Fluorescence lifetime estimation method for incomplete decay

Article (Accepted Version)

Yu, Hongqi and Li, David Day-Uei (2015) Fluorescence lifetime estimation method for incomplete decay. *Electronics Letters*, 51 (21). pp. 1666-1668. ISSN 0013-5194

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/56532/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A fluorescence lifetime estimation method for incomplete decay

Hongqi Yu and David Day-Uei Li

A new incomplete decay signal model is proposed to describe the incomplete decay effects in a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) based fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIM) system. Based on this model, we modified a Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC) algorithm to eliminate the incomplete decay effects. Monte Carlo simulations were carried out to demonstrate the performances of the proposed approach. Simulations show that the proposed method is insensitive to the laser pulse rate and has a larger lifetime dynamic range compared with previously reported approaches. As far as we know, this new method is the first non-fitting method that can resolve incomplete decay effects for multi-exponential decays.

Introduction: Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) is a powerful tool that has been widely used in material sciences, biology, chemical analysis, diagnosis, etc. The fluorescence lifetime is the average time that the excited molecule stays at the excited state before dropping back to the ground state. It is sensitive to the microenvironment, but independent of the illumination intensity and probe concentration. Therefore it can be a robust indicator to probe physiological parameters such as pH, O₂, Ca²⁺, viscosity, refractive index, glucose, etc. [1, 2].

When the detector in a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) FLIM system captures a photon emitted from fluorophores, the TCSPC module measures the time delay between the excited laser pulse and the detected photon [3]. This procedure is repeated and a fluorescence histogram is generated for extracting lifetimes. Usually the period of laser pulses is set to be at least four to five times of the average lifetime [4]. When a fluorescence lifetime is comparable to the period of laser pulses, the incomplete decay caused by a pulse will superimpose to the subsequent pulses and distort the fluorescence histogram leading to wrong lifetime estimations [4].

As concluded in Ref. [4], incomplete decay effects only affect multi-exponential fitting. The incomplete decay model was first proposed by Barber *et al.* in 2005 [5]. They discussed how incomplete decay effects corrupted the global fitting results, but did not provide correction methods. Most researchers have been using commercial software to analyse incomplete decays [6, 7], but no detailed information on how to realize this method has been released. This may be due to lack of efficient correction methods.

Here we assume that there are P exponential decays, and the fluorescence intensity can be expressed as

$$I_0(t) = K \cdot \sum_{j=1}^P (f_{Dj} e^{-t/\tau_j}), \quad (1)$$

where $f_{D1} + f_{D2} + \dots + f_{DP} = 1$ with f_{Dj} being the amplitudes and τ_j the corresponding fluorescence lifetimes, $j = 1, \dots, P$.

Leung *et al.* proposed a correction method based on the estimated τ_j and f_{Dj} , ($j = 1, 2$) using a fitting method [4] for the amplitude weighted fluorescence lifetime, $\tau_{AVE} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^P f_{Dj} \tau_j$. However, the incomplete decay also affects the estimations of f_{Dj} making it unable to completely correct the incomplete decay effects. Moreover, it is only applicable to bi-exponential decays and can only correct τ_{AVE} , not $\tau_j, j = 1, \dots, P$.

In this article, a new incomplete decay signal model is proposed based on the model we previously proposed [8]. The proposed method 1) does not involve f_{Dj} , 2) is a non-fitting method that suitable for embedded system realizations for real-time applications, 3) is suitable for multi-exponential decays to estimate the amplitude weighted fluorescence lifetime and 4) is able to correctly estimate each lifetime $\tau_j, j = 1, \dots, P$.

Theory: According to the signal models proposed previously [5, 9, 10], the fluorescence intensity including incomplete decay effects is

$$I(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I_0(t) + I_0(t+T) + \dots + I_0(t+D \cdot T)). \quad (2)$$

where T is the period of laser pulses and D is the number of the previous tails added to the intensity. Consider $D \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$I(t) = K \left(\frac{e^{-t/\tau_1}}{1-e^{-T/\tau_1}} f_{D1} + \frac{e^{-t/\tau_2}}{1-e^{-T/\tau_2}} f_{D2} + \dots + \frac{e^{-t/\tau_P}}{1-e^{-T/\tau_P}} f_{DP} \right). \quad (3)$$

The photon count in the m -th bin in the histogram is

$$y(m) = \int_{(m-1)h}^{mh} I(t) dt = K \cdot \sum_{j=1}^P \left(\tau_j f_{Dj} \frac{e^{h/\tau_j} - 1}{1 - e^{-T/\tau_j}} e^{-mh/\tau_j} \right),$$

where h is the bin width, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, and M is the number of time bins in the histogram. To decrease the computation burden and data transport threshold, we can rearrange the histogram to have a smaller number of bins [8]. We can arrange $y(m)$ as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(1) \\ y(2) \\ \vdots \\ y(M) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 & \dots & Q_P \\ Q_1 e^{h/\tau_1} & Q_2 e^{h/\tau_2} & \dots & Q_P e^{h/\tau_P} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_1 e^{Mh/\tau_1} & Q_2 e^{Mh/\tau_2} & \dots & Q_P e^{Mh/\tau_P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K \cdot f_{D1} \\ K \cdot f_{D2} \\ \vdots \\ K \cdot f_{DP} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n(1) \\ n(2) \\ \vdots \\ n(M) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where $Q_j = \frac{e^{h/\tau_j} - 1}{1 - e^{-T/\tau_j}} \tau_j$ and $n(m)$ is additive shot noise. We can simplify this equation as

$$Y = AS + N. \quad (5)$$

And the covariance matrix of (5) is

$$R_Y = E[YY^H] = AR_S A^H + \Sigma, \quad (6)$$

where $R_S = E[SS^H]$ and $(\cdot)^H$ represents the Hermitian transpose.

Compare Eq. (6) with the signal model we previously proposed [8], the MUSIC algorithm can be also applied here to estimating lifetimes. To use MUSIC, we need to apply a theorem: Let the eigenvalues λ_m (listed in the descending order) and the corresponding eigenvectors u_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$) be the solutions of $R_S u = \lambda \Sigma_0 u$. If R_S is a full rank matrix, then each column of A is orthogonal to the matrix $U_n = [u_{P+1} \ u_{P+2} \ \dots \ u_M]$. The proof can be found in Ref [11]. Based on this theorem, once we obtain the noise subspace U_n , we define

$$F_{search}(\tau) = 1/\|U_n^H A(\tau)\|^2, \quad (7)$$

where $A(\tau) = \frac{e^{h/\tau} - 1}{1 - e^{-T/\tau}} \tau \cdot [1 \ e^{h/\tau} \ \dots \ e^{(M-1)h/\tau}]$.

The P largest peaks found in $F_{search}(\tau)$ are corresponding to τ_j , ($j = 1, 2, \dots, P$).

Single-run Simulations: To demonstrate the proposed method, the spectra according to Eq.(7) at different laser pulse rates (LPR) are plotted in Fig. 1(a). The Poisson noise is included to the synthesized data. In the simulations, $\tau_1 = 2$ ns, $\tau_2 = 5$ ns, $f_{D1} = f_{D2} = 0.5$, and $M = 1024$. The photons number at the peak is 2000. The equivalent signal to noise ratio (SNR) is 30.9dB. The bias for each curve is due to the Poisson noise.

To estimate the average fluorescence lifetime, the same simulations are carried out with P being set to be 1. The spectra for average fluorescence lifetime at different LPRs are shown in Fig. 1(b).

Fig.1 Spectra of the proposed method

a Spectra for two estimated fluorescence lifetimes, τ_1 and τ_2

b Spectra for the average fluorescence lifetime, τ_{AVE}

Monte Carlo Simulations: Based on the single-run simulations, Monte Carlo simulations were carried out to demonstrate the statistical

performance of the proposed algorithm. We defined the estimation error of the average lifetime similar to Ref [10] as $E \equiv \frac{\tau_{AVE,EST} - \tau_{AVE}}{\tau_{AVE}}$.

The results are plotted in Fig. 2(a). Here, $\tau_1 = 10\text{ns}$, $2\text{ns} \leq \tau_2 \leq 20\text{ns}$, $0.1 \leq f_{D1} \leq 0.9$, and $\text{LPR} = 80\text{MHz}$. Other simulation parameters are the same as those in Fig. 1. Fig. 2(a) shows that the estimation error is significant when $\tau_2 \leq 3\text{ns}$ or $\tau_2 \geq 16\text{ns}$ ($f_{D1} < 0.5$). At other circumstances, the estimation error is negligible ($E < 5\%$). Overall, our method is nearly independent of f_{D1} as long as $3\text{ns} < \tau_2 < 16\text{ns}$. On the other hand, the existing correction method [10] has the fractional error depending on f_{D1} and has a smaller dynamic range ($6\text{ns} < \tau_2 < 14\text{ns}$) for $E < 5\%$. Not only can the proposed method provide a better range for the average lifetime, it can correctly estimate all lifetime components.

To demonstrate how the LPR affects the proposed algorithm, Monte Carlo simulations were carried out. The results are shown in Fig. 2(b). $\tau_1 = 10\text{ns}$, $2\text{ns} \leq \tau_2 \leq 20\text{ns}$, and $f_{D1} = 0.5$. The LPRs = 20MHz, 40MHz, 60MHz and 80MHz, respectively. Other simulation parameters are kept the same as those in Fig. 1. Simulations show that the proposed method is nearly independent of LPRs when $5\text{ns} < \tau_2 < 20\text{ns}$.

Fig.2 Performances of the proposed method

a Estimation error (%) of the τ_{AVE} for bi-exponential decays
b Estimation error (%) of the τ_{AVE} for different LPRs

Finally, Monte Carlo simulations were carried out to demonstrate how the proposed algorithm can estimate all lifetime components. $\tau_1 = 3\text{ns}$ and $\tau_2 = 6\text{ns}$. Other simulation parameters are the same as those in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) shows that the proposed method has the ability to accurately resolve bi-exponential decays when the LPR is between 10MHz to 60MHz. The performance will deteriorate when the LPR is lower than 10MHz or higher than 60MHz. The reason is that at these circumstances, the algorithm needs a higher SNR to obtain accurate estimations. Fig. 3(b) shows similar simulations but without shot noise. It shows that the performance is almost the same for all the LPRs, indicating that the proposed algorithm can accurately estimate individual lifetime if the photon count is large enough.

Fig.3 The estimation error (%) of τ_1 and τ_2

a with shot noise
b without shot noise

Conclusion: In this paper, we proposed a new incomplete decay model. Based on this model, a modified MUSIC algorithm for fluorescence lifetime estimations was presented for resolving fluorescence decays with incomplete decay effects. Compared with the previously reported methods that are only able to resolve the average lifetime, τ_{AVE} , the proposed method can correctly estimate every fluorescence lifetime component, $\tau_j, j = 1, \dots, P$. Simulations also indicate that the proposed method is independent of LPRs and has a larger dynamic range than the previously reported method.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the China Scholarship Council, China, and the Royal Society, UK.

Hongqi Yu (School of Electronic Science and Engineering, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, People's Republic of China)
E-mail: 13755132901@163.com

David Day-Wei Li (Centre for Biophotonics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom)

References

1. Berezin, M.Y. and Achilefu, S., 'Fluorescence Lifetime Measurements and Biological Imaging', *Chemical reviews*, 2010, **110**, (5), pp. 2641-2684.
2. Okabe, K., Inada, N., Gota, C., Harada, Y., Funatsu, T., and Uchiyama, S., 'Intracellular Temperature Mapping with a Fluorescent Polymeric Thermometer and Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy', *Nature communications*, 2012, **3**, p. 705.
3. Okabe, K., Inada, N., Gota, C., Harada, Y., Funatsu, T., and Uchiyama, S., 'Intracellular Temperature Mapping with a Fluorescent Polymeric Thermometer and Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy', *Nat Commun*, 2012, **3**, p. 705.
4. Carlini, L. and Nadeau, J.L., 'Uptake and Processing of Semiconductor Quantum Dots in Living Cells Studied by Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (Flim)', *Chemical Communications*, 2013, **49**, (17), pp. 1714-1716.
5. Barber, P.R., Ameer-Beg, S.M., Gilbey, J.D., Edens, R.J., Ezike, I., and Vojnovic, B., 'Global and Pixel Kinetic Data Analysis for FRET Detection by Multi-Photon Time-Domain Flim', in *Proc. SPIE 5700*, (2005)
6. Li, D., Yang, M.S., Lin, T., Zheng, W., and Qu, J.Y., 'Study of Cadmium-Induced Cytotoxicity Using Two-Photon Excitation Endogenous Fluorescence Microscopy', *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, 2009, **14**, (5), pp. 054028-054028-054028.
7. Lenz, M.O., Brown, A.C.N., Auksoyus, E., Davis, D.M., Dunsby, C., Neil, M.A.A., and French, P.M.W., 'A Sted-Flim Microscope Applied to Imaging the Natural Killer Cell Immune Synapse', in *Proc. SPIE 7903*, (2011)
8. Yu, H. and Li, D.D.-U., 'Fluorescence Lifetime Extraction Algorithm Based on Multiple Signal Classification', *Electronics Letters*, 2015, **51**, (1), pp. 81-83.
9. Hall, P. and Selinger, B., 'Better Estimates of Exponential Decay Parameters', *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 1981, **85**, (20), pp. 2941-2946.
10. Leung, R.W.K., Yeh, S.-C.A., and Fang, Q., 'Effects of Incomplete Decay in Fluorescence Lifetime Estimation', *Biomedical Optics Express*, 2011, **2**, (9), pp. 2517-2531.
11. Schmidt, R.O., 'Multiple Emitter Location and Signal Parameter Estimation', *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on*, 1986, **34**, (3), pp. 276-280.