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Abstract

Here, we develop a framework for assembly, understanding and application of functional emulsions stabilized by few-layer pristine 2D nanosheets. Liquid-exfoliated graphene and MoS$_2$ are demonstrated to stabilize emulsions at ultra-low nanosheet volume fractions, approaching the minimum loading achievable with 2D materials. These nanosheet-stabilized emulsions allow controlled droplet deposition free from the coffee ring effect to facilitate single-droplet devices from minute quantities of material or assembly into large-area films with high network conductivity. To broaden the range of compositions and subsequent applications, an understanding of emulsion stability and orientation in terms of surface energy of the three phases is developed. Importantly, this model facilitates determination of the surface energies of the nanosheets themselves and identifies strategies based on surface tension and pH to allow design of emulsion structures. Finally, this approach is used to prepare conductive silicone emulsion composites with record-low loading level and excellent
electromechanical sensitivity. The versatility of these nanosheet-stabilized emulsions illustrates their potential for low-loading composites, thin-film formation and surface energy determination and design of functional structures for a range of segregated network applications.

Keywords: emulsions, graphene, molybdenum disulfide, liquid phase exfoliation, surface energy

Two-dimensional (2D) nanosheets, such as graphene and molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂), are particularly promising materials for assembly of nanostructured networks with a range of electronic, electrochemical, thermal and mechanical properties and potential for versatile solution processing.¹ The objective of such network formation is typically to preserve nanosheet functionality and exfoliation while producing macroscopic structures with low nanosheet volume fraction. In principle, this can be achieved by assembling nanosheets into few-layer conductive films within controlled structures where the high aspect ratio of exfoliated nanosheets enables macroscopic connectivity and thereby conductivity at low loading level. While macroscopic nanosheet networks have been limited to random polymer composites² or templated segregated networks with reduced percolation threshold³–⁵, it would clearly be beneficial to assemble such networks in liquid to assemble few-layer films with controlled structure and composition to broaden the range of accessible applications.

Interfacial assembly in liquids is a versatile approach to assemble ultra-thin films by ensuring their energetic confinement between two immiscible phases.⁶–⁸ Such interfaces can be exploited for macroscopic assembly of nanosheets as Pickering emulsions where nanosheets stabilize droplets of one liquid phase in another.⁹ Clearly, for 2D nanosheets, this presents a route to preserving few-layer films on droplets which can be assembled into macroscopic networks, with the nanosheets acting as both emulsion stabilizer and functional filler. Their few-layer nature and correspondingly high specific surface area could allow stabilization of microscale droplets with nanoscale film thickness, potentially enabling functional networks close to minimum loading achievable with 2D nanosheets.
While Pickering emulsification has been studied for clays\textsuperscript{10–12}, graphene oxide (GO)\textsuperscript{13–15}, reduced GO\textsuperscript{16} and graphitic multilayers\textsuperscript{17–21}, resulting in either non-conductive structures or in conductive networks with thick interfacial films which require high loadings, emulsions stabilized by pristine few-layer nanosheets have not yet been realized. Here, we develop a framework for understanding, design and application of emulsions stabilized by pristine few-layer nanosheets to demonstrate their potential for ultra-low loading conductive networks, controlled thin-film deposition and fundamental interface science.

Results and discussion

Exfoliation and emulsification. In order to realize emulsions stabilized by few-layer nanosheets, it is first necessary to perform exfoliation in appropriate solvents. Since emulsions are composed of a high surface tension “water” phase, most often water in which 2D nanosheets cannot be dispersed without surfactant\textsuperscript{22} or additional treatment\textsuperscript{23}, and a low surface tension “oil” phase, which can be any water-immiscible organic, the most obvious route to formation of these emulsions is exfoliation into this oil phase followed by emulsification with water. As such, the selection criteria for appropriate solvents, which allow exfoliation, are water immiscible and ideally low boiling point for subsequent removal, can be illustrated as in Figure 1a. The requirement for exfoliating solvents which are well-matched in surface energy and Hansen parameters to the nanosheets\textsuperscript{1,24,25} precludes water-immiscible organics such as chloroform, ethyl acetate, and common monomers such as methyl methacrylate. Moreover, the requirement for water immiscibility also precludes common exfoliating solvents such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, dimethylformamide, acetone and most alcohols. Using this solvent selection approach, illustrated in Figure 1a, cyclopentanone (CPO) and cyclohexanone (CHO) were identified as water-immiscible solvents for direct exfoliation and emulsification, which also have relatively low boiling point to facilitate subsequent evaporation. We find higher concentrations (~0.1 g/L) and stability for graphene with CHO and MoS$_2$ with CPO and use these as standard exfoliating solvents for these materials. These dispersions are characterized by statistical Raman mapping using established
metrics\textsuperscript{26,27} to confirm their few-layer nature and determine nanosheet size (see Supporting Information Figure S1).

These cycloketone dispersions facilitate formation of nanosheet-stabilized emulsions by addition of deionized water, as illustrated in Figure 1b, followed by simply shaking by hand. This yields emulsions as shown in Figure 1c and 1d with droplet diameters between 10 and 500 µm and semitransparency indicating stabilization by thin interfacial films. The formation of stable droplets indicates that requirement for partial wetting of the nanosheet stabilizer by both liquid phases has been satisfied. In addition, this highlights that despite these cycloketones being good solvents for exfoliation, facilitating exfoliation to few-layer nanosheets at reasonable concentration, the emulsion structure gives a lower energy configuration of the system. This indicates that these pristine nanosheets are neither hydrophilic nor as hydrophobic as the cycloketone oil phase, making them ideal for emulsion formation. Furthermore, the sedimentation of these droplets evidences the formation of water-in-oil (w/o) emulsions as expected and previously demonstrated for pristine nanosheets, indicating some degree of preferential wetting by the oil phase.

This sedimentation also illustrates the potential of these emulsion droplets as building blocks of segregated networks where high nanosheet coverage ensures connectivity and conductivity of thin interfacial films on microscale droplets to realize low-loading networks. As such, the relationship between droplet size and nanosheet volume fraction both indicates interfacial film thickness and informs the functional properties of the resultant networks. To characterize this, the water-in-cycloketone emulsions were formed with fixed ratio of liquids but varying nanosheet volume fraction and average droplet diameter measured by statistical optical microscopy. Figure 1e shows the average droplet diameter as a function of volume fraction with values between 10 and 500 µm for nanosheet loadings between 1 vol.% and 0.001 vol.%. Importantly, this highlights that such an approach enables formation of nanosheet-stabilized emulsions at ultra-low volume fractions. This can be interpreted using a simple geometric relation equating nanosheet specific surface area and droplet surface area to estimate the area-averaged interfacial film thickness as number of monolayers \( N \);
\[ \langle d \rangle = \frac{6c_{2D}\langle N \rangle}{\phi} \] (1)

where \( \phi \) is the volume fraction of the nanosheets relative to the droplet phase and \( c_{2D} \) is the interlayer spacing in the bulk material (full derivation in Supporting Information). Estimation of these interfacial film thicknesses yields area-averaged values as low as 5 for graphene and 0.3 for MoS\(_2\) (see Supporting Information Figure S3), indicating that these emulsions are approaching the monolayer limit and corresponding minimum loading level attainable with 2D nanosheets. Interfacial film thicknesses increase sub linearly with increasing nanosheet volume fraction, likely indicating overcoating of interfaces during emulsification resulting in increased loading without corresponding decrease in droplet size. In addition, the differences between the size-loading relationship in graphene and MoS\(_2\) are likely the result of differences in solvent viscosity, nanosheet size and edge interactions rather than inherent limitations on interfacial film thickness. While this model provides only an estimate of interfacial film thickness, these droplet sizes are comparable to previous studies of pristine graphitic emulsions but achieved at significantly lower loading levels and approaching the monolayer limit, as shown in Figure 1e. This highlights the influence of the exfoliation approach in realizing few-layer interfacial films on microscale droplets for macroscopic networks.

Importantly, these water-in-cycloketone emulsions also facilitate system-scale conductivity enabled by conduction pathways across interfacial nanosheet films and tunneling through inter-droplet cycloketone layers. These conductivities are shown in Figure 1f increasing with nanosheet volume fraction, suggesting increased interfacial film thickness or parallelization of the conductive network dominates over the increased density of inter-droplet junctions. Interestingly, the MoS\(_2\) emulsion networks show higher conductivity than the graphene emulsion networks, suggesting the emulsion conductivity is influenced by inter-droplet junctions and network structure (MoS\(_2\) droplets are smaller at same volume fraction, see Supporting Information Figure S3). These liquid-based conductive networks represent, to the best of our knowledge, the lowest-loading macroscopic pristine nanosheet networks ever reported. This highlights nanosheet-stabilized emulsions as promising low-loading functional structures with potential for the composition and structure to be tuned for specific applications.
Controlled droplet deposition. The confinement of nanosheets at the liquid-liquid interface has the potential to enable controlled deposition of the interfacial films free from the drying dynamics which lead to the coffee ring effect when depositing from standard dispersions.\textsuperscript{28} In practice, droplets in water-in-cycloketone emulsions sediment onto the substrate but are stable in contact with hydrophobic polymeric substrates such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), in contrast to glass where the droplets wet and spread or buoyant oil droplets which rise to the air interface and burst. This stability on hydrophobic substrates facilitates preferential evaporation of the solvent capping layer and confers a degree of spatial control to deposition even for manual drop-wise deposition. As shown in Figure 2a, water droplets are stable on a substrate until spreading and evaporation of the capping layer of solvent. The exposed graphene-coated water droplet then forms an unstable three-phase interface with the air (only stable for air-in-water), resulting in deformation, drying and collapse of the droplet onto the substrate.

This controlled deposition of individual droplets also allows for characterization of the interfacial films using techniques which are not possible in the emulsion structures. The deposited nanosheets are essentially collapsed bilayers of the disordered interfacial films which stabilize the emulsions, enabling verification of the thin-film structure such as by stylus profilometry. Figure 2b shows a representative height profile of a deposited droplet with \(~130\) nm thickness. This can be interpreted as a nanosheet network with 50\% porosity, \textit{i.e.} \(~60\) nm equivalent nanosheet thickness, as a bilayer of the coating, suggesting <30 monolayers interfacial film thickness. This also has the potential to be tuned to control deposited film thickness as desired for a specific application. In addition, spectroscopic Raman mapping can be applied to deposited droplets to characterize material quality and uniformity. As shown in Figure 2c, the Raman G-peak intensity map (indicative of local graphene coverage) is extremely uniform across the droplet, confirming mitigation of the coffee ring effect which is prohibitive for deposition of standard depositions as microliter droplets.

The coffee-ring-free deposition of individual droplets suggests that it could be possible to assemble these deposited films into large-area nanosheet networks as solution-processed conductive films. To
prepare such films, droplets were deposited manually in a drop-wise manner, allowing them to dry before further deposition and repetition until dense-packed networks were assembled, as shown in Figure 2d. These micrographs show areal increasing connectivity of the droplets as well as increasing film thickness as deposited droplets are overcoated. The structure and thickness of these large-area films is elucidated by atomic force microscopy as shown in Figure 2d, showing dense-packed nanosheets comparable to deposition by other techniques and allowing measurement of per-pass film thickness. The macroscopic conductivity of these films shown as a function of thickness for sequential deposition passes in Figure 2f. Interestingly, the conductivity only becomes measurable after a certain number of deposition passes where the thickness is around 1 µm but areal percolation has only just been reached. The conductivity continues to increase from this onset value before saturating to a bulk-like constant value where the droplet-deposited network becomes dense-packed, as shown in the inset scanning electron micrograph. Significantly, the maximum conductivity of ~3000 S/m is comparable to other reported values for graphene networks but has been achieved with a simple manual deposition process with high material efficiency. As such, these emulsions could be developed as inks for printing of individual droplets with further development of their rheology to meet criteria for jetting (see Supporting Information Figure S6). While automated high-resolution deposition is required to eliminate the thickness dependence of the conductivity, the competitive conductivities illustrate the promise of nanosheet-stabilized emulsion deposition as a controlled low-mass low-volume technique for single-droplet and large-area film formation.

Surface energy: determination and design. To realize the full range of applications envisaged, it will be necessary to form nanosheet-stabilized emulsions with liquids other than water and cycloketones. However, for the reasons illustrated in Figure 1a, it is quite challenging to use alternative solvents while retaining the high degree of exfoliation required for ultra-low loading applications. In practice, this can be achieved using a solvent transfer step based on liquid cascade centrifugation. Dispersions are prepared in cycloketones as normal and subjected to further centrifugation to sediment the majority of the nanosheets, the supernatant is discarded and the sediment is redispersed into an alternative solvent of choice before immediate emulsification. This
allows for production of well-exfoliated materials in solvents where this would not be possible by
direct exfoliation such that few-layer nanosheet-stabilized emulsions can be produced with relatively
arbitrary oil and water phases. This approach allows emulsification of liquids with different surface
tensions to modify the three-phase boundary shown in the inset of Figure 2a.

Emulsion stability and type, whether o/w or w/o, is conventionally determined by the spreading
coefficient at this three-phase boundary which are themselves defined by the constituent interfacial
energies as follows;

\[ S_{so} = \gamma_{so} - \gamma_{sw} - \gamma_{ow} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ S_{sw} = \gamma_{sw} - \gamma_{so} - \gamma_{ow} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( S_{so} \) and \( S_{sw} \) are the spreading coefficients for solid/oil and solid/water interfaces respectively
and the subscripts of the surface energies denote the contributions as shown in Figure 1c. The criterion
is typically that the spreading coefficients both have the same sign (positive or negative) for an
emulsion to be stable. In addition, the phase with the more negative spreading preferentially wets the
nanosheet stabilizer and therefore forms the continuous phase while the other forms the droplet phase.9

While interfacial tensions between liquids can be measured, it would be preferable to understand the
spreading coefficients as a continuous function of the individual and well-known surface tensions of
the liquids. To facilitate this, well-established simple models for interfacial tension as a function of
surface tension19,30,31 can be employed, such as the following geometric mean model;

\[ \gamma_{ab} = \gamma_a + \gamma_b - 2\sqrt{\gamma_a\gamma_b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

For graphene and related materials, as solids, the surface entropy (and therefore surface tension \( \Gamma \)) is
poorly-defined and therefore it is more correct to infer the surface energy \( \gamma \) from its interaction with
liquids of known surface energy24 or by inverse gas chromatography.32,33 As such, liquid-exfoliated
graphene is understood to have a surface energy close to 70 mJ/m\(^2\) based on good exfoliation and
dispersion into solvents with surface tensions close to 40 mN/m. Using this indicative nanosheet
surface energy and substituting Equation 4 into Equations 2 and 3, it is possible to plot the spreading
coefficients for two given phases as a function of the surface energy (or tension) of the third to assess
stability and inversion criteria. Figure 3a shows the spreading coefficients for a system of graphene and water as a function of the surface tension of a third (oil) phase, which shows three distinct regions. Where the spreading coefficients have opposite signs (one positive, one negative), an emulsion would be unstable and that stable emulsions are only formed for $\gamma_s > \gamma_o$ and, by extension, for $\gamma_s < \gamma_w$, indicating that the stabilizer must have surface energy intermediate to the liquid phases. Where the spreading coefficients have the same sign (which only occurs when both are negative), whichever is more negative will form the continuous phase. This threshold can be elucidated by simplifying the spreading coefficients to give the intuitive criterion that phase inversion occurs when

$$\gamma_{so} = \gamma_{sw}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As such, this inversion threshold can be further simplified, by substituting Equation 4 into Equation 5 (see Supporting Information) to give

$$\sqrt{\gamma_o} + \sqrt{\gamma_w} = 2\sqrt{\gamma_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where lower surface energies of the liquid phases give o/w and higher surface energies give w/o, and the threshold itself is determined by the surface energy of the solid stabilizer; in this case, the layered nanosheets. It should also be noted that the form of Equation 6 is dictated by the geometric mean model used, however we find that the harmonic mean model\textsuperscript{31} gives virtually identical numerical values and results in the same predictions for emulsion stability and type as Equation 6.

This equation can be correlated with experimental observations of emulsion type (w/o or o/w) to allow determination of nanosheet surface energy and elucidate a phase diagram for the surface energy composition of an emulsion system. In practice, graphene and MoS\textsubscript{2} are found to give the same emulsion type (w/o or o/w) for all combinations of immiscible liquids studied and these are illustrated in Figure 3b. The inversion threshold in this phase diagram can be fitted to give the surface energy of the nanosheets as 71 mJ/m\textsuperscript{2}. This is consistent with previous studies using other techniques suggesting that this emulsion inversion is indeed dictated by the nanosheet surface energy as described by Equation 6. As such, this model of emulsion type in terms of constituent surface energy represents an
approach both for determination of unknown nanosheet surface energies and subsequently for design and control of emulsion structures based on composition.

It should be noted that the nanosheet surface energy can only be determined to within the bounds dictated by these binary oil-water combinations which are susceptible to variation with any small miscibility between the phases. It is perhaps surprising that the surface energies of graphene and MoS$_2$ cannot be differentiated by this binary oil-water approach, however they are expected to be similar as a result of the interlayer binding energies characteristic of van der Waals bonding$^{14}$ and measuring any differences will be the subject of a follow-up study.

Here the objective is to demonstrate such an approach to identify practical strategies to control inversion to enable applications of emulsion networks as functional structures. From Figure 3b, it is clear that the need to control emulsion type could potentially compromise the range and practicality of compositions. Given that lower liquid phase surface energies promote emulsion inversion from w/o to o/w, one option is to add a low surface energy organic to the existing cycloketone phase to reduce the oil phase surface energy and invert the emulsion. Figure 3c shows the results of this approach with the measured surface tension of the oil phase as a function of the pentane volume fraction. This in turn allows determination of the oil phase surface tension at the emulsion inversion threshold, which is observed to occur between pentane volume fractions of 0.9 and 0.95 as shown in the inset photographs. This gives the graphene surface energy as 71 mJ/m$^2$, consistent with the binary combination approach, and highlights the high pentane volume fractions required to invert water-based emulsions stabilized by pristine nanosheets.

For most applications, it would be desirable to select the oil phase, for example a solvent for deposition or monomer or polymer for composites, a phase change material or other dispersed functional material, and form o/w emulsions to allow subsequent removal of the water phase. Figure 3b shows ethylene glycol (EG) as one of the only viable water phases which yields o/w emulsions for all oil phases studied but its high boiling point can be problematic for subsequent removal. In addition, if the oil phase is dictated by the target application, rather than to allow pre-emulsification exfoliation, and the water phase is EG to realize o/w emulsions, both phases could be poor solvents for retention of
few-layer nanosheets and low-loading emulsions may not be possible. However, if the water phase could be a mixture of EG and water, the nanosheets could potentially be exfoliated in aqueous surfactant solution, washed, and transferred into this EG/water mixture to form o/w emulsions. Figure 3d shows the EG volume fraction in the EG/water mixture required to invert emulsions formed from five different oil phases, using graphene nanosheets exfoliated in Triton X-100 surfactant solution. Importantly, these surfactant-exfoliated nanosheets can indeed stabilize emulsions and their surface energies can be determined by fitting the EG volume fraction between the w/o and o/w emulsions. This fitting yields a surface energy of 70.7 mJ/m², suggesting that the emulsion type is dictated by nanosheets and not influenced by any residual surfactant. This presents a promising strategy for formation of nanosheet-stabilized emulsions with arbitrary oil phase and controllable inversion.

Given the robustness of nanosheet surface energy to emulsion composition and surfactant addition, the influence of pH was investigated by analogy to the approach used for GO emulsions. At neutral pH, GO functional groups are deprotonated, increasing the polar contribution to the surface energy and promoting dispersion stability. Under acidic conditions, functional groups are reprotonated, reducing the polar contribution to the surface energy, destabilizing dispersions, and allowing emulsion formation. While edge functionalities of pristine nanosheets are poorly understood and challenging to investigate, their influence can be understood by such pH-dependent measurements. Polar functional groups would be expected to be protonated in organic solvents and surfactant solution (reducing polarity and improving surface energy matching) but could be deprotonated under basic conditions to increase nanosheet surface energy and potentially enable emulsion inversion. Figure 3e shows the results of this approach where graphene surface energy is determined by the pentane mixing method as a function of water phase pH (controlled by addition of KOH). It is important to note that, while ketones in basic conditions can undergo aldol condensation, the yield of such reactions would be small and unlikely to affect constituent surface energies and that this approach also works for non-ketone oil phases. As shown by the inset photographs, emulsions of cycloketones and water at pH 13 clearly have buoyant (oil) droplets and have therefore undergone inversion to o/w as expected and intended. This is consistent with the observations from the pentane mixing experiments where the graphene surface energy increases with increasing pH, evidenced by the reducing pentane volume fraction
required for inversion, before going through a step-change above pH 9, likely associated with
deprotonation of edge functionalities, where all the cycloketone emulsions are o/w without pentane
addition and the surface energy can be determined to be >80 mJ/m². Interestingly, as shown by the
inset photographs, this approach is transferable to MoS₂ emulsions, suggesting some similarities in the
pH response of their edge functionalities, likely thiol groups, which can also undergo deprotonation.
This basic inversion can be performed with solvent- or surfactant-exfoliated nanosheets, presenting an
all-water-based approach for emulsifying few-layer nanosheets and controlling the inversion of
subsequent emulsions.

**Functional emulsion composites.** To demonstrate the potential of this approach for design and
control for a range of compositions and applications, composites of graphene-stabilized silicone
emulsions were investigated as a model system. Using silicone as the oil phase allows simple *in situ*
curing to form solid composites with potential for stretchable and flexible conductors and strain
sensors. We have previously demonstrated graphene-stabilized emulsion composites using a viscous
silicone which requires addition of solvents to allow incorporation of graphene and emulsification; as
a result, these composites require long interdiffusion and curing times and still require high graphene
loadings as result of incomplete exfoliation in silicone-compatible solvents. Here, we utilize Ecoflex
as a low-viscosity silicone which can be directly emulsified and surfactant-exfoliated nanosheets to
preserve exfoliation and low-loading stabilization, by transferring into the EG water phase required for
o/w emulsion formation.

This allows for emulsions to be stabilized by few-layer nanosheets in arbitrary compositions to enable
formation of silicone emulsions at graphene loading levels as low as 0.065 wt.%. Curing the silicone
and removing the EG yields emulsion-templated composites where partial interdiffusion of the
polymer preserves the segregated network structures but forms cohesive yet porous composites, as
shown in Figure 4a. Scanning electron microscopy (Figure 4b) shows that the droplet surfaces have
appreciable conductivity and shows an apparently-thin film of graphene at the polymer surface, as
compared with previous work where droplet surfaces show thick graphitic networks. Significantly,
despite their ultra-low loading level, these composites exhibit appreciable electrical conductivity as shown in Figure 4c as a function of graphene volume fraction. The conductivity increases sharply from the lowest level, likely due to the reducing porosity, and saturates to around 0.1 S/m at higher loadings. Density measurements allow calculation of the porosity of the composites which is significant (>50%) at low loadings and decreases linearly at higher loadings, as shown in the inset of Figure 4c. Importantly, these conductivities and volume fractions, shown alongside the liquid emulsions and pristine graphene composites from the literature in Figure 4d, represent the lowest loading level ever reported for pristine graphene composites.

Furthermore, the soft porous nature of these conductive composites makes them well suited to compressive strain sensing.35 By compressing vertically and measuring the relative resistance change in the transverse direction, their electromechanical response can be elucidated. Figure 4e shows a representative relative resistance change vs compressive strain plot with a characteristic flat region associated with lack of electromechanical response as pores are eliminated without significant modification of the conductive network, followed by a high-sensitivity linear regime which allows for calibrated compressive strain sensing. From this, the compressive gauge factor and determined and is plotted against loading level in Figure 4f, showing an exponential decrease from >200 with increasing loading level, typical of nanocomposite strain sensors.36 An onset strain for the linear response can be defined and is found to increase linearly with porosity (shown inset in Figure 4f). As such, these emulsion-templated silicone composites, especially at the lowest loadings, exhibit with high electromechanical sensitivity which could be pre-compressed to facilitate low-applied-strain sensing with significant electromechanical response. Together, these results highlight the potential of emulsion-templated networks stabilized by few-layer nanosheets to enable ultra-low loading conductive composites with tunable structure, electromechanical sensitivity, and the potential to extend to a range of other nanosheets, droplet phases and applications.

**Conclusions**
Nanosheet-stabilized emulsions represent a relatively unexplored approach for formation of segregated networks where pristine few-layer 2D materials have the potential to confer emulsion stability and network conductivity at volume fractions as low as $10^{-5}$, approaching the minimum loading achievable with 2D nanosheets. In addition, liquid emulsions can be deposited as inks for functional thin films which preserve droplet structures and eliminate the coffee ring effect during drying. This controlled droplet deposition can be applied for single-droplet devices to allow thin-film formation from minute quantities of material or for sequential deposition of droplets to form large-area films with network conductivities competitive with other deposition techniques. The range of potential applications of nanosheet-stabilized emulsions can be broadened by investigation of emulsion composition and structure. By combining emulsion spreading coefficients and interfacial energy models, it is possible to understand emulsion stability and inversion from water-in-oil or oil-in-water in terms of the nanosheet and liquid surface energies. Importantly, this enables both determination of nanosheet surface energies and subsequent design of emulsions with controlled composition and structure. This approach can be applied to silicone as a model oil phase to prepare functional emulsion composites with record-low nanosheet loading level and excellent electromechanical strain sensitivity. Together, these capabilities demonstrate the potential of nanosheet-stabilized emulsions for low-loading conductive networks, controlled droplet deposition, and surface energy determination and design for a broad range of functional segregated network applications.
Experimental

**Exfoliation and emulsification**: Graphite powder was provided by Zenyatta Ventures Ltd. MoS$_2$ and powder (98% purity) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. MoS$_2$ was subjected to an initial sonication-centrifugation step to remove impurities and very small nanosheets; the bulk powder was added to 30 mL of cyclopentanone (CPO) at an initial concentration of 25 g/L and sonicated using a Sonic Vibra-cell VCX130 at 60% amplitude for 1 hour under ice bath cooling. The dispersion was centrifuged (Thermo Scientific Sorvall Legend X1 with High Conic II rotor) at 5000 g for 5 mins, the supernatant containing the impurities and very small nanosheets was the discarded and the sediment was redispersed into 30 mL of fresh CPO. Graphite powders were added to 30 mL of cyclohexanone at an initial concentration of 25 g/L. The subsequent sonication step used was the same for MoS$_2$ and graphite; sonication using a Sonic Vibra-cell VCX130 at 60% amplitude for 3 hours under ice bath cooling. MoS$_2$ dispersions were centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 mins and graphene dispersions were centrifuged at 5000 g for 30 mins. This typically yields dispersions of nanosheets with N<10 for all materials, as confirmed with spectroscopic metrics by UV-visible extinction spectroscopy (Shimadzu UV3600Plus spectrometer). Extinction spectroscopy was also used in conjunction with previously measured extinction coefficients$^{26,37}$ to determine concentration of these dispersions. Concentrations for these processing conditions are typically $\sim$0.1 g/L. These cycloketone dispersions can be emulsified with deionized water by transferring to silanized vials (Sigma Aldrich) and adding water at $\sim$1:10 by volume followed by vigorously shaking by hand to homogenize. This gives nanosheet-stabilized water droplets which sediment through the cycloketone continuous phase. These droplets were collected and deposited on PET to perform statistical measurements of average droplet diameter by optical microscopy (Olympus BX53-M optical microscope). To measure droplet size as a function of nanosheet volume fraction, the stock dispersions were diluted with cycloketone and fixed volume was emulsified with fixed volume of water to control droplet size while maintaining a fixed volume of droplets. These samples were transferred into channels milled into PTFE with copper tape contacts to allow electrical measurements using a Keithley 2614B sourcemeter. I-V characteristics were obtained, and resistances normalized to channel dimensions to calculate conductivity.
Solvent transfer and emulsion inversion: To prepare emulsions stabilized by well-exfoliated nanosheets in solvents which are conventionally considered poor for LPE, cycloketone dispersions were subjected to further centrifugation of 10000 g for 16 hours to result in sedimentation of almost all the dispersed nanosheets. The cycloketone supernatant was discarded and the sediment redispersed into a new oil phase such as pentane, hexane, ethyl acetate, methyl methacrylate, dichloromethane, or styrene. These oil phases span the range of surface energies of water-immiscible organic solvents and are immiscible with alternative high surface energy water phases; EG and formamide (except for ethyl acetate-formamide). As such, these combinations were used to identify emulsion orientation and stability. The solvent-transferred dispersions were emulsified with EG, formamide and water at 1:1 by volume (to ensure sufficient oil and water phase to stabilize either orientation of emulsion) and their orientation determined by identifying buoyancy and/or stability on glass or silanized vials or at the air interface. These orientations were used to verify the surface energy model presented and found to be identical for graphene and MoS₂ emulsions whether exfoliated or bulk material was used. To perform the inversion experiment, a CHO dispersion was diluted to varying volume fractions of pentane and the mixed solvent dispersion emulsified with water and orientation determined. Samples between which the emulsion orientation inverted were used to calculate a range for the surface energy of the nanosheet films.

Emulsification by surfactant-exfoliated nanosheets and basic inversion. For the emulsification of surfactant-exfoliated nanosheets, dispersions were prepared using the exfoliation parameters described above on dispersions of graphene or MoS₂ in 0.25 g/L aqueous Triton X-100 solution, which yields a dispersion with the minimal amount of surfactant, likely bound to the sheets rather than free in dispersion. Surfactant concentration of 0.1 g/L was found to result in significantly reduced concentration, while dispersions produced by exfoliation at higher surfactant concentration required washing by vacuum filtration and redispersion to allow stable emulsification. For the emulsion inversion by basic deprotonation, cycloketone dispersions were prepared and emulsified with pH 13 KOH solution, diluted to yield water phases with controlled pH, resulting in formation of buoyant oil droplets in a continuous phase of the basic solution above pH 9. Surfactant exfoliation and basic
inversion can also be achieved by blending aqueous surfactant dispersions of nanosheets with KOH solution followed by emulsification with an arbitrary oil phase.

Emulsion inks: Water-in-cycloketone emulsions of graphene and MoS2 were prepared as described above. Samples were deposited by onto PET substrate heated to 80 °C by manual drop casting of 0.1 mL (per pass) of densely-packed emulsion over an area of 1 cm². The sheet resistance was measured using a Keithley 2614B sourcemeter after every deposition pass. Once dry, another 0.1 mL was deposited and this was repeated until optical microscopy showed the films to have nearly complete area coverage, around 5 passes. At this stage, AFM was performed using a Bruker Dimension Icon with ScanAsyst-Air probes to measure topography and determine approximate thickness per pass. For Raman mapping of deposited droplets, samples were deposited onto silicon wafers and their Raman spectra were mapped using a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with 660 nm excitation using a x50 objective. The deposition process was repeated until the sheet resistance began to decrease with the reciprocal of pass number, indicating that the thickness-independent bulk-like conductivity regime had been reached. Single deposited droplet thickness measurements were performed with a Bruker Dektak stylus profilometer.

Silicone composites: Few-layer graphene dispersions in aqueous Triton X-100 solution were prepared as described in our previous work.38 The few-layer graphene supernatant was centrifuged at 5000 g for 16 hours to sediment the majority of the dispersed graphene. The supernatant is discarded along with any unbound surfactant and ethylene glycol is added to the sediment and re-dispersed via tip sonication at high concentration ~3 g/L, forming the stock dispersion. This highly concentrated graphene-EG dispersion was increasingly diluted with additional EG. Ecoflex 00-30 was prepared from a two-part mix by stirring for about a minute before being added to each graphene-EG dispersion. Each sample was immediately shear mixed at 10,000 rpm (Silverson L5M-A) for 2 minutes and cast into a glass petri dish. The samples are placed in a preheated oven at 70 °C overnight, which is sufficient to cure the silicone spheres and remove the continuous EG phase. Raman spectroscopy was performed using a 532 nm laser; through an 1800 mm⁻¹ grating under a 20x objective at 10% power (3.5 mW) with an exposure time of 0.5 s and 1 µm step size. For the electromechanical measurements, (~5-7 mm x 20 mm) samples are cut and placed on glass for
insulation and mechanical support. Silver contacts are painted on the ends of the sample, connected in series to a Keithley 2614B sourcemeter for electrical characterization. A 1x1 cm2 glass slide is attached to the base of a compression probe (Texture Analyser, Stable Microsystems) such that the unpainted area of the sample is subject to compression and to prevent shorting through the metal probe.
Figure 1. (a) Venn diagram illustrating solvent selection considerations for nanosheet-stabilized emulsions. (b) Schematic diagram of emulsification process where pristine few-layer nanosheets in dispersion are homogenized with an immiscible liquid to form an emulsion and illustration of nanosheets on surface of a droplet. (c) and (d) optical micrographs of water-in-cycloketone droplets stabilized by graphene and MoS$_2$ respectively, scale bar 100 μm. (e) droplet diameter as a function of nanosheet volume fraction for graphene and MoS$_2$ emulsions showing comparison to previous work and the minimum loading level defined by monolayer graphene. (f) Electrical conductivity of liquid emulsions as a function of nanosheet volume fraction for graphene/H$_2$O/CHO and MoS$_2$/H$_2$O/CPO with inset schematic of droplet network.
Figure 2. (a) Schematic illustration of emulsion droplet deposition, drying and collapse. (b) Stylus profilometry of deposited droplet showing film thickness of ~130 nm, corresponding to ~30 monolayers interfacial film thickness. (c) Raman map of G peak intensity illustrating uniformity of deposited film, 30 x 30 µm image. (d) Low-magnification optical micrographs of deposited droplets on PET showing sequential passes of emulsion deposition with percolation and formation of densely-packed films, scale bars 500 µm. (e) Atomic force micrograph of nanosheet film confirming dense and uniform areal packing of nanosheets deposited from a single emulsion droplet, scale bar 500 nm, height range 200 nm. (f) Electrical conductivity of graphene film deposited from emulsion as a function of film thickness, showing scaling attributed to deposition uniformity, which reaches expected bulk-like value. Inset: Scanning electron micrograph of film cross section (false colored), showing dense-packed nanosheet network, scale bar 1 µm.
Figure 3. (a) Calculated spreading coefficients for emulsions of graphene and water (using $\gamma = 70$ and 101 mJ/m² respectively) as a function of oil phase surface tension and inset showing three-phase boundary at emulsion interface. (b) Surface tension phase diagram showing different compositions giving rise to w/o (black) and o/w (red) emulsions which is well described by Equation 6 with a surface energy of $\sim 71$ mJ/m² for all pristine nanosheets studied here. (c) Surface tension of oil as function of pentane volume fraction as inversion experiment to determine surface energy, giving a value in good agreement with above measurement. (d) Volume fraction of ethylene glycol required for inversion as a function of oil phase surface tension for washed surfactant-exfoliated graphene, indicating that stabilization is still dictated by the nanosheets. (e) Nanosheet surface energy as a function of pH of water phase, determined by pentane/CHO inversion. Inset: photograph of buoyant cyclopentanone droplets in water continuous phase, inverted at elevated pH, shown for graphene (left) and MoS₂ (right).
Figure 4: (a) Optical micrograph of emulsion-templated silicone composite show graphene-coated surfaces and retained droplet structure, scale bar 200 µm. (b) Scanning electron micrographs of emulsion-droplet surfaces showing conductivity and graphene-polymer interface, scale bar 5 µm. Inset: micrograph of emulsion composite showing droplet connectivity, scale bar 100 µm. (c) Electrical conductivity of composites as a function of loading level showing sharp increase from lowest-loading high-porosity samples and saturation at higher loadings. Inset: porosity of composites as a function of loading level determined by density measurements. (d) Conductivity-volume fraction comparison to composites from the literature based on pristine graphene in matrices of methyl methacrylate-butyl acrylate co-polymer latex (MMA-co-BA latex)\textsuperscript{39}, polystyrene (PS)\textsuperscript{40}, ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE)\textsuperscript{41}, epoxy\textsuperscript{42} and silicone putty\textsuperscript{36}, highlighting the appreciable conductivity and ultra-low loading level in the nanosheet-stabilized emulsion networks. (e) Representative relative resistance change as a function of compressive strain showing flat region associated with porosity, followed by high sensitivity linear region with potential for electromechanical sensing applications. (f) Compressive gauge factor extracted from linear region showing high sensitivity decreasing exponentially with loading level, as expected for nanocomposite
sensors. Inset: onset strain for electromechanical response as a function of porosity showing linear correlation.
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