

## Impact of intranasal oxytocin on interoceptive accuracy in alcohol users: An attentional mechanism?

Article (Accepted Version)

Betka, Sophie, Gould Van Praag, Cassandra, Paloyelis, Yannis, Bond, Rod, Pfeifer, Gaby, Sequeira, Henrique, Duka, Theodora and Critchley, Hugo (2018) Impact of intranasal oxytocin on interoceptive accuracy in alcohol users: An attentional mechanism? *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 13 (4). pp. 440-448. ISSN 1749-5016

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/75005/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

### **Copyright and reuse:**

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

# **Impact of intranasal oxytocin on interoceptive accuracy in alcohol users: An attentional mechanism?**

**Sophie Betka<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Cassandra Gould Van Praag<sup>1</sup>, Yannis Paloyelis<sup>4</sup>, Rod Bond<sup>2</sup>, Gaby Pfeifer<sup>1</sup>,  
Henrique Sequeira<sup>3</sup>, Theodora Duka<sup>2,5</sup>, and Hugo Critchley<sup>1,6</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Clinical Imaging Science Centre, Brighton, BN1 9RY, England

<sup>2</sup> University of Sussex, Psychology Department, Brighton, BN1 9RR, England

<sup>3</sup> University of Lille, SCALab, CNRS UMR 9193, Lille, 59045, France

<sup>4</sup> Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> Sussex Addiction Research and Intervention Centre (SARIC), University of Sussex, UK

<sup>6</sup> Sackler Centre for Consciousness Science, University of Sussex, UK

\*corresponding author: s.betka@bsms.ac.uk

Address: Trafford Centre, Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Clinical Imaging Science Centre,  
Brighton, BN1 9RY, UK

Tel: 00 44 (0) 1273 873132 Fax: 00 44 (0) 1273 876721

Running head: The Oxytocin impact on bodily sensations

Word count = 4683

Competing interest: Authors have no conflicts or other disclosures beyond funding information provided.

© The Author(s) (2018) Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)

## **Abstract**

Interoception, i.e. the perception and appraisal of internal bodily signals, is related to the phenomenon of craving, and is reportedly disrupted in alcohol use disorders. The hormone oxytocin influences afferent transmission of bodily signals and, through its potential modulation of craving, is proposed as a possible treatment for alcohol use disorders. However, oxytocin's impact on interoception in alcohol users remains unknown.

Healthy alcohol users (N=32) attended two laboratory sessions to perform tests of interoceptive ability (heartbeat tracking: attending to internal signals and, heartbeat discrimination: integrating internal and external signals) after intranasal administration of oxytocin or placebo. Effects of interoceptive accuracy, oxytocin administration and alcohol intake, were tested using mixed-effects models.

On the tracking task, oxytocin reduced interoceptive accuracy, but did not interact with alcohol consumption. On the discrimination task, we found an interaction between oxytocin administration and alcohol intake: Oxytocin, compared to placebo, increased interoceptive accuracy in heavy drinkers, but not in light social drinkers.

Our study does not suggest a pure interoceptive impairment in alcohol users but instead potentially highlights reduced flexibility of internal and external attentional resource allocation. Importantly, this impairment seems to be mitigated by oxytocin. This attentional hypothesis needs to be explicitly tested in future research.

**Key words:** Alcohol, Addiction, Alcohol use, Oxytocin, Interoception, Attention

## Introduction

Interoception classically refers to the signaling, representation, and perception of internal bodily sensations coming from the viscera, for example, heartbeats, gastric distension, or visceral pain (Cameron, 2001). Interoceptive processes are the safeguards of homeostatic control and contribute to motivational and affective behaviours (Tsakiris and Critchley, 2016). In the context of drug addiction, indirect evidence suggests that interoceptive processes underpin urges to take a drug, also known as drug craving (Naqvi and Bechara, 2010). For example, lesion studies implicate the insular cortex, a brain region supporting interoceptive states, in the phenomenon of craving (Gray and Critchley, 2007). Neuroimaging studies further reveal abnormalities in the morphometry, functional activity and connectivity of insular cortex in alcohol, cannabis and, also, tobacco users (Berk *et al.*, 2015, Maria *et al.*, 2015, Grodin *et al.*, 2017). In addition to lesion and neuroimaging investigations, more direct evidence concerning the relationship between interoceptive ability and craving can be drawn from behavioural studies. Interoceptive ability (i.e. interoceptive accuracy) commonly measured using heartbeat tracking and heartbeat discrimination tasks (Garfinkel *et al.*, 2015, Brener and Ring, 2016). In the heartbeat tracking task, participants silently count their own heartbeats, by focusing their attention on their internal cues. In the heartbeat discrimination task, participants attend to both internal and external cues, judging the synchrony between their own heartbeats and sequences of tones either presented in synch or out of synch with their own heartbeats. In this task, participants flexibly switch attention between external and internal cues to integrate the perceptions for the synchronicity judgment. Interestingly, the interoceptive accuracy of alcohol-dependent subjects and drug users has only been tested using the heartbeat tracking task. Diminished interoceptive abilities are observed in these populations and this deficit is positively associated with both subjective craving sensations and alexithymia (e.g. difficulties in identifying and describing emotions) (Ates Çöl *et al.*, 2016, Sönmez *et al.*, 2016). We recently reported that alexithymia (a possible outcome of aberrant processing of bodily sensations) may play a role in alcohol use (Betka *et al.*, 2017). Indeed, the effective integration of interoceptive inputs is crucial for

both subjective experience and social skills (Park and Tallon-Baudry, 2014, Shah *et al.*, 2017); i.e. skills typically impaired in alcohol and drug use disorders (D'Hondt *et al.*, 2014, Verdejo-Garcia, 2014).

Oxytocin (OT) is a neuropeptide hormone that is mostly synthesised in the hypothalamus, and released into the bloodstream via the posterior pituitary gland (Sokol and Valtin, 1967). OT receptors are present in central and peripheral tissues, including the brain, heart, gastrointestinal tract, and uterus. (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001). Many human studies focus on the impact of OT administration on social cognition. However, underlying mechanisms remain unclear, since rodent ligands for OT receptors are not selective for human OT receptors (Paloyelis *et al.*, 2014, Leng and Ludwig, 2015, Quintana *et al.*, 2015, Valstad *et al.*, 2016, Valstad *et al.*, 2017). Nevertheless, OT administration can increase trust and enhance the detection of emotional signals of others (Domes *et al.*, 2007, Keri and Kiss, 2011, Schulze *et al.*, 2011, Lischke *et al.*, 2012, Van and Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2012, Perry *et al.*, 2013, Kanat *et al.*, 2015). Relatedly, OT administration can also improve capacity for “mind reading” (mentalization) (Guastella *et al.*, 2010), empathy (Hurlemann *et al.*, 2010, Panksepp and Panksepp, 2013) and mimicry of angry faces (Korb *et al.*, 2015). One proposed mechanism by which OT impacts emotional regulation is via the modulation of attention resources. Indeed, intranasal OT will preferentially increase attention toward social cues, such as emotional faces, compared to neutral or non-social cues (Tollenaar *et al.*, 2013, Clark-Elford *et al.*, 2014, Dal Monte *et al.*, 2014, Domes *et al.*, 2016, Kanat *et al.*, 2017, Pfundmair *et al.*, 2017). There is emerging interest in whether the modulation of interoceptive processing underpins the impact of the OT system on social cognition. OT is hypothesized to enhance attention toward interoceptive signals (i.e. precision of central interoceptive representations), which can inform generative models of emotional and selfhood (Quattrocki and Friston, 2014). Intranasal OT administration may not markedly influence performance on heartbeat discrimination in healthy human participants (Yao *et al.*, 2017), yet electrophysiological studies show that OT gates the transmission of viscerosensory afferent information (Peters *et al.*, 2008). Correspondingly, OT has a direct impact on neurons within nucleus

of the solitary tract (NTS), the main viscerosensitive relay within the brainstem (Craig, 2002, Karelina and Norman, 2009).

Interestingly, a new wave of translational research suggests that OT administration can inhibit alcohol consumption. One clinical trial showed that intranasal OT attenuated alcohol withdrawal symptoms in alcoholic patients (Pedersen *et al.*, 2013). In rodents, the overexpression of OT receptors in mice reduces the rewarding properties of ethanol (Bahi, 2015) and OT injections decrease ethanol consumption, ethanol preference and ethanol-triggered dopamine release within the accumbens nucleus (Peters *et al.*, 2013, MacFadyen *et al.*, 2016, King *et al.*, 2017, Peters *et al.*, 2017). Such observations further implicate the OT system in the development and maintenance of addiction (McGregor and Bowen, 2012, Buisman-Pijlman *et al.*, 2014, Lee *et al.*, 2016). Indeed, in adolescent animals, OT exposure will reduce the expression of anxiety and protect against development of adult alcohol and drug seeking behaviours (Bowen *et al.*, 2011, Hicks *et al.*, 2016). These effects are bidirectional: Alcohol injection is known to inhibit endogenous OT release (Fuchs *et al.*, 1967). Again, interoception appears to be an important mediator: The processing of bodily sensations is impaired in alcohol-dependent individuals and the degree of this impairment correlates with emotional impairments, including alexithymia. OT can improve the emotional skills of alexithymic individuals (Luminet *et al.*, 2011), most likely through its impact on brain centres supporting both interoception and emotion (Crockford *et al.*, 2014, Lancaster *et al.*, 2015, Strauss *et al.*, 2015).

In summary, interoception is a crucial facet of emotional regulation, which seems to be impaired in alcohol-dependent individuals. OT is a facilitator of empathic emotional feelings and enhances afferent viscerosensory transmission. Moreover, OT may reduce alcohol withdrawal symptoms and diminish alcohol intake. We, therefore, sought to characterize the impact of intranasal OT on interoceptive processing in alcohol users. We hypothesised that interoceptive skills are negatively

correlated with alcohol use severity and that OT administration improves interoception and hence can reduce the impairments observed in heavy alcohol users.

Finally, higher level of alexithymia, anxiety, and depression are usually observed in alcohol use disorder (Evren *et al.*, 2009). As these three conditions are associated with abnormal interoceptive profiles (Paulus and Stein, 2010, Barrett *et al.*, 2016, Garfinkel *et al.*, 2016, Betka *et al.*, 2017), we thought it crucial to account for them in our analyses. However, we did not have any fresh hypotheses regarding the influence of these variables.

## **Method**

### **Participants**

Thirty-two male volunteers (mean age 25.1 yrs; range 18–36yrs) took part in the experiment. Participants were recruited via advertisements placed around the University of Sussex and Brighton and Sussex Medical School. All participants were healthy individuals with no history of psychiatric or neurological diseases and were not taking medication. During the screening, participants were directly asked if they had any history or received any diagnostic of alcohol or drug use disorders. The average number of years of education was  $M = 16.9$  ( $SD = 2.62$ ). All participants gave their written informed consent and were compensated for their time. The study was reviewed and approved by the BSMS Research Governance and Ethics committee.

### **Procedure**

The study was conducted at the Clinical Imaging Science Centre in Brighton, United Kingdom. Participants were told that the goal of the study was to explore the impact of oxytocin on emotional regulation. The study was composed of three sessions. Participants were asked to abstain drinking 24h before each session. Prior to any session, participants were breathalysed and a urinary sample was collected to test for drug use. The urinary drug test was undertaken to confirm the absence of drug use to exclude drug use disorder. The alcohol test was undertaken to ensure that participants abstained before the sessions. In the case of positive results, the participant would be excluded. During the baseline session and following the consent, demographic data (e.g. age, education level) were recorded, a blood sample was collected and psychometric questionnaires were administered. A within-subject design was used; a drug sequence was randomly allocated to each participant for the second and third session. On the second and third sessions, each participant self-administered 40IU of oxytocin (OT) nasal spray (Syntocinon; Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) or placebo (same composition as Syntocinon except for OT) in the presence of the experimenter, and subsequently performed the behavioural tasks 40 minutes after the administration (see supplementary section for



details regarding the nasal spray administration). The second and third sessions were separated by 2-3 days. One participant specified he was hungover on one of the sessions; his data were discarded from the analyses. We failed to collect blood from two participants. Plasma OT measures are detailed in supplementary material.

## **Questionnaires**

### Alcohol Use Questionnaire (AUQ)

The AUQ (Mehrabian and Russell, 1978) is a 15-item scale measuring in detailed way the quantity of alcohol consumption (alcohol units (8g) drunk per week; Units per week). Participants were asked to estimate the number of drinking days, the usual quantity consumed and their drinking pattern over the preceding six months. For the purpose of our study, we used only the drinking quantity (i.e. alcohol units per week). Following United-Kingdom guidelines, alcohol consumption of 14 or less units of alcohol per week is considered as mild social drinking. Alcohol consumption of more than 14 units of alcohol per week is considered as harmful drinking or moderate-to-heavy drinking (<https://www.nhs.uk>).

### Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20 items (TAS-20)

The TAS-20 (Bagby *et al.*, 1994) consists of 20 items rated on a five-point Likert scale (from 1 “strongly disagree” to 5 “strongly agree”). The TAS-20 is composed of three factors. The first factor measures difficulties in identifying feelings (DIF), the second factor measures difficulties in describing feelings (DDF) and the third factor measures the way the participant uses externally oriented thoughts (EOT). The total alexithymia score is the sum of responses across all 20 items. We only considered the total score in our analyses.

### Trait Anxiety (STAI)

Trait anxiety was assessed using the Trait version of the Spielberger State/Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger *et al.*, 1983). This questionnaire is composed of 20 questions, assessing trait anxiety with questions such as “I lack self-confidence” and “I have disturbing thoughts”. Participants were asked to answer each statement using a response scale (which runs from 1 “Almost never” to 4 “Almost always”) in order to capture a stable dispositional tendency (trait) for anxiety.

#### Beck Depression Index II (BDI)

Symptoms and severity of depression were evaluated using the BDI (Beck *et al.*, 1996). Participants responded to 21 questions designed to assess the individual’s level of depression (e.g. Sadness, pessimism, past failure etc.). The BDI items are scored on a scale from 0–3. All items were then summed for a BDI total score.

#### **Interoceptive accuracy**

Interoceptive accuracy was gauged by the participants' ability to detect their own heartbeats using a heartbeat tracking task (Schandry, 1981) and a heartbeat discrimination task (Whitehead *et al.*, 1977, Katkin *et al.*, 1983). During each task, heartbeats were indexed and recorded using sensitive pulse oximetry (standard for our laboratory). A soft finger sensor was used to avoid pressure-induced pulsatile sensation at the fingertip and to gain accurate timing of pulse onsets from oximetric waveform output (Nonin4600 pulse oximeter, Nonin Medical Inc. Plymouth MN USA). Participants' heartbeats were monitored and recorded with the pulse oximeter sensor mounting attached to their index finger. The task was composed of 20 trials.

For the heartbeat tracking task, participants were required to count their heartbeats during six randomized time windows of varying length (25, 30, 35, 40, 45 and 50 s) and, at the end of each time window, to report the number of heartbeats detected to the experimenter. To derive measures for interoceptive accuracy, heartbeat tracking scores were calculated on a trial-by-trial basis based upon the ratio of perceived to actual heartbeats  $1 - |nbeats_{real} - nbeats_{reported}| / (nbeats_{real} +$

$n\text{beats}_{\text{reported}}/2$  (Hart *et al.*, 2013, Garfinkel *et al.*, 2015). This measure calculates interoceptive accuracy, independent of the number of heartbeats in the trial by normalising the absolute error in perceived heartbeats as a function of the overall number of heartbeats. Mean interoceptive accuracy was computed by averaging accuracy for all trials. Moreover, a measure of heart rate was computed for each trial.

For the heartbeat discrimination task, each trial consisted of ten tones presented at 440 Hz and having 100 ms duration, which were triggered by the heartbeat. Under the synchronous condition, stimuli were presented at the start of the rise of the pulse oximetry signal (i.e. indicator of cardiac systole O'Rourke *et al.*, 2001). Under the asynchronous condition, a delay of 300 ms was inserted (i.e. diastolic phase). At the end of each trial, participants signaled to the experimenter whether they believed the tones to be synchronous or asynchronous with their heartbeats. Therefore, the outcome of each trial was binary (1= Accurate, 0= Inaccurate). Mean interoceptive accuracy for the heartbeat discrimination task was calculated as a ratio of correct to incorrect synchronicity judgments, for each participant. Moreover, a measure of heart rate was computed for each trial.

### **Statistical analyses**

Analysis of interoceptive tracking accuracy used linear mixed-effects models as the outcome was continuous. We analysed interoceptive discrimination accuracy using generalized linear mixed models as the outcome was binary (Inaccurate =0; Accurate =1; binomial family function), using the lme4 package (Bates *et al.*, 2015) in the R environment (version 3.4.2; RCoreTeam, 2013). P values were computed using lmerTest package (Kuznetsova *et al.*, 2014).

Both types of interoceptive accuracy were analysed with drug (2 levels: Placebo=0; OT=1), units of alcohol per week (continuous predictor), their interaction and control variables (heart rate, anxiety, depression, alexithymia and drug sequence) as fixed factors. Participants were treated as a random

factor. In order to specify the random effect structure, we used a data-driven approach for both tasks (Barr *et al.*, 2013). Models including (1) random intercepts, (2) random uncorrelated intercepts and slopes and (3) random correlated intercepts and slopes were run. Models' goodness of fits were compared using likelihood ratio tests. For the tracking task, the model including random correlated intercepts and slopes explained more variance than the two other models (Model 1: AIC =-293.91; Model 2: AIC =-354.91; Model 3: AIC =-385.46; Models 1-2 comparison:  $\chi^2_{(11)} = 61.00$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ; Models 2-3 comparison:  $\chi^2_{(13)} = 34.55$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ; Models 1-3 comparison:  $\chi^2_{(13)} = 95.55$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). For the discrimination task, the model including random uncorrelated intercepts and slopes explained more variance than the model including random intercept alone (Model 1: AIC =1687.4; Model 2: AIC =1684;  $\chi^2_{(10)} = 3.33$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). The model including random correlated intercepts and slopes did not adequately converge, even after rescaling and optimization.

All continuous predictor variables were centred. Plasma OT levels were missing for two participants from whom we were unable to collect blood samples. Generalized and linear mixed models omit cases with missing data. Therefore, to check the effect of plasma OT levels on accuracy, we ran a first version of our final models, restricted to the participants with plasma OT information. Thereafter, we ran a second model, similar to the first one, but including plasma OT levels as predictor (fixed factor). For both tasks, we compared the two models using likelihood ratio tests.

## Results

### Sample description, psychometric measures and correlations

Thirteen participants were drinking between less than 14 alcohol units per week and were considered as mild social drinkers. Nineteen participants were drinking more than 14 alcohol units per week and were considered as moderate to heavy drinkers. Means, standard deviations, and ranges of psychometric measures, basal plasma OT level as well as interoceptive accuracy and heart rate were computed for both tasks (Table 1). Correlations coefficients revealed no relationship between psychometric measures and basal plasma OT level (Table 2).

### Tracking Task

Results of the mixed-effects regression model, for this task, are presented in Table 3.

We found a main effect of OT on accuracy, with reduced accuracy under OT compared to placebo ( $\beta = -0.08$ ,  $SE = 0.03$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). The main effect of heart rate was significant: participants with lower heart rate were more accurate than participants with higher heart rate ( $\beta = -0.01$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). A main effect of anxiety as well as a main effect of depression were found; anxiety was associated with reduced accuracy whereas depression was associated with increased accuracy (anxiety:  $\beta = -0.01$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.013$ ; depression:  $\beta = 0.01$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ). No main effect of units per week or interaction between OT/placebo and units per week was observed.

In order to check the effect of plasma OT level on accuracy, we compared a model restricted to the participants with plasma OT information and a second one similar to the first one but including plasma OT levels as predictor. Adding plasma OT levels did not significantly improve the model fit ( $\chi^2_{(14)} = 2.33$ ;  $p = 0.13$ ). Moreover, the main effect of plasma was not significant ( $\beta = 0.05$ ,  $SE = 0.04$ ,  $p = 0.16$ ; see Tables S1 and S2 in the Supplemental Material).

## Discrimination Task

Results of the mixed-effects regression model, for the (interoceptive/exteroceptive, cross-modal) discrimination task, are presented in Table 4. Crucially, we found a significant interaction between drug and units of alcohol ( $\beta = 0.02$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ): The more alcohol drunk, the more OT increases interoceptive accuracy compared to placebo (Figure 1).

We also found a trend of main effect of drug on accuracy, with greater accuracy under OT than under placebo ( $\beta = 0.23$ ,  $SE = 0.14$ ,  $p = 0.092$ ). A significant main effect of heart rate was also observed: participants with lower heart rate were more accurate than participants with higher heart rate ( $\beta = -0.01$ ,  $SE = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.041$ ).

No main effect of anxiety, depression, and alexithymia or OT/placebo sequence was observed.

In order to check the effect of plasma OT level on accuracy, we compared one model, restricted to the participants with plasma OT information, to a second model, similar to the first one but including plasma OT levels as predictor. Adding plasma OT levels did not significantly improve the model fit ( $\chi^2_{(11)} = 2.74$ ;  $p = 0.10$ ). Moreover, the main effect of plasma was not significant ( $\beta = -0.21$ ,  $SE = 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.10$ ; see Tables S3 and S4 in the Supplemental Material).

## Discussion

In the present study, we examined interoceptive processing in alcohol users and characterised the impact of intranasal oxytocin (OT) on these processes.

Our main findings were that OT administration was associated with a reduction of interoceptive accuracy on the tracking task. However, it tended to be associated with an increase of interoceptive accuracy on the discrimination task. Moreover, we found a significant interaction between OT and alcohol intake on the discrimination task: Compared to placebo, OT administration was associated with improved interoceptive accuracy in heavy drinkers, but not in mild social drinkers. Interestingly, we did not find any main effect of units of alcohol on either interoceptive tasks; indicating that (non-clinical) alcohol consumption alone did not seem to be a strong predictor of interoceptive accuracy. Unlike alcohol-dependent patients, these alcohol users do not seem to be markedly impaired in interoceptive accuracy (Ates Çöl *et al.*, 2016, Sönmez *et al.*, 2016). However, interaction between alcohol intake and OT was observed independently of heart rate, alexithymia, anxiety or depression (as we controlled for these potential confounds). Moreover, the fact that this interaction was found only in the discrimination task (and not in the tracking task) suggest that OT does not have a general impact on interoceptive processing.

Indeed, while these two tasks are often used interchangeably in the objective measurement of interoception, heartbeat discrimination and heartbeat tracking tasks involve different cognitive mechanisms (Garfinkel *et al.*, 2015, Garfinkel *et al.*, 2016). Heartbeat tracking requires the participant to focus only on his/her internal cardiac sensations, whereas performance of the heartbeat discrimination task requires the participant to attend flexibly to, switch perception between, and integrate external (e.g. sound) and internal (e.g. actual heartbeat) cues. Therefore, in the discrimination task, this integration of both internal and external sensorial information is crucial for making accurate judgments of synchronicity. While specific studies of the integration of internal and external cues have yet to be undertaken in alcohol use disorders, there is evidence to suggest

that the multimodal integration of emotional information is impaired in alcohol-dependent individuals (Maurage *et al.*, 2009, Brion *et al.*, 2017). For example, electroencephalography reveals a reduced amplitude and increased latency of event-related potentials during crossmodal emotional processing in alcohol-dependent patients. However, this deficit is not observed in binge drinking suggesting that sensory integration processes are more vulnerable to chronic alcohol use compared to more acute alcohol intoxication or other drinking patterns (Maurage *et al.*, 2008, Brion *et al.*, 2017).

The ability to switch between internally and externally focused attention is evoked by the discrimination task. This capacity is impaired in specific psychiatric disorders, including obsessive and compulsive disorders (Stern *et al.*, 2017). A cognitive-physiological theory of 'alcohol myopia' suggests that alcohol allows drinkers to narrow their perceptual abilities in order to focus in more immediate and salient aspects of experience (e.g. externally-oriented thoughts) (Steele and Josephs, 1990, Fairbairn and Sayette, 2013). This is supported by the recent demonstration that alcohol disrupts key nodes within the salience network, suggesting compromised internal monitoring (Padula *et al.*, 2011, Gorka *et al.*, 2017, Grodin *et al.*, 2017). Interestingly, intranasal OT is proposed to direct attentional resources from internal to external cues, through concurrent modulation of functional connectivity within the ventral attention network and salience network (Abu-Akel *et al.*, 2015, Shamay-Tsoory and Abu-Akel, 2016, Brodmann *et al.*, 2017, Yao *et al.*, 2017). These elements, plausibly account for the different directions of OT effects on the two interoceptive tasks, and inform understanding of the potential mechanism through which OT enhances interoceptive discrimination accuracy in heavy drinkers compared to mild social drinkers.

The alcohol myopia theory suggests that heavy drinkers are biased toward the processing of interoceptive cues and consequently experience difficulty in switching attention to exteroceptive one. Heavy drinkers, before marked alcohol-induced neurodegeneration, may not be overtly impaired and might employ compensatory mechanisms to manage deficits in attentional switching.



However, we expect deficits become exacerbated and pathological with prolonged heavy drinking. The expression of such deficits may be mitigated by OT: As already mentioned, intranasal OT increases attentional resources toward exteroceptive stimulations. Correspondingly, we showed OT-induced reduction of accuracy during interoceptive tracking. Indeed, as the tracking task mainly involves internally focused attention (Garfinkel *et al.*, 2015), OT may directly weaken attentional resource allocation toward bodily sensations, compromising interoceptive performance, and perhaps boost the capacity to switch from internal to external focused attention. Alternatively, by increasing externally focused attention, OT may potentiate the integration of internal-external inputs. These complementary mechanisms can also explain why OT tended to increase interoceptive accuracy on the discrimination task and why heavy drinkers, potentially less able to switch between internal and external cues, show a greater benefit from OT administration than mild social drinkers. Our findings are also consistent with a model arising from a predictive coding framework, in which OT is proposed to modulate the precision of interoceptive signals (i.e. narrowing the attribution of salience to internal bodily signals). This neuromodulation allows attentional deployment toward relevant external cues and, thereby favours associative learning between internal and external cues, a process fundamental to social cognition (Quattrocki and Friston, 2014).

Our results of the present study should be considered in light of several constraints. First, low heart rate is associated with increased accuracy on cardiac interoceptive tasks, an effect that we also observed on both heartbeat tracking and discrimination tasks. Relatedly, beliefs about heart rate may further influence heartbeat counting performance (Ring and Brener, 1996, Ring *et al.*, 2015). We managed this issue by including heart rate as a co-variable in analyses to account for its bias on cardioception. A second limitation of the study is the generalisation of our findings to other dimensions of interoception. Even if it has been shown that cardioception aligns with sensitivity to gastric functions (Herbert *et al.*, 2012), it would be interesting to investigate this relationship in alcohol use disorders. Finally, a last important constraint was that our sample was composed only of males to avoid taking menstrual cycle variability in our within-subject design. Further studies are

needed to verify if our findings regarding OT modulation of attentional resources are generalizable to women. Finally, we measured basal plasma OT level to account for inter-individual differences. However, knowledge of the relationship between central and peripheral endogenous oxytocin, and pharmacokinetic aspects of intranasal oxytocin action remain rudimentary (Quintana *et al.*, 2015, Valstad *et al.*, 2016, Valstad *et al.*, 2017).

In conclusion, this study is, to date, the first study to examine the impact of OT on interoceptive performance accuracy in relation to non-clinical alcohol use. Our results do not suggest pure interoceptive impairment in alcohol users, but instead highlight a potential reduced flexibility of attentional resource allocation between internal and external cues; importantly, this impairment might be mitigated by acute intranasal oxytocin intake. In the present study, attention mechanisms are suggested to explain the relationship between oxytocin and interoception in alcohol users. However, this hypothesis needs to be explicitly tested in future research. Nevertheless, our findings may usefully inform the development of new therapeutic approaches in alcohol and drug use disorders, potentially targeting mechanisms of attentional control and switching between interoceptive and exteroceptive sensory representations. Further studies involving neuroimaging will be helpful to build on this mechanistic understanding.

### **Authors contribution**

SB, YP, DD, HS and HDC were responsible for the study concept and design. SB and GP contributed to data acquisition. SB, CG, RB, DD and HDC assisted with data analysis and interpretation of findings. SB drafted the manuscript. GP, DD, RB, HS and HDC provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors critically reviewed content and approved final version for publication.

### **Acknowledgements:**

We thank Clare Brown for her assistance. The present study was supported in part by Rotary Foundation, the Society for the Study of Addiction and the European Research Council (Advanced Grant CCFIB AG 234150 awarded to HDC). Sophie Betka is grateful to the Society for the Study of Addiction (SSA) for funding support under their PhD Studentship scheme, and states that the opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the SSA itself.

## Tables

Table 1

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Range
<b>TAS-20</b>	55.42	9.76	36-74
<b>Units per week</b>	24.82	18.09	4.8-69.50
<b>STAI</b>	48.19	12.21	22-66
<b>BDI</b>	12.84	9.98	0-48
<b>Basal plasma oxytocin level (pg/mL)</b>	1.61	0.57	0.95-3.07
<b>Mean discrimination Accuracy (Placebo)</b>	0.53	0.13	0.30-0.85
<b>Mean discrimination Accuracy (Oxytocin)</b>	0.58	0.18	0.30-0.95
<b>Mean heart rate during the discrimination task (Placebo; bpm)</b>	64.07	9.97	47.20-88.80
<b>Mean heart rate during the discrimination task (Oxytocin; bpm)</b>	66.67	11.15	46-80-88.10
<b>Mean tracking Accuracy (Placebo)</b>	0.78	0.12	0.55-0.97
<b>Mean tracking Accuracy (Oxytocin)</b>	0.68	0.23	0.25-0.97
<b>Mean heart rate during the tracking task (Placebo; bpm)</b>	62.12	9.89	44.30-83.80
<b>Mean heart rate during the tracking task (Oxytocin; bpm)</b>	65.45	11.17	43.30-85.70

Table 2

		TAS-20	Units per week	STAI	BDI
<b>Units per week</b>	Pearson Correlation	0.192	-		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.301			
<b>STAI</b>	Pearson Correlation	0.308	-0.179	-	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.091	0.337		
<b>BDI</b>	Pearson Correlation	0.354	-0.117	<b>.496**</b>	-
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.051	0.531	0.005	
<b>BASAL PLASMA OXYTOCIN LEVEL</b>	Pearson Correlation	-0.149	0.113	-0.057	-0.179
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.440	0.558	0.770	0.352

Table3

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.794	0.025	32.254	0.000	***
<b>Drug</b>	-0.078	0.034	-2.287	0.030	*
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.002	0.001	-1.689	0.105	
<b>HR</b>	-0.005	0.002	-3.301	0.002	**
<b>STAI</b>	-0.005	0.002	-2.687	0.013	*
<b>BDI</b>	0.007	0.002	3.148	0.004	**
<b>TAS-20</b>	-0.001	0.002	-0.676	0.506	
<b>Sequence</b>	-0.050	0.030	-1.689	0.102	
<b>Drug*Units</b>	0.002	0.002	1.256	0.220	

Table 4

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.097	0.107	0.904	0.366	
<b>Drug</b>	0.236	0.140	1.686	0.092	.
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.002	0.005	-0.346	0.730	
<b>HR</b>	-0.013	0.006	-2.043	0.041	*
<b>STAI</b>	-0.010	0.007	-1.511	0.131	
<b>BDI</b>	-0.004	0.008	-0.435	0.664	
<b>TAS-20</b>	0.001	0.008	0.170	0.865	
<b>Sequence</b>	0.032	0.137	0.236	0.813	
<b>Drug*Unit</b>	0.018	0.008	2.239	0.025	*

## Figures Legends

Figure 1: Scatterplot illustrating the interaction between Drug (Oxytocin/Placebo) and alcohol units per week on interoceptive accuracy during the heartbeat discrimination task. The y-axis is displaying the difference between interoceptive accuracy under oxytocin and under placebo, in percentage. The shaded area represents the standard error.

## Tables Legends

Table 1: Mean and standard deviations of psychometric measures, basal plasma oxytocin (OT) level as well as interoceptive accuracy and heart rate for both tasks.

Table 2: Correlations coefficients for psychometric measures and basal plasma oxytocin (OT) level.

Table 3: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on tracking task using predictors for oxytocin/placebo (drug), alcohol intake (units per week), and their interaction, with heart rate (HR), anxiety (STAI), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20) and drug sequence as control variables, including all participants.

Formula: Accuracy  $\sim$  Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS-20 + Sequence + (1 + Drug|ID);  
signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05

Table 4: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on discrimination task using predictors for oxytocin/placebo (drug), alcohol intake (units per week), and their interaction, with heart rate (HR), anxiety (STAI), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20) and drug sequence as control variables, including all participants.



Formula: Accuracy ~ Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS-20 + Sequence + (-1 + Drug|ID);

signif. codes: 0.01 '\*\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1

## References

- Abu-Akel, A., Palgi, S., Klein, E., Decety, J. & Shamay-Tsoory, S. (2015) Oxytocin increases empathy to pain when adopting the other- but not the self-perspective. *Soc Neurosci*, 10 (1), 7-15.
- Ates Çöl, I., Sömnez, M.B. & Vardar, M.E. (2016) Evaluation of Interoceptive Awareness in Alcohol-Addicted Patients. *Archives of Neuropsychiatry*, 53, 17-22.
- Bagby, R.M., Parker, J.D. & Taylor, G.J. (1994) The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale--I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J Psychosom Res*, 38 (1), 23-32.
- Bahi, A. (2015) The oxytocin receptor impairs ethanol reward in mice. *Physiol Behav*, 139, 321-7.
- Barr, D.J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C. & Tily, H.J. (2013) Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *J Mem Lang*, 68 (3).
- Barrett, L.F., Quigley, K.S. & Hamilton, P. (2016) An active inference theory of allostasis and interoception in depression. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 371 (1708).
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S., (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 1-48.
- Beck, A.T., Steer, R.A., Ball, R. & Ranieri, W. (1996) Comparison of Beck Depression Inventories -IA and -II in psychiatric outpatients. *J Pers Assess*, 67 (3), 588-97.
- Berk, L., Stewart, J.L., May, A.C., Wiers, R.W., Davenport, P.W., Paulus, M.P. & Tapert, S.F. (2015) Under pressure: adolescent substance users show exaggerated neural processing of aversive interoceptive stimuli. *Addiction*, 110 (12), 2025-36.
- Betka, S., Pfeifer, G., Garfinkel, S., Prins, H., Bond, R., Sequeira, H., Duka, T. & Critchley, H. (2017) How do self-assessment of alexithymia and sensitivity to bodily sensations relate to alcohol consumption? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*.
- Bowen, M.T., Carson, D.S., Spiro, A., Arnold, J.C. & McGregor, I.S., (2011) Adolescent Oxytocin Exposure Causes Persistent Reductions in Anxiety and Alcohol Consumption and Enhances Sociability in Rats. *PLoS One*.
- Brener, J. & Ring, C., (2016) Towards a psychophysics of interoceptive processes: the measurement of heartbeat detection. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*.
- Brion, M., D'Hondt, F., Lannoy, S., Pitel, A.L., Davidoff, D.A. & Maurage, P. (2017) Crossmodal processing of emotions in alcohol-dependence and Korsakoff syndrome. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*, 22 (5), 436-451.
- Brodmann, K., Gruber, O. & Goya-Maldonado, R. (2017) Intranasal Oxytocin Selectively Modulates Large-Scale Brain Networks in Humans. *Brain Connect*, 7 (7), 454-463.
- Buisman-Pijlman, F.T., Sumracki, N.M., Gordon, J.J., Hull, P.R., Carter, C.S. & Tops, M. (2014) Individual differences underlying susceptibility to addiction: Role for the endogenous oxytocin system. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 119, 22-38.
- Cameron, O.G. (2001) Interoception: the inside story--a model for psychosomatic processes. *Psychosom Med*, 63 (5), 697-710.
- Clark-Elford, R., Nathan, P.J., Auyeung, B., Mogg, K., Bradley, B.P., Sule, A., Muller, U., Dudas, R.B., Sahakian, B.J. & Baron-Cohen, S. (2014) Effects of oxytocin on attention to emotional faces in healthy volunteers and highly socially anxious males. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*, 18 (2).
- Craig, A.D. (2002) How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 3 (8), 655-66.
- Crockford, C., Deschner, T., Ziegler, T.E. & Wittig, R.M. (2014) Endogenous peripheral oxytocin measures can give insight into the dynamics of social relationships: a review. *Front Behav Neurosci*, 8, 68.
- Dal Monte, O., Noble, P.L., Costa, V.D. & Averbach, B.B. (2014) Oxytocin enhances attention to the eye region in rhesus monkeys. *Front Neurosci*, 8, 41.

- Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Glascher, J., Buchel, C., Braus, D.F. & Herpertz, S.C. (2007) Oxytocin attenuates amygdala responses to emotional faces regardless of valence. *Biol Psychiatry*, 62 (10), 1187-90.
- Domes, G., Normann, C. & Heinrichs, M., (2016) The effect of oxytocin on attention to angry and happy faces in chronic depression. *BMC Psychiatry*.
- D'Hondt, F., Campanella, S., Kornreich, C., Philippot, P. & Maurage, P., (2014) Below and beyond the recognition of emotional facial expressions in alcohol dependence: from basic perception to social cognition. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2177-82.
- Evren, C., Evren, B. & Dalbudak, E. (2009) Alexithymia and personality dimensions in relation to depression and anxiety in male alcohol-dependent inpatients. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract*, 13 (1), 3-10.
- Fairbairn, C.E. & Sayette, M.A. (2013) The effect of alcohol on emotional inertia: a test of alcohol myopia. *J Abnorm Psychol*, 122 (3), 770-81.
- Fuchs, F., Fuchs, A.-R., Poblete, V.F. & Risk, A. (1967) Effect of alcohol on threatened premature labor. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 99 (5), 627-637.
- Garfinkel, S.N., Seth, A.K., Barrett, A.B., Suzuki, K. & Critchley, H.D. (2015) Knowing your own heart: distinguishing interoceptive accuracy from interoceptive awareness. *Biol Psychol*, 104, 65-74.
- Garfinkel, S.N., Tiley, C., O'Keefe, S., Harrison, N.A., Seth, A.K. & Critchley, H.D. (2016) Discrepancies between dimensions of interoception in autism: Implications for emotion and anxiety. *Biol Psychol*, 114, 117-26.
- Gimpl, G. & Fahrenholz, F. (2001) The oxytocin receptor system: structure, function, and regulation. *Physiol Rev*, 81 (2), 629-83.
- Gorka, S.M., Phan, K.L. & Childs, E. (2017) Acute calming effects of alcohol are associated with disruption of the salience network. *Addict Biol*.
- Gray, M.A. & Critchley, H.D., (2007) Interoceptive Basis to Craving. *Neuron*. 183-6.
- Grodin, E.N., Cortes, C.R., Spagnolo, P.A. & Momenan, R. (2017) Structural deficits in salience network regions are associated with increased impulsivity and compulsivity in alcohol dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 179, 100-108.
- Guastella, A.J., Einfeld, S.L., Gray, K.M., Rinehart, N.J., Tonge, B.J., Lambert, T.J. & Hickie, I.B. (2010) Intranasal oxytocin improves emotion recognition for youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*, 67 (7), 692-4.
- Hart, N., McGowan, J., Minati, L. & Critchley, H.D. (2013) Emotional regulation and bodily sensation: interoceptive awareness is intact in borderline personality disorder. *J Pers Disord*, 27 (4), 506-18.
- Herbert, B.M., Muth, E.R., Pollatos, O. & Herbert, C., (2012) Interoception across Modalities: On the Relationship between Cardiac Awareness and the Sensitivity for Gastric Functions. *PLoS One*.
- Hicks, C., Cornish, J.L., Baracz, S.J., Suraev, A. & McGregor, I.S. (2016) Adolescent pre-treatment with oxytocin protects against adult methamphetamine-seeking behavior in female rats. *Addict Biol*, 21 (2), 304-15.
- Hurlemann, R., Patin, A., Onur, O.A., Cohen, M.X., Baumgartner, T., Metzler, S., Dziobek, I., Gallinat, J., Wagner, M., Maier, W. & Kendrick, K.M. (2010) Oxytocin enhances amygdala-dependent, socially reinforced learning and emotional empathy in humans. *J Neurosci*, 30 (14), 4999-5007.
- Kanat, M., Heinrichs, M., Mader, I., van Elst, L.T. & Domes, G. (2015) Oxytocin Modulates Amygdala Reactivity to Masked Fearful Eyes. *Neuropsychopharmacology*.
- Kanat, M., Spenthof, I., Riedel, A., van Elst, L.T., Heinrichs, M. & Domes, G., (2017) Restoring effects of oxytocin on the attentional preference for faces in autism. *Transl Psychiatry*. e1097-.
- Karelina, K. & Norman, G.J. (2009) Oxytocin Influence on NTS: Beyond Homeostatic Regulation. *J Neurosci*, 29 (15), 4687-9.
- Katkin, E., Reed, S.D. & Deroo, C. (1983) A methodological analysis of 3 techniques for the assessment of individual-differences in heartbeat detection. *Psychophysiology*, 20 (4).

- Keri, S. & Kiss, I. (2011) Oxytocin response in a trust game and habituation of arousal. *Physiol Behav*, 102 (2), 221-4.
- King, C.E., Griffin, W.C., Luderman, L.N., Kates, M.M., McGinty, J.F. & Becker, H.C. (2017) Oxytocin Reduces Ethanol Self-Administration in Mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, 41 (5), 955-964.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. & Christensen, R.H.B., (2014) lmerTest: Tests for Random and Fixed Effects for Linear Mixed Effect Models (Lmer Objects of lme4 Package). R Package Version 2.0-6 Available online at: <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html>.
- Lancaster, K., Carter, C.S., Pournajafi-Nazarloo, H., Karaoli, T., Lillard, T.S., Jack, A., Davis, J.M., Morris, J.P. & Connelly, J.J. (2015) Plasma oxytocin explains individual differences in neural substrates of social perception. *Front Hum Neurosci*, 9, 132.
- Lee, M.R., Rohn, M.C., Tanda, G. & Leggio, L. (2016) Targeting the Oxytocin System to Treat Addictive Disorders: Rationale and Progress to Date. *CNS Drugs*, 30 (2), 109-23.
- Leng, G. & Ludwig, M. (2015) Intranasal Oxytocin: Myths and Delusions. *Biol Psychiatry*.
- Lischke, A., Berger, C., Prehn, K., Heinrichs, M., Herpertz, S.C. & Domes, G. (2012) Intranasal oxytocin enhances emotion recognition from dynamic facial expressions and leaves eye-gaze unaffected. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37 (4), 475-81.
- Luminet, O., Grynberg, D., Ruzette, N. & Mikolajczak, M. (2011) Personality-dependent effects of oxytocin: greater social benefits for high alexithymia scorers. *Biol Psychol*, 87 (3), 401-6.
- MacFadyen, K., Loveless, R., DeLucca, B., Wardley, K., Deogan, S., Thomas, C. & Peris, J. (2016) Peripheral oxytocin administration reduces ethanol consumption in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 140, 27-32.
- Maria, M., Hartwell, K.J., Hanlon, C.A., Canterbury, M., Lematty, T., Owens, M., Brady, K.T. & George, M.S. (2015) Right anterior insula connectivity is important for cue-induced craving in nicotine-dependent smokers. *Addict Biol*, 20 (2), 407-14.
- Maurage, P., Campanella, S., Philippot, P., Charest, I., Martin, S. & de Timary, P. (2009) Impaired emotional facial expression decoding in alcoholism is also present for emotional prosody and body postures. *Alcohol Alcohol*, 44 (5), 476-85.
- Maurage, P., Philippot, P., Joassin, F., Pauwels, L., Pham, T., Prieto, E.A., Palmero-Soler, E., Zanow, F. & Campanella, S. (2008) The auditory-visual integration of anger is impaired in alcoholism: an event-related potentials study. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*, 33 (2), 111-22.
- McGregor, I.S. & Bowen, M.T. (2012) Breaking the loop: oxytocin as a potential treatment for drug addiction. *Horm Behav*, 61 (3), 331-9.
- Mehrabian, A. & Russell, J.A. (1978) A questionnaire measure of habitual alcohol use. *Psychol Rep*, 43 (3 Pt 1), 803-6.
- Naqvi, N.H. & Bechara, A. (2010) The insula and drug addiction: an interoceptive view of pleasure, urges, and decision-making. *Brain Struct Funct*, 214 (5-6), 435-50.
- O'Rourke, M.F., Pauca, A. & Jiang, X.J. (2001) Pulse wave analysis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 51 (6), 507-22.
- Padula, C.B., Simmons, A.N., Matthews, S.C., Robinson, S.K., Tapert, S.F., Schuckit, M.A. & Paulus, M.P. (2011) Alcohol attenuates activation in the bilateral anterior insula during an emotional processing task: a pilot study. *Alcohol Alcohol*, 46 (5), 547-52.
- Paloyelis, Y., Doyle, O.M., Zelaya, F.O., Maltezos, S., Williams, S.C., Fotopoulou, A. & Howard, M.A. (2014) A Spatiotemporal Profile of In Vivo Cerebral Blood Flow Changes Following Intranasal Oxytocin in Humans. *Biol Psychiatry*.
- Panksepp, J. & Panksepp, J.B. (2013) Toward a cross-species understanding of empathy. *Trends Neurosci*, 36 (8).
- Park, H.D. & Tallon-Baudry, C., (2014) The neural subjective frame: from bodily signals to perceptual consciousness. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*.
- Paulus, M.P. & Stein, M.B., (2010) Interoception in anxiety and depression. *Brain Struct Funct*. 451-63.

- Payne, R.A., Symeonides, C.N., Webb, D.J. & Maxwell, S.R. (2006) Pulse transit time measured from the ECG: an unreliable marker of beat-to-beat blood pressure. *J Appl Physiol* (1985), 100 (1), 136-41.
- Perry, A., Aviezer, H., Goldstein, P., Palgi, S., Klein, E. & Shamay-Tsoory, S.G. (2013) Face or body? Oxytocin improves perception of emotions from facial expressions in incongruent emotional body context. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 38 (11), 2820-5.
- Peters, J.H., McDougall, S.J., Kellett, D.O., Jordan, D., Llewellyn-Smith, I.J. & Andresen, M.C. (2008) Oxytocin enhances cranial visceral afferent synaptic transmission to the solitary tract nucleus. *J Neurosci*, 28 (45), 11731-40.
- Peters, S., Slattery, D.A., Flor, P.J., Neumann, I.D. & Reber, S.O. (2013) Differential effects of baclofen and oxytocin on the increased ethanol consumption following chronic psychosocial stress in mice. *Addict Biol*, 18 (1), 66-77.
- Peters, S.T., Bowen, M.T., Bohrer, K., McGregor, I.S. & Neumann, I.D. (2017) Oxytocin inhibits ethanol consumption and ethanol-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. *Addict Biol*, 22 (3), 702-711.
- Pfundmair, M., Zwarg, C., Paulus, M. & Rimpel, A. (2017) Oxytocin promotes attention to social cues regardless of group membership. *Horm Behav*, 90, 136-140.
- Quattrocki, E. & Friston, K. (2014) Autism, oxytocin and interoception. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 47, 410-30.
- Quintana, D.S., Alvares, G.A., Hickie, I.B. & Guastella, A.J. (2015) Do delivery routes of intranasally administered oxytocin account for observed effects on social cognition and behavior? A two-level model. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 49, 182-92.
- RCoreTeam, (2013) R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna: Available online at: <http://www.R-project.org>.
- Ring, C. & Brener, J. (1996) Influence of beliefs about heart rate and actual heart rate on heartbeat counting. *Psychophysiology*, 33 (5), 541-6.
- Ring, C., Brener, J., Knapp, K. & Mailloux, J. (2015) Effects of heartbeat feedback on beliefs about heart rate and heartbeat counting: a cautionary tale about interoceptive awareness. *Biol Psychol*, 104, 193-8.
- Schandry, R. (1981) Heart beat perception and emotional experience. *Psychophysiology*, 18 (4), 483-8.
- Schulze, L., Lischke, A., Greif, J., Herpertz, S.C., Heinrichs, M. & Domes, G. (2011) Oxytocin increases recognition of masked emotional faces. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 36 (9), 1378-82.
- Shah, P., Catmur, C. & Bird, G., (2017) From heart to mind: Linking interoception, emotion, and theory of mind. *Cortex*. 220-3.
- Shamay-Tsoory, S.G. & Abu-Akel, A. (2016) The Social Salience Hypothesis of Oxytocin. *Biol Psychiatry*, 79 (3), 194-202.
- Sokol, H.W. & Valtin, H. (1967) Evidence for the synthesis of oxytocin and vasopressin in separate neurons. *Nature*, 214 (5085), 314-6.
- Spielberger, C.D., Gorsuch, L. R., Lushene, R, Vagg, R, P., Jacobs & A, G. (1983) *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto: CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Steele, C.M. & Josephs, R.A. (1990) Alcohol myopia. Its prized and dangerous effects. *Am Psychol*, 45 (8), 921-33.
- Stern, E.R., Muratore, A.F., Taylor, S.F., Abelson, J.L., Hof, P.R. & Goodman, W.K. (2017) Switching between internally and externally focused attention in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Abnormal visual cortex activation and connectivity. *Psychiatry Res*, 265, 87-97.
- Strauss, G.P., Keller, W.R., Koenig, J.I., Sullivan, S.K., Gold, J.M. & Buchanan, R.W. (2015) Endogenous oxytocin levels are associated with the perception of emotion in dynamic body expressions in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*.

- Sönmez, M.B., Kahyacı Kılıç, E., Ateş Çöl, I., Görgülü, Y. & Köse Çınar, R. (2016) Decreased interoceptive awareness in patients with substance use disorders. *Journal of Substance Use*, 1-6.
- Tollenaar, M.S., Chatzimanoli, M., van der Wee, N.J. & Putman, P. (2013) Enhanced orienting of attention in response to emotional gaze cues after oxytocin administration in healthy young men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 38 (9), 1797-802.
- Tsakiris, M. & Critchley, H., (2016) Interoception beyond homeostasis: affect, cognition and mental health. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*.
- Valstad, M., Alvares, G.A., Andreassen, O.A., Westlye, L.T. & Quintana, D.S. (2016) The relationship between central and peripheral oxytocin concentrations: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *Syst Rev*, 5, 49.
- Valstad, M., Alvares, G.A., Egknud, M., Matziorinis, A.M., Andreassen, O.A., Westlye, L.T. & Quintana, D.S. (2017) The correlation between central and peripheral oxytocin concentrations: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 78, 117-124.
- Van, I.M.H. & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M.J. (2012) A sniff of trust: meta-analysis of the effects of intranasal oxytocin administration on face recognition, trust to in-group, and trust to out-group. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37 (3), 438-43.
- Verdejo-Garcia, A., (2014) Social cognition in cocaine addiction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2406-7.
- Whitehead, W.E., Drescher, V.M., Heiman, P. & Blackwell, B. (1977) Relation of heart rate control to heartbeat perception. *Biofeedback Self Regul*, 2 (4), 317-92.
- Wiens, S. & Palmer, S.N. (2001) Quadratic trend analysis and heartbeat detection. *Biol Psychol*, 58 (2), 159-75.
- Yao, S., Becker, B., Zhao, W., Zhao, Z., Kou, J., Ma, X., Geng, Y., Ren, P. & Kendrick, K.M. (2017) Oxytocin Modulates Attention Switching Between Interoceptive Signals and External Social Cues. *Neuropsychopharmacology*.

## Supplementary material

In this present study, we were interested in the impact of intranasal oxytocin (OT) on interoception in alcohol users. Interestingly, translational research suggests that OT administration can inhibit alcohol consumption in animals (Peters *et al.*, 2013, Bahi, 2015, Hicks *et al.*, 2016, MacFadyen *et al.*, 2016, King *et al.*, 2017, Peters *et al.*, 2017). One human clinical trial showed that intranasal OT attenuated alcohol withdrawal symptoms in alcoholic subjects (Pedersen *et al.*, 2013). However, alcohol seems to inhibit endogenous release of OT. For example, intravenous alcohol infusion was used to avoid premature labour (Lynn, 1970). In addition, two studies report greater plasma levels of OT in alcohol-dependent patients in recent alcohol abstinence. The authors postulate that this may be due to a “rebound effect” due to the ethanol consumption cessation (Legros *et al.*, 1983, Marchesi *et al.*, 1997). In order to take into account the potential reduction in endogenous OT levels in our alcohol users, we decided to measure plasma OT and to add it as a control variable in our analyses.

## Experimental Procedure

### Plasma oxytocin (OT) measure

For the OT radioimmunological measurements, 10 ml blood was drawn into ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid vial. Samples were mixed briefly and were then centrifuged in a refrigerated 4 °C centrifuge at 4° C for 10 min at 1300 g. A quantity of 0.8 ml plasma was pipetted into 2-ml Eppendorf vials and samples were kept at -80° C until shipping. OT specific radioimmunoassays were conducted by Professor Rainer Landgraf’s team at the University of Munich (<http://www.riagnosis.com>). Assays were strictly standardised and validated in animal and human studies across different physiological states (hypertonicity, parturition, lactation, stress, etc.) to reliably detect the bioavailable neuropeptide in peripheral (plasma) compartments (for more details on the procedure see Landgraf, 1985, Wotjak *et al.*, 1998, Kagerbauer *et al.*, 2013, Striepens *et al.*, 2013).

### **Nasal spray administration**

1) Instructions related to the administration: The participant was told that she/he will have to take 10 puffs of nasal spray: 5 in each nostril, right and left sides were alternated. As puffs needed to be spaced out, the examiner will be giving a signal to the participant every 30 seconds, prior to the puff.

2) Instructions related to the nasal spray squeezing: Prior to the administration, participants were given a spray bottle full of water and were trained to deliver nice puffs in the air.

3) Instructions related to the nasal spray sniffing: The participant was then instructed to give a quick and strong squeeze while quickly sniffing. The experimenter gave an example.

4) Instructions related to the head position: Afterward, the examiner was showing to the participant in which position she/he should take the nasal spray. "Put the nozzle in the nostril as far as you can. Do not touch the septum or the walls of your nose. Your head needs to be slightly back. The nozzle needs to be in line with your nose, no angle."

When the participant felt ready, the administration began and lasted for 5 minutes in the presence of the experimenter. Nostril side sequence (left or right side first) was randomized across participants.

### **References**

- Bahi, A. (2015) The oxytocin receptor impairs ethanol reward in mice. *Physiol Behav*, 139, 321-7.
- Hicks, C., Cornish, J.L., Baracz, S.J., Suraev, A. & McGregor, I.S. (2016) Adolescent pre-treatment with oxytocin protects against adult methamphetamine-seeking behavior in female rats. *Addict Biol*, 21 (2), 304-15.



- Kagerbauer, S.M., Martin, J., Schuster, T., Blobner, M., Kochs, E.F. & Landgraf, R. (2013) Plasma oxytocin and vasopressin do not predict neuropeptide concentrations in human cerebrospinal fluid. *J Neuroendocrinol*, 25 (7), 668-73.
- King, C.E., Griffin, W.C., Luderman, L.N., Kates, M.M., McGinty, J.F. & Becker, H.C. (2017) Oxytocin Reduces Ethanol Self-Administration in Mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, 41 (5), 955-964.
- Landgraf, R. (1985) Plasma oxytocin concentrations in man after different routes of administration of synthetic oxytocin. *Exp Clin Endocrinol*, 85 (2), 245-8.
- Legros, J.J., Deconinck, I., Willems, D., Roth, B., Pelc, I., Brauman, J. & Verbanck, M. (1983) Increase of neurophysin II serum levels in chronic alcoholic patients: relationship with alcohol consumption and alcoholism blood markers during withdrawal therapy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 56 (5), 871-5.
- Lynn, J.M. (1970) Intravenous alcohol infusion for premature labor. *J Am Osteopath Assoc*, 70 (2), 167-70.
- MacFadyen, K., Loveless, R., DeLucca, B., Wardley, K., Deogan, S., Thomas, C. & Peris, J. (2016) Peripheral oxytocin administration reduces ethanol consumption in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*, 140, 27-32.
- Marchesi, C., Chiodera, P., Brusamonti, E., Volpi, R. & Coiro, V. (1997) Abnormal plasma oxytocin and beta-endorphin levels in alcoholics after short and long term abstinence. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*, 21 (5), 797-807.
- Pedersen, C.A., Smedley, K.L., Leserman, J., Jarskog, L.F., Rau, S.W., Kampov-Polevoi, A., Casey, R.L., Fender, T. & Garbutt, J.C. (2013) Intranasal oxytocin blocks alcohol withdrawal in human subjects. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, 37 (3), 484-9.
- Peters, S., Slattery, D.A., Flor, P.J., Neumann, I.D. & Reber, S.O. (2013) Differential effects of baclofen and oxytocin on the increased ethanol consumption following chronic psychosocial stress in mice. *Addict Biol*, 18 (1), 66-77.

- Peters, S.T., Bowen, M.T., Bohrer, K., McGregor, I.S. & Neumann, I.D. (2017) Oxytocin inhibits ethanol consumption and ethanol-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. *Addict Biol*, 22 (3), 702-711.
- Striepens, N., Kendrick, K.M., Hanking, V., Landgraf, R., Wullner, U., Maier, W. & Hurlemann, R. (2013) Elevated cerebrospinal fluid and blood concentrations of oxytocin following its intranasal administration in humans. *Sci. Rep.*, 3.
- Wotjak, C.T., Ganster, J., Kohl, G., Holsboer, F., Landgraf, R. & Engelmann, M. (1998) Dissociated central and peripheral release of vasopressin, but not oxytocin, in response to repeated swim stress: new insights into the secretory capacities of peptidergic neurons. *Neuroscience*, 85 (4), 1209-22.

Table S1

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.800	0.025	31.959	0.000	***
<b>oxytocin/placebo (drug)</b>	-0.082	0.035	-2.322	0.028	*
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.002	0.001	-1.357	0.189	
<b>HR</b>	-0.005	0.002	-2.904	0.005	**
<b>STAI</b>	-0.006	0.002	-2.913	0.008	**
<b>BDI</b>	0.007	0.002	2.823	0.009	**
<b>TAS-20</b>	-0.001	0.002	-0.442	0.663	
<b>Sequence</b>	-0.064	0.030	-2.127	0.042	*
<b>Drug*Unit</b>	0.001	0.002	0.634	0.532	

Table S1: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on tracking task using predictors for oxytocin/placebo (drug), alcohol intake (units per week), and their interaction, with heartrate, anxiety (STAI), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20) and drug sequence as control variables, restricted to participants with plasma OT data.

Formula: Accuracy  $\sim$  Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS + Sequence + (1 + Drug|ID);

signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05

Table S2

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.797	0.025	32.001	0.000	***
<b>Drug</b>	-0.080	0.036	-2.253	0.032	*
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.002	0.001	-1.555	0.134	
<b>HR</b>	-0.005	0.002	-3.171	0.002	**
<b>STAI</b>	-0.006	0.002	-2.967	0.007	**
<b>BDI</b>	0.007	0.002	3.030	0.006	**
<b>TAS-20</b>	-0.060	0.030	-2.007	0.054	.
<b>Sequence</b>	-0.001	0.002	-0.268	0.791	
<b>Plasma</b>	0.050	0.036	1.396	0.176	
<b>Drug*Unit</b>	0.001	0.002	0.647	0.523	

Table S2: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on tracking task using predictors for oxytocin/placebo (drug), alcohol intake (Units per week), and their interaction, with heart rate (HR), anxiety (STAI), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20), drug sequence and oxytocin plasmatic level as control variables, restricted to subjects with oxytocin plasmatic data.

Formula: Accuracy ~ Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS-20+ Sequence + Plasma + (1 + Drug|ID);  
 signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Table S3

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.075	0.111	0.670	0.503	
<b>Drug</b>	0.255	0.147	1.732	0.083	.
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.002	0.005	-0.493	0.622	
<b>HR</b>	-0.013	0.007	-2.009	0.045	*
<b>STAI</b>	-0.011	0.007	-1.524	0.128	
<b>BDI</b>	-0.005	0.009	-0.537	0.591	
<b>TAS-20</b>	0.003	0.008	0.321	0.748	
<b>Sequence</b>	0.065	0.142	0.460	0.646	
<b>Drug*Unit</b>	0.022	0.009	2.483	0.013	*

Table S3: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on discrimination task using predictors for drug, alcohol intake (Units per week), and their interaction, with heart rate (HR), anxiety (STAI2), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20) and oxytocin/placebo(drug) sequence as control variables, restricted to subjects with plasma OT data.

Formula: Accuracy ~ Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS-20 + Sequence + (-1 + Drug|ID);

signif. codes: 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Table S4

	$\beta$	Std. Error	z value	p value	
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.082	0.111	0.738	0.460	
<b>Oxytocin/placebo (drug)</b>	0.245	0.144	1.698	0.089	.
<b>Units per week</b>	-0.001	0.005	-0.292	0.771	
<b>HR</b>	-0.010	0.007	-1.470	0.141	
<b>STAI</b>	-0.011	0.007	-1.545	0.122	
<b>BDI</b>	-0.007	0.009	-0.866	0.387	
<b>TAS-20</b>	0.001	0.008	0.141	0.888	
<b>Sequence</b>	0.057	0.139	0.412	0.680	
<b>Plasma</b>	-0.217	0.130	-1.666	0.096	.
<b>Drug*Unit</b>	0.021	0.008	2.525	0.012	*

Table S4: Mixed-effects regression model to explain accuracy on discrimination task using predictors for oxytocin/placebo (drug), alcohol intake (units per week), and their interaction, with heart rate (HR), anxiety (STAI), depression (BDI), alexithymia (TAS-20), drug sequence and plasma OT level as control variables, restricted to participants with plasma OT data.

Formula: Accuracy ~ Drug \* Units per week + HR + STAI + BDI + TAS-20 + Sequence + Plasma + (-1 + Drug|ID);  
 signif. codes: 0.01 '\*\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1




Figure 1: Scatterplot illustrating the interaction between Drug (Oxytocin/Placebo) and alcohol units per week on interoceptive accuracy during the heartbeat discrimination task. The y-axis is displaying the difference between interoceptive accuracy under oxytocin and under placebo, in percentage. The shaded area represents the standard error.

185x164mm (96 x 96 DPI)