Conversations about mental states and theory of mind development in middle childhood: a training study

Article (Accepted Version)


This version is available from Sussex Research Online: http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/60211/

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:
Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
Theory of Mind Development in Middle Childhood

Conversations about Mental States and Theory of Mind Development in Middle Childhood: A Training Study

Bianco, F., Lecce, S., & Banerjee, R.

Authors’ name and affiliations:

Dr. Federica Bianco
Department of Brain and Behavioural Science,
Università of Pavia
P.zza Botta 6,
27100 Pavia, Italy

Prof. Serena Lecce
Department of Brain and Behavioural Science,
Università of Pavia
P.zza Botta 6,
27100 Pavia, Italy

Prof. Robin Banerjee
School of Psychology,
University of Sussex
Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QH, UK

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Federica Bianco
Department of Brain and Behavioural Science,
Università of Pavia
P.zza Botta 6,
27100 Pavia, Italy
E-mail: federica.bianco@unipv.it
Phone:+393388412359
Fax: +30038298632

Words count: 10482(main text+references)
THEORY OF MIND DEVELOPMENT IN MIDDLE CHILDHOOD

Conversations About Mental States and Theory of Mind Development in Middle Childhood:

A Training Study

Despite thirty years of productive research on Theory of Mind (ToM), we still know relatively little about variables that influence ToM development during middle childhood. Recent experimental studies have shown that conversations about the mind affect ToM abilities, but they have not explored the mechanisms underlying this developmental effect. In the present study we examined two potential mechanisms through which conversations about mental states are likely to influence ToM: an increased frequency of references to mental states when explaining behavior, and an increased accuracy of mental-state attributions. To this aim, we conducted a training study in which 101 children were assigned either to an intervention or a control condition. The conversation-based intervention was made up of 4 sessions, scheduled over 2 weeks. Children completed a battery of assessments before and after the intervention, as well as 2 months later. The groups were equivalent at T1 for age, family affluence, vocabulary, and executive functions. The ToM group showed an improvement in ToM skills (as evaluated both on the practiced tasks and a transfer task). Mediation analyses demonstrated that the accuracy of mental-state attributions (but not the mere frequency of mental-state references) mediated the positive effect of conversations about the mind on ToM development. Our results indicate that conversational experience can enhance mental-state reasoning not by simply drawing children’s attention to mental states, but by scaffolding a mature understanding of social situations.
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Conversations about Mental States and Theory of Mind Development in Middle Childhood: A Training Study

Theory of mind (ToM) is defined as the ability to explain and predict social behavior on the basis of mental states (Wimmer & Perner, 1983). Three decades of fruitful research on ToM have clarified the existence of substantial individual differences between children of the same age in early (e.g., Cutting & Dunn, 1999; Hughes et al., 2005) and, more recently, in middle childhood (Devine & Hughes, 2013; Banerjee, Watling, & Caputi, 2011; Lecce, Zocchi, Pagnin, Palladino, & Taumoepeau, 2010). Crucially, these individual differences play a key role in explaining the development of language (Tomasello, 2003), socially competent behaviours (Caputi, Lecce, Pagnin, & Banerjee, 2012), and metacognition (Lecce, Bianco, Demicheli, & Cavallini, 2014). Given this, authors have tried to understand the factors that are responsible for these individual differences.

Conversational Approach to ToM Development

Several strands of evidence have demonstrated that conversations about the mind are a key factor in determining individual differences in ToM (e.g., de Rosnay & Hughes, 2006; Hughes & Dunn, 1998). According to the *conversational approach*, the understanding of mental phenomena emerges from mental-state conversations because talking about the mind fosters the coordination of different points of view on the same event, stimulates the comparison between one’s own and others’ mental states, and promotes reflection about social experiences (Carpendale & Lewis, 2004; Nelson, 2005; Symons, 2004; Turnbull & Carpendale, 1999). Support for such a view comes from both longitudinal (Ensor & Hughes, 2008; Ruffman, Slade, & Crowe, 2002) and training studies (Ornaghi, Brockmeier, & Grazzani, 2011). This last group of studies has shown that involving children in conversations about mental states (beliefs, desires, and perceptions) improved their ToM (Appleton & Reddy, 1996; Slaughter & Gopnik, 1996). The use of sentential complement constructions (Hale & Tager-Flusberg, 2003; Lohmann & Tomasello, 2003), feedbacks and explanations in these conversations seems to be crucial (Clements, Rustin, & McCallum, 2000; Melot & Angeard, 2003).
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It should be noted that the great majority of these studies have been conducted on preschoolers. Only recently is research shifting towards investigating the role of conversations about mental states in ToM development during the school years. Here it is important to note that during the school years children not only develop more complex understanding of mental states (for more comments on this issue see Apperly, 2011; Miller, 2009) but also become better at using their understanding of mental states in a more flexible and appropriate way (Apperly, 2012; Devine & Hughes, 2013) and at comprehending the subtle circumstances and conditions that influence the construction of representations when people interact.

In the present study we build upon these conceptual developments and consider if and how conversations about mental states affect older children’s ToM. Research in this field is encouraging as it shows that taking part in conversations about mental states with peers and adults helps the development of ToM not only in preschoolers, but also in primary school aged children. For example, studies with deaf children have shown that the chance of taking part, at school, in such conversations with numerous and different partners enhances ToM skills (Meristo et al., 2007; Tomasuolo, Valeri, Di Renzo, Pasqualetti, & Volterra, 2013). In a similar vein, a longitudinal study of typically developing children has demonstrated that mothers’ mental-state talk at child age 2 predicts individual differences in children’s ToM at ages 6 and 10 (Ensor, Devine, Marks, & Hughes, 2014).

However, the strongest evidence for the role of mental-state conversations in the development of ToM during the primary school years comes from two recent intervention studies. The first is a study by Ornaghi and colleagues (Ornaghi, Brockmeier, & Grazzani, 2014) which showed that mental-state conversations with peers are necessary for the development of emotion and false-belief understanding in 7- to 8-year-olds. The authors showed that children who took part in mental-state conversations about stories showed higher improvements in ToM than children who listened to the same stories but were asked to make a drawing after the story, instead of taking part in mental-state conversations. The second training study is an investigation by Lecce and
colleagues (Lecce, Bianco, Devine, Hughes, & Banerjee, 2014) on children aged 9-10 years. The
authors designed an intervention using the Strange Stories (Happé, 1994) as stimuli and
distinguished between two conditions. This study included two training conditions: a ToM and a
control condition. The ToM training condition was based on the ToM stories and consisted of
group conversations about mental states and mental-state verbs involved in complex social
situations. The control training condition was based on the physical stories and consisted of group
conversations about physical states and verbs. Results showed that, after the intervention, children
attending the experimental group were better at inferring mental states in the Strange Stories task
than children attending the control group. Importantly, this effect was not due to differences
between groups in verbal ability, executive functions, reading comprehension, and family affluence.

Overall, these two training studies are promising as they demonstrate that conversations
about the mind *causally* impact ToM skills in children attending primary school. However,
although these studies were interesting and innovative, they did not explore the mechanisms
underpinning the training effects and, therefore, left open questions about *how* conversations about
the mind impact ToM skills. Answering this question is theoretically compelling as it would allow
us to better understand how modifications in ToM skills occur, beyond the early acquisition of
false-belief understanding. To examine this issue, we adopted a training methodology, as
intervention studies of the kind described above allow us to evaluate the plausibility (and the
power) of potential mechanisms of developmental change (Siegler & Crowley, 1991). Specifically,
we focused on possible explanations of improvements that the ToM training program was expected
to stimulate on a ToM ‘far-transfer’ task. By transfer task we mean a task that measures ToM in a
very different way to the tasks practiced during the training program. More specifically, we
selected a task that differed in structure (involving humans vs. geometric shapes) and modality
(verbal static vs. visual dynamic) from those used in the training (see the Method section below).
The evaluation of transfer effects using a far-transfer task enabled us to examine potential
developmental mechanisms in meaningful ToM improvements, beyond the superficial learning
strategies that might help children to simply become better with practice at a limited range of ToM stories.

**Potential Mediating Factors in the Effects of ToM Training**

Previous literature has pointed out that conversations about mental states are crucial for ToM development because they draw the child’s attention to inner states, explicitly connect the inner world to overt behavior, and shape children’s expectations/experience of interpersonal events (Slaughter & Peterson, 2012). Interestingly, work by Thompson and colleagues attributes the positive effect of mental-state conversations on ToM to two mechanisms: increased awareness of the existence of mental states and improved capacity to perform accurate reasoning in this domain (Ontai & Thompson, 2008; Thompson, 2006). Notably, although a correlation between these two indexes (frequency of mental-state references and accuracy of mental-state attributions) is expected, it is also important to note that they can be dissociated, at least in older children (Hughes, 2011). It is, indeed, entirely possible that a child makes many references to mental states without being accurate, and that another child makes relatively few references to mental states while being high in accuracy of mental-state inferences. Support for this view comes also from clinical psychology showing that high functioning autistic children can refer to mental states with a frequency similar to that of typically developing children, but show significantly less accuracy (Abell, Happé, & Frith, 2000; Happé, 1994).

In the present study, we examined the role of both these potential mechanisms in ToM development during middle childhood. According to the first explanation, mental-state conversations are likely to be effective for promoting ToM because they affect children’s propensity to pay attention to the inner world of social agents; this, in turn, could trigger positive effects in performance on ToM tasks. This is plausible given that existing evidence already points to such an explanation for the normative developmental improvement in ToM during middle childhood. In particular, between 7 and 11 years, children intensify the frequency of mental states to describe their best friend (Meins, Femyhough, Johnson, & Lidstone, 2006), to narrate stories
THEORY OF MIND DEVELOPMENT IN MIDDLE CHILDHOOD

(Peterson & Slaughter, 2006), and to invent and write the final part of a vignette (Longobardi, Piras, & Presaghi, 2008). This is especially important when we consider that: a) cognitive factors are not predictors of the tendency to refer to inner states (Camaioni, Longobardi, & Bellagamba, 1998), and b) children’s frequency of use of mental-states terms predicts ToM scores in middle childhood (Peterson & Slaughter, 2006). Moreover, research has shown that children who engage in frequent use of mental-states terms in conversations are more likely than their peers to perform well on ToM tasks a year later (Hughes & Dunn, 1998). Remarkably, this relation was not strengthened when only “genuine/accurate” mental-state references were included. This indicates that – at least in this study – the overall tendency to focus on mental states can potentially be regarded as a meaningful predictor of later ToM performance. Following this line of interpretation, in the present study we should find that an increase in the use of mental-state lexicon to explain social behavior would account for improvements in the ToM far-transfer measure.

On the other hand, a second mechanism through which mental-state conversations could influence ToM development is the accuracy of mental-state attributions. Specifically, the exposure to mental-state conversations over time might not only encourage greater attention to mental states, but also help children learn how to (accurately) detect the particular mental states that clarify what is happening in a given social situation (Apperly, 2011). During a social interaction, indeed, fast on-line inferences about mental states guide people towards the most likely interpretations of the words/actions of the actors (Grice, 1957; Sperber & Wilson, 2002). The improvement in the ability to infer context-sensitive mental states during school years is signaled by an increase in the understanding of the subtle circumstances and conditions that influence the construction of representations, as shown by improved performance in the resolution of faux pas (i.e., the ability to understand the mental states involved in social gaffes) tasks (Banerjee et al., 2011) and interpretive ToM (i.e., the ability to understand that beliefs are interpretations rather than reproductions of reality) tasks (Lalonde & Chandler, 2002). A link between understanding complex social situations and the ability to rely on social context-sensitive information is also suggested by studies of high-
functioning autistic children. Work by Vivanti and colleagues, for example, showed that high-functioning autistic children base their social reasoning upon irrelevant aspect of the scenarios (such as an object’s characteristics) in order to anticipate and explain people’s actions (Vivanti et al., 2011). If the program actually helps children in learning how to successfully perform mental-state attributions, in the present study we should find that the positive effect of our ToM training program on ToM-transfer gains is mediated by the improvements in the ability to make context-appropriate mental inferences, over and above any changes in the use of mental-state lexicon in performing ToM tasks.

The Present Study

The present study was designed to investigate how conversations about the mind may enhance ToM skills in primary school children. In order to do that, we applied the training program developed by Lecce and colleagues (Lecce, Bianco, Devine, et al., 2014) for children aged 9-10 years. Specifically, we compared two conditions that were very similar in structure (stories, lexicon exercises and group discussion) and length (four sessions): an intervention condition that was focused on mental states and a control condition that was focused on physical states. Further details are given in the Procedure section below. Children completed a battery of assessments before the intervention (T1), after the intervention (T2), as well as 2 months later (T3).

We firstly checked whether the program genuinely affected theory of mind by administering not only the task that was practiced during the intervention, but also a far-transfer measure not directly experienced during the program. We used the Frith-Happé animations task (Castelli, Happé, Frith, & Frith, 2000; White, Coniston, Rogers, & Frith, 2011) for this purpose. This is a ToM task that requires subjects to infer on-line mental states from the movement patterns of geometric shapes (i.e., triangles). We chose this task as the far-transfer task as it differs in structure and modality from the material used in the training program and, therefore, can be considered as a stringent test of the effects of the training program. Secondly, we examined the mechanisms (use of mental state lexicon vs. accuracy of mental-state attribution) that may have accounted for this far-
transfer effect. In order to do that, we started by comparing a direct effect model of training
condition on children's gains in the ToM far-transfer task to an indirect effects model with
performance on the specific practiced task as the mediator. We then tested our two main
hypotheses by evaluating the extent to which children's gains in theory of mind could be explained
by an increased use of mental-state lexicon (indicating a greater propensity to pay attention to
mental states) and/or an increased accuracy of mental-state attributions. In addressing these
issues, we also controlled for individual differences in variables that are known to be associated
with ToM: family affluence (Hughes et al., 2005; Shatz, Diesendruck, Martinez-Beck, & Akar,
2003), verbal ability (Milligan, Astington, & Dack, 2007), children’s executive functions (Devine &
Hughes, 2014) and reading comprehension (Lecce & Hughes, in preparation).

Method

Participants

The study sample consisted of 101 children (53 boys) having a mean age of 9.62 years (SD
= 0.33 years, range = 8.83 to 10.42 years). These children were randomly assigned to one of the
two training conditions: ToM and Control condition. The ToM group consisted of 53 children (27
boys, M Age = 9.61 years, SD = 0.33 years, range = 8.83 to 10.33 years); the control group
consisted of 48 children (26 boys, M Age = 9.64 years, SD = 0.33 years, range = 9.0 to 10.42 years).
Children were recruited from Year 4 1 classrooms located in two primary schools in Northern Italy.
Participants were not clinically referred for any cognitive or learning difficulties. One hundred and
thirty-one Italian children were initially recruited for participation in this study. Children who did
not complete all the measures or had ceiling scores on Strange Stories measures (and therefore left
no room for improvement over time) were removed from the main dataset (N = 18). With the
remaining 113 children we checked if any participants unduly affected the regressions paths in our
main mediation model. This procedure is strongly recommended (e.g., Cohen, Cohen, West &
Aiken, 2003; Roth & Switzer, 2002) to make sure that results are reliable and not due to a small

1 Please note that in Italy children begin school at age 6 (Year 1).
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number of children who make the regression line change substantially (McClelland, 2000). As a result of this preliminary stringent analysis, 12 children (7 from control group) were excluded from the data set (for more details please see the Results section).

Materials

Control variables.

Family affluence. Information about children’s family affluence was collected using the Family Affluence Scale (Currie et al., 2008). It is a short questionnaire on family wealth. There are four questions about: family car ownership (range 0 to 2), the participant having/not having his/her own unshared room (range 0 to 1), the number of computers at home (range 0 to 3), and the number of times they went on a holiday in the past year (range 0 to 3). Responses to the four items were summed into an overall index of family affluence (range 0 to 9).

Verbal ability. Children’s verbal ability was measured through the Italian version of the vocabulary subtest of the Primary Mental Abilities (PMA; Thurstone & Thurstone, 1962; Rubini & Rossi, 1982). This test requires children to find the synonym of 30 target words choosing between four alternatives. A time limit of 7 min was set. Possible total scores could range from 0 to 30 points.

Executive functions. We administered a modified version of the Tower of London (Shallice, 1982) to evaluate planning and the Backwards Digit Span test from the Italian version of WISC-R (Orsini, 1997) to index working memory. In the Tower of London task, children were given a series of cards with the starting and the final configuration of three colored balls on three pegs of different heights. Children were told that the big peg could carry all three balls, the middle peg could carry two balls, and the little peg could carry just one ball and that they could move only one ball at a time. Children were asked to imagine the number of moves necessary to obtain the final configuration and write the total number of moves they would need to complete each trial. For each item, children were credited with success (1 point) if they wrote the correct number of moves. Total scores ranged from 0 to 7. In the Backwards Digit Span task (Orsini, 1997) children were
presented with seven sequences of 2 to 8 digits and asked to recall them in reverse order. For each sequence children were credited with success only if they recalled all the numbers in the right order (total scores ranged from 0 to 7).

**Reading comprehension.** Reading comprehension was evaluated through the MT task (Cornoldi & Colpo, 1998). This standardized task requires children to answer 10 multiple-choice questions, after having read a passage silently. In order to provide the correct answer, inferential processes are needed as the questions do not probe literal information. Possible total scores could range from 0 to 10 points.

**Focus variables.**

**Theory of mind.** Children's theory of mind was tested, at each time point, using the Strange Stories (Happé, 1994; White, Hill, Happé, & Frith, 2009) as the practiced ToM measure, and the Frith-Happé animations (Castelli et al., 2000; White et al., 2011) as the far-transfer ToM measure. The Strange Stories task is an advanced test of ToM that requires subjects to interpret non-literal statements, understanding the actual intentions of the speaker. We administered: two stories about double bluff, one story about misunderstanding, one story about white lie, and two stories about persuasion. After reading the stories, children were asked to explain a character's sentence in a written format. No time limit was imposed. In line with scoring guidelines (White et al., 2009), we rated the children's answers on the basis of the correctness of explanations using a three-point scale: 0 for incorrect answers, 1 for partially correct answers, and 2 for full and explicit answers. A second rater independently coded 25% of the responses at each time point and inter-rater agreement was established using Cohen's kappa (at T1, κ = .88; at T2, κ = .80; at T3, κ = .85). The Strange Stories Task has been reported as having good internal consistency in confirmatory factor analysis adjusted for measurement error, with loadings on the same latent factor ranging from .44 to .71, p < .01 (Devine & Hughes, 2013). The Strange Stories task also showed convergent validity with traditional measures of ToM (i.e., Wellman & Liu's ToM scale; 2nd-order false beliefs tasks), r = .42, p = .001 (White et al., 2009). Total Strange Stories scores ranged from 0 to 12.
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Given that successful performance on the Strange Stories Task requires both specific
attention to the inner world of the characters and a mature skill in performing mental-states
attributions (Happé, 1994), we used this task as the basis for evaluating our hypotheses about
possible mechanisms in the effects of our ToM training program. Specifically, we coded children’s
answers to the Strange Stories according to two separate indexes that served as our proposed
mediators: the ‘mental-state lexicon’ and the ‘accuracy of mental-state attributions’. The ‘mental-
state lexicon’ index reflects the propensity to consider the inner world of characters. It was
computed by summing the number of mental-state terms used in children’s answers, independently
of their suitability or relevance for answering the question, according to a list of mental-state terms
previously selected in other studies (Hughes, Lecce, & Wilson, 2007). The ‘accuracy of mental-
state attribution’ score reflects the extent to which the mental states attributed to the characters of
the stories are appropriate, given the social scenario of each narrative. More specifically, each
answer was scored as 1 if the participant accurately made at least one correct attribution that took
into account the mental states of all characters in the scenario; otherwise a score of 0 was attributed.
This index does not necessarily equate to a right answer to the Strange Stories task, but accounts for
whether or not there is appropriate context-sensitive processing of mental states involved in the
scenario. Total ‘accuracy of mental-state attributions’ scores ranged from 0 to 6. A second rater
independently coded 25% of the responses at each time point and inter-rater agreement was
established using Cohen’s kappa (at T1, κ = .84; at T2, κ = .82; at T3, κ = .84). Please see the
Appendix A for some scoring examples on the three indices from the Strange Stories task.

The Frith-Happé animations task evaluates children’s ability to attribute rapidly mental
states to geometric shapes on the basis of their movements. In each Frith-Happé animation a big
red and a small blue triangle made some movements on the screen. We administered three
animations and, after viewing each video-clip, children were asked to explain what happened. No
feedback was provided. All the sequences were scripted to imply complex mental states.
Specifically, the small triangle in each clip appeared to be mocking the big one. The score for each
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clip reflected the degree to which the subjects attributed complex, intentional mental states to the shapes, according to the original guidelines. The score for each item ranged from 0 (no deliberate action) to 5 (deliberate action aimed at affecting another's mental state). Two raters independently coded 25% of the responses at each time point and inter-rater agreement was established using Cohen's kappa (at T1, \( \kappa = .90 \); at T2, \( \kappa = .80 \); at T3, \( \kappa = .89 \)). The Frith-Happé animations have been demonstrated to show both divergent validity with matched animations focused on physical events (Abell et al., 2000), and convergent validity with other tasks assessing mental-state reasoning (Campbell et al., 2006). Total Frith-Happé animations scores ranged from 0 to 15.

Procedure

Parental written consent was obtained at the beginning of the study. Before the intervention children were pre-tested in their primary school (T1). The T1 phase was scheduled in two appointments, given the number of tasks administered. In this phase children completed: the Family Affluence Scale (Currie et al., 2008), the Vocabulary sub-test from WISC (Italian version: Orsini, 1997), the Tower of London (Shallice, 1982), the Backwards Digit Span from WISC-R (Orsini, 1997), the MT task (Cornoldi & Colpo, 1998), the Strange Stories task (Happé, 1994), and the Frith-Happé animations task (Castelli et al., 2000). At the end of the training all children were post-tested twice (T2 and T3 assessments) to investigate the training effects, the generalization of effects to tasks not directly experienced during the intervention, and the maintenance of these benefits over two months. T2 took place 14 days after the end of the training (\( M = 13.72 \) days, \( SD = 5.4 \)). T3 took place 2 months after the end of the intervention (\( M = 58.68 \) days, \( SD = 8.0 \)). At T2 and T3, children were administered: the Strange Stories task (White et al., 2009) and the Frith-Happé animations (Castelli et al., 2000).

We applied the training conditions developed by Lecce, Bianco Devine, et al. (2014). Both conditions were made up of 4 sessions. Each training session lasted about 50 minutes and consisted of two trials including a story-narrative and a language exercise. In each trial, children were first presented with a story in a written form and asked to individually answer the story questions. When
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all children had written their answers, each of the questions was discussed in groups led by the researcher, who made frequent use of positive and corrective feedback, expanding children’s comments and explaining the reasons why their answers were wrong or right. At the end of the discussion, the experimenter made a final comment starting from the last question and highlighting the core dimension of each type of story. Children were then asked to imagine or recall an episode similar to the one presented in the story and tell it to their classmates. Then, a language exercise was administered. Children were individually presented with a sentence from the narrative (written on a piece of paper) and encouraged to find a synonym of a chosen verb from this sentence, selecting one from four alternatives. In the ToM condition, the stories were about mental states, the language exercises involved mental-state verbs and the experimenter made heavy use of mental-state lexicon within sentential complement constructions. In the Control condition, the stories were about physical events, the language exercises involved physical verbs, and the experimenter made no use of mental-state lexicon. See Appendix B for further details on the contents of the training program.

Results

Preliminary Analyses

As noted in the Participants section, preliminary analyses were carried out to detect the presence of potential influential cases with respect to the regressions of our hypothesized mediation model. In order to do that, we calculated DiffBeta standardized values that allowed us to measure the change in the regression coefficients that would result from the exclusion of a particular case. Following recommended guidelines (Tarling, 2008), we excluded cases presenting DiffBeta standardized values greater than $2/\sqrt{N}$ (in our analyses > .188). In order to be consistent we applied this rule regardless of to which part of the model DiffBeta referred. As noted earlier, this stringent test led us to exclude 12 cases from the main dataset.

Preliminary separate one-way analyses of variance were run in order to establish the equivalence of the two conditions groups before the intervention. As shown in Table 1, no
significant differences were found in the control variables, $p \geq .096$. The only exception was reading comprehension, on which the ToM group scored significantly higher than the control group, $t(99) = 2.81, p = .006, d = 0.56$, 95% confidence interval (CI) [0.35, 2.03]. Given these results, in all subsequent analyses, we controlled for reading comprehension.
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## Table 1

*Descriptive Statistics on Control and ToM Measures*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ToM group (N = 53)</th>
<th>Control group (N = 48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.61 (0.33)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Affluence (0-9)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.66 (1.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal ability (0-64)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.89 (2.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reading comprehension (0-10)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.77 (2.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planning (0-7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.23 (2.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working memory (0-7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.57 (0.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ToM - Strange Stories (0-12)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.77 (1.9)</td>
<td>10.36 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ToM – Frith-Happé (0-15)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.89 (1.7)</td>
<td>10.43 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy of mental-states attributions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.91 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.68 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental-states lexicon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.72 (3.0)</td>
<td>10.8 (4.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ToM Training Effects

To analyze the effect of the training program, a mixed ANCOVA was conducted on each task, with time (T1, T2, and T3) as the within-subjects factor, training condition as the between-subjects factor, and all control variables as the covariates to make the analyses more stringent. In order to break down interactions, pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni’s correction for multiple comparisons at \( p < .05 \), were performed. Lastly, if both groups showed improvements over time, group contrasts on the amount of gain were analyzed using univariate ANCOVA. Gain scores were computed by subtracting T1 scores from the corresponding score at T2 (\( \Delta 1 \)) and T3 (\( \Delta 2 \)). To examine whether the baseline in ToM accounted for the training effect on gains, we controlled for ToM performance at T1. Given the significant difference between groups in this ability, in this analysis we also included reading comprehension as a covariate. Please see Table 1 and Table 2 for descriptive analyses on the study variables.

Table 2

Descriptive Statistics on Gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ToM Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 1 ) Strange Stories task</td>
<td>2.58 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 1 ) Frith-Happé’s animations</td>
<td>1.55 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.49 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 1 ) Accuracy of mental-state attributions</td>
<td>1.77 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.21 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 1 ) Mental-state lexicon</td>
<td>2.08 (4.4)</td>
<td>1.79 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 2 ) Strange Stories task</td>
<td>2.79 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.02 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 2 ) Frith-Happé’s animations</td>
<td>1.94 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.63 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 2 ) Accuracy of mental-state attributions</td>
<td>1.92 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta 2 ) Mental-state lexicon</td>
<td>1.02 (4.1)</td>
<td>1.27 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THEORY OF MIND DEVELOPMENT IN MIDDLE CHILDHOOD

Strange Stories Performance

Results showed a significant main effect of training group, $F(1, 94) = 9.75, p = .002$, partial $\eta^2 = .09$, but not a significant main effect of time, $F(2, 188) = 0.81, p = .448$. There was also a significant time by group interaction, $F(2, 188) = 5.83, p = .004$, partial $\eta^2 = .06$. Pairwise contrasts revealed significant improvements in both the control, $p < .001$, $d = 0.92$, 95% CI [1.11, 2.31], and the experimental condition, $p < .001$, $d = 1.61$, 95% CI [2.17, 3.31], between T1 and T2.

Significant improvements were also found between T1 and T3 in both the ToM, $p < .001$, $d = 1.7$, 95% CI [2.31, 3.55], and the control group, $p < .001$, $d = 1.04$, 95% CI [1.22, 2.52]. No significant change in performance was found between T2 and T3 in any group, $ps = 1.0$. Crucially, pairwise contrasts also showed equivalent scores at T1, $p = .946$, 95% CI [-0.64, 0.68], but reliable differences at T2, $p = .001$, $d = 0.80$, 95% CI [0.45, 1.65], and T3, $p < .001$, $d = 0.89$, 95% CI [0.51, 1.66]. Moreover, our ANCOVA on the gain scores revealed that the ToM group exhibited higher gains than the control group at T2, $\Delta$1 Strange Stories, $F(1, 97) = 11.27, p = .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .10$, and at T3, $\Delta$2 Strange Stories, $F(1, 97) = 13.20, p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .12$.

ToM Far-transfer Task

Results showed a significant time by group interaction, $F(2, 188) = 4.91, p = .008$, partial $\eta^2 = .05$. The main effect of time and the main effect of group were not significant, $F(2, 188) = 0.90, p = .408$, and, $F(1, 94) = 2.46, p = .120$. Despite a lack of differences between groups at T1, $p = .435$, groups were significantly different at both T2, $p = .026$, $d = 0.56$, 95% CI [0.09, 1.46], and T3, $p = .026$, $d = 0.61$, 95% CI [.10, 1.59]. Analyses also revealed that the ToM group had a significant improvement both between T1 and T2, $p < .001$, $d = 0.91$, 95% CI [0.88, 2.21] and between T1 and T3, $p < .001$, $d = 1.18$, 95% CI [1.15, 2.57]. Control group performance, in contrast, did not

---

2 The pattern of results on the Strange Stories does not change if participants excluded because of DiffBeta standardized values are included in the analyses.

3 The pattern of results on the Transfer measure does not change if participants excluded because of DiffBeta standardized values are included in the analyses.
significantly change between T1 and T2, $p = .325$, and had only a marginally significant difference between T1 and T3, $p = .066$, $d = 0.25$, 95% CI [-0.03, 1.46].

**Mediation Analyses**

The second main question of the present study concerned the mechanisms that may explain the positive effects of the ToM training on the ToM far-transfer task. Given that we were particularly interested in developmental processes that may account for the hypothesized positive effects of our training program, we examined whether gains in ToM far-transfer measure might be mediated over time by improvements ($\Delta$) in specific areas of mental-state reasoning: the mere propensity to refer to mental states when explaining social behavior and/or the accurate attribution of mental states when explaining social situations. We decided to work with gain scores ($\Delta 1 = T2$ minus T1, and $\Delta 2 = T3$ minus T1), instead of time-point scores, in order to predict actual changes in task performance.

First of all, we checked if and how training condition influenced the far-transfer measure. We started by comparing a direct-effect model (in which the effect of the training condition on the far-transfer measure was not mediated by any variable) with an indirect-effect model (in which the effect of the training condition on the far-transfer measure was mediated by performance on Strange Stories, which was the practiced task). We used the Process macro for SPSS (Hayes, 2013) for these (and subsequent) mediation analyses. Specifically, we ran our mediation analyses using training condition as the independent variable, $\Delta 1$ Frith-Happé animations score as the dependent variable, $\Delta 1$ Strange Stories as the potential mediating variable, and reading comprehension as the covariate. We report results using bootstrap tests, with a resample procedure of 1000 bootstrap samples (bias corrected and 95% CI). If the confidence interval (CI) does not include 0, the

---

4 Note that there are no significant differences between classrooms on either $\Delta$ Strange Stories or $\Delta$ Frith-Happé animations, $F(6, 46) \geq 1.07, p \geq .22$.

5 Note that children excluded from the main analyses were not significantly different from the included ones on any background variables (reading comprehension, verbal ability, executive functions, and family affluence) and ToM gains (both on the Strange Stories and on the Triangle task). The only exception was found on gain scores between T1 and T2 in the Strange Stories within the control condition. Here, children excluded had a significantly lower score than children included, $t(60) = 2.35, p < .02$. 

---
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mediated pathway is significant. This test has greater power and more appropriate Type 1 error rates than the Baron and Kenny (1986) approach (Mac Kinnon, 2008).

Results showed that training condition predicted Δ1 Strange Stories, $B = 0.97$, CI [0.27, 1.68], and Δ1 Strange Stories predicted Δ2 Frith-Happé animations, $B = 0.29$, CI [0.05, 0.53].

Results showed that training condition had a significant total effect on Δ2 Frith-Happé animations, $B = 1.11$, CI [0.26, 1.96]. Crucially, training condition had only a marginally significant direct effect on Δ2 Frith-Happé animations, $B = 0.83$, CI [-0.03, 1.69], but had a significant indirect effect via Δ1 Strange Stories, $b = .28$, 95% BCa CI [0.07, 0.65]. This represent a relatively small effect, $k^2 = 0.04$, 95% BCa CI [0.003, 0.10].

Overall these results support the indirect-effect model and suggest that the positive effect of the ToM program on the far-transfer task was obtained via the improved performance in the Strange Stories task. Therefore, as a second step, we tested which specific mediator(s) could account for the positive effects of the training program, by reconfiguring the Strange Stories performance as two scores, one concerning the mere ‘frequency of mental-state lexicon’ and the other concerning the ‘accuracy of mental-state attributions’. Notably, at each time point, both the proposed mediators (‘mental-state lexicon’ and ‘accuracy of mental-state attribution’) independently predicted at least 44% of the variance of the overall standard measure of Strange Stories performance, $\Delta R^2 \geq .44$, $F(7, 93) \geq 10.36, p < .001$, after controlling for baseline scores. This result allowed us to go on to consider each mediator independently of the other.

Table 3 shows correlations between improvements from T1 to T2 (Δ1) and from T1 to T3 (Δ2) in the following variables: Frith-Happé animations scores, ‘mental-state lexicon’ index, and ‘accuracy of mental-state attributions’ index. As can be seen, both the proposed mediators (Δ1 mental-states lexicon and Δ1 accuracy of mental-states attributions) correlate with Δ2 Frith-Happé animations scores.

---

*In order to exclude the possibility that what children first learned through training was something general about mental states (rather than something task-specific conferring an advantage in terms of Strange Stories performance), we tested a model in which Δ1 Frith-Happé animations was a mediator of training effects on Δ2 Strange Stories. However, this model did not show good fit to the data, and there was no evidence for this indirect pathway.*
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**Table 3**

*Partial Correlations Between T1 vs. T2 Gain Scores (Δ1) and T1 vs. T3 Gain Scores (Δ2) in Frith-Happé’s Animations, Mental-state Lexicon, and Accuracy of Mental-state Attributions, Controlling for Reading Comprehension Scores.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Δ1 Accuracy MS attributions</th>
<th>Δ1 Strange Stories</th>
<th>Δ1 Frith-Happé’s animations</th>
<th>Δ2 MS lexicon</th>
<th>Δ2 Accuracy MS attributions</th>
<th>Δ2 Strange Stories</th>
<th>Δ2 Frith-Happé’s animations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ1 MS lexicon</td>
<td>.47***</td>
<td>.41***</td>
<td>.23*</td>
<td>.69***</td>
<td>.34***</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.17†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ1 Accuracy MS attributions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.63***</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.74***</td>
<td>.50***</td>
<td>.38***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ1 Strange Stories</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.17†</td>
<td>.25*</td>
<td>.46***</td>
<td>.61***</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ1 Frith-Happé’s animations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.35***</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.59***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2 MS lexicon</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>.34***</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2 Accuracy MS attributions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>.68***</td>
<td>.38***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2 Strange Stories</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2 Frith-Happé’s animations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: † p < .10, * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001, MS = mental-state.*
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The path diagram describing our multiple mediator model is shown in Figure 1. This mediation model tests both the proposed mediators at the same time. The inclusion of the two mediators simultaneously allows us to assess the effect of each mediator after controlling for the other mediator. Moreover, effect ratios are calculated to express the amount of the total effect that is explained by each significant indirect effect. Specifically, we ran our mediation analyses using training condition as independent variable, Δ2 Frith-Happé’s animation score as the dependent variable, Δ1 accuracy of mental-state attributions and Δ1 mental-state lexicon as the potential mediating variables, and reading comprehension as the covariate.

Results showed that training condition predicted Δ1 accuracy of mental-state attributions, $B = 1.66$, CI [1.09, 2.2], but not Δ1 mental-state lexicon, $B = 0.21$, CI [-1.40, 1.81]. In turn, accuracy of mental-state attributions predicted Δ2 Frith-Happé animations, $B = 0.44$, CI [0.11, 0.78]. In contrast, Δ2 Frith-Happé animations was not independently predicted by Δ1 mental-state lexicon, $B = 0.009$, CI [-0.11, 0.13]. Lastly, results demonstrated that training condition had a significant indirect effect on Δ2 Frith-Happé animations via Δ1 accuracy of mental-state attributions, $b = .74$, 95% BCa CI [0.29, 1.31].

The proportion of the total effect explained by the significant mediator Δ1 accuracy of mental-states attributions was 0.46, CI [0.14, 1.36]. This represents a medium effect size, $\chi^2 = .14$, 95% BCa CI [0.06, 0.23]. The resulting pattern of mediation is illustrated in Figure 1.
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Figure 1.

Note. Solid lines represent significant paths, dashed lines represent non-significant paths, unstandardized estimates $+ p \leq .10$, $* p \leq .05$, $** p \leq .01$, $*** p \leq .001$.

Discussion

The present study builds upon the efforts of recent research in developmental psychology to understand the nature of ToM development (Benson, Sabbagh, Carlson, & Zelazo, 2013; Wellman & Peterson, 2013). In a shift from the traditional interest in preschool years, our study examined processes of ToM improvement in children aged 9-10 years. Our main aim was to examine the mechanisms that account for ToM developments using a conversational approach. In doing so, we compared the effects of two potential mechanisms through which mental-state conversations were expected to influence ToM during middle childhood: an increase in the tendency to take into account inner states, and the accuracy in making appropriate, context-sensitive mental-state attributions. Crucially, in this study we adopted a training methodology as it offers a rigorous way of assessing changes while they
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are occurring. We selected the Lecce and colleagues (Lecce, Bianco, Devine, et al., 2014) ToM training program as it is based on mental-state conversations and it has been developed for children attending primary school. Overall, our results shed light on the role of mental-states conversations in the development of children’s ToM and on the way in which this development occurs in children aged 9-10 years. We first address the overall impact of the ToM training program, before turning to our key finding regarding the role played by improved accuracy in making attributions of mental states.

Training of ToM in Primary School Years

Our first important result is that group conversations about mental states promote a genuine change in children’s ability to explain social behaviors on the basis of inner states. Four kinds of results support such a conclusion. First, our data showed that, compared with the control group (matched for family affluence, age, verbal ability, planning, working memory, and T1 ToM performance), children in the experimental group performed significantly better on ToM tasks. Second, the positive effect of our intervention was evident not only in the specific ToM task practiced during the intervention (i.e., the Strange Stories) but also in the far-transfer ToM task (i.e., the Frith-Happé animations task) for which children received no direct training. Indeed, our analyses revealed that being exposed to mental-state conversations help children in attributing complex mental states to moving shapes. Third, the advantage of children who took part in mental-state conversations was evident at both T2 and T3, showing that the positive effects of our training program last over a reasonable length of time. Finally, the effect of mental-state conversations generalized to the whole group, as it was independent of individual differences on all T1 measures (age, planning, working memory, reading comprehension, family affluence and verbal ability).

Altogether, these results corroborate those reported by Lecce and colleagues (Lecce, Bianco, Devine, et al., 2014) with the same training program and provide further evidence of
the efficacy of the conversation-based training program. In addition to confirming pre-
existing findings, the present study also expands them by addressing unexplored issues. As
far as we know, this is the first study that examined in typically-developing children the
efficacy of a group-based ToM training on a far-transfer task. Previous studies have, indeed,
showed a transfer effect between 1st-order false-belief and the appearance-reality distinction
task (Melot & Angeard, 2003; Slaughter & Gopnik, 1996) or between cognitive and affective
ToM tasks (Ornaghi et al., 2014). These results are certainly interesting; however, the tasks
used in these studies are close, in both modality and materials, to the training activities and
this similarity may have had a role to play in yielding the positive results. Notably, in our
study, we used a transfer task that was very different from the training material and can be
considered as a stringent test of the efficacy of the conversation-based training program. The
transfer effect on the Frith-Happé animations is relevant, we believe, also because research
demonstrated that in order to understand what is happening when actions are performed under
novel and unusual situations (just like the ones presented in the Frith-Happé task), children
appear to activate processes similar to the ones implied in the comprehension of real-life
interactions (Brass, Schmitt, Spengler, & Gergely, 2007).

Mental-state Conversations and ToM Development: Key Mechanisms

The main aim of the present study was to examine the mechanisms through which
mental-state conversations may affect children’s ToM in the school years. Our study was
designed in such a way that it enabled us to disentangle the effect of two plausible mediators.
The first was the propensity to pay attention to the mind as indexed by the frequency of the
mental-state lexicon. The second was the ability to make context-sensitive inferences about
mental states, as indexed by our score for the accuracy of mental-state attributions. Results of
our analyses clearly showed that it is was the latter that accounted for the training effects. In
other words, our training did not simply teach children that mental states are relevant and,
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thus, deserve attention; rather, it helped children to put mental states in context and to make
accurate judgments about them on the basis of contextual information.

We believe that these results may be explained by considering the features of the
mental-state conversations that we used in our training program. Two facets seem to be
particularly relevant to us: the presence of feedback with explanations, and the group nature
of these conversations. Previous research on ToM training has indeed shown that informing
children about whether their answers were right or not and explaining why is necessary in
order to produce a change in ToM competence (Clements et al., 2000; Melot & Angeard,
2003). From a broader perspective, research on family conversations has highlighted the
importance of the quality vs. quantity of mothers’ use of internal state talk for children’s ToM
development. In a seminal study, Dunn and colleagues revealed that children from families
whose conversations were characterized by high frequencies of causal sentences were more
likely than their peers to succeed on false belief and emotion understanding tasks 7 months
later (Dunn, Brown, & Beardsall, 1991). Garner and colleagues (Garner, Carlson Jones,
Gaddy, & Rennie, 1997) extended these results to a low-income sample and showed that
mothers’ explanations about the causes and consequences of emotions, but not unelaborated
comments about emotions, correlate with children’s emotion knowledge and emotion
understanding. Crucially, mothers’ explanations about emotions seems to become
increasingly important for children’s emotion understanding as they grow up. Indeed,
Cervantes and Callanan (1998) showed that at age 4, but not at ages 2 and 3, it was mothers’
explations about emotions and not simply the frequency of emotion state terms that
correlated with children’s total emotion utterances. Generally speaking, giving feedback and
explanations during conversations makes explicit the connections between the world, the
inner states of characters, and overt behavior (Slaughter & Peterson, 2012).
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It should also be noted that the conversations examined in the present study occurred within the context of the classroom and not between two partners such as the ones typically examined in the literature on mothers’ mental state talk. This is, we believe, an important feature of our training program that is likely to have maximized the positive effects on children’s ToM. The fact that all class members discussed the same story/topic in a structured way may have made very salient the similarities or differences between children’s points of view and this is likely to have enhanced children’s capacity to reason in psychological terms (Harris, 1999). When combined with the feedback and explanations discussed above, these conversations constitute a powerful tool for helping children to link words and social stimuli into coherent schemata that in tum can promote the development of social cognition.

Of note is not only the result that the accuracy of mental-state attributions mediates the effect of mental-state conversations on ToM development, but also the finding that the frequency of using mental-state lexicon did not. This result at first sight appears unexpected, given that previous literature on preschoolers consistently found associations between child mental-state talk and ToM scores both concurrently (e.g., Ensor & Hughes, 2008; Hughes & Dunn, 1997) and over time (Hughes & Dunn, 1998). The lack of a significant indirect effect via mental-state lexicon is also surprising if we consider that the effect of adults’ (mainly mothers’) mental-state conversations on preschooler’s ToM development is traditionally explained by an increase in both the capacity of the child to reason in the mental domain and children’s attention towards mental phenomena (Slaughter & Peterson, 2012; Thompson, 2006). However, these are theoretical explanations and not the results of empirical research. Indeed, ours is, to our knowledge, the first study that directly tests the effect of these two mediator mechanisms in children.

Generally speaking, we believe that our results are interesting, as they speak to the changes in mechanisms of ToM development. Considering existing findings, it seems that
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simply gaining a greater awareness of inner states has a crucial role to play in the early steps of ToM acquisition, when children are in preschool, whereas its importance may decrease as children proceed through middle childhood. Children's attention to mental states is likely to be a driving factor in early development when the challenge facing the child is to become able to separate the mental and the physical worlds and learn the rules that govern links between these domains (Wellman & Liu, 2004). Older children have to face different developmental goals. The social environment of the child, during the school years, becomes increasingly complex, ambiguous and relevant. It therefore requires the use of ToM skills in a flexible and context-sensitive way in order to make sense of what is happening and to be successful while interacting with others (Banerjee et al., 2011). In other words, even if we have just started to investigate the nature of more advanced ToM skills, the current study, together with recent literature (e.g., Apperly, 2012), suggests that what makes the difference for older children is the ability not simply to pay attention to people’s mental states, but rather to put this awareness to use when considering the complexity of social scenarios.

Implications, Limitations and Future Research Directions

Our main result is in line with data showing that the accuracy in ToM performance is far from perfect in adult population, even when people are willing to infer the thoughts and feelings of another person (Klein & Hodges, 2001). Our findings have practical implication, suggesting that successful interactions are likely to depend on being able to make correct judgements about internal states; recognising people as intentional agents is not a sufficient condition (Mitchell, 2006). Remarkably, it has been shown that although many high-functioning individuals with autism make use of mental states in conversations (Nuyts & Roeck, 1997) and are able to use an adequate number of mental-states term when responding to the Strange Stories (Happé, 1994), they have difficulties in making accurate context-sensitive mental inferences (Abell et al., 2000; Mitchell, 2006) and in using the appropriate
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mental-state term to describe behavior (Happé, 1994). Our results make sense of this
discrepancy and lead us to hypothesize that social-pragmatic difficulties during on-line
interactions could be explained by deficits in mental-state inference processes, as proposed
for individuals with autism (Dennis, Lazenby, & Lockyer, 2001; Roeyers, Buysse, Ponnet, &
Pichal, 2001). It will be important for future research to further examine this hypothesis with
typically developing children and to deeply understand the effect of individual differences in
the ability to select and integrate relevant social information in on-line interactions.

In the interpretation of our results caution is warranted too, as we focused on (only)
two potential mediators. Thus, we cannot exclude that other developmental processes
occurred. For example, it could be that conversations about the mind are useful also because
they communicate common norms and rules about social circumstances, so that the
transmission of shared social scripts within the same culture becomes possible (Nelson,
2005). Clearly, a great deal of future research is needed in order to unfold the specific
processes that occur during developmental maturation. The inclusion for example of
microgenetic assessments in the future studies would be particularly helpful to understand the
rate and breadth of changes as well as the presence of individual differences in the
developmental trajectories (Siegler, 1995). Similarly, the present study did not explore the
role of potential moderating factors that might strengthen or reduce the impact of mental-state
conversational input on mature ToM development. One obvious candidate here is the
identity/nature of the conversational partners (e.g., peer vs. adult, dyad vs. group) and the
quality of the relationship with them. Finally, it will be important for future research to
extend our knowledge about how and to what extent other variables play a role in the impact
of ToM training programs. For example, although our study design included controls for
several cognitive variables known to be associated with ToM development, a variety of
social-contextual variables beyond family affluence (e.g., parental education, mental-state talk
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in the family context, peer relationships) are also likely to be relevant and therefore need close attention.

Conclusions

Our findings indicate, through experimental manipulation, that conversations about the mind help in the transition towards a more accurate usage of (rather than simply a propensity to use) ToM skills, as measured by the ‘accuracy of mental-state attributions’ index. In showing this, the present study provides critical insights into the mechanisms of later ToM development and, we hope, will open a new wave of research aimed at revealing the nuances and details of changes in socio-cognitive understanding through the school years.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Δ1 (T2 minus T1) Accuracy of Mental-State Attributions and Δ2 (T3 minus T1) Mental-State Lexicon as Potential Mediators of the Association Between Training Condition and Δ2 Frith-Happé’s Animations
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Appendix A

Scoring Examples for the Strange Stories Task (White et al., 2009)

Double Bluff Story: During the war, the Red army captures a member of the Blue army. They want him to tell them where his army’s tanks are; they know they are either by the sea or in the mountains. They know that the prisoner will not want to tell them, he will want to save his army, and so he will certainly lie to them. The prisoner is very brave and very clever, he will not let them find his tanks. The tanks are really in the mountains. Now when the other side asks him where his tanks are, he says, “They are in the mountains.”

Question: “Why did the prisoner say that?”

Sample Answer 1: “Because the Red army probably thinks the prisoner is scared”.

Score of the Strange Stories index: 0 (from the scoring guidelines: reference to motivation that misses the point of double bluff)

Score of the Accuracy of mental-state attribution index: 1 (accurate context-sensitive attribution of mental-states, taking into account the mental-states of all the characters)

Score of Mental-state lexicon index: 2

Sample Answer 2: “Because the prisoner is scared”

Score of the Strange Stories index: 0 (from the scoring guidelines: reference to motivation that misses the point of double bluff)

Score of the Accuracy of mental-state attribution index: 0 (just the mental state of one character is taken into account)

Score of Mental-state lexicon index: 1
### Appendix B

#### Script of Training Procedures for Each Condition

**ToM condition: example of misunderstanding. Researchers' comments are given in Italics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Story</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Feedback examples for each question</th>
<th>Conversation onset provided by experimenter</th>
<th>Language Exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is evening time, and Robin is taking a bag of rubbish outside to put in the bin. Suddenly he sees his neighbor’s cat running away. He thinks to run after it in order to return it to his old neighbor. Luckily he manages to catch the cat. At that moment, his neighbor opens the door and glimpses her cat struggling in the arms of a boy. She has left her glasses in the dining room, so she can’t see well. She starts to shout: “Help me! Stop the thief!”</td>
<td>- What was Robin intending to do? - Right! No, actually Robin didn’t want to steal the cat. He wanted to do a good deed. He wanted to return it to the neighbor. - Why does the neighbour start to shout “Help me! Stop the thief”? - Well done! No, actually she shouts because she thinks he wants to steal her cat. She has misunderstood the situation. She has not understood Robin’s good intentions. - What does Robin think about the neighbour’s behavior? - Right! No, actually Robin imagines that the old woman didn’t understand what had happened because she forgot her glasses. - Can Robin say or do something in order to stop his neighbour’s shouts? What? If he does this, why would the old woman stop shouting? - You’re right! / No, actually he could make his neighbor recognize him and explain that the cat was escaping and that he thought to run after it in order to return it. By doing this, the elderly woman would modify her point of view. She would realize her misunderstanding and she</td>
<td>Right! If Robin did what you said, the woman would stop shouting. She would recognize Robin and understand his good intentions about returning her cat. So she would change her point of view. Indeed people’s beliefs can change, for example, when people understand that their ideas are not justifiable or that they have not got enough information in order to understand well. People can act or say things in order to change other people’s wrong beliefs. In this way they can solve misunderstandings, just as Robin would do if he made his neighbor recognize him and he explained to her that he wanted to return the cat.</td>
<td>What is in your opinion the meaning of this sentence in the story? &quot;...he thinks to run after it...&quot;: -he imagines to run after it -he decides to run after it* -he believes to run after it - he understands to run after it</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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**Control condition. Researchers' comments are given in italics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Story</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Feedback examples for each question</th>
<th>Conversation onset provided by experimenter</th>
<th>Language Exercise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Today is Friday. Teachers are giving some homework to their students for the weekend. Sarah always writes all her homework in her diary. During English class the teacher gives homework to the children and Sarah writes it in her diary. Then, students go to the Art room. There, the teacher says: “Write down that you must draw a picture of your family with crayons by next Monday”. After Art class they go to the gym and then to Computer Lab. When the computer class teacher gives homework, Sarah can't write it down because she can't find her diary. So she tells the teacher. The teacher looks for the diary in the Art room and in the gym, but not in the English classroom. | - What does Sarah do when teachers give homework?  
- Are Sarah and her classmates always in the same place? If no, where do they go? (List the places in the right order)  
- In which places did Sarah use the diary?  
- Why does the teacher look for the diary in Art room and in the gym, but not in the English classroom? | - Ok/No, actually she writes the homework down in her diary.  
- Right answer!/No actually, they went to different places. They were in the English classroom first, then they went to the Art room, then to the gym and finally to the Computer Lab.  
- Well done! No actually Sarah used the diary in the English classroom and in the Art room.  
- You're right! The teacher doesn't look for the diary in the English classroom because Sarah didn't leave her diary there. She used it in the next place she went, that is the Art room. She left her diary in the Art room or in the gym. | Sometimes people are very busy and they can accidentally leave an object somewhere. Later, when they need that object, they can't find it. So they can look for it starting in the last place in which they used it. | What is in your opinion the meaning of this sentence in the story? “teachers give homework”: - teachers do homework - teachers set homework * - teachers check homework - teachers have homework |