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Pattern Formation in the Basilar Papilla: Evidence for Cell Rearrangement

Richard Goodyear and Guy Richardson
School of Biological Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QG, United Kingdom

The avian basilar papilla is composed of hair and supporting cells arranged in a regular pattern in which the hair cells are surrounded and isolated from each other by supporting cell processes. This arrangement of cells, in which the apical borders of hair cells do not contact one another, may be generated by contact-mediated lateral inhibition. Little is known, however, about the way in which hair and supporting cells are organized during development. Whole mounts double-labeled with antibodies to the 275 kDa hair-cell antigen and the tight junction protein cingulin were therefore used to examine the development of cell patterns in the basilar papilla. Hair cells that contact each other at their apical borders are seen during early development, especially on embryonic days (E) 8 and 9, but are no longer observed after E12. Hair and supporting cell patterns were analyzed in three different areas of the papilla at E9 and E12. In two of these regions between E9 and E12, the ratio of supporting cells to hair cells does not change significantly, whereas there is an increase in both the number of supporting cells around each hair cell and the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts. In the third region examined, there is a dramatic rise in the number of supporting cells around each hair cell, which although accompanied by a small, significant increase in the ratio of supporting cells to hair cells cannot be accounted for by an increase in supporting cell numbers. These data show that a rearrangement of hair and supporting cells with respect to one another may be a fundamental process underlying the development of a regular pattern in the basilar papilla.
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skull containing the inner ear from older embryos and early posthatch chicks were fixed in 3.7% (v/v) formaldehyde in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, for 1 hr at room temperature.

Preparation of double-labeled whole mounts. A fluorescent double-labeling procedure was devised that allowed whole-mount preparations of the basilar papilla to be visualized with both anti-cingulin and anti-hair cell antigen (HCA) staining simultaneously through the fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) channel, and the anti-cingulin staining alone through the tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC) channel. This method was particularly useful for identifying the contacts between adjacent cells and made it possible to identify hair cells unambiguously. Briefly, after three washes in PBS (150 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2), the fixed cochlear ducts were dissected, and the tectum vasculosum overlying the basilar papilla was removed. Papillae were preblocked for 1 hr with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated horse serum (HS) in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (150 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (TX), and then incubated overnight in TBS/HS/TX containing monoclonal anti-HCA hybridoma supernatant (anti-HCA mAb) at a dilution of 1:100 and rabbit anti-cingulin serum (a gift from Sandra Citi, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy) (Citi et al., 1988) diluted 1:500. After 10 washes in TBS/HS/TX, papillae were incubated for 2 hr in TBS/HS/TX containing a mixture of TRITC and FITC-conjugated swine anti-rabbit Ig, each at a dilution of 1:100. After an additional five washes in TBS/HS/TX, papillae were incubated for 2 hr in TBS/HS/TX containing FITC-conjugated swine anti-rabbit Ig diluted 1:100. After a final five washes, each papilla was mounted in Tris-buffered glycerol (pH 8.0, 9 parts glycerol containing 0.1% (w/v) p-phenylene diamine using shims of suitable thickness to prevent squashing and distortion of the epithelium. Using the same method, some preparations were double-labeled with rabbit anti-cingulin and a monoclonal antibody that recognizes centrosome-associated material (our unpublished results).

Preparation of single-labeled whole mounts. Papillae were dissected as described above and preblocked in TBS/HS for 1 hr, followed by overnight incubation in anti-HCA mAb at a dilution of 1:100. After they were washed 10 times in TBS/HS, papillae were incubated for 2 hr in TBS/HS containing FITC-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse Ig diluted to 1:100, washed five times, incubated for 2 hr in TBS/HS containing FITC-conjugated swine anti-rabbit Ig diluted to 1:100, and mounted as described above.

Preparation of tissue for electron microscopy. Embryonic papillae were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde and 0.025% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, for 1 hr and dissected as described above. Papillae were preblocked for 2 hr in TBS/HS, incubated overnight in anti-HCA mAb diluted 1:10 with TBS/HS, washed five times in TBS/HS, and incubated for 2 hr in 10-nm-diameter colloidal gold-conjugated swine anti-mouse Ig diluted 1:10 with TBS/HS that contained 0.05% (w/v) Tween-20 and 1 mM EDTA (TBS/HS/Tween/EDTA). The samples were washed five times in TBS/HS/Tween/EDTA, five times in PBS (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2), fixed for 1 hr with 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 7.1, and washed three times in cacodylate buffer. After dehydration through ethanol, papillae were equilibrated with propylene oxide and embedded in Polysbed 812 resin. Blocks were cured at 60°C for 24 hr. Semi- and ultrathin sections were cut on a Reichert Ultratut E microtome. Thin sections were mounted on copper grids, counterstained with 1% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Reynolds, 1963), and examined using a Hitachi 7100 transmission electron microscope.

Quantitative analysis. The total numbers of hair cells in embryonic papillae were counted from photomontages of HCA-stained preparations that had been photographed with a 10× objective and printed at a final magnification of 215×. Data were obtained from seven papillae from E6, seven from E7, seven from E8, two from E9, three from E9.5, and three from E12. Hair cell–hair cell contacts, the numbers of hair cells contacting each supporting cell, and the numbers of supporting cells around each hair cell were analyzed from micrographs of cingulin/HCA double-labeled whole mounts that had been photographed at a primary magnification of 400× under oil immersion and printed at a final magnification of 2000×. To quantitate hair cell–hair cell contacts, 132 randomly selected areas of fixed size were photographed in the proximal, medial, and distal thirds of the papillae from E8, E9, E11, E12, and E15 embryos. The numbers of pairs of contacting hair cells were expressed as a percentage of the total number of hair cells in each area, and the average of the number of contacting pairs was determined for each third of the papilla at each stage. To quantitate the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell and the average number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts in the central-distal, inferior-proximal, and superior-proximal regions of the papilla (Fig. 1), the number of hair cells contacting each supporting cell and the number of supporting cells around each hair cell were counted in randomly selected areas from within each region. Data were obtained with six papillae from four E9.25 embryos and seven papillae from four E12 embryos. Between 17 and 28 areas from each of the three different regions were counted for the two stages, and the averages were determined. Care was taken during the analysis not to include cells that lie beyond the inferior and superior thirds of the basilar papilla for the inferior-proximal and superior-proximal counts, respectively. A total of 7280 hair cells were analyzed to determine the averages for the number of supporting cells around each hair cell in the three different regions, and 15,632 supporting cells were analyzed to determine the averages for the numbers of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts. The ratios of supporting cells to hair cells were estimated from fixed areas (either 6 × 8 cm, 8 × 8 cm, or 10 × 10 cm on the micrographs) in each of the three regions at both stages using the same set of micrographs that were used for determining the hair and supporting cell contacts. A total of 4877 hair cells and 11,563 supporting cells were counted to determine these ratios. Differences between means were tested for significance using Student’s t test, with p < 0.05 taken as the criterion for statistical significance.

RESULTS

Hair cell differentiation during early development

Hair cells can first be identified with HCA staining after 6 d of incubation (E6); they lie within a small, roughly circular patch at
Figure 2. Basilar papillar whole mounts stained with antibodies to the HCA. At E6 (a), hair cells are seen in a small patch at the distal end of the papilla. By E7 (b), the patch has enlarged and a few proximally located hair cells (arrows) can also be observed. Hair cells are seen along the entire length of the papilla at E8 (c), although those seen at the proximal end (arrows) are stained more brightly and appear distinct from the remainder. D, Distal; P, proximal; I, inferior; S, superior. Scale bar, 100 μm (applies to all micrographs).
Figure 3. Anti-HCA and cingulin staining in the early embryonic basilar papilla. Micrographs are from distal (a-c) and proximal (a’–c’) regions of E6 (a, a’), E7 (b, b’), and E8 (c, c’) papillae that have been stained with antibodies to both the HCA and cingulin. At E6, many distal cells stain only very weakly for the HCA (arrows in a). At E7 an increasing number of hair cells are seen in the distal region (b) and the first proximally located hair cells are seen at this stage (b’). At E8, in distal regions, the density of hair cells is very high, and the epithelium has a compact, crowded appearance (c). In the proximal end at this stage (c’), many hair cells are only just starting to differentiate (small arrows), but a small number appear to be much more mature (large arrow). Scale bar (located in c’): 10 μm (applies to all micrographs).
the number of HCA-positive hair cells determined from whole mounts photographed at 16× is likely to be an underestimate for all stages before E12, because weakly staining, newly emerging hair bundles are not detected at this magnification. At E12, when all of the hair cells in the papilla stain brightly, the number of HCA-positive hair cells observed is likely to be an accurate estimate of the number of differentiated hair cells present in the papilla. The number of hair cells at E12 is nearly 2000 more than that determined by Tilney et al. (1986) at this stage, possibly because of the different methods used.

### Apical contacts between differentiating hair cells

Pairs of contacting hair cells are seen throughout the basilar papilla at E8 but decrease in frequency thereafter. Figures 5-7 illustrate typical views of the epithelial surface from the central-distal (Fig. 5), superior-proximal (Fig. 6), and inferior-proximal (Fig. 7) regions of the papilla at E9 and E12. Several examples of hair cell–hair cell contacts in the central-distal region of an E9 papilla are shown in Figure 5a–c. Transmission electron microscopy of HCA-labeled papillae confirms that pairs of hair cells do come into direct physical contact at their apical borders (Fig. 8a–c). Quantitative analysis of hair cell–hair cell contacts was based on data obtained from the proximal, medial, and distal thirds of the papilla. No distinction was made between the inferior and superior regions of the papilla for this part of the study. This analysis indicates that the highest frequency of hair cell–hair cell contacts occurs in the distal third of the papilla, and this reduces proximally (Fig. 9). By E9, contacting hair cells are not seen in the proximal third of the papilla (Figs. 6, 7, 9), and the numbers seen in the medial third are reduced in comparison to E8 (Fig. 9). By E12, contacting pairs of hair cells are rarely seen in the distal third of the papilla and are entirely absent elsewhere (Figs. 5–7, 9). By E15, contacting hair cells are no longer observed in the distal region (Fig. 9). Where examples of hair cell–hair cell contacts are seen, one or both of the cells involved generally stain noticeably less brightly than nearby isolated hair cells (Fig. 5a, Table 1).

### Arrangements of hair and supporting cells at E9 and E12

The arrangement of hair and supporting cells changes dramatically between E9, after the great majority cells in the basilar papilla have withdrawn from the cell cycle (Katayama and Corwin, 1989), and E12, before the surfaces of supporting cells begin to compress (Figs. 5–7) but when the pattern resembles that seen in the mature papilla (data not shown). To determine the extent to which the organization is changing between these two stages, the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell and the average number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts need to be determined; however, the analysis of how hair and supporting cells are arranged at different developmental stages is complicated by two factors. First, the number of supporting cells around each hair cell is not consistent throughout the papilla (Fig. 10a); second, the arrangement of hair and supporting cells begins to change before all the HCA-positive cells have appeared (Fig. 4). To circumvent these problems we selected three relatively mature regions of the papilla and analyzed them independently. These areas were a central region of the distal papilla and a superior region of the proximal papilla, both of which contain just tall hair cells, and an inferior region of the proximal papilla, which is composed solely of short hair cells (SHCs) (Tanaka and Smith, 1978) (Fig. 1). Although the number of HCA-positive hair
cells appears to increase quite substantially between E9 and E12 (Fig. 4), the actual number of cells that differentiate between these two stages is likely to be considerably less than shown in Figure 4, because the method used to determine these numbers does not detect the newly emerged, weakly stained hair cells (see above). These weakly stained hair cells are observed mostly around the periphery of the distal half of the papilla [the region where almost all tritium-labeled hair cells are observed after injection of $^3$H thymidine on E8.5 (Katayama and Corwin, 1989)] and in very small numbers at its extreme proximal tip (data not shown). These areas, where weakly HCA-positive hair cells are observed at E9.5, were deliberately excluded from our study. The data of Katayama and Corwin (1989) indicate that cells in the central-distal and superior-proximal regions are all produced by E7, whereas >99% of those in the entire proximal two-thirds of the papilla (within which the inferior-proximal region is located) are produced between E7 and E8.5. To determine whether either hair or supporting cells were being either added to or lost from these regions between E9 and E12, we calculated the ratio of hair and supporting cells within each area, in addition to determining the number of supporting cells around each hair cell and the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts. Furthermore, to confirm that each cingulin-demarcated supporting cell process is derived from an individual cell, as opposed to being one of several processes projecting from a single cell, epithelia were double-labeled with a monoclonal antibody to centrosome-associated material and rabbit anti-cingulin antibodies. These preparations clearly show that each cingulin-demarcated surface contains a single centrosome (Fig. 10b,b') and therefore indicate that the numbers of these profiles can be used to determine how many supporting cells surround the apical border of each hair cell.

The average numbers of supporting cells around each hair cell and the average numbers of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts at E9 and E12 in the three different regions are presented in Figure 11. In all three regions the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell (Fig. 11a,c,e) and the average number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts (Fig. 11b,d,f) both increase. These increases are all statistically significant (Table 2), but are only readily noticeable in cingulin/HCA double-labeled whole mounts in the inferior-proximal region (Fig. 7) and not in the central-distal (Fig. 5) or superior-proximal (Fig. 6) areas. Staining of posthatch papillae with the antibody to centrosome-associated material shows that the high number of supporting cells seen around each hair cell in the inferior-proximal region at E12 persists to maturity of the papilla (Fig. 10c). It should also be noted that a small number (1.1%) of cells in the inferior-proximal region at E9 did not contact any hair cells (Fig. 7a). Such cells were only very rarely observed in the other regions and were not found anywhere by E12.

The average number of hair and supporting cells per 10,000 $\mu$m$^2$ in the central-distal, superior-proximal, and inferior-proximal regions of the basilar papilla at E9 and E12 are shown in Table 3. The ratios of supporting cells to hair cells in the central-distal and superior-proximal regions of the papilla do not change between E9 and E12 and are not significantly different (Table 3). A small but statistically significant increase in the ratio...
of supporting cells to hair cells occurs between E9 and E12 in the inferior-proximal region (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study describes how the pattern of hair and supporting cells in the avian basilar papilla is generated during development, and it provides evidence that the regularity of the cellular mosaic may result partially from a rearrangement of the two cell types with respect to one another. In addition, both quantitative and qualitative descriptions of the very early stages of hair cell differentiation in the basilar papilla reveal a complex relationship between the timing of hair cell generation and the onset of overt cytodifferentiation, as defined by the onset of HCA expression.

Hair cell differentiation is not directly linked to birthdate

Both scanning electron microscope (Cotanche and Sulik, 1984) and immunofluorescent studies (Bartolami et al., 1991) have shown that hair cell differentiation begins in the distal end of the papilla at E6, and the results of this study confirm these earlier reports. The use of anti-HCA-stained whole mounts provides a way of globally examining this process. These preparations show that the distal patch of hair cells first observed at E6 extends proximally and expands across the width and along the length of the enlarging sensory epithelium over the course of 4 d. In addition to the distally enlarging patch, however, a spatially separate scattering of brightly stained hair cells is observed in the proximal end of the papilla at E7. Hair cell differentiation in the papilla therefore does not simply proceed in a distal to proximal direction. The thymidine labeling study of Katayama and Corwin (1989) has reported that a longitudinal strip of cells running most of the length of the papilla first leaves the cell cycle between E5 and E6, and subsequent postmitotic cells are added peripherally, along the inferior edge of this strip proximally and all around it distally, until virtually the full complement has been born by E8.5. Because the differentiation of most hair cells tends to spread from the distal to the proximal end of the basilar papilla, similarly aged postmitotic cells do not all differentiate simultaneously. The lag between the time at which hair cells withdraw from the cell cycle and the onset of differentiation would appear to be longer for most of the proximal cells than it is for the distal cells. Hair cells at the distal end of the papilla have \( \approx 50 \) stereocilia per bundle, whereas those at the proximal end have \( \approx 200 \) (Tilney and Saunders, 1983), and it is possible that the larger stereociliary array with its associated HCA takes longer to initiate in the proximal than the distal end of the papilla. Alternatively, locally acting factors derived from newly differentiated hair cells may be required to stimulate the development of other hair cells in the papilla, which explains why there is a gradient of development along the epithelium. This implies, however, that the hair cells that first form in the distal patch on E6 and in the proximal end at E7 do not require such a factor. In this respect it is interesting to note that although the proneural function of Notch is required for neural differentiation in most of the photoreceptors in the developing \textit{Drosophila} eye, those at the posterior and lateral margins of the eye where retinal differentiation begins do not require Notch function for neurogenesis (Baker and Yu, 1997). The presence of a general distal-proximal gradient of hair cell
differentiation in the chick basilar papilla, which is also paralleled
by similar gradients in supporting cell differentiation (Goodyear
et al., 1995, 1996) and BMP4 expression (Oh et al., 1996), does
not correlate with any other known process. For example, the
ingrowth of nerve fibers occurs along the entire length of the
epithelium before the onset of hair cell differentiation (Bartolami
et al., 1991).

The mosaic is initially irregular and the hair cells are
widely spaced
Two aspects of the very early stages of papilla development are
worth noting. First, when the first hair cells appear between E6
and E7, the apical surfaces of the cells vary considerably in their
size, and individual cells can contact as few as three or as many as
eight other cells. The cells are therefore not packed in a perfectly
hexagonal array, as has been assumed in various models of hair
and supporting cell differentiation (Goodyear et al., 1995; Collier
et al., 1996). Furthermore, this also means that there are large
differences in the lengths of contacting cell borders and thus the
area of the contact sites between adjacent cells. If the strength of
 cell–cell signaling systems is proportional to membrane apposi-
tion area, then this variation needs to be considered in future
models, and it will be interesting to see whether the different
models proposed can be made to run on the patterns actually
observed. The second point of interest concerns the distribution
of the first hair cells that appear. These are usually widely spaced
and separated by the processes of several other cells that are
either undetermined cells or supporting cells. Such an observa-
tion would be consistent with hair cells that appear stochastically
throughout a region of the epithelium as a consequence of a
random fluctuation in the level of a signaling molecule, as in
models based solely on lateral inhibition (Collier et al., 1996;
R. Goodyear, http://139.184.160.76/model.html). The simulta-
neous appearance of widely spaced hair cells is not consistent
with crystal growth models that incorporate a combination of
both induction and lateral inhibition to generate hair cells and
start from a single seed site, but it is compatible with those that
use the same mechanism and initiate from multiple points (Good-
year et al., 1995).

Transient hair cell–hair cell contacts are seen
during development
As a result of lateral inhibition, hair cells should not end up lying
adjacent to one another in the epithelium; however, a computer
simulation of basilar papilla formation based solely on lateral
inhibition (R. Goodyear, http://139.184.160.76/model.html) pre-
dicts that two adjacent cells could both initially follow the hair
cell pathway before one finally becomes dominant. In this respect,
it is interesting to note that numerous hair cell–hair cell contacts
are seen before E12, and often one of these cells stains noticeably
weaker than the other for the HCA or both stain more weakly
than the surrounding cells. Hair cells therefore do form side by
side during early development, and the variation in staining
intensity indicates that they may be competing with one another.
The inhibited hair cell may either downregulate HCA expression
and convert to a supporting cell phenotype or be eliminated by
apoptosis. In a hypothetical field of 1000 cells in the central-distal
region of the papilla at E9, where most of the hair cell-hair cell

Figure 7. Whole mounts from the inferior-proximal papilla at E9 and E12. Preparations have been double-labeled to reveal HCA and cingulin through one channel (a, b) and cingulin alone through the other channel (a', b'). At E9 (a), some supporting cells do not contact any hair cells (arrowheads); at E12 (b), virtually all supporting cells contact two or three hair cells. Note the large number of supporting cells around each hair cell at E12 compared with those at E9. Scale bar (shown in b'): 10 μm (applies to all micrographs).
contacts are observed, with a supporting cell–hair cell ratio of 1.85:1. 350 of the cells would be hair cells, and of these there would be 12 pairs contacting each other. If one hair cell in each pair was to convert to a supporting cell by E12, the ratio would increase to 1.96:1. Alternatively, if one hair cell in each pair was to die by E12, the ratio would increase to 1.92:1. These increases would be small (<6%) and may not be apparent, but the data actually show a slight nonsignificant decrease in the ratio of supporting cells to hair cells between E9 and E12 in the central-distal region, indicating that some other mechanism may be operating to eliminate hair cell–hair cell contacts. It is also interesting to note that as development proceeds the proportion of contacting pairs where both cells stain brightly increases (from 2.4 to 100%). The numbers of hair cell pairs, however, are small at E12 and these may be the last few remaining pairs that will be eliminated, although lateral inhibition may no longer be functioning at this relatively late stage of development.

Hair and supporting cells rearrange with respect to one another

As the mosaic acquires regularity and hair cell–hair cell contacts are disappearing, the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell increases. In the central-distal and superior-inferior regions, the number of supporting cells around each hair cell increases without a statistically significant change in the ratio of supporting cells to hair cells, and previous data (Katayama and
Figure 10. Double-labelling of cingulin and centrosome-associated material in papillary whole mounts. a, Photomontage of a medial region of the papilla at E12, spanning the entire width from the superior edge (left-hand side) to the inferior edge (right-hand side). Scale bar, 10 μm. b, b’, A medial region of an E10 papilla that has been double-labeled with antibodies to the centrosome-associated material and cingulin (b) and cingulin alone (b’). A single, discrete blob of staining can be seen within each cell (arrows, arrowheads) with the anti-centrosome-associated material antibody (b). Scale bar, 10 μm. c, Anti-centrosome-associated material labeling in the proximal region of a posthatch papilla. The antibody does not recognize centrosome-associated material of mature hair cells, and each brightly stained blob represents a single supporting cell. Arrows point to the unlabeled hair cells between each ring of supporting cell centrosomes. Note the greater number of centrosomes in the rings at the inferior edge of the papilla. Scale bar, 20 μm. S, Superior edge; I, inferior edge; arrows, hair cells; arrowheads, supporting cells.
Corwin, 1989) have indicated that all of the cells in these regions are postmitotic by E8.5. It is therefore unlikely that the increase in the number of supporting cells around each hair cell in these regions is caused by an increase in supporting cell numbers, and the simplest explanation for the observed increase in the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell is that the two cell types are rearranging with respect to one another, with the supporting cells acquiring more contacts with hair cells. This would be in agreement with the results, where an increase in the number of supporting cells surrounding each hair cell is that the two cell types are rearranging with respect to one another, with the supporting cells acquiring more contacts with hair cells. This would be in agreement with the results, where an increase in the number of supporting cells surrounding each hair cell is accompanied by an increase in the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts.

In the inferior-proximal region, where the SHCs are located, the increases in the number of supporting cells around each hair cell and the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts are accompanied by a small but significant rise in the supporting cell/hair cell ratio. An increase in the ratio of supporting cells to hair cells in this region could result from an increase in supporting cells, a decrease in hair cells, or a combination of both processes. A decrease in hair cells in itself would not increase the number of supporting cells around each hair cell and would lead to a decrease in the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts. This is the opposite to what is observed. An increase in supporting cells would cause a rise in the number of supporting cells around each hair cell, but the small change observed in the supporting cell/hair cell ratio between E9 and E12 is not sufficient to account for the increase in the number of supporting cells seen around each hair cell between these two stages. For example, with a supporting cell/hair cell ratio of 3.56:1, a hypothetical field of 100 cells in the inferior-proximal region of the papilla at E9 would contain 78 supporting cells and 22 hair cells. The increase in the ratio to 3.9:1 observed by E12 would be equivalent to the addition of an extra seven to eight supporting cells to this field. If these were to contact three hair

Figure 11. Graphs of changes in contacts between hair and supporting cells from E9 and E12. a, c, e show changes in the number of supporting cells around each hair cell; b, d, f show changes in the number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts. Graphs are for the central-distal (CD) region (a, b), superior-proximal (SP) region (c, d), and inferior-proximal (IP) region (e, f). Error bars represent SEM.
Table 2. Hair and supporting cell contact numbers at E9 and E12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Central-distal</th>
<th>Superior-proximal</th>
<th>Inferior-proximal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E9</td>
<td>E12</td>
<td>E9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of supporting cells around each hair cell</td>
<td>4.56 (±0.08)</td>
<td>5.23* (±0.09)</td>
<td>4.89 (±0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts</td>
<td>2.48 (±0.05)</td>
<td>3.07* (±0.05)</td>
<td>2.32 (±0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table summarizes the changes between E9 and E12 in the average number of supporting cells around each hair cell, and the average number of hair cells that each supporting cell contacts in the central-distal, superior-proximal, and inferior-proximal regions of the basilar papilla. Numbers in parentheses are the SEMs; n is the number of areas within each region from which counts were made. * Value is significantly different from corresponding E9 value; p < 0.0001.

Table 3. Hair and supporting cell densities and ratios of the two cell types in each area at E9 and E12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Central-distal</th>
<th>Superior-proximal</th>
<th>Inferior-proximal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E9</td>
<td>E12</td>
<td>E9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of supporting cells per 10,000 μm²</td>
<td>677 (±23.4)</td>
<td>398 (±28.9)</td>
<td>446 (±19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of hair cells per 10,000 μm²</td>
<td>370 (±17.2)</td>
<td>241 (±22.2)</td>
<td>213 (±10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average supporting cell to hair cell ratios</td>
<td>1.85 (±0.05):1</td>
<td>1.71 (±0.05):1*</td>
<td>2.13 (±0.07):1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table summarizes the average number of hair and supporting cells per 10,000 μm², in the central-distal, superior-proximal, and inferior-proximal regions of the basilar papilla at E9 and E12. The mean ratios of supporting cells to hair cells in each region at each stage, derived from the total numbers of cells counted, are also given. Numbers in parentheses are the SEMs; n is the number of areas within each region from which counts were made. * Value is significantly different from corresponding E9 value; p < 0.0001. * Value is not significantly different from E9 value; p > 0.05.

Movements of cells relative to one another are necessary for wound healing and many morphogenetic processes, such as gastrulation and formation of the neural tube. Although it was proposed initially that differential cell adhesion alone would not drive these movements and functions only to stabilize the most favorable contacts brought about by cells actively exploring their neighborhood (Graner, 1993), more recent mathematical models show that differential adhesion between cells is sufficient to explain many types of cell rearrangement observed in biological systems (Glazier and Graner 1993). The dramatic change in hair and supporting cell organization observed in the inferior-proximal region of the papilla between E9 and E12 is morphologically comparable to the way in which interommatidial cells reorganize to form single chains around each ommatidium during development of the Drosophila compound eye (Cagan and Ready, 1989), and it has been shown recently that this process involves a gene (irrecC-rst) encoding an adhesion molecule of the immunoglobulin superfamilly (Reiter et al., 1996). Although the IrrecC-rst protein mediates homophilic adhesion in transfected cells (Schneider et al., 1995), it accumulates in interommatidial cells at their borders with primary pigment cells after its downregulation in this latter cell type and may therefore interact heterophilically with an as yet undiscovered ligand (Reiter et al., 1996). The changes observed in the organization of hair and supporting cells in the papilla may be brought about solely by differential adhesion through a mechanism similar to that involving IrrecC-rst in the Drosophila eye and could result from a heterotypic attraction between hair and supporting cells that is preferential to homotypic interactions between supporting cells. N-CAM and both N- and E-cadherin are expressed in the developing papilla (Richardson et al., 1987; Raphael et al., 1988), and whether any of these adhesion molecules or the closest known chicken homolog of IrrecC-rst, variously known as DM-GRASP, SC1, and BEN (Burns et al., 1991; Tanaka et al., 1991; Pourquie et al., 1992), are involved in this process remains to be determined experimentally.
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