Photographic feature: acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in NE England, UK

Article (Accepted Version)

Smith, Alister, Dixon, Neil, Moore, Roger and Meldrum, Philip (2017) Photographic feature: acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in NE England, UK. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 50 (3). pp. 239-244. ISSN 1470-9236

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/66971/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in north-east England, United Kingdom

Alister Smith^{1*}, Neil Dixon¹, Roger Moore^{2,3} & Philip Meldrum⁴

¹*School of Civil and Building Engineering, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom*

²*CH2M, Lyndon House, 62 Hagley Road, Birmingham, B16 8PE, United Kingdom*

³*Department of Geography, University of Sussex, BN1 9RH, United Kingdom*

⁴*British Geological Survey, Keyworth, NG12 5GG, United Kingdom*

**Corresponding author (Email: A.Smith10@lboro.ac.uk)*

Abstract: Acoustic emission (AE) monitoring of active waveguides (a steel tube with a granular backfill surround) installed through a slope can provide real-time warning of slope instability by quantifying increasing rates of movement (i.e. accelerations) in response to slope destabilising effects. The technique can also quantify decelerations in movement in response to stabilising effects (e.g. remediation or pore-water pressure dissipation). This paper details the AE monitoring approach and presents results from a field trial that compares AE measurements with continuous subsurface deformation measurements. The results demonstrate that AE monitoring provides continuous information on slope displacement rates with high temporal resolution. Case studies are then presented where the AE technique is being used to monitor coastal slopes at Filey and Scarborough in North Yorkshire, UK, to inform on-going risk assessments for these slopes. The results demonstrate that the AE approach can successfully be used to monitor slopes with relatively deep shear surfaces (> 14 m); however, they also show that potentially contaminating AE can be generated by ground water flowing through the active waveguide from relatively high permeability strata in response to rainfall events.

Article type: Short-form paper (photographic feature)

Version date: 21/07/2015

Main text word count: 1525

Figures: 10

1. Introduction

The paper details the use of acoustic emission (AE) generated by active waveguide subsurface instrumentation to monitor slope stability. The operation of the active waveguide and the unitary battery operated AE measurement sensor node are described. Results are presented from a field trial that compares AE measurements with continuous subsurface deformation measurements, and demonstrates that the approach can be used to detect changes in rates of movement (i.e. accelerations and decelerations) in response to destabilising (i.e. rainfall) and stabilising (i.e. remediation or pore-water dissipation) effects. Case studies are then presented where the AE technique is being used to monitor coastal slopes at Filey and Scarborough in North Yorkshire, UK, to inform on-going risk assessments for these slopes.

2. Acoustic emission monitoring of active waveguides

The AE monitoring approach employs an active waveguide (Figure 1), which is a subsurface instrument installed inside a borehole that intersects existing or potential shear surfaces beneath the slope (Smith *et al.*, 2014a; Dixon *et al.*, 2015a; Dixon *et al.*, 2015b; Smith & Dixon, 2015; Smethurst *et al.*, 201-). It can also be retrofitted inside existing inclinometer (e.g. Smith *et al.*, 2014b) or standpipe casings to convert these periodically-surveyed to continuously-monitoring instruments. It comprises a steel tube with a granular backfill surround. As the host slope deforms, the active waveguide also deforms, and particle-particle/particle-waveguide interactions generate AE that propagates along the waveguide, which is monitored at the ground surface using an AE measurement sensor node (e.g. Slope ALARMS; Dixon & Spriggs, 2011). A transducer is coupled to the waveguide at the ground surface to convert the mechanical AE to an electrical signal, which is then processed. A band pass filter is employed to attenuate signals outside of the 20 to 30 kHz range to eliminate low-frequency background noise (e.g. construction activity and traffic). The sensor then logs the number of times the detected waveform crosses a pre-programmed voltage threshold level within pre-set time intervals; ring-down counts (RDC) per unit time. RDC rates are the units of measured AE rates. Figure 2 shows an annotated photograph of the system taken from inside a surface cover.

Figure 3a shows continuous cumulative RDC and deformation time series measurements from an active waveguide and ShapeAccelArray (SAA) in-place inclinometer installed through a reactivated natural soil slope (after Smith *et al.*, 2014a; Dixon *et al.*, 2015b) in response to a series of slide movements, which were preceded by periods of rainfall that induced transient elevations in pore-water pressures along the shallow shear surface. Figures 3b,c show the SAA measured velocity and the AE rate time series from this period of slide movements. The AE rate and velocity time series are proportional to one another and this demonstrates that AE monitoring provides continuous information on slope displacement rates with high temporal resolution.

3. Coastal slope case studies

3.1. Introduction

Cliff instability along the Filey and Scarborough coastlines (Figure 4) has necessitated Scarborough Borough Council to commission ground investigations, which have been interpreted by Halcrow (now CH2M) to facilitate stability assessments and inform on-going risk assessments and site management. As part of a monitoring programme an array of instruments were installed across the cliffs, and active waveguides were installed at both Filey (in September 2011) and Scarborough (in November 2012). Their locations are shown in Figure 5.

The slope at Flat Cliffs, Filey, is a reactivated landslide that threatens a settlement of houses, access roads and utilities, and moves along a relatively deep shear surface (14 m) in response to excess pore-water pressures, and due to toe erosion by the sea. Instability is indicated at the ground surface by repeat deformation of an access road.

A section of cliff behind the Scarborough Spa, South Bay, Scarborough, was identified to have marginal stability, and could potentially develop reactivated and first-time failures. This slope threatens a road and a historical building. Deformation monitoring instruments (conventional inclinometers) were also installed at each of the slopes, adjacent to the active waveguides, to allow comparisons of the deformation and AE measurements.

3.2. Flat Cliffs, Filey

The geology at Flat Cliffs has been confirmed by ground investigations. All boreholes terminated within glacial sediments at depths between 22.5 and 35 m below ground level. Despite fragmentary core recovery, the data revealed that the site is underlain by glacial sediments comprising diamicts with localised and discontinuous stratified sands and gravel (meltwater deposits). The glacial sediments have a maximum recorded thickness of 35 m, but could exceed this given that none of the boreholes encountered the underlying Kimmeridge Clay. The contact between the glacial sediment and Kimmeridge Clay at Flat Cliffs is therefore indicated to be an unknown depth beneath the base of the cliffs and beach.

An active waveguide (location in Figure 5) was installed in a 130 mm diameter borehole to a depth of 25 m below ground level, with the annulus around the steel tubing backfilled with compacted angular 5 to 10 mm gravel. The steel tube (50 mm diameter 3 mm thick) extends 0.3 m above ground level and is encased in a secure protective chamber (Figure 6). The adjacent inclinometer casing was installed to a depth of 24.5 m below ground level. The battery powered AE sensor is located inside the protective cover with the piezoelectric transducer coupled to the waveguide, and monitoring is continuous at 30-minute intervals.

3.3. Scarborough

The published geological maps for the Scarborough site show Glacial Till overlying mudstone and limestone of the Scarborough Formation of Jurassic age. Logs from the borehole in which the active waveguide was installed show the predominant material down to the bottom of the hole was glacial sediment (boulder clay), with bands of sand and gravel from 15 to 18 m below ground level. Figure 7 shows a photograph of the slope.

The AE instrument installation and monitoring protocol at Scarborough was the same as that at Filey (described in Section 3.2). In this slope, the active waveguide was installed to a depth of 40 m below ground level, and the adjacent inclinometer casing was installed to a depth of 43 m. Figure 8 shows a photograph of the instrument location.

3.4. Sample time series measurements

3.4.1. Filey

Shear surface deformation was first recorded at Filey at the beginning of 2013. This was due to the unusually dry weather in 2010/2011 combined with a relatively deep shear surface depth. High winter rainfall intensity and duration are required to increase groundwater (and therefore pore-water pressures along the deep shear surface) to critical levels, reduce the shear strength and hence induce movement (e.g. Moore *et al.*, 2010). A prolonged period of above average precipitation occurred throughout the summer months of 2012 and this was followed by a wet winter in 2012/2013, and this rainfall pattern triggered deformations in early 2013. The inclinometer monitoring interval 17th January 2013 to 22nd March 2013 shows approximately 13 mm of resultant incremental shear surface deformation.

Figure 9 shows AE rate measurements, hourly rainfall, inclinometer measured shear surface displacement and AE derived displacement for the period January to March 2013. Measured AE rates were converted to cumulative displacement using the method developed in Dixon *et al.*, (2015a) (i.e. through determination of the rate of change with respect to time and equating the area under the curve to the magnitude of displacement measured by the inclinometer), which increases the temporal resolution of the inclinometer deformation information. The period of increased AE rates at the end of January 2013 is interpreted to define the initiation of landslide movement. The increased AE rates at the end of February and in the middle of March 2013 (peaks of 3000+ RDC/hour) are in response to periods of accelerated slope movement. The AE rate vs. time curve exhibits periodic surges of movement; such movement patterns cannot be detected using conventional manually read inclinometers.

3.4.2. Scarborough

Surveys of the adjacent inclinometer casing have not revealed the occurrence of any subsurface deformations thus far during the period of monitoring. However, AE measurements show a distinctive and rapid response to periods of rainfall. It is believed that the AE detected at Scarborough is in response to rainfall-induced ground water flow interacting with the active waveguide backfill column; particularly from rainfall-induced ground water flowing through the relatively high permeability bands of sand and gravel. Figure 10 shows sample AE and rainfall time series measurements, which demonstrate the AE response to rainfall events.

4. Conclusions

AE rates generated by active waveguides are proportional to the velocity of slope movement, and can therefore be used to detect changes in rates of movement (i.e. accelerations and decelerations) in response to destabilising (i.e. rainfall) and stabilising (i.e. pore-water dissipation and remediation) effects. This paper has detailed the AE monitoring approach and has presented case studies where it is being used to monitor coastal slopes at Filey and Scarborough in North Yorkshire, UK, to inform on-going risk assessments and management for these slopes. The results demonstrate that the AE approach can successfully be used to monitor slopes with relatively deep shear surfaces (> 14 m); however, they also show that potentially contaminating AE can be generated by ground water flowing through the active waveguide from relatively high permeability strata in response to rainfall events.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their sincerest gratitude to the Scarborough Borough Council for making the field trials at Filey and Scarborough possible. The support provided by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and Loughborough University is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also acknowledge the excellent technical assistance provided by Mr Lewis Darwin and the support of colleagues at CH2M. Meldrum publishes with the permission of the Executive Director of the British Geological Survey (NERC).

References

- Dixon, N. & Spriggs, M. P. (2011) Apparatus and method for monitoring soil slope displacement rate. UK Patent Application GB 2467419A, Awarded May 2011.

Acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in north-east England

- Dixon, N., Spriggs, M. P., Smith, A., Meldrum, P. & Haslam, E. (2015a). Quantification of reactivated landslide behaviour using acoustic emission monitoring. *Landslides* 12, No. 3, 549-560. DOI: 10.1007/s10346-014-0491-z.
- Dixon, N., Smith, A., Spriggs, M. P., Ridley, A., Meldrum, P. & Haslam, E. (2015b) Stability monitoring of a rail slope using acoustic emission. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Geotechnical Engineering* (in press).
- Dixon, N., Moore, R., Spriggs, M. P., Smith, A., Meldrum, P. & Siddle, R. (2015c). Performance of an acoustic emission monitoring system to detect subsurface ground movement at Flat Cliffs, North Yorkshire, UK. *IAEG XII Congress*, 2, 117-120, Turin.
- Moore, R., Carey, J.M. and McInnes, R.G. (2010). Landslide behaviour and climate change: predictable consequences for the Ventnor Undercliff, Isle of Wight. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, Vol. 43, pp447-460.
- Smethurst *et al.*, (201-). Current and future role of instrumentation and monitoring in the performance of transport infrastructure slopes. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*.
- Smith, A. & Dixon, N. (2015). Quantification of landslide velocity from active waveguide-generated acoustic emission. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* 52, No. 4, 413-425. DOI: 10.1139/cgj-2014-0226.
- Smith, A., Dixon, N., Meldrum, P., Haslam, E. & Chambers, J. (2014a). Acoustic emission monitoring of a soil slope: Comparisons with continuous deformation measurements. *Géotechnique Letters* 4, No. 4, 255-261.
- Smith, A., Dixon, N., Meldrum, P. & Haslam, E. (2014b). Inclinator casings retrofitted with acoustic real-time monitoring systems. *GROUND ENGINEERING*, October Issue.

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of an active waveguide installed through a slope with an ALARMS sensor connected at the ground surface

Acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in north-east England

Figure 2. Annotated photograph of the AE instrumentation from inside the surface cover

Acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in north-east England

Figure 3. Time series for reactivated slope movements at Hollin Hill landslide: a) Rainfall, cumulative AE and cumulative SAA displacement; b) SAA velocity; and c) AE rate (after Smith *et al.*, 2014b; Dixon *et al.*, 2015b)

Acoustic emission monitoring of coastal slopes in north-east England

Figure 4. Map showing the locations of the two coastal slopes in North Yorkshire at Scarborough Spa and Flat Cliffs, Filey

Figure 5. Instrumentation locations: a) Scarborough Spa; b) Flat Cliffs, Filey

Figure 6. Photograph of the coastal slope at Flat Cliffs, Filey, showing the instrumentation location

Figure 7. Photograph of the coastal slope behind the Spa buildings, Scarborough

Figure 8. Downloading data from the AE instrumentation at the Scarborough coastal slope

Figure 9. Example time series of measurements from Flat Cliffs, Filey: AE rate, rainfall, inclinometer measured displacement and AE derived displacement (after Dixon *et al.*, 2015c)

Figure 10. Example time series of measurements from Scarborough: AE rate and rainfall