

Current models of care for the management of HIV patients with comorbidities in England: a survey

Article (Accepted Version)

Youssef, Elaney, Wright, Juliet, Cooper, Vanessa, Nixon, Eileen and Vera, Jaime (2016) Current models of care for the management of HIV patients with comorbidities in England: a survey. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 19 (857). P161. ISSN 1758-2652

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/63124/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Current models of care for the management of HIV patients with comorbidities in England: a survey

Background: The number of people aged ≥ 50 living with HIV in the UK is rapidly increasing. Effective treatment means HIV is usually well controlled, however there has been an increase in individuals experiencing comorbid conditions associated with 'normal' ageing. This aim of this study was to find out what models of care are currently in place for the management of patients with comorbidities.

Materials and methods: A link to an online questionnaire was sent via the British HIV Association (BHIVA) Audit Committee to one HIV clinician in each HIV unit in England.

Results: Forty four units responded. Only 11 units (25%) provided specialised clinics for the management of comorbidities. These included: 1) Specialist clinics for the management of a non-infectious comorbidity (any age) e.g. a liver or renal clinic (n=10). These clinics utilised in-person appointments (n=3), or a combination of virtual and in-person appointments (n=7). They were managed by an HIV clinician and non-HIV clinician together (n=8), HIV clinician with an interest in the specialist area (n=4), or specialist with an interest in HIV (n=4). 2) Services for HIV patients with multiple comorbidities (any age) (n=2) 3) Dedicated clinics for older people (n=5) with eligibility determined by age (≥ 50 years) or the presence of a comorbidity.

Additionally, 2 HIV units employed a GP on site and 2 had set up a locally enhanced service providing enhanced primary care for HIV-positive patients. Six HIV units ran nurse-led clinics for patients with comorbid conditions. Coordination of care for patients with comorbid conditions was conducted by an HIV specialist doctor (n=27), the patient's GP (n=18), HIV specialist nurse (n=11) or the patient themselves (n=9). Eleven clinics reported using case management for patients with multiple comorbid conditions. Self-management support (e.g. nurse-led or as part of an expert patient programme) for patients with comorbid conditions was provided at 18 HIV units.

Conclusions: Only a quarter of the clinics surveyed had set up clinics for the management of comorbidities in people living with HIV. While a variety of different approaches were used, services were usually focused on the management of one comorbidity, and few provided services for multiple comorbidities. This is an increasing priority in the context of an ageing population.