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The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory has precisely determined the total active ($\nu_e$) $^8$B solar neutrino flux without assumptions about the energy dependence of the $\nu_e$ survival probability. The measurements were made with dissolved NaCl in heavy water to enhance the sensitivity and signature for neutral-current interactions. The flux is found to be $3.80^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ (stat) $\times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, in agreement with previous measurements and standard solar models. A global analysis of these and other solar neutrino results yields $\Delta m^2 = 7.1^{+1.2}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-5}$ eV$^2$ and $\theta = 32.5^{+2.3}_{-2.1}$ degrees. Maximal mixing is rejected at the equivalent of 5.4 standard deviations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.181301
PACS numbers: 26.65.+t, 14.60.Pq, 95.85.Ry

The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) [1] detects $^8$B solar neutrinos through the reactions

$$\nu_e + d \rightarrow p + p + e^- \quad (CC),$$
$$\nu_x + d \rightarrow p + n + \nu_x \quad (NC),$$
$$\nu_x + e^- \rightarrow \nu_x + e^- \quad (ES).$$

Only electron neutrinos produce charged-current interactions (CC), while the neutral-current (NC) and elastic
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scattering (ES) reactions have sensitivity to nonelectron flavors. The NC reaction measures the total flux of all active neutrino flavors above a threshold of 2.2 MeV.

SNO previously measured the NC rate by observing neutron captures on deuterons, and found that a standard-model description with an undistorted $^8$B neutrino spectrum and CC, ES, and NC rates due solely to $\nu_e$ interactions was rejected [2,3]. This Letter presents measurements of the CC, NC, and ES rates from SNO’s dissolved salt phase.

The addition of NaCl, 0.195% by weight, to the 1-Gg heavy-water target increased the neutron capture efficiency and the associated Cherenkov light. The solution was thoroughly mixed and a conductivity scan along the vertical axis showed the NaCl concentration to be uniform within 0.5%.

The data presented here were recorded between July 26, 2001 and October 10, 2002, totaling 254.2 live days. The number of raw triggers was $435 \times 10^6$ and the data set was reduced to 3055 events after data reduction similar to that in [3] and analysis selection requirements. Cherenkov event backgrounds from $\beta$-$\gamma$ decays were reduced with an effective electron kinetic energy threshold $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 5.5$ MeV and a fiducial volume with radius $R_{\text{fid}} \leq 550$ cm.

The neutron detection efficiency and response were calibrated with a $^{252}$Cf neutron source. The neutron capture efficiency is shown in Fig. 1(a). The detection efficiency for NC reactions in the heavy water was $0.399 \pm 0.010$ (calibration) $\pm 0.009$ (fiducial volume) for $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 5.5$ MeV and $R_{\text{fid}} \leq 550$ cm, an increase of approximately a factor of 3 from the pure D$_2$O phase. Calibration of the detector’s optical and energy response has been updated to include time variation of the water transparency measurements made at various wavelengths throughout the running period. A normalization for photon detection efficiency based on $^{16}$N calibration data [4] and Monte Carlo calculations was used to set the absolute energy scale. $^{16}$N data taken throughout the running period verified the gain drift (approximately 2% per year) predicted by Monte Carlo calculations based on the optical measurements. The energy response for electrons is characterized by a Gaussian function with resolution $\sigma_T = -0.145 + 0.392 \sqrt{T_e} + 0.0353 T_e$, where $T_e$ is the electron kinetic energy in MeV. The energy scale uncertainty is 1.1%.

Neutron capture on $^{35}$Cl typically produces multiple $\gamma$ rays while the CC and ES reactions produce single electrons. The greater isotropy of the Cherenkov light from neutron capture events relative to CC and ES events allows good statistical separation of the event types. This separation allows a precise measurement of the NC flux to be made independent of assumptions about the CC and ES energy spectra.

The degree of the Cherenkov light isotropy is reflected in the pattern of photomultiplier tube (PMT) hits. Event isotropy was characterized by parameters $\beta_4$, the average value of the Legendre polynomial $P_4$ of the cosine of the angle between PMT hits [5]. The combination $\beta_1 + 4\beta_4 = \beta_{14}$ was selected as the measure of event isotropy to optimize the separation of NC and CC events. Systematic uncertainty in $\beta_{14}$ distributions generated by Monte Carlo for signal events was evaluated by comparing $^{16}$N calibration data to Monte Carlo calculations [6] for events throughout the fiducial volume and running period. The uncertainty on the mean value of $\beta_{14}$ is 0.87%. Comparisons of $\beta_{14}$ distributions from $^{16}$N events and neutron events from $^{252}$Cf to Monte Carlo calculations are shown in Fig. 1(b). The Monte Carlo calculations of $\beta_{14}$ have also been verified with 19.8 MeV $\gamma$-ray events from a $^3$H($p, \gamma$)$^4$He source [7], high-energy electron events (dominated by CC and ES interactions) from the pure D$_2$O phase of the experiment, neutron events following muons, and with low-energy calibration sources.

Backgrounds are summarized in Table I. Low levels of the U and Th progeny $^{214}$Bi and $^{208}$Tl can create free neutrons from deuteron photodisintegration and low-energy Cherenkov events from $\beta$-$\gamma$ decays. Ex situ assays and in situ analysis techniques were employed to
TABLE I. Background events. The internal neutron and γ-ray backgrounds are constrained in the analysis. The external-source neutrons are reported from the fit. The last two entries are included in the systematic uncertainty estimates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deuteron photodisintegration</td>
<td>73.1$^{+24.0}_{-23.5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2$H($\alpha$, $\alpha$)pn</td>
<td>2.8 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{17,18}$O($\alpha$, n)</td>
<td>1.4 $\pm$ 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fission, atmospheric ν (NC plus sub-Cherenkov threshold CC)</td>
<td>23.0 $\pm$ 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial and reactor P's</td>
<td>2.3 $\pm$ 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrons from rock</td>
<td>$\leq$ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{24}$Na activation</td>
<td>8.4 $\pm$ 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n from CNO ν's</td>
<td>0.3 $\pm$ 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total internal neutron background</td>
<td>111.3$^{+25.3}_{-24.9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal γ (fission, atmospheric ν)</td>
<td>5.2 $\pm$ 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{16}$N decays</td>
<td>$&lt;2.5$ (68% C.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External-source neutrons (from fit)</td>
<td>84.5$^{+34.5}_{-33.6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherenkov events from β-γ decays</td>
<td>$&lt;14.7$ (68% C.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV events</td>
<td>$&lt;5.4$ (68% C.L.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determining the average levels of uranium and thorium during the experiment [3,8–10]. Results from these methods are consistent. For the $^{232}$Th chain, the weighted mean of the ex situ MnO, and the in situ measurement was used. The $^{238}$U chain activity is dominated by Rn ingress, which is highly time variable. The in situ determination was used to estimate this background, because it provides the appropriate time weighting. The average rate of background neutron production from activity in the D$_2$O region is 0.72$^{+0.24}_{-0.23}$ neutrons per day.

Backgrounds from atmospheric neutrino interactions and $^{239}$U fission were estimated with the aid of the code NUANCE [11] and from event multiplicities.

$^{24}$Na (which originates from neutron activation of $^{23}$Na) can also emit γ rays which photodisintegrate the deuteron. Residual activation after calibrations and $^{24}$Na production in the water circulation system and in the heavy water in the “neck” of the vessel were determined and are included in Table I. SNO is slightly sensitive to solar CNO neutrinos from the electron-capture decay of $^{15}$O and $^{17}$F.

Neutrons and γ rays produced at the acrylic vessel and in the light water can propagate into the fiducial volume. Radon progeny deposited on the surfaces of the vessel during construction can initiate ($\alpha$, n) reactions on $^{13}$C, $^{17}$O, and $^{19}$O, and external γ rays can

TABLE II. Systematic uncertainties on fluxes for the spectral shape unconstrained analysis of the salt data set. * denotes CC versus NC anticorrelation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>NC uncertainty (%)</th>
<th>CC uncertainty (%)</th>
<th>ES uncertainty (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy scale</td>
<td>$-3.7, +3.6$</td>
<td>$-1.0, +1.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution</td>
<td>$\pm 1.2$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy nonlinearity</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
<td>$-0.0, +0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial accuracy</td>
<td>$-3.0, +3.5$</td>
<td>$-2.6, +2.5$</td>
<td>$-2.6, +2.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex resolution</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$\pm 2.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropy mean*</td>
<td>$-3.4, +3.1$</td>
<td>$-3.4, +2.6$</td>
<td>$-0.9, +1.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropy resolution</td>
<td>$\pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial energy bias</td>
<td>$-2.4, +1.9$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.7$</td>
<td>$-1.3, +1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex Z accuracy*</td>
<td>$-0.2, +0.3$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal background neutrons</td>
<td>$-1.9, +1.8$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal background γ's</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron capture</td>
<td>$-2.5, +2.7$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherenkov backgrounds</td>
<td>$-1.1, +0.0$</td>
<td>$-1.1, +0.0$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV events</td>
<td>$-0.4, +0.0$</td>
<td>$-0.4, +0.0$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total experimental uncertainty</td>
<td>$-7.3, +7.2$</td>
<td>$-4.6, +3.8$</td>
<td>$-4.3, +4.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross section [13]</td>
<td>$\pm 1.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.2$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
photodisintegrate deuterium. The enhanced neutron capture efficiency of salt makes these external-source neutrons readily apparent, and an additional distribution function was included in the analysis to extract this component (Table I). Previous measurements [2,3,12] with pure D$_2$O were less sensitive to this background source, and a preliminary evaluation indicates the number of these background events was within the systematic uncertainties reported.

The backgrounds from Cherenkov events inside and outside the fiducial volume were estimated using calibration source data, measured activity, Monte Carlo calculations, and controlled injections of Rn into the detector. These backgrounds were nearly negligible above the analysis energy threshold and within the fiducial volume, and are included as an uncertainty on the flux measurements.

A class of background events identified and removed from the analysis in the pure D$_2$O phase ("AV events") reconstruct near the acrylic vessel and were characterized by a nearly isotropic light distribution. Analyses of the pure D$_2$O and salt data sets limit this background to 5.4 events (68% C.L.) for the present data.

To minimize the possibility of introducing biases, a blind analysis procedure was used. The data set used during the development of the analysis procedures and the definition of parameters excluded an unknown fraction (<30%) of the final data set, included an unknown admixture of muon-following neutron events, and included an unknown NC cross section scaling factor. After fixing all analysis procedures and parameters, the blindness constraints were removed. The analysis was then performed on the "open" data set, statistically separating events into CC, NC, ES, and external-source neutrons using an extended maximum likelihood analysis based on the distributions of isotropy, cosine of the event direction relative to the vector from the Sun (cos$\theta_{\odot}$), and radius within the detector. This analysis differs from the analysis of the pure D$_2$O data [2,3,12] since the spectral distributions of the ES and CC events are not constrained to the $^8$B shape, but are extracted from the data. The extended maximum likelihood analysis yielded 1339.6$^{+63.8}_{-61.5}$ CC, 170.3$^{+23.9}_{-20.1}$ ES, 1344.2$^{+69.8}_{-69.0}$ NC, and 84.5$^{+34.5}_{-33.6}$ external-source neutron events. The systematic uncertainties on derived fluxes are shown in Table II. The isotropy, cos$\theta_{\odot}$, and kinetic energy distributions for the selected events are shown in Fig. 2, with statistical uncertainties only. A complete spectral analysis including the treatment of differential systematic uncertainties will be presented in a future report. The volume-weighted radial distributions [$\rho = (R_{\text{fit}}/600 \text{ cm})^3$] are shown in Fig. 3.

The fitted numbers of events give the equivalent $^8$B fluxes [14,15](in units of 10$^6$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$):

$$\phi_{\text{CC}}^{SNO} = 1.59^{+0.08}_{-0.09} \text{(stat)} \pm 0.08 \text{(syst)},$$

$$\phi_{\text{ES}}^{SNO} = 2.21^{+0.31}_{-0.26} \text{(stat)} \pm 0.10 \text{(syst)},$$

$$\phi_{\text{NC}}^{SNO} = 5.21 \pm 0.27 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.38 \text{(syst)},$$

and the ratio of the $^8$B flux measured with the CC and NC reactions is
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[4] βi = \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i (\cos \theta_{ij}) \), with \( \theta_{ij} \) the angle between hits \( i \) and \( j \) from the event position and \( N \) the number of hits.
[5] A small correction to EGS4 was made to allow for the neglect of the Mott terms in the electron scattering cross section and other approximations in the treatment of multiple scattering. We thank D.W.O. Rogers for providing EGSnrc simulations.
[13] Cross section uncertainty includes g_A (0.5%), theoretical cross section (1% for CC and NC, 0.3% for CC/NC), S. Nakamura et al. Nucl. Phys. A707, 561 (2002), and radiative corrections (0.3% for CC, 0.1% for NC), A. Kurylov, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. C 65, 055501 (2002).
[14] hep neutrinos could also be present in the measured fluxes; the SSM contribution would be 0.5%.