Test of Lorentz Invariance with Spin Precession of Ultracold Neutrons

Article (Published Version)

Harris, Philip and et al, (2009) Test of Lorentz Invariance with Spin Precession of Ultracold Neutrons. *Physical Review Letters*, 103 (8). 081602. ISSN 0031-9007

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/24319/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Test of Lorentz Invariance with Spin Precession of Ultracold Neutrons

I. Altarev,¹ C. A. Baker,² G. Ban,³ G. Bison,⁴ K. Bodek,⁵ M. Daum,⁶ P. Fierlinger,⁷ P. Geltenbort,⁸ K. Green,^{2,9} M. G. D. van der Grinten,^{2,9} E. Gutsmedl,¹ P. G. Harris,⁹ W. Heil,¹⁰ R. Henneck,⁶ M. Horras,^{7,6} P. Iaydjiev,^{2,*} S. N. Ivanov,^{2,†} N. Khomutov,¹¹ K. Kirch,⁶ S. Kistryn,⁵ A. Knecht,^{6,‡} P. Knowles,¹² A. Kozela,¹³ F. Kuchler,⁷ M. Kuźniak,^{5,6} T. Lauer,¹⁴ B. Lauss,⁶ T. Lefort,³ A. Mtchedlishvili,⁶ O. Naviliat-Cuncic,³ A. Pazgalev,¹² J. M. Pendlebury,⁹ G. Petzoldt,⁶ E. Pierre,^{3,6} G. Pignol,^{15,§} G. Quémener,³ M. Rebetez,¹² D. Rebreyend,^{15,||} S. Roccia,^{15,¶} G. Rogel,^{3,8} N. Severijns,¹⁶ D. Shiers,⁹ Yu. Sobolev,¹⁰ A. Weis,¹² J. Zejma,⁵ and G. Zsigmond⁶

¹Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany

²Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

³LPC Caen, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS/IN2P3, F-14050 Caen, France

⁴Department of Neurology, Friedrich-Schiller-University, Jena, Germany

⁵Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, 30-059 Cracow, Poland

⁶Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

⁷Excellence Cluster "Universe," Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁸Institut Laue-Langevin, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex, France

⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

¹⁰Institut für Physik, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität, D-55128 Mainz, Germany

¹¹JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

¹²University of Fribourg, CH-1700, Fribourg, Switzerland

¹³Henryk Niedwodniczański Institute for Nuclear Physics, 31-342 Cracow, Poland

¹⁴Institut für Kernchemie, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität, D-55128 Mainz, Germany

¹⁵LPSC, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble 53, F-38026 Grenoble Cedex, France

¹⁶Instituut voor Kern-en Stralingsfysica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

(Received 19 May 2009; published 20 August 2009)

A clock comparison experiment, analyzing the ratio of spin precession frequencies of stored ultracold neutrons and ¹⁹⁹Hg atoms, is reported. No daily variation of this ratio could be found, from which is set an upper limit on the Lorentz invariance violating cosmic anisotropy field $b_{\perp} < 2 \times 10^{-20}$ eV (95% C.L.). This is the first limit for the free neutron. This result is also interpreted as a direct limit on the gravitational dipole moment of the neutron $|g_n| < 0.3$ eV/ c^2 m from a spin-dependent interaction with the Sun. Analyzing the gravitational interaction with the Earth, based on previous data, yields a more stringent limit $|g_n| < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ eV/ c^2 m.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.081602

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 04.80.Cc, 11.30.Er, 28.20.-v

Lorentz symmetry is a fundamental hypothesis of our current understanding of physics and is central to the foundations of the Standard Model of particle physics (SM). However, the SM is widely believed to be only the low energy limit of some more fundamental theory, a theory which will probably violate more symmetries than the SM, in order to accommodate some features of the universe currently lacking in the SM, e.g., the baryon asymmetry. A SM extension including Lorentz and CPT violating terms has been presented in [1]. It provides a parametrization of effects suitable to be tested by low energy precision experiments. In particular, clock comparison experiments [2,3] have proven to be particularly sensitive to spin-dependent effects arising from a so-called *cosmic spin anisotropy field* $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ filling the whole universe. This Letter reports on a search for such an exotic field via its coupling to free neutrons.

In the presence of a field $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, the two spin states of the neutron will encounter an extra contribution to the energy

splitting corresponding to the potential $V = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices. Thus, if a neutron is subjected to both a static magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and the new field $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, its spin will precess at the modified Larmor frequency f_n , which to first order in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ is given by

$$f_n = \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi} B + \frac{2}{h} \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B}. \quad (1)$$

We searched for a sidereal modulation (at a period of 23.934 hours) of the neutron Larmor frequency induced by $b_{\perp}$, the component of $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ orthogonal to the Earth's rotation axis. The experiment is also sensitive to a possible influence of the Sun on the spin precession dynamics, leading to a solar modulation (at a period of 24 h) of the Larmor frequency, as proposed in [4]. Such an effect could arise from a nonstandard spin-dependent component of gravity [5,6] or from another long-range spin-dependent force [7,8]. In particular, a nonzero *neutron gravitational dipole moment* g_n would induce a coupling through (see also [9])

$$V_{\text{GDM}} = g_n \frac{GM}{r^3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{r}, \quad (2)$$

where G is Newton's constant, and for the mass M and the distance r , we use the Sun mass $M_\odot$ and the distance Earth–Sun $r_\odot$.

The experimental apparatus at the PF2 [10] beam line at ILL, Grenoble, is normally used to search for the electric dipole moment of the neutron (n EDM) [11,12]. The apparatus permits spin-polarized ultracold neutrons (UCN) to be filled into a volume, stored, and then counted and classified by polarization state. While confined, the neutrons can be exposed to static (normally $B \approx 1 \mu\text{T}$), as well as to oscillating, magnetic fields. A surrounding four-layer Mu-metal shield suppresses the external magnetic field and its fluctuations. Although $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ acts like a magnetic field influencing the particles' spin precession, it can, per definition, not be suppressed by the Mu-metal shield.

The neutron Larmor frequency, $f_n = \gamma_n B / (2\pi) \approx 30 \text{ Hz}$, is measured via the Ramsey method of separated oscillatory fields [13,14]. Following filling, an initial oscillating field pulse rotates the neutron spin by $\pi/2$, leaving the magnetic moment at right angles to the static holding field B , whereupon it precesses. Following a free spin precession time of typically 100 s, a second oscillating field pulse, phase coherent with the first pulse, further rotates the neutron spin by $\pi/2$. The accumulated phase is measured by counting the populations of the two resulting spin states following the second Ramsey pulse. For each cycle about 10^4 neutrons are counted allowing a measurement of f_n with a statistical precision of $\Delta f_n \approx 50 \mu\text{Hz}$. A unique feature of this n EDM apparatus is the use of a mercury comagnetometer [14]. Within the neutron precession chamber, nuclear spin-polarized ^{199}Hg atoms precess in the same B field as the neutrons. The Larmor frequency $f_{\text{Hg}} = \gamma_{\text{Hg}} B / (2\pi) \approx 8 \text{ Hz}$ is measured optically for each cycle to a precision of $\Delta f_{\text{Hg}} \approx 1 \mu\text{Hz}$. The pumping and analyzing light are generated by two lamps filled with ^{204}Hg and Ar plasma. In addition, four scalar Cs magnetometers [15] are placed above and below the precession chamber (see Fig. 1). They provide on-line measurements of the magnetic field with a precision of 150 fT and were used to measure the vertical gradients of the magnetic field.

For the clock comparison experiment, we use the ratio $R = f_n / f_{\text{Hg}}$ which suppresses the effect of magnetic field fluctuations in the limit of a perfectly homogeneous field. The existing constraints for ^{199}Hg [2] are sufficiently tight, so within the sensitivity of this experiment, new physics effects can only show up in f_n . While the Earth is rotating, together with the vertical quantization axis, the new physics effects under consideration would appear in a harmonic change of R :

$$R(t) = \left| \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{\text{Hg}}} \right| + A \sin(2\pi t/T + \phi) + \delta R. \quad (3)$$

FIG. 1. Vertical cut through the cylindrical precession chamber for UCN and ^{199}Hg . Schematically indicated are the $\approx 70 \text{ mm}$ diameter Cs vapor filled bulbs and their mounts. The scalar Cs magnetometer measures the magnitude of B found at the center of the spherical bulb.

The constant term δR would be induced by the component of a new field parallel to the Earth rotation axis, while the amplitude A would be induced by the orthogonal component. Since magnetic field gradients related effects can be mistaken for the steady term δR , we first focus on the search for a nonzero amplitude A .

Data were recorded in April–May 2008 with the B field pointing downwards. The first 35 h of data were recorded starting on April 21 07h20 UT, followed by a break of 255 h, and then 85 h of uninterrupted data were collected. As we search for a signal modulation in $R(t)$, the runs were combined after subtracting the mean values $\bar{R}$ of the corresponding runs. Figure 2 shows folded data and its discrete spectral analysis. The error bars indicate combined statistical errors of the neutron and the Hg frequency extraction, dominated by the former one. The spectral analysis shows that no particular frequency can be extracted from the data and the whole data set is compatible with a signal of null amplitude ($\chi^2_{\text{null}} = 0.98$).

The neutron frequency extraction [14] requires a fit of the visibility α of the Ramsey resonance curve. The value of this parameter depends on the value of the magnetic field gradients. In order to avoid systematic effects correlated with the value of these gradients (which could be daily modulated), α was fitted in small (typically 1 h) subsets of data to ensure that the neutron frequency extraction does not create any bias.

The R parameter also depends on the value of the magnetic field gradients inside the chamber: while the center of mass of the thermal ^{199}Hg gas coincides with the chamber center, the UCN center of mass is about $h = 2.8 \text{ mm}$

FIG. 2 (color online). The upper figure shows the variation of the ratio R around its average. For clarity, the data are folded modulo 24 hours and binned every half hour. The lower figure shows modulus of the discrete Fourier Transform of the same data set. The line would be evidence that this frequency is too much represented as compared with a white noise.

lower, due to gravity. This offset is related to the vertical gradient $\partial B/\partial z$ [12]:

$$R = \left| \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{\text{Hg}}} \right| \left(1 + \frac{(\partial B/\partial z)h}{B} \right). \quad (4)$$

Daily variations of $\partial B/\partial z$ would be the main systematic uncertainty in our analysis and could appear, e.g., due to a daily modulation of the Earth magnetic field. Therefore, the vertical gradients were monitored by the Cs magnetometers (two on top of the storage chamber and two below it). At the frequency of interest, $1/24$ h, the amplitude of fluctuations of $\partial B/\partial z$ was measured to be ≤ 20 pT/m, resulting in a daily modulation of R with an amplitude $\leq 2 \times 10^{-7}$, according to Eq. (4). This effect is small enough to prevent the R ratio departing from a white noise signal, as one can see in Fig. 2. Other possible sources of false daily modulated signal have been investigated and ruled out. Besides magnetic field inhomogeneities, the main remaining effect is related to the light shift of the mercury frequency. We estimated the associated relative error to be $\approx 10^{-7}$ with our analyzing light intensity. The drifts in intensity of the light was measured to be less than 10%.

To extract an upper limit for the daily modulation amplitude, a frequentist confidence level analysis was performed on the unfolded data. The method consists in determining whether a given signal hypothesis (a given amplitude A and phase ϕ) can be excluded at 95% C.L. when compared with the null hypothesis. This method is known to optimally discriminate two signal hypotheses [16]. For a given A and ϕ , we form the quantity

$$Q(A, \phi) = \chi^2_{\text{null}} - \chi^2_{\text{signal}} \quad \chi^2_{\text{null}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{R[i]}{\Delta R[i]} \right)^2$$

$$\chi^2_{\text{signal}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{R[i] - A \sin(2\pi t[i]/T + \phi)}{\Delta R[i]} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

where $N = 2070$ is the total number of data points, $R[i]$ is the R ratio subtracted from its mean value in individual data sets, and T is either the solar period or the sidereal period. From the measured data, $Q_{\text{data}}(A, \phi)$ is calculated. We consider the probability distribution of Q , $\rho_{\text{null}}(Q)$ in the null hypothesis and $\rho_{\text{signal}}(Q)$ in the signal hypothesis. These two probability distributions have been calculated (for each signal hypothesis) using Monte Carlo simulations. The confidence level of the hypothesis (A, ϕ) is then defined as

$$\text{C.L.}(A, \phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{Q_{\text{data}}} \rho_{\text{signal}}(Q) dQ. \quad (6)$$

The amplitude A is excluded at 95% C.L. if, for all phases ϕ , we have $\text{C.L.}(A, \phi) < 0.05$. The statistical limit obtained this way is $A < 0.58 \times 10^{-6}$, both for the sidereal and solar period. Accounting for the systematics that $\partial B/\partial z$ modulations could counteract any other modulation, the amplitude due to new physics is limited to

$$A < 0.8 \times 10^{-6} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (7)$$

Our result can be interpreted in terms of a limit on the cosmic spin anisotropy field for the free neutron. In this case, T is the sidereal period and the amplitude A is related to the $b_{\perp}$ component according to

$$A = 2b_{\perp} \frac{\cos(\lambda)}{hf_{\text{Hg}}} \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda = 45^{\circ} 12' 22''$ is the latitude of the experiment in Grenoble and h is the Planck constant; thus,

$$b_{\perp} < 2 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (9)$$

Table I compares this result to existing limits on other particles. The result reported here is the first limit for the free neutron. It is complementary to the more precise

TABLE I. Results of more restricting upper limits (at 95% C.L.) on $b_{\perp}(e)$, $b_{\perp}(N)$, $b_{\perp}(p)$, $b_{\perp}(\mu)$, $b_{\perp}(n)$ the couplings between a cosmic spin anisotropy field and different particles.

Reference	System	Particle	$b_{\perp}$ (eV)
Berglund <i>et al.</i> , [2]	Hg and Cs	bound neutron	9×10^{-22}
		electron	2×10^{-20}
Bear <i>et al.</i> , [3]	Xe and He	bound neutron	2×10^{-22}
Phillips <i>et al.</i> , [17]	H	proton	4×10^{-18}
Heckel <i>et al.</i> , [18]	e	electron	7×10^{-22}
Bennet <i>et al.</i> , [19]	μ	positive muon	2×10^{-15}
		negative muon	3×10^{-15}
This analysis	n and Hg	free neutron	2×10^{-20}

atomic experiments [2,3] that can be interpreted as limits concerning bound neutrons inside nuclei. Contrary to the results of [2,3], the neutron result is free from model-dependent nuclear corrections and possible related suppression effects. Being a factor 200 more stringent than the limit for the proton, it is the best free nucleon limit to date.

The result Eq. (7) can also be interpreted as a limit on the gravitational dipole moment of the neutron. In this case, T is the solar period and the amplitude A is expressed as

$$A = 2g_n \frac{GM_\odot}{r_\odot^2} \frac{\cos(\lambda)}{hf_{\text{Hg}}}, \quad (10)$$

where the inclination of the Earth with respect to the ecliptic plane, suppressing the effect by less than 5%, is neglected. We thus obtain the following upper bound

$$|g_n| < 0.3 \text{ eV}/c^2 \text{ m} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (11)$$

In principle, much more stringent limits can be set using the Earth as a source of the new spin-dependent effect. In this case, one has to search for a steady signal contribution δR added to or subtracted from the main coupling term $\gamma_n/\gamma_{\text{Hg}}$, see Eq. (3), depending on the direction of the magnetic field. Previous measurements with the same apparatus constrained the difference of the values R_0 , the value of R for $\partial B/\partial z = 0$, for magnetic field pointing upwards and downwards to [12,20]

$$|\delta R| = \frac{1}{2} |R_{0\uparrow} - R_{0\downarrow}| < 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (12)$$

Using the Earth's mass ($M_\oplus$) and radius ($r_\oplus$) in Eq. (2), this can be translated into a limit on the neutron gravitational dipole moment

$$|g_n| = |\delta R| \frac{hf_{\text{Hg}}}{2} \frac{r_\oplus^2}{GM_\oplus} < 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}/c^2 \text{ m} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (13)$$

Finally, the limits derived above can be discussed in terms of the Hari-Dass framework of spin-dependent gravity [6]:

$$V_{\text{Hari-Dass}} = \alpha_1 GM \frac{\hbar}{2c} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^3} + \alpha_2 GM \frac{\hbar}{2c^2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{r^2} \quad (14)$$

where α_1 and α_2 are dimensionless parameters and $\mathbf{v}$ is the neutron velocity with respect to the source. α_1 is directly proportional to the neutron gravitational dipole moment and the limit (13) leads to

$$|\alpha_1| = \frac{2|g_n|c^2}{\hbar c} < 2.5 \times 10^3 \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (15)$$

this is the best limit for the neutron although still far above the natural value $\alpha_1 \approx 1$. A more stringent limit $|\alpha_1| \lesssim 2 \times 10^2$ was obtained using ^{199}Hg and ^{201}Hg [21], however involving nuclear model uncertainties.

While α_1 is best constrained using the Earth as a source, the search for daily modulation is the natural way to probe α_2 , using the Sun as the source. Our limit (11) translates to

$$|\alpha_2| < 3 \times 10^{10} \quad 95\% \text{C.L.} \quad (16)$$

As for the limit on the cosmic anisotropy field, this is the first limit on the free neutron.

We are grateful to the ILL staff for providing us with excellent running conditions and, in particular, acknowledge the outstanding support of T. Brenner. We also benefitted from the technical support throughout the collaboration. We acknowledge fruitful discussions with R. Lehnert. This work is supported by the French-Polish funding agencies (French: convention IN2P3-Laboratoires Polonais, Grant No. 05-120 and Polish: COPIN/283/2006), the original development of the $n\text{EDM}$ apparatus was funded by the UK's PPARC (now STFC), and the Cs magnetometers were financed by the Swiss National Science Foundation (200020-119820).

*On leave of absence from INRNE, Sofia, Bulgaria

†On leave from PNPI, Russia

‡Also at University of Zürich

§pignol@lpsc.in2p3.fr

||rebreyend@lpsc.in2p3.fr

¶roccia@lpsc.in2p3.fr

- [1] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997).
- [2] C. J. Berglund *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1879 (1995).
- [3] D. Bear *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5038 (2000).
- [4] R. Golub, Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. No. 42, 104 (1978).
- [5] J. Leitner and S. Okubo, Phys. Rev. **136**, B1542 (1964).
- [6] N. D. Hari Dass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 393 (1976).
- [7] B. A. Dobrescu and I. Mocioiu, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (2006) 005.
- [8] J. E. Moody and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 130 (1984).
- [9] R. Golub, D. J. Richardson, and S. K. Lamoreaux, *Ultracold Neutrons* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1991).
- [10] A. Steyerl *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **116**, 347 (1986).
- [11] P. G. Harris *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **440**, 479 (2000).
- [12] C. A. Baker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 131801 (2006).
- [13] N. F. Ramsey, Phys. Rev. **76**, 996 (1949); **78**, 695 (1950).
- [14] K. Green *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **404**, 381 (1998).
- [15] S. Groeger, A. S. Pazgalev, and A. Weis, Appl. Phys. B **80**, 645 (2005).
- [16] C. Amsler *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).
- [17] D. F. Phillips *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 111101(R) (2001).
- [18] B. R. Heckel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 021603 (2006).
- [19] G. W. Bennett *et al.* (Muon (g-2) Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 091602 (2008).
- [20] C. A. Baker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 149102 (2007).
- [21] B. J. Venema *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 135 (1992).