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Tonic Inhibition of Accumbal Spiny Neurons by Extrasynaptic α4βδ GABA_A Receptors Modulates the Actions of Psychostimulants
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Within the nucleus accumbens (NAc), synaptic GABA_A receptors (GABA_ARs) mediate phasic inhibition of medium spiny neurons (MSNs) and influence behavioral responses to cocaine. We demonstrate that both dopamine D1- and D2-receptor-expressing MSNs (D-MSNs) additionally harbor extrasynaptic GABA_ARs incorporating α4, β, and δ subunits that mediate tonic inhibition, thereby influencing neuronal excitability. Both the selective δ-GABA_AR agonist THIP and D2, a selective positive allosteric modulator, greatly increased the tonic current of all MSNs from wild-type (WT), but not from δ−/− or α4−/− mice. Coupling dopamine and tonic inhibition, the acute activation of D1 receptors (by a selective agonist or indirectly by amphetamine) greatly enhanced tonic inhibition in D1-MSNs but not D2-MSNs. In contrast, prolonged D2 receptor activation modestly reduced the tonic conductance of D2-MSNs. Behaviorally, WT and constitutive α4−/− mice did not differ in their expression of cocaine-conditioned place preference (CPP). Importantly, however, mice with the α4 deletion specific to D1-expressing neurons (α4D1−/−) showed increased CPP. Furthermore, THIP administered systemically or directly into the NAc of WT, but not α4−/− or α4D1−/− mice, blocked cocaine enhancement of CPP. In comparison, α4D2−/− mice exhibited normal CPP, but no cocaine enhancement. In conclusion, dopamine modulation of GABAergic tonic inhibition of D1- and D2-MSNs provides an intrinsic mechanism to differentially affect their excitability in response to psychostimulants and thereby influence their ability to potentiate conditioned reward. Therefore, α4βδ GABA_ARs may represent a viable target for the development of novel therapeutics to better understand and influence addictive behaviors.
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Introduction

The nucleus accumbens (NAc) is an important brain region for understanding additions. Dopaminergic innervation of NAc encouraged dopamine-centered theories of reward and motivation (Robinson and Berridge, 1993; Everitt et al., 2001; Wise, 2004). However, the NAc consists primarily of GABAergic neurons, so elucidating the role of GABA is essential to better comprehend the neurobiology of reward and addiction.

Many of GABA’s effects are mediated by GABA_A receptors (GABA_ARs), which are composed of five subunits drawn from a repertoire of 19 proteins underpinning the expression of multiple receptor isoforms. Subunit composition influences receptor location, pharmacology, and function (Sieghart, 2006; Olsen and Sieghart, 2008; Rudolph and Knoflach, 2011). Determining which GABA_ARs are expressed in the NAc and their role in behavior and addiction is warranted. Medium spiny neurons (MSNs) comprise ~95% of NAc neurons. We demonstrated that NAc core MSNs express synaptic GABA_ARs composed of α2, β, and γ2 subunits, which mediate phasic inhibition and are necessary and sufficient for behavioral sensitization to cocaine (Morris et al., 2008; Dixon et al., 2010). In addition, an involvement of NAc GABA_ARs containing α4 and δ subunits in addictive processes is suggested because suppressing their expression reduced ethanol consumption and preference in rats (Nie et al., 2011; Rewal et al., 2012). Furthermore, chronic cocaine robustly increases α4-subunit gene expression selectively in D1-MSNs (Heiman et al., 2008). In thalamus and hippocampus, α4βδ re-
ceptors are located extra- or perisynaptically and are activated by ambient, or “spillover,” GABA to mediate a tonic conductance, which influences excitability (Bellesi et al., 2005; Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Brickley and Mody, 2012; Herd et al., 2013; Wlodarczyk et al., 2013). However, in the NAc, their location, function, and how they influence addictive behaviors is not known.

MSNs comprise two broad classes expressing dopamine receptor 1 (D1) or 2 (D2), corresponding primarily to the direct and indirect output pathway neurons, respectively (Gerfen et al., 1990; Smith et al., 2013). We reveal that, in mouse NAc, both D1- and D2-MSNs express α4β8 GABAARs that mediate a tonic current, limiting MSN excitability. Acute or prolonged D1-receptor activation by a selective agonist or indirectly by amphetamine greatly increased the tonic conductance of D1-MSNs. In contrast, prolonged D2-receptor activation modestly decreased the corresponding conductance of D2-MSNs. Therefore, α4β8 GABAARs may dynamically contribute to the effects of dopamine and consequently certain drugs of abuse. Mice with a specific deletion of the α4 subunit from D1-expressing neurons showed greater cocaine-induced conditioned place preference (CPP), whereas activation of these receptors by THIP (4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-ol/gaboxadol) selectively in the NAc blocked cocaine enhanced performance. Mice with a specific deletion of the α4 subunit from D2-expressing neurons exhibited normal CPP, but no cocaine enhancement of performance.

In summary, dopamine differentially regulates the activity of α4β8 GABAARs on D1- and D2-MSNs and these receptors mediate dissociable pathway-specific influences on cocaine-facilitated conditioned behaviors. Selective positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of δ-GABAARs (Wafford et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2013), coupled with genetic manipulation, offer new approaches to explore the role of extrasynaptic GABAARs in reward circuitry.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Colonies of mice were maintained at the University of Dundee and the University of Sussex. In both locations, a 12 h light/dark cycle was used, with lights on at 7:00 A.M. and the temperature controlled at 21 ± 2°C and humidity at 50 ± 5%. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 after ethical review by the University of Sussex or the University of Dundee Ethical Review Committee. Constitutive α4 knockout (α4−/−) mice were produced at the University of Sussex by crossing “floxed α4” mice (strain name; B6;129-Gabra4tm1.EY266Gsat/Mmucd and B6.FVB(Cg)-Tg(Drd2-cre)ER44Gsat/J; Jackson Laboratory; Chandra et al., 2006) with Cre-recombinase expressing transgenic mice (strain name; B6;FVB-Tg(Ella-cre)C5379Lmgd/J; Jackson Laboratory). Heterozygous mice were bred to generate α4−/− and wild-type (WT) littermate controls for behavioral experiments. To produce cell-specific knock-out mice, BAC D1- and BAC D2-EGFP mice and their corresponding WT littermate controls for behavioral experiments (WT: “floxed α4” mice and knock-outs α4D1−/− or α4D2−/−: “floxed α4” mice carrying the BAC D1- or D2-CRE transgenes, respectively). For electrophysiological and immunohistochemical studies, the α4−/−, δ−/−, and WT mice were originally generated on a C57BL/6 background at the University of Pittsburgh as described previously (Mihalek et al., 1999; Chandra et al., 2006). Experiments were conducted on the first two generations of WT, α4−/−, and δ−/− breeding pairs derived from the corresponding heterozygous mice bred at the University of Dundee. BAC D1- and BAC D2-EGFP mice (obtained from E. Schmidt, Rockefeller University, New York, NY; Gong et al., 2003) were crossed with C57BL/6j mice. Hemizygous mice were paired with their WT counterparts to produce offspring. At weaning, all mice were genotyped using PCR.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical data were derived from 4 adult (6–8 weeks of age) C57BL/6 male mice, 2 GABAAR δ−/−, 2 GABAAR α4−/−, and 2 hemizygous D1-EGFP or D2-EGFP BAC transgenic mice. The immunohistochemical protocols were as described previously (Corteen et al., 2011). Briefly, anesthetized animals were first transcardially perfused with an 0.9% saline solution for 3 minutes, followed by a 12 minute fixation with a fixative consisting of 1% paraformaldehyde and 15% v/v saturated picric acid in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. The brains were kept in the same fixative solution overnight at 4°C, after which 50-μm-thick coronal sections of the striatum were prepared on a Vibratome.

For antigen retrieval, tissue sections were incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes in 0.1 M PB, followed by 15 minutes in 0.2 M HCl containing 1 mg/ml pepsin (Sigma), and then washed thoroughly in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (TBS-Tx) for 30 min (Watanabe et al., 1998). The nonspecific binding of secondary antibodies was blocked by incubating sections with 20% normal horse serum for 2 hours at room temperature. The tissue sections were incubated with mixtures of primary antibodies (Table 1), diluted in TBS-Tx for 24 hours at 4°C. After washing with TBS-Tx, sections were incubated in a mixture of appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen), indocarbocyanine (Cy3; Jackson Immunoresearch), or indodicarbocyanine (Cy5; Jackson Immunoresearch) for 2 hours at room temperature. Sections were washed in TBS-Tx and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). The specificity of the antisera against the GABAAR subunits used in this study was confirmed in tissue from GABAAR δ−/− or α4−/− mice to confirm the authenticity of the signal under our reaction conditions. Method specificity was also tested by omitting the primary antibodies in the incubation sequence. To confirm the absence of cross reactivity between IgGs in double- and triple-immunolabeling experiments, some sections were processed through the same immunocytochemical sequence except that only an individual primary antibody was applied with the full complement of secondary antibodies.

Image acquisition

Sections were examined with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM710; Zeiss) using either a Plan Apochromatic 63× differential interference contrast (DIC) oil objective (numerical aperture [NA] 1.4) or a Plan Apochromatic 100× DIC oil objective (NA1.46). Z-stacks were used for routine evaluation of the labeling. All images presented represent a single optical section. These images were acquired using sequential acquisition of the different channels to avoid cross talk between fluorophores, with the pinholes adjusted to one airy unit. Images were processed with the software Zen 2009 Light Edition (Zeiss) and exported into Adobe Photoshop. Only brightness and contrast were adjusted for the whole frame and no part of a frame was enhanced or modified in any way.

Brain slice preparation, electrophysiology, and analysis

Coronal slices (300 μm) incorporating the NAc (Dixon et al., 2010) were prepared from adult male (2–6 months) α4−/−, δ−/−, and hemizygous BAC D1- and BAC D2-EGFP mice and their corresponding WT littermates. The tissue was maintained briefly in oxygenated ice-cold solution containing the following (in mM): 126 NaCl, 2.95 KCl, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 10 glucose, and 0.2 EGTA, pH 7.2, 310–320 mOsm, during the acquisition of the different channels to avoid cross talk between fluorophores, with the pinholes adjusted to one airy unit. Images were processed with the software Zen 2009 Light Edition (Zeiss) and exported into Adobe Photoshop. Only brightness and contrast were adjusted for the whole frame and no part of a frame was enhanced or modified in any way.
EGFP. Alternatively, the majority of experiments used D1-EGFP mice and recordings from EGFP-negative cells were presumed to represent D2-expressing MSNs (Ade et al., 2008). In some experiments with non-reporter mice, the identity of MSNs was confirmed by their characteristic firing pattern (delayed, repetitive, nonadapting) in response to current injection in current-clamp experiments (Ade et al., 2008; Santhakumar et al., 2010).

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from MSNs were made at 35°C (−60 mV) using ECS supplemented with strychnine (1 μm), kynurenic acid (2 mM), and tetrodotoxin (TTX; 0.5 μM) to inhibit ionotropic glycine, glutamate receptors, and voltage-gated sodium channels, respectively. In some experiments to study spontaneous IPSCs (sIPSCs), TTX was omitted. Recording electrodes (3–4 MΩ) were filled with a solution containing (in mM): 135 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 1 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, 2 MgSO₄, 145 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1 EGTA, and 5 QX-314, pH 7.2–7.3 with KOH, 290 mOsm. The gramicidin-based perforated patch configuration (Kyrozis and Reichling, 1995) that maintains the physiological transmembrane Cl⁻ gradient was achieved by supplementing the prefilled pipette solution with 12.5–25 μg/ml gramicidin (Sigma-RBI) diluted from a fresh 25 mg/ml stock solution in DMSO. The gramicidin-containing pipette solution was sonicated for 2 minutes before experimentation and further vortexed between each recording attempt. Electrode tips were filled with gramicidin-free solution and subsequently back filled with the antibiotic-containing solution. Perforation was monitored in voltage-clamp mode (holding potential −60 mV) by observing the slow development of capacitive transients in response to −5 mV hyperpolarizing current steps. Full perforation (series resistance <60 MΩ) was generally achieved within 30 minutes. The estimated liquid junction potential of 3.4 mV (calculated using pClamp version 8.2) was left uncorrected. To measure E_GABA, GABA-evoked responses were recorded under voltage-clamp conditions at holding potentials ranging from −100 to −50 mV (10 mV increments). GABA (1 μM) was locally applied to MSNs from a locally positioned (30–40 μm from the cell soma) pipette coupled to a “Picospritzer II” system, allowing pressure ejection of the agonist (10 ms duration, 7–10 psi; General Valve). Pressure ejection of GABA-free extracellular solution confirmed the lack of any stimulus artifact when using these parameters. The RMP of MSNs was subsequently determined in current-clamp conditions and GABA-evoked responses were also acquired, to confirm the E_GABA values determined in voltage-clamp conditions.

Whole-cell current-clamp recordings were made at 30°C in ECS containing no drugs. Patch electrodes were filled with a potassium methane sulfonate-based ICS composed of the following (in mM): 135 KMeSO₄, 10 HEPES, 5 KCl, 5 phosphocreatine, 2 MgCl₂·6H₂O, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.5 Na-GTP, 8 NaCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 0.5 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, and 10 D-glucose.

### Table 1. Antibodies used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibodies</th>
<th>Species (raised in)</th>
<th>Source/code</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Specificity reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DARPP-32 Goat</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology #8483</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline acetyltransferase Goat</td>
<td>Millipore #144P</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; α4 subunit Rabbit</td>
<td>Rabbit #217/7, bleed #04/10/1999</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Knock-out mouse, this study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Werner Sieghart antigen sequence, α&lt;sub&gt;amino&lt;/sub&gt; amino acids 1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Werner Sieghart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antigen sequence α&lt;sub&gt;amino&lt;/sub&gt; amino acids 1-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit #14/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt; δ subunit Rabbit</td>
<td>Bleed #17/04/1997</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Knock-out mouse, this study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kv2.1 Mouse</td>
<td>Neuramob #73-014</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Knock-out mouse, supplier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP-2 Mouse</td>
<td>Aves Labs #MAP</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuriligin 2 Rabbit</td>
<td>Synaptic Systems #129 203</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuriligin 2 Guinea pig</td>
<td>Frontiers Science #Nln2-GP-AP760</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPY Mouse</td>
<td>Sigma WH0004852M1</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvalbumin Mouse</td>
<td>Swant #225</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>Knock-out mouse, supplier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin Mouse</td>
<td>GeneTex #GTX71935</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary antibodies</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Source code</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-rabbit</td>
<td>Fluorophore</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 carboxylic acid</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-goat</td>
<td>Cy3 bis-NHS ester</td>
<td>Cy3 bis-NHS ester</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse</td>
<td>Cy3 bis-NHS ester</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-guinea pig</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor 647 carboxylic acid</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse</td>
<td>Dylight 649-TFP ester</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse</td>
<td>Dylight 649-TFP ester</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the resting membrane potential (RMP) and the GABA<sub>a</sub>-mediated Cl⁻ reversal potential (E<sub>GABA</sub>) of MSNs, perforated patch recordings were performed at 30°C. Patch electrodes were prepared as for voltage-clamp experiments and had open-tip resistances of 4–5 MΩ when filled with a solution containing the following (in mM): 145 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1 EGTA, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.2–7.3 with KOH, 290 mOsm. The gramicidin-based perforated patch configuration (Kyrozis and Reichling, 1995) that maintains the physiological transmembrane Cl⁻ gradient was achieved by supplementing the prefilled pipette solution with 12.5–25 μg/ml gramicidin (Sigma-RBI) diluted from a fresh 25 mg/ml stock solution in DMSO. The gramicidin-containing pipette solution was sonicated for 2 minutes before experimentation and further vortexed between each recording attempt. Electrode tips were filled with gramicidin-free solution and subsequently back filled with the antibiotic-containing solution. Perforation was monitored in voltage-clamp mode (holding potential −60 mV) by observing the slow development of capacitive transients in response to −5 mV hyperpolarizing current steps. Full perforation (series resistance <60 MΩ) was generally achieved within 30 minutes. The estimated liquid junction potential of 3.4 mV (calculated using pClamp version 8.2) was left uncorrected. To measure E_GABA, GABA-evoked responses were recorded under voltage-clamp conditions at holding potentials ranging from −100 to −50 mV (10 mV increments). GABA (1 μM) was locally applied to MSNs from a locally positioned (30–40 μm from the cell soma) pipette coupled to a “Picospritzer II” system, allowing pressure ejection of the agonist (10 ms duration, 7–10 psi; General Valve). Pressure ejection of GABA-free extracellular solution confirmed the lack of any stimulus artifact when using these parameters. The RMP of MSNs was subsequently determined in current-clamp conditions and GABA-evoked responses were also acquired, to confirm the E_GABA values determined in voltage-clamp conditions.
and 0.4 EGTA, pH 7.2 with KOH. Employing this intracellular solution and the ECS described above gives a calculated reversal potential for GABA (E(GABA)) of −71 mV, similar to that obtained in the perforated patch experiments (see Results, Effect THIP and DS2 on MSNs). The liquid junction potential was −11 mV (calculated from pClamp version 10). To estimate the impact of THIP and genotype upon the excitability of NAc MSNs, input-output curves determining the number of action potentials fired in response to current injection were constructed. In current-clamp mode, a stimulus protocol was run to inject current pulses that increased sequentially from +20 pA to +200 pA in 20 pA steps of 400 ms duration. The membrane potential was adjusted to −87 mV (accounting for the junction potential), a value similar to that determined from the perforated patch experiments.

**Behavioral studies**

**Stereotaxic surgery.** Male mice weighing between 20 and 30 g were housed in groups of 2–3 with food and water available *ad libitum*. They were anesthetized with isoflurane and implanted stereotaxically with guide cannulae (26 gauge, 10 mm) directed to NAc (coordinates AP 1.34; L ±1.00; DV −3.20; (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). After surgery, mice were singly housed and underwent a 1 week recovery/habituation period. A secured 200 mm and separated by a central chamber measuring 200 × 200 × 200 mm (Mead et al., 1999). One conditioning chamber was white with meshed metal flooring. The other chamber was black and white (each wall was split along the diagonal, with the top and bottom halves colored black and white, respectively) with a smooth, clear Perspex floor. During the conditioning phase, clear doors were inserted into the outer chambers to restrict movement between chambers via the central chamber. The movement and location of animals was recorded using infrared beam breaks (Mead et al., 1999). Animals received saline injections in their home cages the day before the first experimental session, after which the experiment was divided into three phases. For the preconditioning phase (day 1), mice were allowed free access to the apparatus for 20 minutes, during which time in each chamber was measured to exclude the possibility of a chamber bias. Preconditioning tests of CPP found no bias in preference for the two outer chambers for any genotype in any experiment. For the conditioning phase (days 2–11), mice were administered cocaine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) and confined to one of the outer chambers for 40 minutes. On alternate daily sessions, the mice were administered saline and confined to the other outer chamber. Mice received a total of 10 pairings during the conditioning phase (five with cocaine and five with saline). The side of the chamber assigned to cocaine administration was counterbalanced between mice. For the test phase (days 12–16), on the first test day, mice were allowed free access to the entire chamber for 20 min and time spent in each chamber was recorded for analysis of place conditioning. On the following four test days, mice received THIP or saline 20 min before testing and an injection of cocaine (10 mg/kg) or saline immediately before testing. Drugs were administered in a Latin square design and sessions were recorded for 20 minutes. THIP (or saline) was administered either intraperitonally (8 mg/kg THIP) or intra-accumbally (0.5 µl; 3 mM THIP bilaterally), depending on the experiment.

**Data analysis.** CPP was calculated by subtracting the time spent in the cocaine-paired chamber with the time spent in the vehicle-paired chamber during the test phase (cocaine-saline time). CPP was tested using one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with preconditioning and post-conditioning cocaine-saline time as the dependent variables, and genotype as the between-subjects variable. THIP effects were analyzed separately with two-way ANOVAs with cocaine-saline time for each infusion and injection as the dependent variables and genotype as the between-subjects variable. *Post hoc* comparisons were conducted using Tukey’s test.

**Results**

**Cellular localization of the GABA<sub>R</sub> α4 and δ subunits in NAc**

Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy determined the expression patterns of the GABA<sub>R</sub> α4 and δ subunits within the different cell types that comprise the NAc. Dopamine receptor phospho-protein 32 (DARPP-32), a cytosolic protein enriched in brain regions receiving dopaminergic input (Walaas and Greengard, 1984), was used to identify MSNs and to delineate the ventral striatum, which includes the NAc (Fig. 1). No apparent gradient in the intensity of the GABA<sub>R</sub> α4 and δ subunit immunoreactivity patterns was evident between the NAc core and shell subregions (Fig. 1), in agreement with previous reports exploring the mRNA and protein expression patterns of these two subunits in rats (Wisdien et al., 1992; Pirker et al., 2000). Staining specificity was confirmed in GABA<sub>R</sub> subunit-specific gene-deleted α4<sup>−/−</sup> and δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 1). The voltage-gated ion channel Kv2.1 and microtubule associated protein 2 (MAP-2) were used to visualize the MSN somatic plasma membrane and dendritic arbor, respectively. Both GABA<sub>R</sub> α4 and δ subunit immunoreactivity exhibited extensive colocalization with the membrane-bound signal of Kv2.1, whereas partial colocalization was evident with the cytoplasmically localized MAP-2 and DARPP-32 signal, confirming that these subunits are expressed on both somatic and dendritic surfaces of MSNs (Fig. 2A–C). Using BAC-transgenic mice (Gong et al., 2003) reporting either D1 or D2 receptors by the presence of green fluorescent protein (D1-EGFP or D2-EGFP, respectively), immunoreactivity for the GABA<sub>R</sub> α4 and δ subunits was consistently evident on both populations of MSNs, implying global expression of these proteins on these accumbal projection neurons (Fig. 2D). For MSNs, we found no evidence of GABA<sub>R</sub> δ subunit immunoreactivity colocalized with inhibitory synaptic markers such as gephyrin, the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), or neuregulin-2, suggesting a predominantly extrasynaptic localization (Fig. 2E).

GABA-R<sub>α4</sub> and GABA-R<sub>δ</sub> mediate a tonic conductance

For all NAc core MSNs, the GABA<sub>R</sub> antagonist bicuculline (30 µM; $V_h = −60$ mV, equal $\text{Cl}^-/\text{Cl}^{−}$) induced an outward, “tonic” current (24 ± 2.2 pA, $n = 32$) that was greatly reduced in equivalent neurons derived from either the δ<sup>−/−</sup> (9 ± 1 pA, $n =
In the dorsal striatum of immature mice, the tonic current is greater in D2- compared with D1-MSNs and is primarily mediated by α5 subunit containing GABA_ARs (Ade et al., 2008; Janssen et al., 2009; Santhakumar et al., 2010), whereas for mature mice, it is predominantly caused by δ-GABA_ARs and is greater in D1- compared with D2-MSNs (Santhakumar et al., 2010).

Figure 1. The distribution of GABA_A, α4 and δ subunit immunoreactivity in the ventral striatum closely overlaps with the distribution of medium spiny neurons (MSNs). A, B, Representative images of immunoreactivity for the GABA_A, α4 subunit and DARPP-32 in the ventral striatum, including the core and shell regions of the nucleus accumbens (NAc) in tissue from wild type (WT) and α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice, respectively. A1 shows a regional overview and A2 a magnified view of individual neurons expressing DARPP-32 immunoreactivity. DARPP-32 is only expressed in neurons that express dopamine receptors. Therefore, DARPP-32 immunoreactivity within the NAc is restricted to MSNs and not interneurons, which are likely to be those immunonegative neurons highlighted by the asterisks. A3 and A4 show the corresponding pattern of immunoreactivity for the GABA_A, α4 subunit in the regions represented in A1 and A2. The GABA_A, α4 subunit signal closely correlates with that of DARPP-32 in the NAc, suggesting the widespread expression of the α4 subunit throughout the MSNs of this brain area. Furthermore, there is no discernible variation in the expression of the α4 subunit throughout the NAc subregions. B1 and B2, There were no detectable differences in the immunoreactivity pattern of DARPP-32 in tissue from WT and α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice, respectively. B3 and B4, No specific GABA_A, α4 subunit signal was detected in tissue from GABA_A, α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice. C1 shows a regional overview and C2 a magnified view of individual neurons expressing DARPP-32 immunoreactivity. C3 and C4 show the corresponding pattern of immunoreactivity for the GABA_A, δ subunit in regions represented in C1 and C2. Immunoreactivity for the GABA_A, δ subunit closely correlates with that of DARPP-32 in the NAc, suggesting widespread expression of the δ subunit throughout the MSNs of this brain area. Similar to the α4 subunit, there is no discernible variation in the expression of the δ subunit throughout the NAc. D1 and D2, There were no detectable differences in the immunoreactivity pattern of DARPP-32 in tissue from WT and GABA_A, δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice. D3 and D4, No specific GABA_A, δ subunit signal was detected in tissue from GABA_A, δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Scale bars: A1, A3, B1, B3, C1, C3, D1, D3, 300 μm; A2, A4, B2, B4, C2, C4, D2, D4, 10 μm. ac, Anterior commissure; LV, Lateral ventricle.
Figure 2. Cellular localization of the GABA\_R α4 and δ subunits in MSNs of the NAc core. A1, DARPP-32 immunoreactivity is located within the cytoplasm of putative MSNs. A2, B2, Immunoreactivity for the GABA\_R δ and α4 subunits is clustered on both the somata (arrowheads) and dendritic profiles of DARPP-32-immunoreactive cells. A3, B3, Immunoreactivity for the voltage-gated potassium channel 2.1 (Kv2.1) delineates the somatic and proximal dendritic plasma membranes of putative MSNs. A4, B4, Overlay of A1–A3 and B1–B3 shows that GABA\_R δ and α4 subunit immunoreactive clusters, respectively, colocalize with that of Kv2.1 on DARPP-32-immunoreactive somata, confirming the clustering of the δ and the α4 subunit on the somatic plasma membranes of NAc MSNs. C1, DARPP-32-immunoreactive dendritic profiles colocalize with immunoreactivity for the dendritic marker MAP-2. C2, C3, Numerous GABA\_R δ subunit immunoreactive clusters are located on DARPP-32-immunoreactive dendritic profiles (C2) and on MAP-2 immunoreactive profiles (C3). C4, Overlay of C1–C3 shows extensive clustering of GABA\_R δ subunit immunoreactivity on the dendrites of putative MSNs. D1, D3, Immunoreactivity for GFP in neurons (asterisks) of D1-EGFP and D2-EGFP mice, respectively. D2, D4, Immunoreactivity for the GABA\_R α4 subunit (δ) is localized to somata (arrowheads) of both D1- and D2-EGFP-expressing cells, respectively, confirming the expression of this subunit in both subpopulations of MSNs. The expression pattern of the GABA\_R α4 subunit was indistinguishable from that of the GABA\_R δ subunit. E1, The majority of GABA\_R α2 subunit immunoreactive clusters colocalize with neuroligin-2 (NL2), a cell adhesion protein that is selectively expressed in inhibitory synapses. In contrast, the GABA\_R α4 subunit (E2) and GABA\_R δ subunit (E3) immunoreactive puncta are preferentially apposed to NL2 immunoreactive clusters, suggesting the subunit-specific targeting of GABA\_R subtypes to synaptic and extrasynaptic cell surfaces within the NAc. Scale bars: A, B, D, 5 μm; C, 10 μm; E, 2 μm.
in the GABAAR immunopositive neuron expressing GABAAR

Figure 4.

Figure 3. Cellular localization of the GABA<sub>R</sub> δ subunit in interneurons of the NAc. A1. Immunoreactivity for choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), a marker of cholinergic neurons, is evident in the neuronal soma and dendrites and in numerous varicosities throughout the field of view (FOV). Clustered immunoreactive signal for the GABAAR subunit-immunoreactive clusters (arrowheads) expressed on the ChAT-immunopositive dendrite. The expression pattern of the GABAAR subunit-immunoreactive clusters (arrowheads) is consistent throughout the FOV, including the regions occupied by the ChAT-immunopositive neuron. B1. ChAT-immunoreactive axon terminals are closely associated (arrowheads) with an NPY-immunopositive neuron, although it did influence their frequency (control t = 2.16, p > 0.05, Student’s paired t test), or decay time (control t = 7.7 ± 0.8 ms; DS2 = 7.6 ± 0.7 ms; control n = 5; t<sub>4</sub> = 0.15, p > 0.05, Student’s paired t test), although it did influence their frequency of occurrence (Fig. 5C).

Immunohistochemistry revealed staining for both the α4 and the δ subunit on particular interneurons (Fig. 3), suggesting that α4δβ receptors may also influence quantal GABA release onto accumbal MSNs. Consistent with this, THIP (1 μM) significantly decreased the frequency of both sIPSCs and mIPSCs (t<sub>13</sub> = 7.5, p = 0.001 and t<sub>6</sub> = 3.77, p < 0.01 respectively, Student’s paired t test; Figure 5A, C). In contrast, THIP had no significant effect on the mIPSC frequency of MSNs derived from α4<sup>−/−</sup> or δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice (t<sub>6</sub> = 0.63, p > 0.05, t<sub>5</sub> = 2.1, p > 0.05, respectively, Student’s paired t test; Figure 5B, C). Collectively, these data are consistent with the presence of α4δβ receptors on GABA-ergic interneurons innervating the

2010). In the NAc core, staining for α4 and δ subunits was evident on both D1 and D2 neurons (Fig. 2) and functionally bicuculline (30 μM) produced a similar outward current for both D1-MSNs (41 ± 5 pA, n = 15) and D2-MSNs (30 ± 3 pA; n = 11; t<sub>24</sub> = 1.7, p = 0.1, Student’s unpaired t test; Fig. 4D). Further evidence that both classes of MSNs express δ-GABA<sub>AR</sub>s is provided by the use of THIP and DS2 (see Results, Effect THIP and DS2 on MSNs). In contrast to the tonic current, the amplitude and kinetics of mIPSCs mediated by synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s were not influenced by the deletion of the α4 or the δ subunit (Fig. 4B, Table 2).

Effect of THIP and DS2 on MSNs

The δ-GABA<sub>AR</sub>s selective agonist THIP (1 μM) induced an inward current (−68 ± 8 pA, n = 8) on all MSNs tested, an effect that was greatly reduced for equivalent neurons derived from either the δ−/− (−14 ± 2 pA, n = 6; t<sub>12</sub> = 5.9, p < 0.001, Student’s unpaired t test) or the α4<sup>−/−</sup> mouse (−8 ± 3 pA, n = 9; t<sub>15</sub> = 7.5, p < 0.001, Student’s unpaired t test; Fig. 4C–E). We demonstrated that DS2 acts as a selective positive allosteric modulator of δ-GABA<sub>AR</sub>s and thus enhances the tonic conductance of thalamocortical relay neurons of WT mice, but not of δ−/− mice (Wafford et al., 2009; Herd et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2013). Similarly, DS2 (10 μM) induced an inward current for all WT MSNs (−45 ± 7.8 pA, n = 9), but not those derived from δ−/− (−1.3 ± 0.6 pA, n = 6; t<sub>13</sub> = 4.5, p < 0.001, Student’s unpaired t test) or α4<sup>−/−</sup> (−1 ± 1.4 pA, n = 7; t<sub>14</sub> = 4.8, p < 0.001, Student’s unpaired t test) mice (Fig. 4D,E). Regarding synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s, DS2 (10 μM) had no significant effect on the mIPSC amplitude (control = −70 ± 9 pA; DS2 = −73 ± 6 pA; n = 5; t<sub>4</sub> = 0.18, p > 0.05, Student’s paired t test), rise time (control t = 0.5 ± 0.1 ms; DS2 = 0.5 ± 0.1 ms; n = 5; t<sub>4</sub> = 2.16, p > 0.05, Student’s paired t test), or decay time (control t = 7.7 ± 0.8 ms; DS2 = 7.6 ± 0.7 ms; n = 5; t<sub>4</sub> = 0.15, p > 0.05, Student’s paired t test), although it did influence their frequency of occurrence (Fig. 5C).

Immunohistochemistry revealed staining for both the α4 and the δ subunit on particular interneurons (Fig. 3), suggesting that α4δβ receptors may also influence quantal GABA release onto accumbal MSNs. Consistent with this, THIP (1 μM) significantly decreased the frequency of both sIPSCs and mIPSCs (t<sub>13</sub> = 7.5, p = 0.001 and t<sub>6</sub> = 3.77, p < 0.01 respectively, Student’s paired t test; Figure 5A, C). In contrast, THIP had no significant effect on the mIPSC frequency of MSNs derived from α4<sup>−/−</sup> or δ<sup>−/−</sup> mice (t<sub>6</sub> = 0.63, p > 0.05, t<sub>5</sub> = 2.1, p > 0.05, respectively, Student’s paired t test; Figure 5B, C). Collectively, these data are consistent with the presence of α4δβ receptors on GABA-ergic interneurons innervating the...
MSNs, although, alternatively, the effect on GABA release may result from activation of these receptors on MSN axon collaterals (Koos et al., 2004; van Dongen et al., 2005; Taverna et al., 2008). The positive allosteric modulator D2 also significantly reduced mIPSC and sIPSC frequency in WT MSNs ($t_{(9)} = 5.2, p < 0.01$ and $t_{(9)} = 3.7, p < 0.01$, respectively, Student’s paired $t$ test). The effect of D2 on sIPSC frequency was further investigated for MSNs derived from $\alpha_4^{-/-}$ or $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, in which it was inert ($t_{(7)} = 0.5, p > 0.05$ and $t_{(5)} = 0.17, p > 0.05$, respectively, Student’s paired $t$ test). Collectively, these findings suggest that in the presence of this drug, the levels of ambient GABA are sufficient to activate $\alpha_4\beta_6$ GABA$_A$Rs, which then influence quantal GABA release (Fig. 5C).

To elucidate the effects of THIP on the function of NAc MSNs, we initially performed gramicidin perforated-patch current-clamp experiments to preserve the physiological intracellular Cl$^-$ concentration (Kyrozis and Reichling, 1995). Confirming previous reports (Bracci and Panzeri, 2006; Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011), NAc MSNs exhibited a relatively hyperpolarized RMP ($-90 \pm 1.9$ mV, $n = 9$). Perforated-patch voltage-clamp experiments using focally applied GABA (1 mM) revealed an $E_{\text{GABA}}$ of $-72 \pm 2$ mV ($n = 9$). Therefore, we used an intracellular solution for current-clamp experiments containing a physiological [Cl$^-$] designed to mimic this reversal potential (calculated $E_{\text{GABA}} = -71$ mV). Input-output (I-O) experiments under these conditions revealed $\alpha_4^{-/-}$ neurons to be more excitable than WT, with a leftward shift of the I-O relationship and a corresponding significant decrease of the rheobase ($WT = 125 \pm 10$ pA, $n = 22$; $\alpha_4^{-/-} = 92 \pm 8$ pA, $n = 17$; $t_{(37)} = 6.2, p < 0.001$, Student’s
The effect was G-protein coupled, because equivalent experiments with the D1 agonist, the acute application of the D1 antagonist SCH23390 (10 μM) had no effect on the tonic current (ΔI = -33 ± 5 pA), n = 7; Fig. 7A, B). The effect was G-protein coupled, because equivalent experiments performed with intracellular GDP-βS (0.5 mM) in the recording pipette greatly reduced the effect of SKF81297 (10 μM; ΔI = -10 ± 4 pA; n = 6; t(6) = 3.3, p < 0.01, unpaired t-test; Fig. 7A). The effect of the D1 agonist on the tonic current was well maintained because the application of bicuculline (30 μM) to D1-MSNs previously incubated in SKF81297 (10 μM) for >1 hour revealed a much greater outward current (100 ± 18 pA; n = 6) than equivalent control D1-MSNs (41 ± 5 pA, n = 15; t(15) = 4.3, p < 0.001, Student’s unpaired t-test; Fig. 7A). In contrast to the D1 agonist, the acute application of the D1 antagonist SCH23390 (10 μM; n = 4) had no effect on the tonic current of D1-MSNs, suggesting that the ambient concentration of dopamine in the slice was insufficient to influence the tonic current (Fig. 7A).

We next explored whether blocking the dopamine transporter by the psychostimulant cocaine would raise local extracellular dopamine to a level sufficient to influence the tonic conductance of D1-MSNs. However, using this in vitro paradigm, the bath application of cocaine (5 μM) had no effect on the tonic current (ΔI = -2 ± 4 pA; n = 6). In addition to blocking dopamine reuptake, amphetamine also causes displacement of this neurotransmitter from dopaminergic nerve terminals (Fleckenstein et al., 2007). Therefore, we investigated whether amphetamine might increase extracellular dopamine sufficiently to influence the tonic conductance of D1 neurons. In common with the D1 receptor agonist, application of amphetamine (10 μM) caused an inward current (ΔI = -26 ± 5 pA, n = 7) on D1-MSNs within a few minutes of being introduced to the slice (Fig. 7A). This effect of the psychostimulant was prevented by the coapplication of the D1 antagonist SCH23390 (10 μM; ΔI = -4 ± 4 pA, n = 4; t(9) = 2.9, p < 0.05, Student’s unpaired t-test; Fig. 7A), demonstrating that amphetamine indirectly influences the GABA<sub>A</sub>R-mediated tonic current of D1-MSNs by increasing the ambient dopamine concentration, which in turn activates D1 receptors.

Acute SKF81297 (10 μM) applied to D1-MSNs or quinpirole (10 μM) applied to D2-MSNs had no effect on the amplitude, kinetics, or frequency of mIPSCs mediated by synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s (Table 3). Therefore, the dopamine receptor signaling pathway appears to selectively influence extrasynaptic, but not synaptic, GABA<sub>AR</sub>s.

**α4β6 receptors are involved in conditioned reward**

Given the distribution of α4β6 receptors on MSNs and their functional influence on neuronal excitability, we explored the consequences of deleting the α4 subunit on the rewarding effects of psychostimulants through CPP. The ability of cocaine to support the formation of CPP (Fig. 8) was unaltered by a constitutive deletion of the α4 subunit. Both WT and α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice demonstrated an equal preference for the cocaine-paired chamber (Fig. 8A, main effect of conditioning: drug free, F(1,20) = 82.49, p < 0.001; saline/saline test, F(1,20) = 12.70, p < 0.001, and Fig. 8C, main effect of conditioning: drug free, F(1,14) = 41.53, p < 0.001; saline/saline test, F(1,14) = 12.98, p < 0.001). A challenge injection of cocaine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) given immediately before the prefer-
ence test significantly increased time in the cocaine-paired chamber compared with mice given saline (Fig. 8A, main effect of cocaine: $F_{1,20} = 18.65, p < 0.001$, and Fig. 8C, main effect of cocaine: $F_{1,14} = 15.38, p < 0.001$). To determine whether $\alpha 4\beta 6$ GABA A Rs may influence this effect, systemic THIP (8 mg/kg, i.p.) was administered 20 min before CPP testing. There was no effect of THIP alone on the time spent in the cocaine-paired chamber, although THIP did block the cocaine enhancement of
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**Figure 6.** Deletion of the $\alpha 4$ subunit increases the excitability of accumbal MSNs and suppresses the inhibitory effect of THIP. **Ai, Bi.** Representative whole-cell current-clamp recordings of action potentials induced in response to current injection (100, 140, 180 pA; 400 ms duration) of MSNs from WT and $\alpha 4^{-/-}$ mice together with the associated input/output (I/O) curves. **Ai, Bi,** the number of action potentials discharged plotted as a function of the magnitude of the current injected examined over a greater range of current injections (20–200 pA, 20 pA increments). For all experiments, current injection was used to standardize the RMP of the MSN to $-87$ mV, a value similar to that determined from the gramicidin perforated-patch experiments (i.e., $-90$ mV). The intracellular solution for current-clamp experiments contained a physiological [Cl$^{-}$] designed to mimic the GABA reversal potential (calculated $E_{\text{GABA}} = -71$ mV) we obtained experimentally. Inspection of the representative control recordings in **Ai and Bi** reveals deletion of the $\alpha 4$ subunit, which decreases the tonic current to increase neuronal excitability in response to current injection. **C.** This feature is reinforced by the leftward shift of the I/O curve for MSNs derived from $\alpha 4^{-/-}$ mice (**A**) compared with WT (**B**). Data were obtained from 17 and 22 MSNs, respectively ($^*p < 0.05$; Student’s unpaired t test). **Ai, Bi,** For WT MSNs, THIP (1 $\mu$M) increased the current required to elicit action potentials. **Ai,** The data obtained from nine paired cells (before and after THIP) is summarized as an I/O curve. For WT MSNs, the plot reveals THIP (▲) to cause a shift to the right of this relationship, indicating a reduced excitability compared with control ($^*p < 0.05$; **$^*p < 0.01$ vs control by Student’s paired t test). **Bi, Bi,** In contrast, THIP had no such effects on MSNs derived from $\alpha 4^{-/-}$ mice.
CPR, an effect completely absent in α4−/− mice (Fig. 8A, genotype by cocaine by THIP interaction: $F_{(1,29)} = 12.40, p < 0.01$). To investigate whether accumbal α4β6 GABAARs mediate this suppressant effect of THIP, mice were cannulated and tested in the same paradigm. Intra-accumbal THIP had no effect on the expression of CPR, but in common with the systemic dose, blocked cocaine-enhanced CPR in WT, but not α4−/− mice (Fig. 8C, genotype by cocaine by THIP interaction: $F_{(1,14)} = 6.12, p < 0.05$). Therefore, we have revealed a role for accumbal α4β6 GABAARs in opposing the actions of cocaine on the enhancement of CPR.

Importantly, both D1- and D2-MSNs express functional α4β6 GABAARs, so simultaneous activation of these receptors on both cell populations might mask pathway-specific roles of tonic inhibition. Therefore, we tested CPR in mice with the α4 subunit selectively deleted from cells expressing either D1 or D2 receptors, allowing us to dissociate roles that might be attributable to α4β6 GABAARs on one or other of the two MSN populations. The WT mice (“floxed α4” mice) performed similarly to the WT mice in the previous experiments (compare Figs. 9, 8, respectively), confirming that the manipulations of the $gabra4$ gene required to make the cell specific deletions were behaviorally silent (introduction of LoxP sites; Chandra et al., 2006). Importantly, CPR performance of the cell-specific knock-outs differed from the constitutive knock-outs. Mice with the α4 subunit selectively ablated from D1-expressing neurons (α4 D1−/−) showed greater CPR to cocaine (Fig. 9A, main effect of genotype: drug-free, $F_{(1,18)} = 6.61, p < 0.05$, and saline/saline test, $F_{(1,18)} = 9.86, p < 0.001$), whereas performance in the mice with the α4 subunit selectively deleted from D2-containing neurons (α4 D2−/−) was unaffected (Fig. 9C, main effect of conditioning: drug-free, $F_{(1,18)} = 54.35, p < 0.001$, and saline/saline test, $F_{(1,18)} = 43.73, p < 0.001$). As with the previous WT mice, a cocaine challenge during testing enhanced CPR in these WT mice (Fig. 9A, main effect of cocaine: $F_{(1,18)} = 22.44, p < 0.001$) and this effect could be blocked by the co-administration of THIP (8 mg/kg, i.p.). However, in common with the constitutive α4−/− mice, the α4 D1−/− mice exhibited an enhancement of CPR by cocaine administered in the test phase that was not blocked by THIP (Fig. 9A, genotype by cocaine by THIP injection interaction, $F_{(1,18)} = 7.33, p < 0.01$). Although the α4 D2−/− mice showed similar cocaine CPR performance to WTs (Fig. 9C), they showed no cocaine enhancement of CPR (Fig. 9C, genotype by cocaine by THIP injection interaction, $F_{(1,18)} = 18.31, p < 0.001$). Finally, THIP had no effect alone on expression of CPR in any genotype and no effect in combination with cocaine in the α4 D2−/− mice (Fig. 9C, genotype by cocaine by THIP injection interaction, $F_{(1,18)} = 9.12, p < 0.01$).

Locomotor activity was measured during the CPR testing sessions (Figs. 8B,D, 9B,D). No differences in locomotor activity were observed across genotypes or with THIP administration. Cocaine increased activity across genotypes and conditions (main effect of cocaine, Fig. 8B: $F_{(1,20)} = 96.28, p < 0.001$, 8D: $F_{(1,14)} = 68.02, p < 0.001$, 9B, $F_{(1,18)} = 77.56, p < 0.001$) 9D $F_{(1,18)} = 88.50, p < 0.001$ and this increase was unaffected by THIP.

**Table 3.** D1 and D2 receptor agonists do not influence the mIPSCs of D1- or D2-MSNs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D1-MSN control (n = 6)</th>
<th>D1-MSN 10 μM SKF81297 (n = 6)</th>
<th>D2-MSN control (n = 5)</th>
<th>D2-MSN 10 μM quinpirole (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak amp (pA)</td>
<td>−80 ± 12</td>
<td>−71 ± 10</td>
<td>−64 ± 8</td>
<td>−63 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time (ms)</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r2 (ms)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Previous studies of GABA<sub>A</sub>-mediated inhibition in the NAc have focused on synaptic receptors (Morris et al., 2008; Dixon et al., 2010); however, reducing NAc α4 or δ subunit expression also alters drug taking (Nie et al., 2011; Rewal et al., 2012). In thalamus and hippocampus, α4δ-GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs are expressed peri- or extrasynaptically and mediate a tonic conductance (Belelli et al., 2005; Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Brickley and Mody, 2012; Herd et al., 2013; Wlodarczyk et al., 2013). In the NAc, α4 and δ subunit staining was evident in the core and shell regions of the cell body and dendrites of both D1- and D2-MSNs. Functionally, a tonic current was evident for both D1- and D2-MSNs, which was greatly reduced by deletion of the α4 or the δ subunit, manipulations that had no effect on the properties of mIPSCs mediated by synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Consistent with this, in MSNs, α4 or δ subunit staining showed limited or no colocalization, respec-

Figure 8. Conditioned place preference (CPP) and locomotor activity in α4 constitutive knock-out mice. A, Cocaine induced a significant CPP in both WT (n = 11) and constitutive α4<sup>−/−</sup> (n = 11) mice under drug-free and saline (saline/saline) conditions. Systemic THIP had no effect on preference in either genotype (THIP/saline). A challenge dose of cocaine increased preference in both genotypes (saline/cocaine) and THIP blocked the cocaine enhancement in WT, but not α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice (THIP/cocaine). B, WT and α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice did not significantly differ in locomotor activity during CPP testing and activity was potentiated by a cocaine challenge in both genotypes. Systemic THIP (8 mg/kg) did not alter activity during testing in either genotype. C, As in A, cocaine induced a significant CPP in both WT (n = 8) and constitutive α4<sup>−/−</sup> (n = 8) mice under drug-free and saline conditions. Intra-accumbal THIP had no effect on preference in either genotype. Again, a challenge dose of cocaine enhanced preference in both genotypes. This effect was blocked by intra-accumbal THIP in WT, but not in α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice. D, As in B, WT and α4<sup>−/−</sup> mice did not significantly differ in locomotor activity during CPP testing and were both equally potentiated by a cocaine challenge. Intra-accumbal infusion of 3 mM THIP did not alter activity during testing in either genotype. E, Cannulae placements for intra-accumbal infusion in C and D. *p < 0.05, post hoc comparisons.
tively, with the synaptic markers gephyrin, VGAT, or neuroligin-2. Both the δ-GABA$_A$R-selective PAM DS2 and the selective agonist THIP, induced a large inward current for all MSNs, that was negated or substantially reduced for equivalent recordings from δ$^{-/-}$ or α4$^{-/-}$ neurons, further supporting the expression of these receptors in both D1- and D2-MSNs. Functionally, THIP decreased MSN excitability in WT mice, but not α4$^{-/-}$ mice, whereas α4 subunit deletion increased basal excitability.

Staining for α4 and δ subunits was additionally evident in PV-, ChAT-, and NPY-expressing interneurons. In ChAT and NPY interneurons, expression was primarily restricted to dendritic or somatic plasma membranes, respectively. In contrast, for PV-expressing interneurons, immunoreactivity was primarily cytoplasmic, suggesting that α4βδ-GABA$_A$Rs in these neurons may be targeted to cell surfaces other than those on somata and dendrites (e.g., presynaptic terminals). The localization of interneuronal α4βδ GABA$_A$Rs suggests that, in common with VTA interneurons, they influence neurotransmitter release (Xiao et al., 2007; Vashchinkina et al., 2012). Indeed, THIP reduced the frequency of mIPSCs and sIPSCs of WT, but not δ$^{-/-}$ or α4$^{-/-}$ MSNs. However, such effects could reflect receptor activity located on axon collaterals forming synapses on the MSNs (Koos et al., 2004; van Dongen et al., 2005; Taverna et al., 2008). DS2 also reduced sIPSC frequency of WT, but not of α4$^{-/-}$ or δ$^{-/-}$ MSNs. Because DS2 is an allosteric modulator, this suggests that, in the presence of the drug, ambient GABA concentrations are sufficient to activate these upstream α4βδ GABA$_A$Rs and influence GABA release.

A role for these receptors in opposing cocaine’s effects was revealed by a THIP-induced reduction of cocaine-enhanced CPP in WT, but not constitutive α4$^{-/-}$ mice. The systemic effects of THIP are complex, because it will activate other δ-GABA$_A$R (e.g., in thalamus, hippocampus, VTA) and at high doses may engage additional GABA$_A$R isoforms (Mortensen et al., 2010). Nevertheless, in this study, the sedative effects of THIP given intraperitoneally (Herd et al., 2009; Vashchinkina et al., 2012) should not affect CPP performance. First, THIP was administered 20 minutes before the CPP test, and under these conditions, we did not detect an effect of THIP on locomotor activity during the CPP experiment. Second, locomotor activity can be inversely proportional to CPP magnitude (Gremel and Cunningham, 2007), so a small sedative effect of systemic THIP might be expected to increase CPP. Here, THIP either had no effect or reduced CPP. We therefore attribute its actions to a direct effect on CPP. This effect of systemic THIP was specific, because the suppression of cocaine CPP was evident in WT, but not in α4$^{-/-}$ mice. Importantly, infusion of THIP directly into the NAc increased basal excitability of MSNs, revealing that, in this behavior, accumulation of α4βδ GABA$_A$Rs is the principal site of action of systemic THIP.

Our electrophysiological studies revealed the actions of THIP in the NAc to be complex, influencing GABA release and activating extrasynaptic receptors of MSNs. Functionally, the predominant effect of THIP is probably a suppression of MSN excitability due to activation of extrasynaptic α4βδ receptors on the MSN causing an associated decreased input resistance.
However, because δ-GABA4Rs are expressed on both D1- and D2-MSNs, THIP will decrease the ability of excitatory inputs to generate an action potential in the MSN output projections of both the indirect and direct pathways. Because these MSN subtypes can exert opposing actions on certain psychostimulant-induced behaviors (Hikida et al., 2010; Lobo et al., 2010; Beutler et al., 2011; Ferguson et al., 2011), tonic inhibition via α4β8-GABA4Rs might cause quite different effects via these two output pathways. To probe pathway-specific effects of α4β8-GABA4Rs, we investigated cocaine CPP in mice with the α4 subunit ablated specifically from either D1- or D2-expressing neurons. Although this strategy also targets other D1- or D2-expressing neuronal populations (e.g., cortex, dorsal striatum), our experiments using THIP administered into NAc strongly implicate accumbal α4β8-GABA4Rs in cocaine’s ability to enhance CPP, thus allowing a potential dissociation of the roles of α4β8-GABA4Rs on D1 or D2-MSN output pathways of the striatum. Deleting the α4 subunit from D1-neurons facilitated conditioning, as evidenced by more time spent in the cocaine-paired chamber during the test phase. This result agrees with a classic model of striatal processing, suggesting that activation of the direct pathway is rewarding (Kravitz and Kreitzer, 2012). Optogenetic stimulation of the direct pathway neurons in the NAc during training enhances cocaine-CPP (Lobo et al., 2010). Here, by decreasing tonic GABAergic inhibition and thereby increasing D1-MSN excitability, mice similarly showed greater cocaine-CPP.

Dopamine actions on D1-MSNs are complex, causing both excitatory and opposing inhibitory effects on the activity of various receptors and ion channels (Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011). Now adding further complexity, we demonstrate that the GABAergic tonic current in D1-MSNs is dynamically increased by D1 receptor activation, achieved directly by a selective agonist, or indirectly by amphetamine, thereby limiting excitatory effects of dopamine. The enhanced tonic current was prevented by blocking G-protein coupling by intracellular GDP-βs and therefore was unlikely to be caused indirectly (e.g., modifying GABA release), but occurred within the D1-MSN. In contrast, prolonged, but not acute, D2 receptor activation caused a modest decrease of the tonic conductance of D2-MSNs. A similar differential effect of dopamine receptor activation on the tonic conductance of D1- and D2-MSNs occurs in the dorsal striatum, reflecting the distinct effects of these receptors on protein kinase A (Jansen et al., 2009).

Behaviorally, the role of tonic inhibition was revealed by deletion of the α4 subunit selectively from D1- and D2-expressing neurons. The D1 neuron deletion enhanced cocaine-CPP, presumably reflecting an increase in D1-MSN excitability. Therefore, D1-MSN α4β8-GABA4Rs may act as an immediate homeostatic control to prevent excessive neuronal excitation by dopamine. Interestingly, chronic cocaine induced an upregulation of the gabra4 gene specifically in D1-MSNs (Heiman et al., 2008), suggesting that in addition to providing a short-term homeostatic role when excessively stimulated by dopamine, expression of α4β8-GABA4Rs may be increased, thereby strengthening an inhibitory “brake” on D1-MSNs. The cocaine enhancement of CPP is unlikely to be due to the locomotor-activating properties of the drug, because increased activity might interfere with the expression of CPP (Gremel and Cunningham, 2007). Instead, the interoceptive properties of cocaine may be increasing the salience of the CPP context and consequently increasing the expression of conditioned reward (Cunningham and Noble, 1992; Bespalov et al., 1999). This acute cocaine effect was blocked in WT mice by intra-accumbal THIP and is presumably mediated by α4β8-GABA4Rs on D1-expressing neurons, because the agonist is inert in both constitutive α4−/− mice and in α4101−/− mice. Interestingly, in α4102−/− mice, the cocaine enhancement of CPP is absent. In vitro, prolonged, but not acute, D2 receptor activation produced a modest decrease of the D2-MSN tonic current, presumably thereby increasing D2-MSN excitability. The deletion of the α4 subunit will further increase the likelihood of firing in these D2-MSNs. That THIP did not decrease CPP in the absence of cocaine suggests that this increase in firing is expressed only when there is a robust increase in synaptic dopamine, such as that which occurs with psychostimulants. With regard to the cocaine enhancement of CPP, ablation of α4β8-GABA4Rs from D1 neurons may predominate in the phenotype of constitutive α4−/−, because the α4101−/− mice show the same phenotype. Therefore, either the D2-neuron α4β8-GABA4R effect on cocaine enhancement of preference is upstream of the D1 effect or the effects on the direct pathway simply dominate those of the indirect pathway in the constitutive knock-out. Collectively, these data suggest that the major role of α4β8-GABA4Rs in controlling CPP performance is the regulation of D1-MSN firing.

In conclusion, α4β8 GABA4Rs expressed on NAc D1-MSNs mediate tonic inhibition that is enhanced by a D1 receptor agonist or by amphetamine. Behaviorally, accumbal activation of these receptors opposes cocaine enhancement of CPP and their absence from D1-expressing neurons enhances cocaine-CPP. We speculate that α4β8-GABA4Rs of D1-MSNs act to limit the overall excitatory actions of dopamine by providing an inhibitory “brake.” Collectively, these studies identify α4β8 GABA4Rs as a potential therapeutic target for influencing addictive behaviors.
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