Hydrodynamic simulations of merging clusters of galaxies
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We present the results of high-resolution AP$^3$M+SPH simulations of merging clusters of galaxies. We find that the compression and shocking of the core gas during a merger can lead to large increases in bolometric X-ray luminosities and emission-weighted temperatures of clusters. Cooling flows are completely disrupted during equal-mass mergers, with the mass deposition rate dropping to zero as the cores of the clusters collide. The large increase in the cooling time of the core gas strongly suggests that cooling flows will not recover from such a merger within a Hubble time. Mergers with subclumps having one eighth of the mass of the main cluster are also found to disrupt a cooling flow if the merger is head-on. However, in this case the entropy injected into the core gas is rapidly radiated away and the cooling flow restarts within a few Gyr of the merger. Mergers in which the subcluster has an impact parameter of 500 kpc do not disrupt the cooling flow, although the mass deposition rate is reduced by \textasciitilde 30 per cent. Finally, we find that equal mass, off-centre mergers can effectively mix gas in the cores of clusters, while head on mergers lead to very little mixing. Gas stripped from the outer layers of subclumps results in parts of the outer layers of the main cluster being well mixed, although they have little effect on the gas in the core of the cluster. None of the mergers examined here resulted in the intracluster medium being well mixed globally.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the cold dark matter scenario, clusters of galaxies form through a succession of mergers of smaller subclusters and groups. Major mergers can be the most energetic events in the Universe since the Big Bang, with hydrodynamic shocks dissipating much of the kinetic energy of the collision ($10^{63} - 10^{64}$ erg) into the intracluster medium (ICM). The theoretical picture is supported by X-ray observations, which suggest that many clusters of galaxies are either undergoing mergers or have experienced merger events in the recent past. \textit{ROSAT} observations generally focussed on distortion of the X-ray isophotes (e.g. White et al. 1993) and the presence of X-ray substructure (Forman & Jones 1994; Buote & Tsai 1996), while evidence for shocks in the ICM was seen in temperature maps created from \textit{ASCA} observations (e.g. Arnaud et al. 1994). The launch of \textit{Chandra} and of \textit{XMM-Newton} has provided a wealth of observational data, including high-resolution temperature maps of shocks in merging clusters (Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2001) and the surprising discovery of sharp surface brightness discontinuities between the hot cluster gas and moving ‘cold fronts’ (Vikhlinin, Markevitch & Murray 2001), which are thought to be the cores of subclusters that have survived the merger process (Markevitch et al. 2000).

Modern cosmological simulations (Bertschinger 1998 and references therein; see also Frenk et al. 1999) are capable of following the evolution of large volumes of the universe from early times until the present day, but are not particularly suited to modelling mergers as the resolution of individual clusters is limited unless techniques such as resimulation (e.g. Eke, Navarro & Frenk 1998) are used. Cosmological simulations are also hard to analyse as the cluster does not necessarily have time to return to hydrostatic equilibrium between mergers, making it hard to separate one merger from the next. An alternative is to study the merger of idealized systems, which, although less realistic than the full cosmological approach, do have a number of advantages. First, the objects participating in the merger are fully formed, and so there is no need to simulate the large volumes of space necessary to capture the evolution of a cluster of galaxies from high redshift. We can therefore use a smaller volume in which the merging systems are well resolved, and this also has implications for our hydrodynamic scheme; this is discussed in Section 2. As we are studying a single merger event between two objects which are initially in hydrostatic equilibrium, changes in the final object are easily quantified, and the controlled initial conditions allow parameter space to be...
explored. While full cosmological simulations are ultimately more realistic, the simplified picture represents the best chance of understanding the physics underlying the merger process.

Several authors have presented results from such simulations. Head-on mergers between King-model clusters of unequal mass containing gas and dark matter were studied by Roettiger, Burns & Loken (1993) and Roettiger, Loken & Burns (1997, hereafter RLB97) using a finite-difference code to model the hydrodynamics and an $N$-body code to model the evolution of the gravitational potential, while Schindler & Müller (1993) used a similar approach, although with less-controlled initial conditions. However, these simulations treated the baryonic component as being massless, limiting the results to very gas-poor clusters. Pearce, Thomas & Couchman (1994, hereafter PTC94) examined head-on mergers of systems containing gas and dark matter using an adaptive particle–particle particle-mesh ($\text{AP}^3\text{M}$; Couchman 1991) code with the gas being modelled using smoothed-particle hydrodynamics (SPH; Monaghan 1992), with their results focusing mainly on the evolution of the core of the cluster rather than the observable properties of the merger.

More recently Roettiger, Stone & Mushotzky (1998) have used a hydrodynamic code based on the piecewise-parabolic method ($\text{PPM}$; Collela & Woodward 1984) coupled to a particle-mesh $N$-body code to examine the evolution of the cluster A754, suggesting that its unusual X-ray morphology (e.g. Henry & Briel 1995) is the result of a recent ($<0.5 \text{ Gyr}$), slightly off-centre merger. Ricker (1998) also used PPM to simulate off-centre cluster mergers, although these simulations do not include the dark matter which typically dominates the dynamics of clusters and can make a significant difference to the end state of the merger process (PTC94; see also Section 3.2). Finally, Takizawa (1999, 2000) carried out simulations of merging clusters using SPH, with gravitational forces calculated using a tree algorithm (Barnes & Hut 1986). The simulations of PTC94 and Takizawa (1999) are the most similar to our own and provide a useful comparison, although both were carried out at lower resolution than the results described here.

In this paper we present results from high-resolution (131 072-particle) simulations of the merger of idealized clusters of galaxies containing both dark matter and gas, using an $\text{AP}^3\text{M}+\text{SPH}$ code. Off-centre mergers and mergers between unequal-mass systems are also examined, with reference to the observable properties of clusters of galaxies. The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe our hydrodynamical scheme and the generation of initial conditions, and the results are described in Section 3. The significance of the results are discussed in Section 4, and conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2 METHOD

The simulations described here have been carried out using HYDRA (Couchman, Thomas & Pearce 1995), an $N$-body, hydrodynamics code which combines an $\text{AP}^3\text{M}$ $N$-body algorithm (Couchman 1991) with SPH. Tests of our code can be found in Couchman et al. (1995), Thacker et al. (2000) and Ritchie & Thomas (2001).

In SPH, the equations of motion for a compressible fluid (e.g. Landau & Lifshitz 1959) are solved using a Lagrangian formulation in which the fluid is partitioned into elements, a subset of which are represented by particles of known mass $m$ and specific energy $\varepsilon$. Continuous fields are represented by interpolating between particles using a smoothing kernel, which is normally defined in terms of a sphere containing a fixed number of neighbours, centred on the particle in question. The radius of the smoothing sphere is adjusted so as to keep the neighbour count approximately constant, making SPH adaptive in both space and time. The particle nature of SPH means that there is no grid to constrain the geometry or dynamic range of the system being studied, and allows SPH to be easily integrated with many $N$-body solvers. However, unlike PPM, SPH requires an artificial viscosity to convert relative motion to heat; we use a pairwise artificial viscosity (Monaghan & Gingold 1983) as described by Thacker et al. (2000).

SPH is often criticized for capturing shocks poorly in comparison with modern high-order Godunov-type schemes, and for having poor resolution in low-density regions, which are represented by relatively few particles. While both of these criticisms can be true, they are of limited importance for the simulations considered here. Steinmetz & Müller (1993) find that the shock-capturing ability of SPH is closely linked to the number of particles in the system being modelled, with SPH giving accurate results if in excess of $10^4$ particles are used to model three-dimensional problems. This figure is out of reach of most cosmological simulations, but is easily achievable with our simplified approach, and the initial conditions are generated with the constraints of Steinmetz & Müller (1993) in mind. We will not be able to follow the propagation of shocks into the lower-density outer regions of the cluster as well as finite-difference methods, but the X-ray luminosity of such regions will be low and so the limitations of our hydrodynamical method will not impact any potentially observable properties of the system.

Radiative cooling is implemented in our code by adding a sink term $\xi$ to the energy equation, where $\xi$ is the emissivity (the emission rate per unit volume), interpolated from the cooling function of Sutherland & Dopita (1993). Cooling during shock-heating (see Hutchings & Thomas 2000) is minimized by applying the artificial viscosity prior to the gas being allowed to cool. The cooling is assumed to occur at constant density, with the time-step ensuring that this condition is approximately satisfied, as described in Thomas & Couchman (1992). Particles which have cooled to $10^4$ K, the temperature at which the cooling function drops to zero, are converted to collisionless ‘star’ particles to avoid a build-up of cold gas that can cause the SPH algorithm problems (Pearce et al. 2001; Ritchie & Thomas 2001). We neglect the effect of thermal conductivity, which is known to be strongly suppressed in clusters of galaxies (e.g. Fabian, Nulsen & Canizares 1991; Vikhlinin et al. 2001).

2.1 Initial conditions

Our idealized clusters initially have gas and dark matter density profiles given by a Hubble profile

\[ \rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{[1 + (r/r_c)^2]^{3/2}}, \]

where $\rho_0$ is the central mass density and $r_c$ is the cluster core radius. This is similar to the gas density profile deduced from the X-ray

$^2$The specific energy $\varepsilon$ is related to the gas temperature $T$ by $\varepsilon = 3k_BT/2m_H$, where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $m_H$ is the mass of the hydrogen atom and $\mu = 0.6$ is the relative molecular mass.
surface brightness of clusters of galaxies, and has been widely used by other authors simulating cluster mergers (e.g. PTC94; RLB97; Ricker 1998; Takizawa 1999). N-body simulations (e.g. Moore et al. 1999) suggest that the dark matter is more centrally concentrated than that given by equation 1, and Ricker & Sarazin (2001) have recently carried out simulations in which the dark matter density follows the Navarro, Frenk & White (1997) profile

\[ \rho(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2}, \]

where \( \rho_s \) and \( r_s \) are a scaling density and radius that are dependent on the halo mass. Ricker & Sarazin find that in their simulations the morphological changes, temperature jumps and gas velocities that are similar to previous work in which the dark matter density is given by equation (1), although the peak X-ray luminosity is higher.

In our simulations, we truncate the density profile at \( R = 16r_s \), a numerical compromise which allows the core to be well resolved yet be located well within the outer boundary of the system. To set up the density profile, we first place gas particles randomly within a cubical simulation volume, which is then evolved at constant temperature until spurious fluctuations arising from the initial particle distribution have died away. Particles are then ordered in terms of their distance from the centre of the box and are translated radially to match the desired mass profile, ensuring that the gas starts off close to a relaxed state. Finally, collisionless dark matter particles with nine times the gas mass are placed on top of each gas particle.

Once particles have been placed, particle velocities must be set. Dark matter velocities are drawn from a 3D Gaussian distribution of width \( \sigma \) in each direction, where \( \sigma \) is determined by solving the Jeans equation

\[ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d(\rho\sigma^2)}{dr} = -\frac{GM}{r^2}. \]

Gas particles have their initial velocities set to zero and are given a temperature equivalent to \( \sigma^2 \), so that

\[ \beta = \frac{\sigma^2}{k_B T/m_{\text{H}}} = 1, \]

with particle densities set from equation 1. The sound-crossing time for the cluster is

\[ t_{sc} = \frac{R}{c_s} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu m_{\text{H}}}}{\sqrt{2k_B T_c}} = 1.75 \text{ Gyr}. \]

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the density profile over a period of 20 sound-crossing times for an isolated system set up with the density profile specified by equation 1. Some expansion in the outer region resulting from the initially truncated density profile is visible, but these fluctuations are small and settle down within a couple of sound-crossings. Our initial conditions for simulations of equal-mass mergers simply take two such spheres, whose centres are displaced by a distance equal to the impact parameter \( b \) in the \( y \)-direction and which are in contact (i.e. separation \( 2R \)). The initial relative velocity in the \( x \)-direction is equal to the circular speed

\[ v_{\text{coll}} = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{R}}, \]

at the edge of the cluster, where \( M \) is the mass of each cluster and \( R = 16r_s \) as above. This corresponds to a parabolic orbit but, once energy is dissipated in the encounter, leads to a bound system. The effect of varying the encounter velocity is discussed in PTC94.

Mergers between systems of different mass are set up by using fewer particles in the lower-mass cluster (i.e. the mass per particle is kept constant) and scaling the second cluster to match the desired radius and velocity dispersion profile. We use the same impact parameter and relative velocity as in the equal mass encounters.

### 2.2 Simulations

Details of the simulations are listed in Table 1. With the exception of the simulations carried out in Section 3.6, which examine the effect numerical resolution has on our results, all simulations of equal-mass mergers presented here use 32 768 particles each of gas and dark matter in each cluster (i.e. an equal-mass merger simulation contains a total of 131 072 particles). In simulations of unequal-mass mergers the particle mass is kept constant, so that the subcluster contains \( 1/N \) fewer particles, where \( N = M_1/M_2 \) is the ratio of cluster masses. We are not attempting to simulate the evolution of clusters in a cosmological context, and therefore our simulations use a non-expanding volume with vacuum boundary conditions. Particles leaving the simulation volume are removed, although the size of the box is such that very few particles escape by the end of the simulation. We use a gravitational softening \( r_s = 0.2r_c \), which is above the particle separation in the core. This choice of softening is discussed in detail in Pearce, Thomas & Couchman (1993).

We use a gas particle mass of \( 1.25 \times 10^9 M_{\odot} \) and a dark matter mass of \( 1.13 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} \), giving a total cluster mass of \( 4 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \) and virial temperature ~3 keV. The subclusters used in simulating unequal-mass mergers have a mass of \( 5 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} \) and virial temperature ~0.9 keV. We take the core radius \( r_s \) to be 100 kpc, giving a central gas density \( \rho_0 \sim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), similar to that used by RLB97. The bremsstrahlung cooling time

\[ t_{\text{cool}} = \frac{3k_B T}{2\Lambda(T)} \approx 8.5 \times 10^{10} \left( \frac{T}{10^8 \text{ K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{n}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \text{ yr}. \]
3 RESULTS

3.1 Morphology

Fig. 2 shows a sequence of snapshots of the emission-weighted gas temperature (colours), X-ray surface brightness (contours) and velocity fields (arrows) during the head-on merger between two systems with a masses of $4 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ (run E1). The merging sequence is similar to that seen in other simulations (e.g. Ricker 1998; Takizawa 2000), and is therefore only described briefly here.

As the outer layers of the two clusters start to interact, a weak shock forms (panels 1 and 2 of Fig. 2), and gas is driven outwards in the plane perpendicular to the collision axis with the gas velocity, increasing as it accelerates down the pressure gradient. As the cluster cores approach this shock strengthens (panel 3), as does the outflow of gas in the plane of the collision. The increase in gas temperature between the two cores has become clearly visible in temperature maps of the system, but as the bulk of the X-ray emission is coming from the cores of the two clusters the shock still makes only a minor contribution to the integrated emission-weighted temperature of the system (see Section 3.3). As the cluster cores collide (just before panel 4), a strong arc-shaped shock is driven into the outer layers of the cluster (visible in panel 4, and more clearly in panel 5). Meanwhile, gas in the core of the merged cluster goes through a period of expansion driven by the dark matter, cooling adiabatically to slightly less than the pre-collision temperature with the X-ray isophotes forming a bar shape along the collision axis, as noted by RLB97. Finally, the dark matter turns around and recollapses to form a spherically symmetric final object (panel 6). Material ejected in the earlier stages of the merger can be seen falling back towards the core of the cluster.

An off-centre merger with impact parameter $b = 5$ (run E3) is shown in Fig. 3. As before, the clusters have equal masses, and the behaviour is similar to that seen in Fig. 2. The shock that forms as the outer layers of the clusters interact is now oblique, but the shock and outflow is otherwise similar to the head-on merger. The picture changes somewhat as the cluster cores interact, with the merger shock generated as the cores collide now propagating with a spiral pattern, and the cores partially surviving their first approach (clearly visible in panel 5), completing most of an orbit before recollapsing and merging completely. The final state of the cluster looks similar to that in the head-on case, although there is now significant rotation of the core, shown in more detail in Fig. 4.

3.2 The structure of the merger remnant

Fig. 5 shows the radial gas density profiles of the merger remnant for three mergers with impact parameters $b = 0, 2.5$ and 5 (runs E1–E3) 10 sound-crossing times after the merger, when the gas has returned to hydrostatic equilibrium and the profile has stopped evolving. The core density is normalized to $\rho_0$, and the initial density profile is also plotted for reference. Best-fitting values of the core radius $r_c$ and core density $\rho_c$ are listed in Table 2. The merging process has the effect of increasing the core radius and decreasing the core density, with a trend towards a lower core density for the mergers with a non-zero impact parameter, with the core gas receiving additional rotational support. The enlargement of the core is a result of an increase in the entropy of the core gas during the merger, which can be seen in Fig. 6. Here, we plot the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run no.</th>
<th>$N_p$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{tot}}(M_\odot)$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{gas}}(M_\odot)$</th>
<th>$\rho_0$(cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$M_1/M_2$</th>
<th>$b/r_\text{core}$</th>
<th>$\Delta L_x/L_x$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{\text{ew}}/T_{\text{ew}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E/E$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td>73728</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2</td>
<td>73728</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>73728</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>73728</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>73728</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>37268</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{11}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mean value of the entropy-related parameter
\[ s_i = \frac{e_i}{\rho_i^{2/3}} \]

of the 250 particles initially closest to the core of one of the clusters during two head-on mergers, one in which the clusters have equal masses (run E1) and one in which the second cluster has one eighth of the mass of the first (run U1). The cluster cores start to interact at \( t = 0 \), and the entropy is normalized so that it is equal to 1 at \( t = -6 \) Gyr. Initially the entropy decreases slowly as a result of radiative cooling but jumps sharply at \( t = 0 \) as a result of the strong shock formed as the cores collide. The equal-mass merger then

Figure 2. Snapshots of the head-on merger of two equal mass systems (run E1). Grey-scales represent the logarithm of the gas temperature, contours represent logarithmic X-ray isophotes and arrows represent the velocity field. Panels are displayed at \( t = 0, 3.5, 6, 7, 8, 10 \) and 20 Gyr, reading across the page, from top to bottom. This figure is available in colour on Synergy, the online version of Monthly Notices.
experiences a second, larger, jump in entropy at $t \sim 6$ Gyr as the dark matter cores of the subclusters turn around and recollapse (see PTC94 for a detailed discussion of this effect, which is absent in their gas-only simulations), whereas the unequal-mass merger experiences only one shock. The increase in core entropy at late times is due to the dissipation of oscillations as the core settles down after the collision.

3.3 X-ray luminosity, temperature and the $L_x - T_x$ relationship

X-ray observatories allow precise measurement of the bolometric X-ray luminosities ($L_{bol}$) and emission-weighted temperatures ($T_{ew}$) of clusters of galaxies, which are observed to be correlated with approximately $L_{bol} \propto T_{ew}^\alpha$ (Edge & Stewart 1991; David et al.

---

**Figure 3.** Snapshots of an off-centre merger with impact parameter $b = 5$, between two equal mass systems (run E3). Grey-scales, contours and arrows are as in Fig. 2, and the same output times are used. This figure is available in colour on Synergy, the online version of Monthly Notices.
This relationship is in conflict with theoretical models that assume clusters form through a self-similar gravitational collapse, which predict $M/T^3 = 2$ (Horner, Mushotzky & Scharf 1999) and $L_{\text{bol}}/T^2 = 1$ (Scharf & Mushotzky 1997) where $z_f$ is the redshift at which the cluster forms, indicating that the ICM does not share the self-similarity seen in dark-matter only models. More recent work shows signs of a convergence between theory and observation, as correcting the observations for the effects of cooling flows flattens the relationship at high temperatures (Markevitch 1998; Allen & Fabian 1998), while more realistic numerical simulations including radiative cooling steepen the theoretical predictions (Muwanng et al. 2001). In principle, the dependence on $z_f$ means that the observed $L_{\text{bol}} - T$ relationship contains information about the evolution of clusters. However, while the observed relationship contains significant scatter (Allen & Fabian 1998), some of this is due to physical processes in the ICM such as radiative cooling (Pearce et al. 2000; Muwanng et al. 2001) and possibly preheating (e.g. Lloyd-Davies, Ponman & Cannon 2000) which are not yet well understood. In addition, merger shocks will also alter the observed luminosity and temperature of clusters, and we examine these effects here.

Throughout this section, we calculate the bolometric luminosity of the merging cluster as $L_{\text{bol}} = \sum_i (\rho_i T_i)^{1/2} \Lambda(T, Z)$, where the subscript $i$ denotes the sum over all gas particles, which have temperatures $T_i$, densities $\rho_i$ and masses $m_i$. We assume a mean molecular mass $\mu_{\text{H}_2} = 10^{-24}$ g, and an emissivity $\Lambda(T, Z)$ tabulated by Sutherland & Dopita (1993) with a metallicity $Z = 0.3 Z_\odot$, where $Z_\odot$ is the solar value. The emission-weighted
temperature is calculated as

\[ T_{\text{ew}} = \frac{\sum_i m_i \rho_i \Lambda(T_i, Z_i)}{\sum_i m_i \rho_i} \]  

(10)

Fig. 7 plots the evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity during simulations E1–E4, which follow the merger of two equal-mass clusters at a range of impact parameters. Times are scaled so that \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the maximum brightening during the head-on merger. The peak luminosity occurs when the cores of the two clusters interact, and, in the case of head-on and nearly head-on impacts, a large increase in luminosity is apparent, with \( L_{\text{bol}} \) increasing to more than five times its precollision value over a period of roughly one sound-crossing time. Prior to this time, no increase in the total X-ray luminosity is apparent, despite there being clear evidence for a merger being in progress in temperature maps of the cluster (e.g. Fig. 2, panel 3). After \( t = 0 \), the core of the cluster undergoes a period of expansion driven by the dark matter, leading to a decrease in the core density and a large (~10 times) decrease in X-ray luminosity, before experiencing a small secondary bounce (which occurs at \( t \sim 3 \) for the head-on merger, and slightly later for the simulations with a non-zero impact parameter) and settling into hydrostatic equilibrium. The final X-ray luminosity is lower than the sum of the emission from the two sub-clusters because of the increase in the entropy of the core gas during the merger. This conflicts with the results of Ricker (1998), who find an increase in the final luminosity after the merger, but this is likely to be an artifact of the lack of dark matter in those simulations, and results from Ricker & Sarazin (2001) appear to be close to those presented here. The total X-ray luminosity of the merger remnant is greatest in the head-on merger, with a trend towards a lower final luminosity with increasing impact parameter, in keeping with the increase in core entropy with impact parameter seen in Section 3.2.

The effect of including radiative cooling can be seen in Fig. 8, which plots the evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity in run E1 against an identical merger in which radiative cooling is not included. Radiative cooling increases the peak bolometric luminosity during the merger by roughly 20 per cent, and leads to the gas in the core of the post-merger cluster having slightly lower entropy and consequently being more luminous than the gas in the simulation without radiative cooling.

Fig. 9 plots the emission-weighted temperature of the cluster during the same set of simulations. Like the X-ray luminosity, the emission-weighted temperature increases significantly during the merger with the effect once again being particularly marked for

![Figure 7](http://example.com/figure7.png)  
**Figure 7.** Evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity, defined by equation (9), during the merger of two equal mass systems. Four simulations are shown, with impact parameters \( b = 0 \) (run E1, solid line), 2.5 (run E2, dotted line), 5 (run E3, short-dashed line) and 10 (run E4, long-dashed line). Times have been set so that \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the peak of the bolometric X-ray luminosity in the head-on merger.

![Figure 8](http://example.com/figure8.png)  
**Figure 8.** The evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity during two head-on mergers of equal-mass systems, carried out both with radiative cooling turned on (solid line) and with it turned off (dotted line). Times are scaled as in Fig. 7.

![Figure 9](http://example.com/figure9.png)  
**Figure 9.** Evolution of the X-ray emission-weighted temperature, defined by equation (10), during the merger of two equal mass systems. Four simulations are shown, with impact parameters \( b = 0 \) (run E1, solid line), 2.5 (run E2, dotted line), 5 (run E3, short-dashed line) and 10 (run E4, long-dashed line). Times are scaled as in Fig. 7.
mergers with a small impact parameter, for which $T_{\text{ew}}$ increases by a factor of approximately 3.5. Adiabatic cooling during the core bounce is clearly visible, with the cluster then settling down at a higher temperature, as would be expected given the higher virial mass of the remnant.

The large changes in the X-ray luminosity and emission-weighted temperature of the cluster during the merger have implications for the $L_{\text{bol}} - T_{\text{ew}}$ and $M - T_{\text{ew}}$ relationships. Fig. 10 plots the evolution of the cluster in the $L_{\text{bol}} - T_{\text{ew}}$ plane during two equal-mass mergers, one head-on and the other off-centre with $b = 5$. The dashed line in Fig. 10 represents a power-law fit to the $L_{\text{bol}} - T_{\text{ew}}$ relationship in the simulations of Muanwong et al. (2001). The net movement on the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane is not that great, given that the initial luminosity plotted in Fig. 10 is twice the value for an individual subcluster. The cluster becomes hotter as a result of the increased virial mass, but the injection of entropy into the core limits any increase in the luminosity of the system. However there are large movements when the subcluster cores merge, with the system initially following a track with $L \propto T^3$ as the core gas is compressed adiabatically with the track steepening as the gas shocks at $t = 0$, and during this time the cluster appears to be much hotter and more luminous than its pre-merger state. The cluster subsequently returns to a constant entropy track as the core expands after the merger, with both the luminosity and temperature dropping below their pre-merger values before the core recollapses. The implications for the $M - T_{\text{ew}}$ relation are also significant. The simulations of Muanwong et al. (2001) find that $M \propto (kT)^{3/2}$, and so a mass determination for the cluster based upon this relationship would vary by a factor of $\sim 9$ depending on when the observation was taken. The strong variations in temperature and luminosity are relatively short lived, taking place on a time-scale of around one sound-crossing time, but for large clusters this can still represent $10^5$ yr or more.

While equal-mass mergers will cause large fluctuations in the X-ray luminosity and the emission-weighted temperature of a cluster of galaxies, they are rare events. Unequal-mass mergers will be much more common, and the increase in $L_{\text{bol}}$ and $T_{\text{ew}}$ during these events may prove to be a significant source of scatter in the $L_{\text{bol}} - T_{\text{ew}}$ relationship. Fig. 11 plots the evolution of the cluster in the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane during the merger of two systems with masses in the ratio 8:1. The maximum increase in luminosity is smaller than that seen in the equal-mass mergers, as would be expected, but can still double during a head-on event. The lower post-merger luminosity indicates that there is still an injection of entropy into the core of the larger cluster, while the emission-weighted temperature of the cluster increases by as much as 2 keV during the head-on merger.

### 3.4 Cooling flows

The simulations in Section 3.3 are concerned with clusters with relatively low central densities ($\rho_0 = 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$) and correspondingly long cooling times. However, in $\sim 70$–90 per cent of observed clusters the central gas has a radiative cooling time less than a Hubble time (Edge, Stewart & Fabian 1992; White, Jones & Forman 1997). This short cooling time leads to a slow inflow of gas to maintain pressure support known as cooling flow (Fabian 1994), in which as much as 1000 M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ can cool out of the ICM (Allen et al. 1996). There is a significant anticorrelation between substructure in clusters and the presence of cooling flows (Buote & Tsai 1996) which are almost never associated with very irregular clusters (Edge et al. 1992), but the widespread nature of cooling flows suggests that they cannot be easily disrupted by minor mergers, which occur relatively frequently.

The short cooling times and high X-ray luminosities in the cores of cooling flow clusters imply high gas densities. To assess the effects of this, we have carried out a second series of simulations of mergers of clusters containing cooling flows. These simulations are set up in a similar way to that described in Section 2.1, but with the core radius $r_c$ reduced to 40 kpc to give a higher core density. The outer density cut-off remains 1.6 Mpc from the centre of the cluster, and so now $R = 40r_c$. This density profile is no longer static as the cooling time is now comparable to the time-scale of our simulations,
shown in Fig. 13, is noticeably different from the low core density mergers examined in the previous section. The two X-ray brightness curves have been normalized to their luminosity at $t = -6\,\text{Gyr}$ for comparison, as the cooling-flow cluster otherwise has a much higher luminosity due to its higher core density. Unlike the low core density cluster, the cooling flow cluster brightens only briefly, although the peak luminosity is only slightly lower than in the low density simulation. In addition, the second core bounce happens much more rapidly, and the cluster dims by a factor of more than 30 between bounces. These effects are a result of the different density profiles of the two clusters. The X-ray luminosity of the two clusters is dominated by emission from the densest core gas, and will increase when that gas is compressed. The strongly peaked density profile of the cooling-flow cluster will therefore increase its luminosity when the very centre of the two clusters interact, whereas the flat, constant density core of the low-density cluster will experience a much more prolonged increase in luminosity. In addition, the more centrally concentrated mass distribution in the cooling flow cluster will relax more efficiently, leading to a shorter time between core bounces (the same effect can be seen in Pearce et al. 1993, who examine mergers between collisionless systems having Hubble density profiles with $s = 2, 3$ and $4$). The path of the cooling flow cluster in the $T_{\text{ew}}-L_{\text{bol}}$ plane is similar to that seen in the previous section, although there is continuing evolution at late times as the dense core gas radiates away the energy gained in the merger.

The unequal-mass merger in which the major cluster has a high-density core show little evolution in the $T_{\text{ew}}-L_{\text{bol}}$ plane. The head-on merger (run C2) shows a very brief increase in luminosity of roughly a factor of 2 and an increase in the emission-weighted temperature of around $1.5\,\text{keV}$, while an off-centre merger with $b = 2.5$ (run C3) shows only a small (~10 per cent) increase in luminosity and a barely-noticeable increase in the emission-weighted temperature (~0.2 keV). The implication is that these unequal-mass mergers have only a small effect on the core gas of cooling flow clusters, especially when the merger is off-centre, and this can be clearly seen in Fig. 14, in which the evolution of the entropy of the gas in the core of the cooling flow cluster is plotted. In the head-on equal-mass merger the core gas receives a large increase in entropy, suggesting that the whole core has been disrupted, and the cooling time in the core jumps to around $10^{10}\,\text{yr}$. The actual mass deposition rate, measured from the number of gas particles cooling to $10^4\,\text{K}$ each time-step, drops to zero as the cores of the two clusters collide, increasing slowly after the merger, although even after $10\,\text{Gyr}$ the mass deposition rate is still less than $20\,M_{\odot}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$. However, the apparent mass deposition rate derived from the luminosity and temperature within the cooling radius

$$M = \frac{2\mu m_p}{5 k_B T} L_{\text{ew}} < r_{\text{cool}}$$

(Fabian 1994) increases briefly during the merger and does not provide an accurate estimate of the mass cooling out of the ICM until the cluster has returned to hydrostatic equilibrium.

The head-on unequal-mass merger also shows a jump in entropy, and although the cooling time of the core gas doubles to around $4 \times 10^9\,\text{yr}$ the energy injected into the core rapidly starts to be radiated away. The mass deposition rate again drops sharply during the merger, but recovers to around $55\,M_{\odot}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ within 4–5 Gyr. In contrast to the head-on mergers, the unequal-mass off-centre merger does not display any signs of the core gas being shocked, indicating that the bow-shock around the infalling subclump

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig12}
\caption{Radial density profile for our ‘cooling flow’ cluster (dashed line), with the initial density profile plotted for comparison (solid line). Both profiles have been normalized to $\rho_0$, the initial core density in the low-density cluster, and $r_c$, the core radius in the low-density cluster.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig13}
\caption{Evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity, defined by equation (9), during the head-on merger of two equal mass systems. The solid line represents the merger of two systems with core densities of $10^{-3}\,\text{cm}^{-3}$ (run E1), while the dashed line represents the merger of two ‘cooling flow’ clusters with central densities of $10^{-2}\,\text{cm}^{-3}$ (run C1). The luminosities have been normalized to their values at $t = -6\,\text{Gyr}$ for comparison, as the high density of the gas in the core of the cooling flow cluster makes it much more luminous than the clusters examined in Section 3.3. Times are scaled as in Fig. 7.}
\end{figure}

and so we allow the clusters to evolve in isolation until an approximately steady state is reached (i.e. the density profile in the core has stopped evolving) before bringing them together prior to merging. The density profile of these ‘cooling flow’ clusters after this initial period of relaxation is shown in Fig. 12, with the core density being approximately $0.02\,\text{cm}^{-3}$ and the central cooling time being around $2.5 \times 10^9\,\text{yr}$. Prior to the merger the cooling flow is depositing mass at a steady rate of just over $80\,M_{\odot}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$.

The evolution of the bolometric X-ray luminosity with time,
cannot have penetrated all the way into the core of the cluster. However the entropy of the core gas is decreasing more slowly after the merger, reflecting a slight increase in the cooling time to around $3 \times 10^6$ yr accompanied by a drop of around 30 per cent in the mass deposition rate. The increase in the central cooling time is a result of ram pressure from the infalling subcluster displacing gas from the core of the cluster (Fabian & Daines 1991; Gomez et al. 2001). Ram pressure also accounts for the bulk of the disruption to the head-on, unequal-mass merger, as the increase in core entropy alone is insufficient to account for the doubling of the cooling time in the cluster core.

The robustness of high-density cores to the effects of what should be a relatively common merger event is of interest to the survival of cooling flows in clusters of galaxies. Our simulations suggest that a major merger between clusters of equal mass will completely disrupt any cooling flow activity, but cooling flows, once established, may prove resilient to mergers with lower-mass subclumps, especially if they are off-centre. While the cooling time in the low core density simulations remains greater than a Hubble time during almost all of the merger process, dipping below $10^6$ yr only during the maximum compression of the cores, the cooling time in the high-core density clusters remains below a Hubble time throughout the process. In the case of the equal-mass merger the increase in core entropy and cooling time is large, and is enough to both disrupt the cooling flow and to prevent it from restarting soon after the merger, while the increase in the cooling times in the unequal-mass mergers are much less, and would indicate that the cooling flows would either experience minimal disruption or would rapidly be reestablished.

3.5 Mixing

Observations of the abundance of metals in the ICM suggest that negative radial metallicity gradients are common in clusters of galaxies (Irwin & Bregman 2001 and references therein). Significant gradients are most common in clusters containing cooling flows, while clusters with no cooling flow also tend to have a flat metallicity gradient, although this is not universal. The metallicity gradient is thought to be a result of either galactic winds or the stripping of metal-enriched gas from galaxies, and Irwin & Bregman (2001) suggest that the non-cooling-flow clusters have experienced a merger that both disrupted the cooling flow and mixed the ICM, thus erasing the metallicity gradient.

We examine the degree of mixing in the merger remnant in our simulations by smoothing the gas particle distribution on to a grid, and measuring a quantity $\mathcal{M}$ in each cell, where

$$\mathcal{M} = 1 - \frac{|n_1 - n_2|}{n_1 + n_2}$$

and $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the number of particles in the cell which were in clusters 1 and 2, respectively, at the start of the simulation. The cell size is set so that each cell will, on average, contain at least 10 particles, with the cells in the core containing more than this number, although this is not always true in the low-density outer regions of the cluster which are represented by relatively few particles. In a well-mixed cell $n_1$ and $n_2$ will be equal and so $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$, while in a cell in which no mixing has occurred $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$.

The degree of mixing 5 Gyr after a head-on, equal-mass merger is shown in Fig. 15. Very little mixing of the ICM has taken place, with the mixing in the centre of the cluster only appearing at around $t = 4$ Gyr, apparently driven by the infall of material back along the merger axis rather than by the merger itself. Much more mixing is visible in Fig. 16, which shows the state of an off-centre merger with $b = 5$. This is also plotted at $t = 5$ Gyr, although in this case the cluster is still settling back to a steady state, as can be seen in the distortion of the X-ray isophotes. The angular momentum in the system means that the core of the merger remnant is well mixed, although there is still little mixing of the ICM at $r > b$.

Figure 14. The evolution of the mean entropy of the core gas (defined as in Fig. 6) during three mergers between ‘cooling flow’ clusters, including a head-on merger between equal-mass systems (run C1, solid line) and two mergers between two clusters with masses in the ratio $M_1/M_2 = 8$, firstly head-on (run C2, dotted line) and then with an impact parameter $b = 2.5$ (run C3, dashed line). Each run has been normalized relative to the mean entropy at $t = -6$ Gyr.

Figure 15. Mixing 5 Gyr after a head-on merger between two equal mass clusters (run E1). Grey-scales show the degree of mixing (defined in the text), contours represent logarithmic only X-ray isophotes and arrows represent the velocity field.
Finally, Fig. 17 shows the degree of mixing during an off-centre merger in which the main cluster has a mass eight times that of the infalling subcluster. In this case, low-density gas is rapidly stripped from the subcluster and mixing occurs throughout the outer layers of the main cluster. However, the subcluster core is less efficiently stripped, and can be seen spiraling all the way into the core of the main cluster. There is a clear difference between the final states of the equal mass and unequal-mass mergers. Very little mixing occurred in the outer layers of the cluster during the equal-mass mergers, although the off-centre mergers mixed the cores efficiently, while the unequal-mass merger caused very little mixing in the core but lead to significant mixing in the outer regions of the cluster.

While none of these mergers mixed the ICM globally, our simulations suggest that mergers of the magnitude necessary to disrupt a cooling flow can efficiently mix the cores of clusters on the scales accessible to X-ray observations (in general < 50 per cent of the virial radius) if the merger is off-centre. In contrast, the unequal-mass merger was unable to mix the core gas efficiently, but may cause locally increased abundances such as that seen by Arnaud et al. (1994) in the Perseus cluster and are consistent with the presence of a cooling flow, which will not necessarily be disrupted during a minor merger.

3.6 Numerical resolution

Quantifying the effects of limited resolution is always important in numerical simulations. To assess the impact that resolution has on the results presented here, we have carried out two further simulations of head-on mergers between equal mass systems in which the total number of particles have been reduced by a factor of 4 (run R1) and 16 (run R2), giving a total of 32 768 and 8192 particles, respectively, with the cluster properties kept constant by increasing the mass of the remaining particles. Details of the simulation parameters are shown in Table 3.

The evolution of the clusters in the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane during these simulations is shown in Fig. 18. The convergence between runs is good, with the evolution of the system being similar in all three simulations. The main effect of lowering the resolution is a systematic decrease in the luminosity of the merger remnant. In our low-resolution runs, less energy is deposited in the halo gas than in the full runs and the core gas is shocked to a greater extent, leading to a broader, higher-entropy core in the final cluster, a result also seen in the resolution testing carried out in PTC94. The differences in the emission-weighted temperature are small.

If the particle number is reduced further then the agreement between simulations worsens, and we would agree with the conclusions of PTC94 that 1000 gas particles per cluster represent a minimum for modelling the bulk properties of a merging system. However, more than $10^4$ gas particles per cluster are required to produce well-resolved temperature and X-ray surface brightness maps such as those shown in Figs 2 and 3, in agreement with Steinmetz & Müller (1993).

We conclude that the effects of resolution are of limited significance in our simulations. The evolution of the cluster in the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane has converged to a consistent result, differing only in detail as the resolution is increased. Equally, we have sufficient resolution to follow the propagation of shocks in the ICM acceptably, although here our resolution is closer to the minimum required for the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run no.</th>
<th>$N_{\text{tot}}$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{dm}}(M_\odot)$</th>
<th>$m_{\text{gas}}(M_\odot)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>131 072</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$1.13 \times 10^{9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>32 768</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{11}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6 Numerical resolution

Quantifying the effects of limited resolution is always important in numerical simulations. To assess the impact that resolution has on the results presented here, we have carried out two further simulations of head-on mergers between equal mass systems in which the total number of particles have been reduced by a factor of 4 (run R1) and 16 (run R2), giving a total of 32 768 and 8192 particles, respectively, with the cluster properties kept constant by increasing the mass of the remaining particles. Details of the simulation parameters are shown in Table 3.

The evolution of the clusters in the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane during these simulations is shown in Fig. 18. The convergence between runs is good, with the evolution of the system being similar in all three simulations. The main effect of lowering the resolution is a systematic decrease in the luminosity of the merger remnant. In our low-resolution runs, less energy is deposited in the halo gas than in the full runs and the core gas is shocked to a greater extent, leading to a broader, higher-entropy core in the final cluster, a result also seen in the resolution testing carried out in PTC94. The differences in the emission-weighted temperature are small.

If the particle number is reduced further then the agreement between simulations worsens, and we would agree with the conclusions of PTC94 that 1000 gas particles per cluster represent a minimum for modelling the bulk properties of a merging system. However, more than $10^4$ gas particles per cluster are required to produce well-resolved temperature and X-ray surface brightness maps such as those shown in Figs 2 and 3, in agreement with Steinmetz & Müller (1993).

We conclude that the effects of resolution are of limited significance in our simulations. The evolution of the cluster in the $T_{\text{ew}} - L_{\text{bol}}$ plane has converged to a consistent result, differing only in detail as the resolution is increased. Equally, we have sufficient resolution to follow the propagation of shocks in the ICM acceptably, although here our resolution is closer to the minimum required for the problem.
Cluster mergers

4 DISCUSSION

It is clear from the results presented here that mergers can alter the global properties of clusters of galaxies. During a merger, hydrodynamic shocks dissipate much of the kinetic energy of the impact into the ICM, leading to a departure from hydrostatic equilibrium that can last for several Gyr. Mergers lead to many potentially observable effects, including strong temperature gradients across shocks, distortion in the X-ray isophotes, multiple peaks in the X-ray surface brightness and high-velocity bulk flows in the ICM. Despite this, identifying merging clusters is not necessarily easy, as both projection effects and the limits of X-ray observations can serve to mask many of the signs of an ongoing merger. While X-ray substructure is clearly visible both before and after a merger when viewed perpendicular to the merger axis (as can be seen in Figs 2 and 3), the X-ray isophotes appear spherically symmetric throughout the merger when viewed along the merger axis. Equally, the shocks so apparent in temperature maps when viewed perpendicular to the merger are not obvious when viewed along the merger axis, with the cluster merely appearing to have a steep temperature gradient as the cores of the two subclusters merge. While this departure from isothermality is itself a good indicator of a merger, it requires that the temperature profile is both sufficiently well resolved for it to be apparent and is not unduly affected by the effects of the merger, as the X-ray deprojection method (e.g. Arnaud 1988) assumes that the cluster is spherically symmetric and in hydrostatic equilibrium. Temperature maps, derived directly from the X-ray hardness ratio, will therefore be a better indicator of an ongoing merger than deprojected temperature profiles. If the cluster is poorly resolved, it will simply appear to be well relaxed, hot and luminous, although evidence for a merger will still be seen in the distribution of the peculiar velocities of the galaxies in the two clusters, which can be expected to be significantly bimodal during a merger. At intermediate angles, projection effects will serve to smooth distortions in the X-ray isophotes and reduce the visibility of the merger in the X-ray waveband, although the effects are still apparent.

The merging process has major implications for statistical studies of clusters. As we have shown in Section 3.3, even relatively minor \((M_1/M_2 = 8)\) mergers can introduce a significant scatter in the observed \(L_{bol}-T_{ew}\) relationship, while estimates of the mass of clusters based on the \(M-T_{ew}\) relationship (Horner et al. 1999) will suffer large uncertainties during and immediately after a merger. Mass estimates based on assumptions of hydrostatic equilibrium (e.g. Fabricant, Lecar & Gorenstein 1980) will also be strongly affected as the departures from equilibrium can last for several Gyr after the merger, during which time many of the readily-observed signs of the merger have faded, and Roettiger et al. (1996) estimate that errors in the mass estimate may reach 50 per cent in the 2 Gyr following a merger. Edge et al. (1992) suggest that clusters will typically undergo a merger every 2–4 Gyr, similar to the time-scale for our clusters to return to hydrostatic equilibrium, implying that truly relaxed clusters may be uncommon. The large increase in X-ray luminosity during a merger will also introduce strong selection effects into cluster surveys, and the clusters found at high redshift may not be a representative sample. The increases in X-ray luminosity and temperature during a major merger will strongly influence the statistics of the hottest and most luminous clusters of galaxies. This has been confirmed by Chandra temperature maps of two of the hottest clusters known, 1E 0657-56 (Tucker et al. 1998) and A2163 (David et al. 1993; Markevitch & Vikhlkin 2001), both of which show strong merger shocks. In addition, unusual clusters such as A851, which is cooler and less luminous than it’s richness would suggest, may be undergoing the post-merger core expansion (Schindler & Wambsganss 1996).

The situation is more complex when cooling flow clusters are considered. We find that major mergers will almost certainly disrupt cooling flows to the extent that they cannot restart within a Hubble time, consistent with the lack of cooling flows in irregular clusters (Edge et al. 1992), but our unequal-mass mergers have less of an effect on the cooling flow. A head-on merger still disturbed the cooling flow, although it restarted within a relatively short period, while an off-centre merger had little impact on the flow. The survival of the cooling flows during minor mergers is supported by a growing body of observations of cooling flow clusters that also show evidence for a late-stage merger (e.g. A2142; Markevitch et al. 2000), as well as merging clusters with small cooling flow cores (e.g. A2065; Markevitch, Sarazin & Vikhlkin 1999) which suggest that the cooling flow has been disturbed but has survived, at least until the present day.

Finally, we examined the degree to which mergers can mix the ICM. While the ICM is never well-mixed globally, our simulations suggest that major off-centre mergers can efficiently mix the core gas, although there was very little mixing during a head-on merger. Equal mass mergers will also disrupt any cooling flow in the cluster, and may explain why metallicity gradients are rare in clusters without a cooling flow (Irwin & Bregman 2001). The unequal-mass merger introduced a high degree of mixing in the outer layers of the main cluster as the low-density gas in the subclump is rapidly stripped away, but had little effect on the core gas.

A feature of unequal-mass mergers that we have not touched on in this paper is the survival of the cores of subclusters during mergers. Sharp surface brightness discontinuities have been observed by Chandra in merging clusters of galaxies such as Abell 2142 (Markevitch et al. 2000) and Abell 3667 (Vikhlinin et al. 2001), and it has been suggested that these features, termed ‘cold fronts’, are low-entropy gas from the core of a subcluster that

Figure 18. Evolution of the merging system on the \(T_{ew}-L_{bol}\) plane during three head-on mergers between equal mass systems. The solid line represents a simulation in which each cluster has 32 768 particles each of gas and dark matter (run E1), the dotted line a simulation with 8192 particles of each type (run R1) and the dashed line a simulation with 2048 particles of each type (run R2). The total mass of the systems is kept constant by increasing the particle masses in the lower resolution runs.
had so far survived the merging process (Markevitch et al. 2000). We find that subcluster cores can survive off-centre mergers, and result in features very similar to those observed by Chandra; this will be examined further in a subsequent paper.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented results from high-resolution AP$^3$M+SPH simulations of merging clusters of galaxies. Our principle conclusions are:

(i) Mergers lead to many potentially observable effects, including strong temperature gradients across shocks, distortion in the X-ray isophotes, multiple peaks in the X-ray surface brightness and high-velocity bulk flows in the ICM.

(ii) An increase in the entropy of the core gas during the merger leads to a broader, lower-density core in the post-merger cluster. In addition, off-centre mergers can give the core additional rotational support.

(iii) The compression and shocking of the core gas during a merger can lead to large increases in the bolometric X-ray luminosity and the emission-weighted temperature of the cluster. This will have a significant impact on statistical studies of galaxy clusters. Immediately after the cores of the subclusters interact, the core of the cluster undergoes a period of expansion driven by the collisionless dark matter, cooling adiabatically and decreasing in luminosity by more than an order of magnitude, before the cores turn around and recollapse.

(iv) Radiative cooling leads to an increase of $\sim 20$ per cent in the X-ray luminosity during and after a merger when compared to simulations without cooling, even if central densities are relatively low ($\rho_0 = 10^{-3} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$) and the cooling times in the pre- and post-merger objects are greater than a Hubble time.

(v) In a major merger the cluster can be out of hydrostatic equilibrium for several Gyr, during which time the clear observational signs of a merger will become less apparent. Brief departures from hydrostatic equilibrium were also seen in simulations of unequal-mass mergers.

(vi) Cooling flows will be completely disrupted during major mergers, and are unlikely to be able to restart within a Hubble time. However, minor mergers had less of an effect on a cooling flow, which was either unaffected by the merger or was able to restart rapidly. Ram pressure is more effective at disturbing the cooling flow in minor mergers than the merger shock. During a merger the mass deposition rate inferred from observations is a poor estimate of the actual mass cooling out of the ICM.

(vii) Major off-centre mergers effectively mixed the gas in clusters within a radius roughly equal to $h$, the impact parameter. Head on mergers and unequal-mass mergers had little effect. None of the mergers examined here effectively mixing the ICM globally.
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