Invisible Higgs boson, continuous mass fields, and unparticle Higgs mechanism


This version is available from Sussex Research Online: http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/24797/

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher’s version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:
Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
Invisible Higgs boson, continuous mass fields, and unparticle Higgs mechanism

X. Calmet,†,‡ N. G. Deshpande,§,¶ X. G. He,§,¶ and S. D. H. Hsu‡,∥

1Center for Particle Physics and Phenomenology, Catholic University of Louvain, 2 Chemin du Cyclotron, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
2Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA
3Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China

(Received 27 October 2008; published 26 March 2009)

We explore the consequences of an electroweak symmetry breaking sector which exhibits approximately scale invariant dynamics, i.e., nontrivial fixed point behavior, as in unparticle models. One can think of an unparticle Higgs as a composite Higgs boson with a continuous mass distribution. We find it convenient to represent the unparticle Higgs in terms of a Källen-Lehmann spectral function, from which it is simple to verify the generation of gauge boson and fermion masses, and unitarization of WW scattering. We show that a spectral function with broad support, which corresponds to approximate fixed point behavior over an extended range of energy, can lead to an effectively invisible Higgs particle, whose decays at CERN LEP or LHC could be obscured by background.
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Recently there has been significant interest in the possibility of an unparticle sector of fundamental physics which is approximately scale invariant [1]. Most models have assumed that the unparticle sector is peripheral to the standard model, but recently Stancato and Terning [2] have considered the possibility that the sector that spontaneously breaks electroweak symmetry is approximately scale invariant, leading to an unparticle Higgs boson; see [3] for work on related ideas. In [4] it was shown that scale invariance can be described in terms of particles with continuous masses [5] or, equivalently, with more complicated than usual Källen-Lehmann representation [6]. In this paper we apply the continuous mass formalism to the unparticle Higgs, deducing rather simply how fermion masses, and unitarization of WW scattering. We show that a spectral function with broad support, which corresponds to approximate fixed point behavior over an extended range of energy, can lead to an effectively invisible Higgs particle, whose

\[ a_d^2 = \frac{A_d}{2\pi}, \quad A_d = \frac{16\pi^{5/2}\Gamma(d+1/2)}{(2\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(d-1/2)} \Gamma(2d). \]  

By choosing the continuous mass field with appropriate gauge properties we can use it to implement symmetry breaking. The field \( \phi(x, \rho) \) is chosen to be dimensionless. As an example, we begin by assuming that \( \phi \) is charged under a \( U(1) \) gauge symmetry. One could trivially generalize our consideration to any non-Abelian gauge group. We consider the following Lagrangian density which has a \( U(1) \) gauge invariance in the space:

\[ \mathcal{L}(x) = \int_0^\infty (D_\mu \phi(x, \rho))^* D^\mu \phi(x, \rho) + \rho \phi^*(x, \rho) \phi(x, \rho) - \lambda(\rho)(\phi^*(x, \rho) \phi(x, \rho))^2 d\rho - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x), \]

where \( \lambda \) and \( \rho \) have mass dimension +2 and the scalar \( \phi \) is dimensionless. The covariant derivative is given by \( D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g A_\mu(x) \); note that \( A_\mu \) is only a function of \( x \) and not \( \rho \). Under local \( U(1) \) gauge transformations one has, as usual,

\[ \phi'(x, \rho) = e^{i\alpha(x)} \phi(x, \rho) \]

\[ A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \alpha(x). \]

In the limit where \( \lambda = 0 \) the action is scale invariant: under a scale transformation \( x \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1} x, \rho \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \rho \), the Lagrangian density is rescaled by \( \Lambda^4 \), so that \( S = \int d^4 x \mathcal{L}(x) \) is invariant. Note the importance of the limits of integration \( 0 \leq \rho \leq \Lambda^4 \) in this result. If instead the range of integration is finite, scale invariance is broken. Similarly, the interaction \( \lambda \phi^4 \) in general breaks scale invariance, unless \( \lambda \) is proportional to \( \rho \).
Note that in this formalism the path integral quantization of the field \( \phi(x, \rho) \) requires the measure \( \prod x d\phi(x, \rho) \), so from this perspective there are an infinite number of new degrees of freedom. Similarly, the canonical quantization conditions are imposed on \( \phi(x, \rho) \) for each value of \( \rho \). In a microphysical realization, e.g., in a confining strongly coupled gauge model, the scalar unparticle corresponds to a particular convolution of \( \phi(x, \rho) \), and the continuous mass formalism is simply a model for the behavior of the unparticle; in particular, it reproduces the correct propagator and scaling dimension. In that context the additional degrees of freedom, beyond the special convolution, are not regarded as physical degrees of freedom. The unparticle bound state arises from a finite number of short distance degrees of freedom, whose dynamics fix the values of the functions \( \lambda(\rho) \), etc. The confining theory could be a Banks-Zaks model [7] in which case the fixed point behavior, which presumably holds over some range in energy, fixes the limits of the integral over \( \rho \) to some range \( \rho_1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_2 \). Presumably, \( \rho_2 \gg \rho_1 \) so that the scale invariance that applies when the limits are zero and infinity is approximately true for momenta in the fixed point region. If \( \rho_1 \to 0 \) very strict limits on unparticles arise due to the long range forces they mediate [8]. Clearly there are challenges in assuming the existence of a confining gauge theory sector, some of whose matter degrees of freedom carry \( SU(2)_L \) and condense to form the Higgs. We leave aside those model building issues and concentrate on the phenomenology of an unparticle Higgs. For examples of dynamical models which might realize a light composite Higgs, see, e.g., [9].

The vacuum expectation value of the field \( \phi(x, \rho) \) is given by

\[
v(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\lambda(\rho)}}
\]

and we denote the fluctuation around \( v(\rho) \) by \( h(x, \rho) \). The mass of the gauge boson after spontaneous symmetry breaking can be seen from Eq. (3) to be

\[
m^2_\lambda = \frac{1}{4} g^2 \int dp v(\rho)^2
\]

and is independent on \( \rho \). Presumably, we would like to set the lower limit of \( \rho \) integration to be larger than the \( Z \) mass (or the weak scale), in order to have a low-energy effective theory with a scalar degree of freedom which is a bound state. The mass \( m(\rho) \) of the field \( h(x, \rho) \) is given by

\[
m^2(\rho) = 2\rho.
\]

If we extend our U(1) continuous mass Higgs model to non-Abelian groups and, in particular, to the standard model, the couplings of the Higgs to the gauge bosons is modified. The two gauge bosons Higgs coupling is given by

\[
\frac{g^2}{4} A_\mu A^\mu \int dp v(\rho) h(x, \rho),
\]

where \( h(x, \rho) \) is the fluctuation around the vacuum expectation value and we have suppressed the group indices. If we were to take the continuous mass theory literally, only one particular convolution of the field is eaten, leaving an infinite number of additional degrees of freedom that couple to the gauge bosons. If the continuous mass theory is used only as a model for an unparticle Higgs bound state, those additional degrees of freedom are fictitious. In particular, only three Goldstone modes result from the physical convolution, and those are eaten by the \( W^\pm \) and \( Z \) in the standard model.

The Yukawa couplings are of the form

\[
\int dp Y(\rho) \Psi_L(x) H(x, \rho) \Psi_R(x) + H.c.,
\]

where \( H(x, \rho) \) is the Higgs doublet and \( Y(\rho) \) has mass dimension -1. Note that the Yukawa couplings are not necessarily \( \rho \) dependent. One can write \( Y(\rho) = \tilde{Y}/\sqrt{\rho} \) and rescale \( H(x, \rho) \) to obtain a \( \rho \) independent Yukawa coupling. In general, unless a specific form is assumed for the Yukawa constant \( Y(\rho) \), Yukawa couplings break conformal invariance.

The propagator for the field \( h(x, \rho) \) has been evaluated in [4] and is given by

\[
\int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0|T h(x, \rho) h(0, \rho')|0\rangle = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2(\rho) + i\epsilon} \delta(\rho - \rho').
\]

Note that this is essentially a Källen-Lehmann propagator [6]:

\[
\Delta_{\rho\rho'}(\rho) = \int_0^\infty \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \Omega_{\rho\rho'}(\mu^2) d\mu^2,
\]

with a spectral function \( \Omega_{\rho\rho'}(\mu^2) = \delta(\mu^2 - m^2(\rho)) \delta(\rho - \rho') \).

In our formalism the unparticle Higgs coupling to two gauge bosons is given by Eq. (9), which yields an unparticle Higgs boson

\[
\phi_U(x) = \int dp v(\rho) h(x, \rho)
\]

with propagator

\[
\Delta_U(\rho) = \int_0^\infty \frac{v^2(\rho)}{p^2 - m^2(\rho) + i\epsilon}.
\]

The scaling properties of \( \phi_U \) depend on the scaling properties of \( v(\rho) \), which in turn depend on \( \lambda(\rho) \). The choice \( \lambda(\rho) = \epsilon \rho \) preserves scale invariance, leading to constant \( v(\rho) \) and unparticle Higgs scaling dimension \( d = 2 \). In general, however, \( \lambda(\rho) \) can have any functional form and we can have unparticle Higgs of arbitrary dimension.
Fermion masses and Yukawa couplings are given by
\[ m_f = \int d\rho Y(\rho) v(\rho) \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)
and
\[ \int d\rho Y(\rho) \Psi_L(x) h(x, \rho) \Psi_R(x) + \text{H.c.} \] \hspace{1cm} (16)

In order to preserve the property that only one particular convolution of the continuous mass field is physical, we must choose the Yukawa coupling function \( Y(\rho) \) proportional to \( v(\rho) \) such that the same convolution couples to fermions and gauge bosons. The constant of proportionality is \( g^2 m_f/4m_W^2 \), and thus uniquely defined for each fermion.

The Higgs mechanism for a continuous mass field does not lead to a violation of unitarity of the \( S \) matrix if most of the mass of the Higgs is concentrated below 1 TeV. Since the gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken by a Higgs mechanism, which is a low-energy effect of the vacuum state, we expect the high-energy behavior of the model should still be that of an unbroken gauge theory. Indeed it is easy to show using the result of [10] that the contribution of the unparticle Higgs to WW elastic scattering is given by
\[ A_{sH} = -ig^2 s^2 \left( 1 + \beta^2 \right) \int d\rho \frac{v(\rho)^2}{s - m^2(\rho)}, \] \hspace{1cm} (17)
\[ A_{tH} = -ig^2 s^2 (\beta^2 - \cos\theta)^2 \int d\rho \frac{v(\rho)^2}{t - m^2(\rho)}, \] \hspace{1cm} (18)
where \( \beta = (1 - 4/s)^{1/2} \) and \( \theta \) is the scattering angle. In these expressions the usual Higgs propagator is replaced by the unparticle Higgs propagator. Note that in the limit \( s, t \gg \rho \), we recover the standard model result. As long as the range of integration terminates at a value not much greater than the 1 TeV unitarity bound [11], the unparticle Higgs boson unitarizes the amplitude of the elastic WW scattering. Note that in the approach of [2] it is nontrivial to verify unitarization.

We shall now calculate the production cross section of the unparticle Higgs in a lepton collider such as CERN LEP. The dominant mode at CERN LEP for the production of a light Higgs was via Higgs strahlung. The production cross section via unparticle Higgs strahlung at an \( e^+e^- \) machine is given by
\[
\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ) = \frac{g^2}{4m_W^2} \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{24} \left( 1 - 4x_W + 8x_W^2 \right) \times \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} d\rho v(\rho)^2 \frac{2K(\rho)}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{(K(\rho)^2 + 3m_Z^2)}{(s - m_Z^2)^2} \times \theta(s - m_Z^2) \] \hspace{1cm} (19)
where \( x_W = \sin^2\theta_W \) and
\[ K(\rho) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \sqrt{1 - 2 \frac{(m_h^2(\rho) + m_Z^2)}{s^2}}, \] \hspace{1cm} (20)
where \( m_h^2(\rho) = 2\rho \). If the Z boson is off shell, \( m_Z \) in \( K(\rho) \) is replaced by the four momentum squared of the Z boson. The unparticle Higgs could behave as a very broad Higgs boson since its mass could be distributed over a large energy spectrum. The production cross section into each energy bin could be much smaller than in the case where the standard model Higgs has that particular mass. This can be understood by studying the ratio \( R \) of the cross sections by identifying a real Z boson with real Higgs production of mass \( m_h \) in standard model (SM) case, and with a \( q^2 = m_Z^2 \) for the unparticle Higgs case in a bin of \( \Delta \rho \) of order (1 GeV), which is about the SM Higgs width. We have
\[
R = \frac{g^2}{4m_W^2} \frac{\Delta \rho v(\rho)^2 K(\rho)(K(\rho)^2 + 3m_Z^2)}{K_{SM}(K_{SM}^2 + 3m_Z^2)}. \] \hspace{1cm} (21)
It is given by

$$S_{\text{un}} \approx \frac{g^2}{4m_W^2} \int d\rho \frac{1}{12\pi} v^2(\rho) \log \left( \frac{m_h^2(\rho)}{m_{H,\text{ref}}^2} \right),$$

(25)

where we assume that $m_h(\rho) > m_W$ as in [13], $m_{H,\text{ref}}$ is a SM Higgs reference mass, and our $S$ is defined relative to that value. We will study the deviation of the $S$ parameter from the leading SM Higgs contribution $S_{\text{SM}} = (1/12\pi) \times \ln(m_h^2/m_{H,\text{ref}}^2)$, $\Delta S = S_{\text{un}} - S_{\text{SM}}$. Taking the constant $v(\rho)$ case discussed earlier, we obtain the unparticle Higgs contribution to the $S$ parameter

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left( \frac{\rho_2 \ln(2\rho_2/m_h^2) - \rho_2 \ln(2\rho_1/m_h^2)}{\rho_2 - \rho_1} - 1 \right).$$

(26)

Depending on the conformal window limit $\rho_2$, $\Delta S$ can change sign. If $2\rho_2$ is larger than the SM Higgs mass, $\Delta S$ is positive. If we take $2\rho_1$ and $2\rho_2$ less than the SM Higgs mass $m_h^2$, $\Delta S$ is negative which leads to a better fit than the SM one with a given Higgs mass, although there are probably model building challenges to extending scale invariance down to such low energies. To have some idea of the unparticle Higgs contribution to $\Delta S$ parameter, we show in Fig. 1 $\Delta S$ as a function of $\rho_2$ with $\rho_1$ fixed at (50)$^2/2$ for several SM Higgs masses.

Note our results are valid for unparticle Higgses of arbitrary scaling dimension. If we choose

$$\lambda(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{C \rho^2} \left( \frac{f(\rho)}{f(\rho)} \right)^2,$$

(27)

where $C$ is a dimensionless constant and $f(\rho)$ is defined below Eq. (1), then the unparticle Higgs coupling to gauge bosons is given, using (6), by

$$\sim g^2 A_\mu A^\mu C \Lambda^{d-2} \int df(\rho) h(x, \rho),$$

(28)

which describes an unparticle Higgs of dimension $d$. The consequences of such a choice are obtained simply by replacing $v(\rho)$ by $C f(\rho)/\Lambda^{(d-2)}$. The value of $C$ should be of order unity and the scale $\Lambda$ a few hundred GeV.

We have explored the phenomenology of an unparticle Higgs mechanism, in which electroweak symmetry is broken by a field with approximate scale invariance. Using our continuous mass formalism, it is easy to deduce many of the properties of an unparticle Higgs. In essence, the unparticle Higgs would behave as a very broad resonance with the usual Higgs interactions. However, because any signals it produces are spread over a large range in energy the unparticle Higgs can be hidden by background processes.

Our formulation is quite different from that in [2]. The central object in our analysis is the continuous mass field $\phi(x, \rho)$, which has the SU(2) $\times$ U(1) quantum numbers of the usual Higgs. We implement spontaneous symmetry breaking by causing $\phi(x, \rho)$ to obtain a vacuum expectation value. In this approach unitarization is automatic, since we have clearly only spontaneously broken the gauge symmetry; the high-energy behavior of the model should be unaffected. The specific unparticle properties, such as the scaling dimension $d$, are obtained by choosing the appropriate function $\lambda(\rho)$, which determines $v(\rho)$, and leads to the desired propagator as in Eq. (14), and the appropriate coupling to gauge bosons as in Eq. (28).

We have not discussed the underlying dynamical model for this mechanism, but it would presumably require strong dynamics, a fixed point, perhaps of the Banks-Zaks type, and additional particles, some of which must carry SU(2)$_L$ and hypercharge quantum numbers.
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