

The MINOS light-injection calibration system

Article (Published Version)

Adamson, P, Alner, J, Anderson, B, Chase, T, Dervan, P J, Durkin, T, Falk, E, Harris, P G, Michael, D G, Morse, R, Nichol, R, Saakyan, R, Smith, C, Smith, P N, Thomas, J et al. (2002) The MINOS light-injection calibration system. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 492 (3). pp. 325-343. ISSN 0168-9002

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/25882/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.



ELSEVIER

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 492 (2002) 325–343

**NUCLEAR
INSTRUMENTS
& METHODS
IN PHYSICS
RESEARCH**
Section A

www.elsevier.com/locate/nima

The MINOS light-injection calibration system

P. Adamson^{a,1}, J. Alner^b, B. Anderson^c, T. Chase^d, P.J. Dervan^{c,2}, T. Durkin^b,
E. Falk^a, P.G. Harris^{a,*}, D.G. Michael^e, R. Morse^a, R. Nichol^c, R. Saakyan^c,
C. Smith^c, P.N. Smith^c, J. Thomas^c, R. Webb^f, R.F. White^a

^aDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

^bRutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot OX11 0QX, UK

^cDepartment of Physics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

^dMechanical Engineering Department, University of Minnesota, 111 Church St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

^eCalifornia Institute of Technology High Energy Physics, Charles C. Lauritsen Laboratory, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

^fTexas A & M University Physics Department, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA

Received 15 April 2002; accepted 5 July 2002

Abstract

A description is given of the light-injection calibration system that has been developed for the MINOS long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment. The system is based upon pulsed blue LEDs monitored by PIN photodiodes. It is designed to measure non-linearities in the PMT gain curves, as well as monitoring any drifts in PMT gain, at the 1% level. © 2002 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

PACS: 29.40.Vj; 29.40.Mc

Keywords: Light injection; Calibration; LED; PMT; MINOS

1. MINOS overview

The MINOS experiment [1] will investigate the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations [2–4] by sending a beam of muon neutrinos 735 km through the Earth from the Fermi National

Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL), near Chicago, to a detector in the Soudan Underground Mine State Park in northern Minnesota. The experiment actually uses three detectors:

- (1) The near detector at FNAL will determine the characteristics of the neutrino beam before it begins its journey. Only neutrino events and cosmic ray muons are measured here; there is no “test beam” available.
- (2) The far detector, situated 713 m underground in the Soudan mine, where the neutrino beam emerges from the Earth. Like the near detector, this measures only neutrino events and cosmic ray muons.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-1273-606755; fax: +44-1273-677196.

E-mail address: p.g.harris@sussex.ac.uk (P.G. Harris).

¹Present address: Department of Physics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

²Present address: Department of Physics & Astronomy, Hicks Building, University of Sheffield, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK.

- (3) The calibration detector at CERN is a small detector, similar in structure to both near and far detectors, that is being exposed to particle beams at CERN to study its response to electrons, muons and hadrons of known energies.

2. MINOS detectors

The MINOS far detector is an 8 m wide octagonal tracking calorimeter, consisting of 486 layers of 1-in.-thick steel interleaved with scintillator, giving a total mass of 5.4 k ton. It has a toroidal magnetic field of strength approximately 1.3 T.

Each plane of scintillator consists of 192 strips, each 4 cm wide and 1 cm thick with a co-extruded TiO₂ cladding to maximise internal reflection. Light from these strips is wavelength-shifted from blue to green and carried within acrylic fibres [5] to Hamamatsu [6] multi-anode photomultiplier tubes situated around the edge of the detector. Scintillator strips are in orthogonal directions in alternate planes. This gives a 3D readout with an energy resolution σ/E of approximately $23\%/\sqrt{E}$ for electromagnetic showers and $55\%/\sqrt{E}$ for hadronic showers. The magnetic field allows measurement of the muon momentum by curvature (14% resolution for a 10 GeV muon) as well as by range for stopping muons (giving approximately 6% resolution).

2.1. Calorimeter energy calibration

In order to measure the neutrino mixing parameters Δm^2 and $\sin^2(2\theta)$ precisely, the energy response of the detectors has to be calibrated to within a few percent. The goal is a 5% absolute measurement of the energy in each detector, and consistency to within 2% between the near and far detectors. This is carried out in several stages [7]:

- A light-injection calibration system is required to monitor, and to compensate for, changes in amplification (and non-linearity) of the photo-

multiplier tubes used in the detectors. The light-injection system is the principal subject of this paper.

- Cosmic-ray muons are used to perform the strip-to-strip calibration within each detector, and the relative calibration between the near and far detectors [8,9]. The strip-to-strip calibrations do not require a high statistical precision ($\sim 10\%$ /strip/month), as both muon tracks and hadronic showers pass through many strips, and relative calibration errors will therefore tend to average out. There are expected to be about 530 cosmic-ray hits/strip/month in the far detector, giving an accuracy of 2.4% /strip/month, and about 25,000 hits/strip/month in the near detector, giving an accuracy there of 0.3% /strip/month.

Stopping muons can be used to provide the relative calibration of near to far detectors. There are estimated to be 700 stopping muons per day in the far detector, and two orders of magnitude more than that in the near detector. This yields a 1% statistical precision for the near vs. far detector calibration each day.

- A study to compare the energy deposition by muons with that from hadrons and hadronic showers is being carried out with the calibration detector in a charged-particle test beam at CERN.

2.2. Optical readout

The MINOS readout chain is illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. A 1.2 mm Kuraray Y11 wavelength-shifting fibre [5] lies in a groove along the length of each scintillator strip. Between 16 and 28 such strips are enclosed in a light-tight aluminium case, known as a module. There are eight modules in a full plane.

The wavelength-shifting fibres run to a connector at the end of the aluminium case. Clear fibres [10] then carry the light to boxes containing Hamamatsu multi-anode photomultiplier tubes.

For the far detector, Hamamatsu M16 photomultipliers [11] are used (see Fig. 2), with fibres from eight separate scintillator strips feeding each PMT pixel. This “optical multiplexing”, which provides a substantial saving in the cost of readout




Fig. 1. Signal readout path from scintillator to electronics in MINOS. The green acrylic wavelength-shifting fibre lies within a groove running down the centre of the scintillator strip. Light is carried within this fibre to the edge of the detector, and then via clear acrylic fibre to the photomultiplier tube.

electronics, is possible because the event rate at the far detector is so low that there will never be more than one event in the detector at any one time. The typical width of a shower event in MINOS is 50 cm, so the 1 m spacing between strips illuminating a single pixel is appropriate. The multiplexing pattern is slightly different on each side of the detector in order to aid deconvolution.

The far detector readout electronics uses a modified version of the Viking VA chip [12] made by IDE AS of Norway [13]. This is an integrated circuit containing 32 channels of shaping amplifiers and track-and-hold circuitry. When triggered, each channel is digitised in turn. The data are then transferred to VME readout control crates, with single-board computers running the VX-Works real-time operating system.

Instantaneous event rates in the near detector will be 5×10^5 higher than in the far detector. The near detector therefore uses QIE [14] electronics, a high-speed, multi-ranging ADC system with no dead time. Its principal difference from the far detector electronics is that it divides input signals into 19 ns time buckets, and digitises each separately. These separate digitisations are recombined during the event-building process. The light-injection calibration system incorporates an external trigger that can be used to produce optical pulses at different starting positions within the 19 ns time bucket, in order to investigate any possible systematic differences in response at the bucket boundaries.




Fig. 2. The face of a Hamamatsu R5600-M16 multi-anode photomultiplier as used in the far detector. Photograph courtesy K. Lang.

The near detector is not optically multiplexed (although its muon spectrometer section is electronically multiplexed). It uses Hamamatsu M64 (64-anode) photomultiplier tubes, and has a total of 12,000 readout channels. Unlike the far detector, only one end of each strip is read out: the other end is terminated with a reflector to maximise the detected light. The calibration detector will use both near and far detector

readout systems, in order to carry out a systematic comparison of the responses of each.

3. Light-injection system overview

The light-injection system is based upon pulsed blue light-emitting diodes (LEDs). A rack-mounted box, known as a “pulser box”, contains a set of 20 LEDs, each of which has an optical fan-out allowing it to illuminate multiple individual fibres. These fibres carry light to a set of optical connectors on the back panel of the pulser box.

From the pulser box, optical fibres carry the light to the outer edges of the MINOS detector. A set of highly reflective cavities situated there—the “light-injection modules” (LIM)—allows the blue LED light to illuminate the green wavelength-shifting fibres, thus producing pulses of light that mimic the signals from the scintillator.

The intensity of injected light is monitored by PIN photodiodes that are read out simultaneously with the PMTs.

In the following section the requirements of and constraints upon the light-injection system are discussed, after which each component of the system is examined in detail.

4. Light-injection system requirements

4.1. Aims

The purpose of the light-injection system is fourfold:

- (1) *Mapping the gain curve*: The response of the photomultiplier tubes becomes non-linear at light levels exceeding about 100 photoelectrons [11]. The light-injection system is designed to be able to characterise the response of every optical readout channel on a regular basis (up to once per month in routine operation).
- (2) *Monitoring short-term gain drifts*: The gain of a photomultiplier tube is sensitive to small changes in temperature and in applied high voltage. By injecting light at a single point on

the gain curve on an hourly basis, any resulting changes in gain can be monitored closely and compensated for.

- (3) *Evaluating PMT gain*: Pulsing at very low light levels allows the acquisition of single photoelectron spectra for each channel, from which the gain can easily be calculated.
- (4) *Confirming optical path integrity*: The light-injection system provides a quick and thorough check to ensure that no fibres are broken.

4.2. Design constraints

A number of constraints were imposed upon the design of the light-injection system:

- (1) The amount of light applied to any pixels on a given PMT that are illuminated simultaneously should differ by no more than a factor of two. This helps to avoid excessive non-linearities and possible problems from crosstalk when injecting light towards the top end of the dynamic range. It is also useful in reducing the amount of data required in order to cover the dynamic range for all pixels, as one does not then have dimly and brightly illuminated pixels that require separate calibration operations at different levels of LED brightness. (In fact this was not a strict requirement, and it is occasionally violated).
- (2) The M16s used on the far detector are multiplexed, with eight fibres carrying light to each pixel. No two fibres on any pixel may be illuminated simultaneously. Adjacent pixels should also be avoided if possible, to minimise crosstalk problems.
- (3) The dynamic range of light injected must range from a fraction of a photoelectron up to several hundred photoelectrons on all pixels. Furthermore, this dynamic range should be covered with a step size of the order of one photoelectron.
- (4) The relative levels of light injected must be measured by a separate device having a response that is linear to 1%, in order to characterise any non-linear response of the

readout chain. PIN photodiodes were selected for this purpose.

- (5) The response of the PIN photodiodes must be stable to within 1% over the timescale of a month.
- (6) The relative arrival times of the optical pulses at each PMT must be stable to within approximately a nanosecond.

5. Light-emitting diodes

5.1. LEDs vs. lasers

Previous experiments needing light-injection calibration [15–17] of the type required for MINOS have often used scintillator excited by pulsed lasers, with a variety of neutral-density filters to provide the required dynamic range in light intensity. The development over recent years of the so-called “ultra-bright” blue LEDs enabled a simpler, solid-state system to be considered. Lasers retain three advantages: they are several orders of magnitude brighter than even the brightest LEDs; the pulses are extremely fast, which can better mimic the pulses produced by the passage of charged particles through scintillator; and the spectrum of light produced by the scintillator is almost identical for data and for calibration pulses. For MINOS, however, these possible benefits of lasers were outweighed by the many other advantages of LEDs. LEDs exhibit minimal pulse-to-pulse fluctuations in intensity (see Section 6.2), so the response of the PMT is dominated entirely by photoelectron statistics. In an LED-based system, there are no moving parts to wear out or break, so the system is appropriately robust for long-term remote operation. In addition, there are no laser parts requiring regular replacement, servicing or gas supplies; the light intensity can be changed by software, instead of relying upon neutral-density filters which may become burned (and therefore non-linear) and which also can only be adjusted slowly; and high repetition rates are possible, so calibration can be carried out quickly. The temporal profile of the optical pulse can be changed to some extent, to

explore any effects thereof. Finally, the LED system costs less than a comparable laser-based system. A major factor driving the cost difference lies within the fibre plant: blue LED light can propagate down polymer fibre which has a very high absorption at the UV wavelengths generally produced by lasers.

The LEDs used in the pulser boxes are wide-angle Hewlett-Packard HLMP-CB30 [18]. Initial development work had been done with the LEDs made by Nichia Chemical Industries [19]; the change to the HP LED was forced as Nichia altered the specification of their LED to one having a much slower response, which made the pulses unacceptably long.

5.2. Maximum current

A nominal maximum current specified by the manufacturer of 100 mA is determined by heating effects, which can damage the plastic lens in which the LED is set; however, this is not a problem with the extremely low duty cycle in the MINOS pulser circuit (some tens of nanoseconds per millisecond), and tests showed no sign of damage with currents as high as 500 mA. The MINOS pulser circuit uses a maximum current of 200 mA; above this, the visible light output begins to saturate, and the quality of the pulse shape deteriorates.

5.3. Lifetime

None of the LEDs tested has ever reached the limit of its lifetime. In one instance, the tests included pulses equivalent to 2000 years of normal MINOS operation, with no adverse effects observed.

5.4. Comparison of spectra

Fig. 3 shows a comparison of the spectra of light emitted from the blue LED with light from an excited piece of MINOS scintillator [20]. The spectra are quite different. When the light is absorbed and re-emitted by the wavelength-shifting fibre, however, the spectra are far better matched, as shown in Fig. 4. The measurement was made using a 300 mm piece of WLS fibre, half




Fig. 3. A comparison of the wavelengths of light emitted by the blue LED and stimulated MINOS scintillator.




Fig. 4. Spectrum of light emitted from wavelength-shifting fibre when excited with the light in Fig. 3.

of which was glued into a groove in a 150 mm long MINOS scintillator in the usual manner. The laser light was injected approximately halfway along the scintillator; the LED illuminated the WLS fibre at a point adjacent to the end of the scintillator (and thus approximately halfway along the WLS fibre). Light from the end of the WLS fibre was transported through a quartz fibre to a mono-

chromator and calibrated photomultiplier tube. In MINOS, the situation will be slightly different: most signal pulses will have a much longer path through the green fibre, where self-absorption reduces the short-wavelength component of the light [21], whereas the blue LED light will be absorbed at the very end of the green fibre. Nonetheless, the similarity of the spectra eliminates any concerns about wavelength-dependent ageing of the photocathode (which, in any case, should occur on a timescale much longer than the one-month period over which the light-injection system is required to monitor gain stability).

For low LED currents, there is a slight variation in the spectrum of the LEDs with current: the peak wavelength decreases by up to 10 nm as the current increases. This will be discussed further in Section 6.1.

5.5. Pulse shape

A short, intense pulse of light in a PMT does not necessarily yield the same integrated charge as an identical amount of light delivered over a longer time, and so it is important that the shape of the optical pulse arriving at the photocathode from light injection should be similar to that from the detection of charged particles.

The LEDs have a risetime of 4–6 ns, depending upon pulse height. The fluorescence time of the WLS fibres is typically 7–8 ns, and is specified to be less than 10 ns [22]; the risetime of the signal pulses also increases by ~ 0.7 ns/m of WLS fibre [21]. Fig. 5 shows the time-averaged shapes of a series of optical pulses from the WLS fibres excited by a blue LED and by scintillator that has itself been stimulated by a nitrogen laser [23]. In each case, the pulses liberated about four photoelectrons from the photocathode: this is approximately the signal size that we would expect from each end of a MINOS far detector scintillator strip following the passage of a minimum-ionising particle through its centre. The pulse shapes are almost identical in the two cases, and they are dominated by the response of the WLS fibre. In general, the risetime of the LED has little effect upon the overall pulse shape.




Fig. 5. Comparison of the average pulse shapes from WLS fibres excited by a blue LED and by laser-stimulated scintillator.

6. PIN photodiodes

The PIN photodiode used to monitor the relative light output from the LEDs is the Hamamatsu S5971 [6]. This was chosen primarily because it offers a fast response while still having a large enough surface area to accommodate the 1.0 mm diameter light-distribution fibres. Some characteristics are listed in Table 1.

6.1. Linearity

The PIN photodiode must provide a scale that varies linearly with applied light, in order to calibrate the non-linearities of the readout chain. Fig. 6 compares the response of the PIN photodiode with its associated readout electronics to that of an M16 PMT illuminated by the same LED, for a variety of pulse heights. Each point represents the mean of 2000 optical pulses, taken over a few seconds. No evidence of non-linearity was observed. This test was carried out using blue light directly from the LEDs, with the light to the PMT suitably attenuated so as to stay within its linear response region. In the MINOS detectors, the range of light levels used is such that the PIN photodiode response is normally less than half of that shown in Fig. 6, and is thus well within its linear regime. The light levels observed in the PMT in the detector cover more than twice the range used in this test, and may thus extend slightly into the PMT’s non-linear region.

Table 1
PIN photodiode specifications

Active area size	1.2 mm diameter
Spectral response	320–1020 nm
Dark current	0.07 nA typ.
Temperature coefficient of dark current	15%/°C
Photosensitivity at 470 nm	0.24 A/W
Temperature coefficient of photosensitivity	<0.01%/°C at laboratory temperatures




Fig. 6. PIN photodiode response vs. M16 PMT response for a variety of light levels, demonstrating the linearity of the PIN photodiode throughout its range of operation. The lower plot shows the percentage residuals from a linear fit to the upper plot.

As an additional measure to ensure that each link of the readout chain is understood, a charge-injection system has been incorporated into the front-end electronics to verify that the ADC readout (including that of the PIN photodiode) is indeed linear to 1% of full scale.

Measurements in situ have revealed a small and rather subtle non-linear effect in a number of channels, arising from the disparity in response of the system to the green and the blue light. It was pointed out in Section 5.4 that the spectrum of the LEDs can vary slightly with current. This causes a corresponding small change in the spectrum of green light from the WLS fibre. Also, because the

green light lies in a region of rapidly falling quantum efficiency of the M16 PMT, the slightly altered spectrum results in a slightly changed detection efficiency for the green light. The net effect is that, for a number of LEDs, a plot of M16 response (to green light) vs. PIN photodiode response (to blue) shows non-linearities of a few percent at low light levels (up to a few tens of photoelectrons: at higher LED currents, the spectrum is stable). This small non-linearity is characteristic of each particular LED, i.e. it is common to all channels illuminated by a given LED, and is therefore fairly easily corrected for. However, in order to minimise any potential problems from this source, LEDs will be selected not only on the basis of their brightness but also on their wavelength stability. Furthermore, a simple option that remains under consideration is to illuminate different pixels of a separate M16 simultaneously with blue and with green light, thus allowing a direct comparison that would permit and immediate and straightforward compensation for this effect.

6.2. Stability

On a short timescale, an LED is extremely stable. The relative uncertainties on each point in Fig. 6, for example, are consistently a factor of about 30 larger for the PMT response than for the PIN photodiode response throughout the entire range. This demonstrates that the system is dominated by photoelectron statistics (with the PIN photodiode receiving approximately a thousand times as much light as the PMT), and that any pulse-to-pulse fluctuations of the LED are therefore negligible on the timescale of seconds.

Over a longer timescale, however, the LED light output has been observed to drift slowly and to suffer occasional jumps (typically once every few

weeks under continuous pulsing). These jumps can sometimes amount to changes of several percent. Their origin is unknown: the fact that they are so rare makes investigation difficult.

The light-injection system is required to be stable to 1% over a period of a month, in order to monitor PMT gain changes while sufficient numbers of cosmic-ray muons are detected to calibrate the detector. This longer-term stability therefore relies upon the PIN photodiodes rather than the LEDs. The PIN photodiode stability was tested by pulsing an LED continuously over a period of 2 months, and monitoring its output several times per second with a pair of PIN photodiodes. After integration and shaping, these were read out by a digital oscilloscope (Fig. 7).

The results of the stability test are shown in Fig. 8. Each point in the figure is an average of a 1000 consecutive readings: this is representative of the averaging that will take place during the MINOS calibration. For clarity, only one data point in 16 is plotted. Over the first 10 days, the signal from PIN 1 gradually decreased; this is thought to be caused by the fibre settling slightly in its connector. The sudden increase in LED brightness at day 13 is unexplained (it is an instance of the occasional jumps discussed above), as is its instability in days 25–26; but the intensity changes were recorded by both PIN photodiodes, and the ratio of PIN outputs remained constant. A weekend power cut left the system off for an extended period in days 36–38; when restarted, the LED output returned to its original value. The feature at 46 days occurred when the apparatus was accidentally mechanically disturbed—a sharp deviation in one PIN's signal is again apparent, followed by a slow return to roughly the previous level.

Although the light output of the LED changed by a few percent during the test period (e.g. the step changes at days 13 and 37), the ratio between




Fig. 7. Schematic diagram of the apparatus used to measure the PIN photodiode stability.




Fig. 8. Test of PIN photodiode stability. Despite an initial change in the ratio of PIN photodiode outputs as the system settled mechanically over the first few days, the overall RMS deviation in this ratio was less than 0.5% over 2 months.

the individual PIN readings remained constant to within about 0.5% RMS over a period of 2 months; this is well within the MINOS stability specification.

6.3. Readout

In the far detector, two PIN photodiodes are mounted on each of the front-end readout cards. The current pulses produced by the PIN photodiodes are integrated and amplified, producing peak voltages that are AC coupled to the VA chips to achieve the required current injection. The VA chip, whose primary purpose is to read out PMT pulses, requires a PMT dynode signal to trigger its readout. The optical wiring ensures that the PMT that triggers the readout of a given PIN photodiode will always be illuminated by the same LED as the PIN photodiode in question. Each LED is in fact served by two PIN photodiodes, mounted on separate front-end readout cards; this allows one readout card to be replaced (to change a PMT or to replace an amplifier) whilst the LED calibration is held by the other PIN photodiode, which remains undisturbed.

In the near detector, the phototubes are read out by the QIE electronics, which cannot be used to trigger the PIN readout. Instead, the readout of the PIN photodiodes is triggered by the presence

of a signal on the dynode of a separate PMT (discussed in Section 7 below).

The optical fan-out from the LEDs is designed to deliver more light to the PIN photodiode than to the PMT pixels, in order to accommodate the relatively low sensitivity of the PIN. In this way, the full dynamic range of the signals is well matched between PIN and PMT. The signal-to-noise ratio of the PIN photodiode circuit is approximately 4000:1 at full scale.

7. Trigger PMT

At times, it is necessary to take spectra of the PMT response at the single-photoelectron level. In a significant fraction of events, no signal is then seen in the PMT, and consequently the PIN photodiode is not read out. In order to count these events properly, and in order to provide a distinctive identification to all light-injection events, each pulser box has an additional LED that emits a consistently bright flash with each pulse, regardless of the brightness of the primary LED pulse. Light from this additional LED is carried to a dedicated M16 PMT—the trigger PMT—which is read out in the usual way, thus inserting a unique identifier in the data of each light-injection event. (The need to maintain

electrical isolation of the readout electronics from other system components precluded an electronic signal being passed directly from the pulser box to the data acquisition system for this purpose.) In the near detector the trigger PMT serves an additional function, in that its dynode signal forces the readout of all PIN photodiodes.

8. Mechanical design

8.1. Pulser boxes

Each pulser box is 21 in. high, and fits in a standard 19-in. rack. It is divided by a bulkhead wall into two compartments: one, at the front of the box, is for electronics; the other is for fibre optics. The 20 LEDs are mounted on two circuit boards, supported on trays in the electronics compartment. A controller board, containing a microprocessor and an RS232 interface, connects the two LED driver boards.

The LEDs overhang the ends of their circuit boards and pass through holes in the bulkhead into optical fan-outs known as cones. Each cone

has a set of 71 optical fibres (including spares and dedicated, centrally-located fibres to carry light to PIN photodiodes). These fibres take the optical signals from the cones to four columns of 16 connectors on the back panel (Fig. 9) of the box; each back-panel connector holds 20 fibres—one from each cone. There are three additional 20-wide connectors on the back panel—two provide light to the PIN photodiodes (and so are fed by the dedicated PIN photodiode fibres), and the third is a spare. There is also a single-fibre connector carrying light to the trigger PMT.

Bundles of 20 fibres mounted externally to the box transport the light from each of the back-panel connectors to the 20 light-injection points on each side of each far detector plane; this is illustrated in Fig. 10. The optical routing in the near detector is identical, except that there are fewer light-injection points on each plane (11 for fully instrumented planes, seven for partially instrumented planes, and on one side of the detector only). The calibration detector has just three light-injection points on each side of each of its 60 planes, and is thus served by six LEDs in a single pulser box.




Fig. 9. A pulser box with a side and top panel removed, showing the 20-wide back-panel connectors (some of which have protective covers fitted) and the internal optical wiring. Several of the optical fan-out collars are visible; a fibre from each collar runs to each back-panel connector. Photograph courtesy OMC ltd [24].




Fig. 10. Schematic showing typical optical routing for the far detector light-injection system. On certain planes, multiplexing constraints require that the ordering of fibres within a bundle is changed: but the basic pattern wherein each of the 64 20-way connectors contains a fibre from each LED, and a fibre bundle from each such connector feeds in turn one half of a single detector plane, always holds.

8.2. Cones and ponytails

The basic design for the optical fan-out is a conical hole bored longitudinally through an aluminium cylinder, with the LED seated at the apex and the 71-fibre bundle attached at the base, as shown in Fig. 11. The walls of the cone are lined with aluminised polyester (with a reflectivity greater than 99%) to maximise the intensity of light delivered to the fibres and to assist with the uniformity of illumination of the fibre bundle. The fibre bundle, or “ponytail”, is glued into a plastic collar which is attached to the cone. During assembly, the ends of the fibres are positioned precisely within the collar by means of a plastic disc with holes drilled in it, known as a “tea-strainer”. Once the fibres have been glued in place, the surface of this tea-strainer is fly-cut with a diamond-tipped tool.

Three fibres (including one spare) are designated to serve the PIN photodiodes. These are placed in a rigid plastic tube situated in the centre of the fibre bundle. Following the fly-cutting of the tea-strainer, the tube containing these fibres is extended towards the LED. This provides more light for the PIN photodiodes, and also assists in reducing the non-uniformity of illumination of the light-injection fibres, as these central fibres occupy

the area of brightest illumination. Fig. 12 shows the uniformity of illumination of a typical fibre tea-strainer. In this example, the RMS deviation is approximately 15% of the mean value, and the ratio of maximum to minimum intensities is 2.28. On later production models, the uniformity improved somewhat, with the ratio of maximum to minimum intensities often lying below 2.0.

The PIN photodiode fibres are significantly longer than all other fibres in the ponytail (6 m vs. 0.8 m). This minimises the differences in relative arrival times of the optical pulses at the PIN photodiodes and at the PMT photocathodes, by compensating for the different optical path lengths: this ensures in turn that the amplified and shaped pulse from the PIN photodiode readout circuit is sampled and digitised at its peak.

A disassembled cone and collar are shown in Fig. 13.

8.3. Optical fibre

The optical fibre used has a 1 mm diameter core of polymethyl methacrylate, with a fluorinated polymer cladding, and was manufactured by Mitsubishi Corp. [25]. The attenuation at 473 nm (the wavelength of the blue LEDs) is approximately 0.08 dB/m. The fibre is jacketed with




Fig. 11. Cone and collar assembly for pulser box.




Fig. 12. Uniformity of illumination of a fibre tea-strainer with a wide-angle LED. In the diagram on the left, the area of each circle is proportional to the intensity of illumination. (The bundle included three spare fibres whose outputs were not measured.) To the right is a histogram showing the distribution of intensities.



Fig. 13. A collar and cone. The tea-strainer and the central tube holding the PIN photodiode fibres are seen at the front of the collar, and the fibre bundle (ponytail) is emerging from its rear.

2.2 mm diameter opaque black PVC that has a UL-VW1 [26] flame-resistance rating, a fire-safety requirement.

8.4. Optical connectors

The multifibre connectors, both at the rear of the pulser box and on the mating ends of the external fibre bundles, are made of plastic. Once the fibres have been glued into place, the connectors are fly-cut with a diamond-tipped tool: this provides extremely good optical transmission, of up to 90% for each connector pair, without

damaging the fibres and with a considerable saving in manpower over a hand-polishing process. The design for these connectors was adapted from that for the MINOS optical readout connectors.

Individual fibre ends in the light-injection system are all terminated with an H19 metal connector from OMC [24] (for those carrying light to the PIN photodiodes) or a custom-made, slightly larger variant thereof known as the CLRC01 (for those plugged into the light-injection points on the detector). After gluing and light crimping into the connector, these fibre ends are hand-polished to optimise transmission.

9. Light-injection modules

At each end of the scintillator modules, there is a manifold (Fig. 14) that draws the green WLS fibres from the scintillator strips together into a multifibre connector. This is the only point of access to the green fibres, and it is here that the LED light enters the optical readout chain. The space is tightly constrained—there is a plane of steel adjacent to the scintillator module on one side, and another just 1 cm away on the other side. This does not allow room for the clear optical fibres carrying LED light to arrive transversely to the planes: instead, they are brought in parallel to the readout fibres. The light illuminates a small ($\sim 5 \text{ cm}^3$) compartment having diffusely reflecting




Fig. 14. Manifold parts. The LIM, here shown detached, forms the cover to the end of the manifold. The exteriors of the two “ashtray” compartments are marked. For scale, the LIM at the connector end is 10 cm wide, and the module shown here (which contains 20 scintillator strips) is 80 cm wide.

walls, across the top of which runs a “curtain” of eight or 10 WLS fibres. This is illustrated in Fig. 15. The WLS fibres absorb the blue LED light, which is then re-emitted isotropically in the green part of the spectrum. Some of this green light is trapped in the fibre, and propagates in both directions towards the PMTs at either side of the far detector.

The LIMs (see Fig. 16) containing the compartments (“ashtrays”) in which the absorption takes place are made from injection-moulded black plastic [27], and are mounted on the underside of each detector module, thus forming part of the manifold cover (see Fig. 14). The 16- and 20-wide




Fig. 15. A cutaway computer model illustrating the concept behind the light-injection module. A curtain of 10 green WLS fibres runs from right to left along the bottom of the LIM cavity, and the injected blue light illuminates them from above. The T-shaped component at the lower left is a cutaway of the bulk optical connector, into which the WLS fibres are glued. In the upper left foreground is a cutaway of a CLRC01 connector, which terminates the light-injection fibre; an uncut connector is visible behind it.




Fig. 16. A 3D-rendered solid computer model of a LIM.

scintillator modules have two ashtrays in each LIM, and the wider modules have three. A complete MINOS far detector plane contains 192 scintillator strips, whose green fibres are divided amongst a total of 20 ashtrays. Each ashtray is supplied with the blue light from a single plastic fibre, derived ultimately from one of the 20 LEDs in a pulser box. Each of the LEDs illuminates the same ashtray in up to 64 planes.

As discussed earlier, the relative intensities of light illuminating the green WLS fibres within an ashtray should be as uniform as possible, in order to keep to a minimum the dynamic range of (simultaneous) illumination of pixels on the face of the PMT. The central position of the

light-injection fibre in each ashtray results in a stronger illumination of the green WLS fibres at the centre than at the edges of the ashtray. This tendency is countered to some extent by incorporating a curved reflecting back, as shown in Fig. 16, which both shades a portion of the central fibres and reflects more light towards the edges than would a flat back. The uniformity of response across the ashtray is shown in Fig. 17. The walls of each ashtray are coated with a highly reflective TiO_2 -based paint (Bicron [28] BC620).

10. Optical routing constraints

The far detector is eightfold optically multiplexed. It is essential that only one fibre is illuminated at any one time on any given pixel. As eight or 10 adjacent fibres are illuminated simultaneously in an ashtray, this places a constraint on the multiplexing pattern used—any scheme where adjacent strips are mapped to the same pixel is not permissible.

It is also desirable to avoid simultaneous pulsing of adjacent PMT pixels, in order to mitigate the effects of crosstalk [11]. This is the reason for only illuminating up to 10 WLS fibres (one ashtray) at any one time in any given plane. Arranging the fibres from sequential scintillator strips on alternate M16 pixels ensures that the pixels are illuminated in a chessboard pattern, as in Fig. 18, with diagonal rather than edge-adjacent pixels

being illuminated simultaneously. The mapping is staggered on one side of the plane so that a hit can be located in the plane by using both ends of the readout.

These considerations lead to the simple algorithm for the optical wiring of the pulser boxes that is illustrated in Fig. 10. Each connector at the back of the pulser box contains one fibre from each of the 20 LEDs, and the 20 fibres from each such connector feed the 20 ashtrays of one end of a single plane. Thus, any given LED illuminates up to ten green fibres on each of 64 planes.

11. Electronics

The LED pulser offers the ability to change both the height and the width of the pulse electronically, by varying the current pulse that is applied to the LED. Each pulser box contains up to 20 LEDs, although in the near detector only 11 LEDs are used. As discussed in Section 7, the controller card incorporates an additional “trigger” LED that pulses at a fixed intensity and which is used to flag light-injection events in the data stream.

11.1. Pulser control

The pulser box is controlled by a PIC16F877 microprocessor [29]. It communicates with a control PC via ASCII commands over a serial




Fig. 17. Uniformity of illumination of the 10 fibres in a light-injection module “ashtray”.



Fig. 18. Chessboard illumination pattern for M16s in the far detector. Pixels are numbered from right to left and top to bottom when viewing the face of the PMT. The shaded pixels are illuminated by a single ashtay, and are therefore illuminated simultaneously by light from the same LED. Note that no two edge-adjacent pixels are illuminated at the same time.

RS232 link. To avoid a proliferation of different types of data-carrying cable running around the detector, the signals are carried over the detector Ethernet, and converted for the pulser box by an Ethernet-RS232 converter. In the standard mode of operation, the PC instructs the system to pulse a given LED a certain number of times (typically 1000) at a given height, width, and frequency (from 0.5 Hz to 1 kHz). The microprocessor then controls the actual pulsing. The pulser box is also supplied with an external trigger input, which forces a pulse of its current height/width setting in response to a TTL signal. This can be used in the near detector to investigate the effects of the timing of a pulse with respect to the 19 ns time buckets in the QIE electronics.

Fig. 19 shows the LED driver stage from the pulser box circuit. The applied voltage, which determines the pulse height, is controlled by a 10-bit DAC. When the signal at A goes high, the driver stage is turned on—voltage is applied to the 22 Ω resistor, and the current is shunted to ground via the left-hand FET. This occurs typically a microsecond before the actual pulse, to give the current time to stabilise. (This “bypass” current is turned off between each pulse in order to avoid excessive heating.) When the signal at B goes low, current flows through the LED, which emits light. A short time later, signal C goes high, and the current is shunted to ground via the right-hand FET; the LED is then turned off. The pulse width is determined by the delay between B turning off and C turning on; this is controlled by a programmable delay line, giving a current pulse width of 15–36 ns in 3 ns steps. The light pulses delivered to the phototube produce signals ranging




Fig. 19. LED pulser drive circuitry.

from a fraction of a photoelectron up to several hundred photoelectrons.

12. Performance

During the summer of 2001, the MINOS calibration detector was placed in a charged-particle test beam at CERN. One of its functions

was to confirm that the light-injection system could compensate for changing PMT gains at the 1% level. The calibration detector was fully equipped with MINOS readout electronics.

The gain curves of the PMTs were produced by injecting light at 10 different levels, and plotting the response of the PMTs as a function of the PIN photodiode output. Fig. 20 shows two such plots, labelled “old gain curve” and “new gain curve”, measuring the same channel at different times. Each point represents the mean μ of 2000 optical pulses. The gain in general was observed to drift only slowly (less than 2% over 2 months); but at one point the voltage supplied to all of the PMTs was increased, resulting in the dramatic difference between the two sample gain curves shown here.

Drift in gain is monitored by looking at a specific point on each gain curve on a regular (typically hourly) basis, as discussed in Section 4.1 above. These points are used to calculate a multiplicative factor for each channel that is applied to the entire gain curve so as to mimic the time evolution of the gain. The underlying assumption is that the actual shape of the gain curve does not change on a short timescale. Fig. 20 includes the recalculated gain-curve points.

The performance of the light-injection system can therefore be tested by selecting specific points

on the gain curves (other than those used for the drift-point calibration), and comparing the mean ADC after some time interval with the prediction from the drift-point calculation. The results of this procedure are shown in Fig. 21. The upper histogram shows the relative change after times t_1 and t_2 of a specific point on each of the 2880 separate gain curves, i.e. it shows the distribution of $(\text{ADC}(0) - \text{ADC}(t_i))/\text{ADC}(0)$, where $\text{ADC}(0)$ is the initial mean ADC reading of a single point on each of the gain curves, and $\text{ADC}(t_i)$ is the corresponding set of readings at two later times t_1 and t_2 . Each readout channel thus has two entries in this histogram. Between the two sets of readings taken at times t_1 and t_2 , the high voltage on the PMTs was increased by 20%, resulting in the twin-peak structure. The lower histogram shows this same relative difference after applying the calibration correction to the $\text{ADC}(0)$ readings, i.e. it shows $(\text{ADC}(0)_{\text{corr}} - \text{ADC}(t_i))/\text{ADC}(0)_{\text{corr}}$. This technique is independent of any changes in light output from the LEDs. Any change in gain of the PIN photodiodes would appear as an offset from zero of the lower histogram. The width of the lower histogram is limited by photoelectron counting statistics at each data point: the ultimate precision achievable by the system is not yet completely determined, but the




Fig. 20. Photomultiplier gain curves. For several different intensities of injected light, the response of the PMT is shown as a function of the PIN photodiode output. “old gain curve” and “new gain curve” were taken at different values of the supply voltage; the light-injection system was used to provide a mapping from the old to the new curve, and thus to measure the large change in gain.




Fig. 21. Relative gain changes in the M16 photomultiplier tubes resulting from a change in the applied high voltage. The upper (lower) histogram shows the distribution before (after) calibration corrections are applied.

figure demonstrates that the calibration procedure has corrected the gain changes to within the 1% specification required by MINOS.

13. Conclusion

A light-injection system has been developed to assist in the calibration of the MINOS detectors. Based upon blue LEDs, with PIN photodiodes to monitor relative intensities, the system is able to measure non-linearities in PMT response as well as measuring changes in gain at the 1% level on an hourly basis over timescales of a month or more.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the UK Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council and the US Department of Energy. The authors would like to thank the other members of the MINOS collaboration for their support, and in particular Jim Musser and Karol Lang, both of whom have provided valuable criticism of the document, and the members of the scintillator, DAQ, electronics, and integration/installation groups for all of the

time and effort spent in accommodating the needs of the light-injection system. Jim Grozier gave generously of his own free time to carry out crucial laboratory tests at Sussex. The assistance of CERN and its PS staff with the test-beam experiments is gratefully acknowledged. The staff at OMC have also been most helpful. This work is based in part on the dissertation of P. Adamson, submitted to the University of Sussex in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the D. Phil. degree [30].

References

- [1] The MINOS Collaboration, MINOS Technical Design Report, FNAL internal document NuMI-L-337, 1998.
- [2] B. Pontecorvo, JETP 34 (1958) 247.
- [3] B. Pontecorvo, JETP 53 (1967) 1717.
- [4] S. Bilenky, S. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987) 671.
- [5] Kuraray Y11(175), MJ, non-S type 1.2 mm diameter with K-27 fluor, from Kuraray Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.
- [6] Hamamatsu Corp., 325-6, Sunayama-cho, Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka Pref., 430-8587, Japan; www.hamamatsu.com.
- [7] G. Feldman, report of the MINOS Calibration Working Group, unpublished.
- [8] P. Litchfield, presentation to the MINOS Calibration Working Group, 2000, unpublished.

- [9] R. Lee, S. Seun, FNAL internal document NuMI-L-694, 2000.
- [10] Kuraray 1.2 mm diameter clear fibre, from Kuraray Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.
- [11] S.E.K. Lang, J. Day, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 461 (2001) 571.
- [12] O. Toker, S. Masciocchi, E. Nygård, A. Rudge, P. Weilhammer, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 340 (1994) 572.
- [13] IDE AS, Veritasveien 9, Box 315, N-1323 Hvik, Norway; www.ideas.no.
- [14] T. Zimmerman, M. Sarraj, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-43 (1996) 1683.
- [15] G. David, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-45 (1998) 705.
- [16] K.Z. Zhang Liang Ying, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-48 (2001) 372.
- [17] E. Aker, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 321 (1992) 69.
- [18] Hewlett-Packard 3000 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1185, USA, www.hp.com.
- [19] Nichia Corporation, 491 Oka, Kaminaka-Cho, Anan, Tokushima 774-8601, Japan, www.nichia.co.jp.
- [20] J. Thomas, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 423 (1999) 320.
- [21] P. Border, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 463 (2001) 194.
- [22] B. Choudhary, L. Mualem, FNAL internal document NuMI-L-589, 2000.
- [23] R. Schwienhorst, Private communication, 2001, data provisionally available at <http://www.hep.umn.edu/minos/fiber/timing.html>.
- [24] Optoelectronic Manufacturing Corporation, Redruth, Cornwall, UK, www.optomanfcorp.com.
- [25] Mitsubishi Rayon Corp., 520 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022, USA, www.mitsubishi.com.
- [26] Underwriters Laboratories, The VW1 test is described in standard UL 1581, section 1080, www.ul.com.
- [27] Polyphenylene Oxide-Styrene (Noryl™ grade N190X), www.geplastics.com.
- [28] Bicon Radiation Measurement Products, 6801 Cochran Road, Solon, OH 44139, USA, www.bicon.com.
- [29] Microchip Technology Inc., Chandler, Arizona, USA, www.microchip.com.
- [30] P. Adamson, An LED calibration system for the MINOS-long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment, D. Phil. Thesis, University of Sussex, 2001.