the study. Participants who could read and write provided written consent. Those who could not read and write, had the consent information read to them and oral consent was recorded. The methods of collecting oral and written consent was approved by the Regional Health Bureau ethical committees.

Study site characteristics

The study was conducted in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples’ region (SNNPR) and Amhara region of Ethiopia (Fig 1) between May and August 2015. In total 20 districts were selected; 14 districts from eight zones in the SNNPR, and six districts from three zones in Amhara region, which had a total population of 3,075,318 (50.2% male) [15, 16].

At the time of the study, the 20 districts were considered to be co-endemic for LF and podoconiosis, with LF prevalence based on mapping conducted using immunochromatographic card tests (ICT; Alere, Scarborough, ME, USA) to detect the presence of circulating W. bancrofti antigen as per the WHO protocol [17–19]. However, due to borderline endemicity in districts, which had exactly the 1% prevalence threshold for endemicity, a subsequent ‘re-mapping’ validation was undertaken in nine of these 20 districts before the scale-up of MDA [14]. These nine districts were: Bensa, Saula Town (Sawla), Kebena, South Ari (Debub Ari), Guanga (Guangua), Ebinat, Fogera, Simada (Semada) and E/Enawga (Inarj-Inawuga). This ‘re-mapping’ resulted in seven of these districts being reclassified as non-endemic, while two (South
Ari and Simada), have remained endemic. The name and distribution of the non-endemic and endemic districts are shown in S1 Fig [14]. With regards to the clinical burden of disease, prior to the present study, no comprehensive information was available on the number of clinical cases in these districts, and no available service provision for MMDP of lymphoedema and hydrocoele. Before 2015, LF MDA had been initiated in only two of the 20 districts, Bero district and Guraferda district of the Bench Maji zone. This MDA was integrated with the onchocerciasis control programme.

Study design and tools

The community-based cross-sectional study was conducted using the Health Extension Worker (HEW) network to identify cases. HEWs are predominately female, and are recruited from the communities they serve to expand access to basic health promotion, disease prevention and selected curative health services [20]. The HEWs searched for patients affected by LF and podoconiosis in their community catchment areas, with two HEWs covering a population of approximately 5,000 people [20]. Generally, two HEWs are assigned to cover one kebele. The HEWs used a patient information collection form (translated into Amharic) to record personal details including general demographic information (sex, age, occupation, rural/urban residence, education level), and the condition of the patient (leg lymphoedema only, breast lymphoedema, hydrocoele or ‘both’ leg lymphoedema and hydrocoele), and whether leg lymphoedema was unilateral or bilateral. Patients were also asked whether they had suffered an acute attack in the past six months because of their swelling or lymphoedema.
The severity of leg lymphoedema was staged as mild, moderate or severe using WHO recommended guidelines [21]. Patients with mild lymphoedema were categorised as those with a slight, soft swelling; whilst moderate lymphoedema was an enlarged swelling with shallow folds; and severe lymphoedema being greatly enlarged, with deep folds, and skin changes including mossy lesions and nodules. HEWs were given an image of the three stages of lymphoedema to assist them in recording the staging. Due to the sensitivity of the condition, HEWs were not asked to stage or confirm the presence of the hydrocoele, but just asked to record the men reporting scrotal swelling. HEWs were not asked to differentiate between the causes of lymphoedema (podoconiosis or lymphatic filariasis) as currently podoconiosis is a diagnosis of clinical exclusion based on history, physical examination and certain disease-specific tests to exclude common differential diagnoses [18]. The use of such a complex clinical algorithm, previously used in epidemiological mapping of LF and podoconiosis in Ethiopia [18] was considered inappropriate for community-based health worker patient searching.

Training
In each district, all HEWs and two supervisors attended a one-day training session. The training focused on: a) how to identify lymphoedema and hydrocoele; b) how to classify lymphoedema into the three stages; and c) how to record the patient information on the collection form. In addition, all attendees were trained on morbidity management (basic care package) for LF and podoconiosis to enable HEWs to give advice at the time of identification regarding basic lymphoedema management, or to refer any suspected hydrocoele cases to their nearest health facility for validation and further referral for surgery.

Data collection and analysis
Following the training, each HEW returned to her respective catchment area to identify all cases of lymphoedema and hydrocoele through house-to-house patient searching; with the two supervisors overseeing the data collection and acting as a point of contact if any problems were encountered. The two HEWs assigned to each kebele as per the standard government health system, were assigned to identify patients in the households they usually serve. For each kebele, the HEWs used the list of households available in each kebele to prevent overlap between the HEWs and to ensure all households were covered. During the data collection, each HEW wrote the name of each household head on the data collection form, and this was cross-checked against the list of households by the trained supervisors. To ensure no households were missed, HEWs sought support from the Health Development Army (volunteer community health promoters) and the kebele administrative team to inform each specific household of the date and time the HEW would visit the household for the patient searching. The supervisors also visited a selection of the households to ensure they had been visited by the HEWs.

The assessment was completed within ten days in most of the districts, with the data collection forms collated soon afterwards. All data was entered into Microsoft Excel Version 12.3.6 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, VA, USA) and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 32 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Prevalence estimates (per total 10,000 population) were calculated using the 2015 population estimates as the denominator, which were calculated from the 2007 census [15] using the annual growth rates [16].

Statistical analysis between regions and variables including condition, severity of lymphoedema, acute attacks, sex and age were examined using Pearson’s chi square with p value <0.05, and/or odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) to determine levels of significance. Maps highlighting the study regions, and the number and prevalence of cases with
lymphoedema and hydrocoele per 10,000 of the total population were produced using geographical information system (GIS) software ArcGIS 10 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA). Further overall, lymphoedema and hydrocoele prevalence rates were compared between the newly classified non-endemic districts and the endemic districts. In addition, to account for the different geographical sizes of the districts and to better understand the density of conditions, the number of cases per square kilometre (km²) was calculated and presented in a supplementary file (S1 Table).

Results
Summary of reported cases

A total of 612 HEWs and 40 supervisors from 20 districts were trained to collect and report case data. Table 1 presents the number of cases reported per clinical condition for each region, zone and district. A total of 26,123 cases (48% male; mean age 43.6 years) were reported. For the SNNPR, the total was 17,285 cases (44.6% male; mean age 51.2 years) and for Amhara region was 8,838 cases (54.8% male; mean age 43.8 years). Overall, the majority of cases were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Leg lymphoedema only</th>
<th>Hydrocoele only</th>
<th>Both conditions**</th>
<th>Breast lymphoedema only</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNNPR</td>
<td>Siliti 1</td>
<td>Lanfuro</td>
<td>142,581</td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sankura</td>
<td>104,051</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidama</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hawela Tula</td>
<td>152,844</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bensa</td>
<td>307,878</td>
<td>1,882</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gedeo</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yirga Chefe</td>
<td>239,763</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wollaita</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sodo zuria</td>
<td>199,775</td>
<td>2,564</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GamoGofa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Boreda</td>
<td>83,451</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gezegafo</td>
<td>84,298</td>
<td>1,343</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Oyida</td>
<td>40,903</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Saula Town</td>
<td>27,879</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurage</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kebena</td>
<td>64,318</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>138.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bench Maji</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bero</td>
<td>15,050</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Guruferda</td>
<td>43,311</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>153.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Omo</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>South Ari</td>
<td>258,552</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPP regional total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,764,654</td>
<td>16,690</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara</td>
<td>Awi</td>
<td>Zigel</td>
<td>82,174</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Guanga</td>
<td>191,738</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gondor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Elbinat</td>
<td>270,365</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Fogerita</td>
<td>280,522</td>
<td>1,932</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Simada</td>
<td>280,304</td>
<td>1,033</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Gojam</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>E/Enawga</td>
<td>205,560</td>
<td>1,483</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara regional total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,310,663</td>
<td>8,218</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,075,318</td>
<td>24,908</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Hydrocoele prevalence based on total population
** Both refers to patients identified as having both hydrocoele and lymphoedema conditions
† Remapping indicated that these districts were non-endemic [14]

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006491.t001
either subsistence farmers (n = 17,243; 66%) or housewives (n = 5,557; 21.3%), had permanently lived in the rural setting (n = 24,198; 92.6%), had not attended school and were illiterate (n = 21,631; 82.8%).

In terms of clinical conditions, the total number of cases reported comprised of 24,908 (95.3%) leg lymphoedema, 751 (2.9%) hydrocoele, 387 (1.5%) both leg lymphoedema and hydrocoele, and 77 (0.3%) breast lymphoedema. No individual patient was reported as having both a breast lymphoedema and a leg lymphoedema, and from this point onwards, the term ‘both conditions’ refers to a patient having both a leg lymphoedema and a hydrocoele. The maps shown in Fig 1A–1H highlight the case and prevalence distributions across the study area. The districts with the highest number of cases were Sodo Zuria district, Wollaita zone (n = 2,581), and South Ari district, South Omo zone (n = 2,461) of the SNNPR (Table 1). The districts with the lowest number of cases were Bero district, Bench Maji zone (n = 105), and Saula Town, GamoGofa zone (n = 248) of the SNNPR. The distribution of all cases by district is shown in Fig 1A.

Overall, the morbidity prevalence rate was 84.9 per 10,000 total population (Table 1). The SNNPR rate of 98.0 per 10,000 was significantly higher than the Amhara region rate of 67.4 per 10,000 (OR 1.46; 95% CIs 1.42–1.50; P < 0.0001). The districts with the highest prevalence rates were Gezegofa district (164.7 per 10,000), and Oyida district (158.7 per 10,000) in the GamoGofa zone of the SNNPR, while the district with the lowest was Hawela Tula district, Sidama zone (26.8 per 10,000) of the SNNPR. The distribution of all cases is shown in Fig 1B. When comparing by endemicity status, the overall prevalence rate in the non-endemic districts of 70.6 per 10,000 was significantly lower than the endemic districts (96.1 per 10,000) (OR 0.73; CIs 0.71–0.75; P < 0.0001).

The density of cases overall was 17.53 cases per km² (see S1 Table). The SNNPR (23.60 cases per km²) had a higher density of cases than the Amhara region (11.66 cases per km²). The highest district level density of cases were in the urban areas, Saula Town, GamoGofa zone (634.85 per km²) of the SNNPR, and Zigem, Awi zone (467.11 per km²) of the Amhara region. The lowest density of cases were in Bero district (2.18 cases per km²), and Guraferda district (3.35 cases per km²) of the Bench Maji zone of the SNNPR.

**Summary.** Overall, leg lymphoedema (only) accounted for most of the cases reported and was found in similar patterns to the total number of cases and prevalence rates described above and shown in Table 1. The districts with the highest number of cases were Sodo Zuria district, Wollaita zone (n = 2,564), and the lowest was Bero district, Bench Maji zone (n = 105) of the SNNPR. Similarly, the overall lymphoedema prevalence rate was 81.0 per 10,000 total population. The SNNPR rate of 94.6 per 10,000 was significantly higher than the Amhara region rate of 62.7 per 10,000 (OR 1.51; 95% CIs 1.47–1.55; P < 0.0001). The district with the highest prevalence rate was Gezegofa district, GamoGofa zone (159.3 per 10,000), and the lowest was Hawela Tula district, Sidama zone (26.5 per 10,000) of the SNNPR. When comparing by endemicity status, the lymphoedema prevalence rate in the non-endemic districts (66.8 per 10,000) was significantly lower than the endemic districts (92.1 per 10,000) (OR 0.73; CIs 0.71–0.74; P < 0.0001). In addition, similar trends in the density of lymphoedema cases was found as the overall total as shown in S1 Table.

Overall, more females (54.2%; n = 13,495) reported leg lymphoedema (only) than males. The majority of cases were aged above 30 years of age, with similar patterns for both males and females. The distribution of leg lymphoedema by age and sex is shown in Fig 2A. A small number of male cases reported having both a leg lymphoedema and a hydrocoele (n = 387) in 18 of
the 20 districts. A smaller number of females reported having breast lymphoedema (n = 77) in 7 of the 20 districts, with most recorded in South Ari district, South Omo zone (n = 42) and Boreda district, GamaGofa zone (n = 25) of the SNNPR (Table 1). The distribution of breast lymphoedema and hydrocoele cases by age and sex is shown in Fig 2B.

**Number of limbs affected by leg lymphoedema.** In total, 25,295 cases reported leg lymphoedema, including those with leg lymphoedema (only), and those with both leg lymphoedema and hydrocoele. Of these, 89.3% (n = 22,597) reported they had bilateral leg lymphoedema with similar percentages found in both the SNNPR (89.9%) and Amhara region (88.1%). More variation at district level was reported with the percentage of bilateral leg lymphoedema cases ranging from 80.2% to 96.1%. The number and distribution of unilateral and bilateral cases by district are summarised in Table 2 and shown in Fig 1A, 1C and 1E. The overall ratio of unilateral to bilateral leg lymphoedema cases was 1:8, which was similar between the SNNPR (1:9) and Amhara region (1:7). Similarly, there was more variation at district level with ratios ranging from 1:4 to 1:24, with the highest reported in Hawela Tula district, Sidama zone (1:24) and Yirga Chefe district, Gedeo zone (1:21) and the lowest in Bensa district, Sidama zone of the SNNPR (1:4).

Similarly, the overall prevalence of unilateral (8.8 per 10,000) and bilateral (73.5 per 10,000) lymphoedema cases differed, with similar large differences between unilateral and bilateral leg lymphoedema within each region. When comparing regions, a significantly higher prevalence of unilateral lymphoedema was reported in the SNNPR (9.6 per 10,000) compared with Amhara region (7.6 per 10,000) (OR 1.27; CIs 1.17–1.37; P <0.0001). Similarly, a significantly higher prevalence of bilateral lymphoedema was reported in the SNNPR (86.3 per 10,000) compared with Amhara region (56.2 per 10,000) (OR 1.54; CIs 1.50–1.58; P <0.0001). The overall prevalence and distribution of all, unilateral and bilateral cases are summarised in Table 2 and shown in Fig 1B, 1D and 1F.

**Severity of leg lymphoedema.** The number of cases (n = 25,295) reporting mild, moderate or severe leg lymphoedema (including those with leg lymphoedema only and both leg lymphoedema and hydrocoele) varied significantly (chi square <0.05). Over half of the cases were recorded as mild (57.8%; n = 14,492), approximately one third as moderate (33.8%; n = 8,538) and 9% as severe (n = 2,265). The proportion of male and female cases for each stage was similar, with just over half of mild, moderate and severe cases being female; 54.1%, 52.6% and 51.6%, respectively.
In terms of age, significant differences were found in the mean age and the different stages of leg lymphoedema, with an increasing trend in the severity of the condition by age group. The mean age of cases reporting mild, moderate and severe leg lymphoedema was 45.1 years (95% CI 44.8–45.3), 47.6 years (95% CI 47.3–47.9) and 49.0 years (95% CI 48.3–49.6) respectively.

### Hydrocoele cases

In all 20 districts, the total number and prevalence of men reporting hydrocoele was low with only 751 cases reported overall and a prevalence of 2.4 per 10,000 population (Table 1). The SNNPR rates of 1.6 per 10,000 was significantly lower than the Amhara region rates of 3.6 per 10,000 (OR 0.45; 95%CIs 0.39–0.52; p < 0.0001). The two districts with the highest number of cases and prevalence rates were Simada district (n = 157; 5.6 per 10,000), and Ebinat district (n = 112; 4.1 per 10,000), South Gondor zone in Amhara region. Of note, Ebinat district was recently re-classified as ‘non-endemic’ for LF [14]. Bero district, Bench Maji zone in the SNNPR reported no cases. All reported cases of hydrocoele were male with the majority aged 41 to 60 years (41.9%; n = 315) The number of cases reported increased with age as highlighted in Fig 2B. When comparing by endemicity status, the hydrocoele prevalence rate in the non-
endemic districts of 2.7 per 10,000 was significantly higher than the endemic districts of 2.3 per 10,000 (OR 1.2; CIs 1.0–1.4; P = 0.029).

The density of hydrocoele cases overall was very low at 0.5 cases per km$^2$ (see S1 Table). The SNNPR (0.39 cases per km$^2$) had a lower density of cases than the Amhara region (0.62 cases per km$^2$). The highest district level density of cases were in the urban areas, Saula Town, GamoGofa zone (2.56 cases per km$^2$) of the SNNPR, and Zigem, Awi zone (12.58 cases per km$^2$) of the Amhara region. The lowest density of cases was in Guraferda district (0.06 cases per km$^2$), Bench Maji zone of the SNNPR.

**Acute attacks**

Of all the 26,123 cases identified, a total of 1,931 cases did not record any reliable information on acute attacks in the last six months, and therefore were excluded from this analysis (Table 3). Of the 24,192 cases with information recorded, approximately two-thirds (64.1%; n = 15,517/24,192) reported that they had at least one acute attack in the last six months due to their swelling/lymphoedema. Similar proportions were recorded for those with leg lymphoedema (64.5%; n = 14,935/23,165), and/or both conditions (65.3%; n = 211/323). Men with hydrocoele (54.5%; n = 343/629) and women with breast lymphoedema (37.3%; n = 28/75) reported fewer acute attacks.

Overall, a higher percentage of females reported they had an acute attack in the last six months (65.7%; n = 8,305/12,642) than males (62.4%; n = 7,212/11,550), this was a significant difference (OR 1.13; 95%CIs 1.07–1.19) (Table 3). Overall, the percentage of acute attacks increased with age, which was similar for both males and females (Fig 3A). The lowest percentage of acute attacks reported were in the <20 year age group (56.4%) and the highest in the older >60 year age group (69.9%). A significantly higher percentage of females reported acute attacks than males across all age groups; an exception to this was the >60 year age group as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3. Reported acute attacks for all conditions by different age groups and by sex.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Overall Total cases</th>
<th>No. Positive (%)</th>
<th>Sex Subtotal (n)</th>
<th>Total positive</th>
<th>Positive %</th>
<th>Age–sex OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>549 (56.4%)</td>
<td>M 368</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>1.49 (1.15–1.93)</td>
<td>0.0029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 606</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>1,155 (58.7%)</td>
<td>M 756</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>1.27 (1.06–1.53)</td>
<td>0.0098*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 1,213</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>4,803</td>
<td>2,911 (60.6%)</td>
<td>M 2,068</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>1.21 (1.07–1.36)</td>
<td>0.0012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 2,735</td>
<td>1,712</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>5,865</td>
<td>3,723 (63.5%)</td>
<td>M 2,732</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>1.21 (1.09–1.35)</td>
<td>0.0003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 3,133</td>
<td>2,055</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>4,921</td>
<td>3,220 (65.4%)</td>
<td>M 2,318</td>
<td>1,459</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>1.23 (1.09–1.39)</td>
<td>0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 2,603</td>
<td>1,761</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>5,660</td>
<td>3,959 (69.9%)</td>
<td>M 3,308</td>
<td>2,285</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>1.11 (0.98–1.24)</td>
<td>0.0898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F 2,352</td>
<td>1,674</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,192</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,517 (64.1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>M 11,550</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,212</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.4%</strong></td>
<td>**1.13 (1.07–1.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>F 12,642</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,305</strong></td>
<td><strong>65.7%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 1,931 participants did not record information on acute attacks and therefore were excluded from the analysis. In the table, positive refers to patients who reported experiencing at least one acute attack in the last six months.

* Statistically significant at <0.05

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006491.t003
In terms of severity of leg lymphoedema, a total of 23,488 cases had information recorded (Table 4). Overall, the percentage of acute attacks reported increased with the severity of the condition; mild (62.8%; n = 8,529), moderate (65.2%; n = 5,111) and severe (72.5%; n = 1,506) as shown in Fig 3B. A significantly higher percentage of females reported acute attacks with mild (OR 1.17; 95%CIs 1.10–1.26) and moderate (OR 1.12; 95%CIs 1.02–1.23) conditions than males, however no difference by sex was found with severe conditions.

**Discussion**

This study represents the first community-wide integrated clinical case survey of both LF and podoconiosis in Ethiopia. In the 2007 epidemiological mapping of LF in 112 districts of Western Ethiopia, only advanced cases of LF-related lymphoedema and hydrocoele were reported from selected villages within the surveyed districts [17]. Later, during the integrated
endemicity mapping in 658 districts in 2013, clinical cases of LF and podoconiosis had only been reported from two kebeles per district using a two-stage cluster purposive sampling strategy [18, 19]. Other studies assessing the clinical burden of either LF or podoconiosis individually have generally only been conducted at a smaller geographical scale, and only in districts known to be endemic for the specific disease [22–27].

The present survey results highlight a greater burden of lymphoedema cases compared to that of hydrocele, with over 33 times as many leg lymphoedema cases reported than hydrocele. These results differ to other studies on clinical case estimates in African LF-endemic countries including Malawi and Tanzania where the number of hydrocele cases identified was almost double that of lymphoedema cases identified [28, 29]. This is likely to be related to the presence of podoconiosis in Ethiopia. Here we highlight that the vast majority of lymphoedema cases were bilateral; a manifestation more typically seen with non-filarial lymphoedema [9]. Although these results suggest that a clear majority of lymphoedema cases identified are likely to be caused by podoconiosis rather than filariasis, this present study did not distinguish between the causes of lymphoedema. These results are supported by previous findings by Deribe et al [30], which highlights the huge burden of podoconiosis in the SNNP and Amhara regions of Ethiopia, particularly in the central highland area where distinct environmental and climatic factors are suitable for podoconiosis occurrence. LF tests including circulating filarial antigen testing, filarial antibody examination and parasitological examination have been used to exclude an LF diagnosis in other studies in LF and podoconiosis co-endemic areas [31], but for the purposes of understanding the burden of lymphoedema to establish MMDP interventions in this study, a detailed understanding of aetiology was not required as the same MMDP interventions are required for both filarial and non-filarial lymphoedema.

Regardless of aetiology, the high number of leg lymphoedema cases highlights the pressing need to deliver a basic package of care to those suffering from these disabling conditions, especially in areas with a high prevalence and/or high density of conditions where patients may be more readily found and the distribution of care easier. The delivery of a low-cost lymphoedema management programme based on limb washing and topical medication for infection has been shown to reduce the number of debilitating acute attacks and to increase the economic productivity of patients [32]. An integrated MMDP programme will help the majority of lymphoedema cases in these districts as most were identified as having a mild severity and are likely to respond well to such treatment [33]. Such an MMDP programme should be fully integrated into the existing health system structure to ensure sustainability and to help achieve universal health coverage. It should also promote early detection of mild lymphoedema cases, which may be underreported by HEWs, to prevent progression to a more severe stage of lymphoedema.

A verification exercise to confirm reported cases of lymphoedema and hydrocele by a clinician has been used in other studies to validate the reporting method used for patient searching [28, 29] but due to financial and time constraints, was not included in this study. Such verification exercises have evidenced that community health workers are exceptionally well-placed to participate in quantifying LF morbidity burden, and other NTDs with observable symptoms [29], such as podoconiosis. As other countries begin to develop their own methods for obtaining patient estimates to achieve the second component of the GPELF strategy, this study has highlighted that integrated patient searching using community health workers is an effective strategy. By including two diseases, this method is cost-effective and empowers local health workers to contribute to local, national and global elimination of disease.

In this study, those with more severe disease were shown to be more likely to have experienced an acute attack in the past six months. This is not a surprising result as the presence of moisture, which commonly accumulates in swollen folds of skin, or the web spaces of toes
during lymphoedema promotes fungal infections, leading to an acute attack [34–36]. Also, older age groups were shown to have a more severe stage of disease, were more likely to have bilateral lymphoedema, and were more likely to have experienced an acute attack in the past six months. As lymphoedema is a chronic, progressive condition, this is to be anticipated and highlights the important need to implement an accessible package of care to all lymphoedema patients to prevent the progression of their condition to a more disabling and debilitating severe stage.

The low number of hydrocoele cases identified in this study further suggests that LF is of low prevalence in these regions of Ethiopia, and that with well-targeted morbidity strategies, GPELF targets could be reached. However, it is important to consider that as hydrocoele is a highly stigmatised condition [37], the numbers reported in this study could be an underestimation. As HEWs are predominately female and likely to be from the same community as the patient [20], some patients may not have disclosed their condition to their HEW. For those hydrocoele cases identified in this study and those that may remain hidden, it is important to identify equitable ways to refer and facilitate access to safe hydrocoele surgery to repair their condition. In an effort to support this, international partners have worked together with the Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) in Ethiopia to develop a hydrocoele surgical handbook and trained regional surgeons across Ethiopia on surgical best practise [38]. Such surgery has been shown to positively impact patients’ lives, improving their physical and socio-economic output [39] and to be highly cost-effective [40]. Facilitating access to surgery in the districts now classified as ‘non-endemic’ will be particularly important as patients could miss out on treatment if resources are not prioritised to these districts, especially as the prevalence rates were higher than the endemic districts. However, it will still remain important to provide services for lymphoedema patients in these regions also, whether they are caused by LF or podoconiosis.

In order to achieve the second pillar of the GPELF plan, services to alleviate the suffering of clinical disease needs to be available within primary health care systems in all areas of known patients [41]. The results of this study highlight the profound burden of clinical disease, particularly lymphoedema. Since 2015, funds have been mobilised by the Centre for Neglected Tropical Diseases at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM) with funding from the Department for International Development (UKAID) to provide lymphoedema and hydrocoele patients across all 20 districts with access to care. The results of this study have therefore helped to improve the management of both LF and podoconiosis morbidity, and will assist the NTD programme in Ethiopia to achieve elimination goals.
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