

Electron-hole pair creation and conversion efficiency in radioisotope microbatteries

Article (Accepted Version)

Lioliou, G and Barnett, A M (2022) Electron-hole pair creation and conversion efficiency in radioisotope microbatteries. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 180. a110042 1-5. ISSN 0969-8043

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/103730/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

1 **Electron-hole pair creation and conversion efficiency in radioisotope microbatteries**

2 **G. Lioliou* and A.M. Barnett**

3 Space Research Group, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QT, UK

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 The ultimate conversion efficiency of semiconductor radioisotope microbatteries is set by the average
7 energy consumed in the creation of an electron-hole pair, ω . Although the Klein relationship between
8 ω and semiconductor bandgap, E_g , is widely cited, not only for radioisotope microbatteries, but indeed
9 for a multitude of fields requiring accurate values of ω , its validity has been recently questioned; new
10 experimental measurements have resulted in the refined Bertuccio-Maiocchi-Barnett (BMB)
11 relationship. Here, it is shown that the new relationship indicates the ultimately achievable
12 conversion efficiencies of radioisotope microbatteries are much greater than had ever been expected.
13 For example, it appears possible to produce planar ^{63}Ni -Diamond radioisotope microbatteries with
14 output powers $130\times$ greater than has currently been achieved. The ultimate limit for batteries
15 employing pore channels rather than planar designs is likely to be even greater still. These new
16 findings open the possibility of using radioisotope microbatteries in a far greater variety of
17 applications than has been traditionally assumed. As well as being of direct applicability to
18 radioisotope microbatteries, the results highlight the need to reconsider the use of the Klein
19 relationship in all fields that currently employ it.

21 **1. Introduction**

22 The average energy consumed in the creation of an electron-hole pair, ω , sets the ultimate conversion
23 efficiency of semiconductor radioisotope microbatteries [1,2]. The parameter also has important
24 implications for X-ray excitonics [3,4], radiation detection [5-8], photovoltaics [9], and advanced
25 future optoelectronic devices [10].

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0) 1273 872568. E-mail address: G.Lioliou@sussex.ac.uk

1 Radioisotope microbatteries convert radioactive emissions from an integrated radioisotope
 2 into electrical energy by means of photo-, alpha-, or beta- generated electron-hole pairs in a
 3 semiconductor junction [2,11-14]. Such batteries benefit from long life-times and high energy
 4 densities, governed by the emission characteristics of the radioisotope utilized. The theoretical
 5 maximum (ultimate) conversion efficiency for a radioisotope microbattery, defined as the upper limit
 6 of the semiconductor device efficiency in converting the radiation quanta to electrical energy,
 7 assuming an ideal semiconductor device,

$$9 \quad \eta_{SU} = \frac{E_g}{\omega}, \quad (1)$$

10
 11 where E_g is the bandgap energy of the semiconductor, is independent of the radioisotope source, and,
 12 dependent upon only the bandgap energy and the average energy consumed in the creation of an
 13 electron-hole pair in the semiconductor junction. Eq. (1) that the use of a wide bandgap
 14 semiconductor for the conversion device of a radioisotope microbattery is advantageous. The Klein
 15 relationship [15],

$$17 \quad \omega = E_g + \frac{9}{5}E_g + r(\hbar\omega_R), \quad (2)$$

18
 19 builds on work by Shockley [16] and McKay [17]. The second and third terms of Eq. (2) are said to
 20 represent the residual kinetic energy and the optical phonon losses ($0.5 \text{ eV} < r(\hbar\omega_R) < 1.0 \text{ eV}$, where
 21 r is “an adjustable parameter” [15]) respectively. The Klein relationship has been used widely to
 22 calculate ω , and thus to predict the maximum conversion efficiency of radioisotope microbatteries
 23 [13,18-20] as well as for other purposes in a multitude of fields.

24 In this work the shortcomings of the Klein relationship are first discussed, while a refined
 25 relationship between the bandgap and the electron-hole pair creation energy, the Bertuccio-Maiocchi-
 26 Barnett (BMB) relationship, which resulted from carefully conducted measurements on modern
 27 semiconductor materials, is introduced. The ultimate conversion efficiency of radioisotope

1 microbatteries as a function of bandgap energy of the conversion device and the conversion efficiency
2 of radioisotope microbatteries employing two typical laboratory radioisotope sources, is then
3 calculated using both the Klein and the BMB relationship; the results are compared. Finally, to
4 explore the maximum potentially achievable performance of radioisotope microbatteries, the upper
5 limit of the output power of an optimized ^{63}Ni -diamond battery is calculated. It should be noted here
6 that the main focus of the current work is the semiconductor conversion efficiency and its relationship
7 with the average electron-hole pair creation energy, whereas the two other efficiencies relevant to
8 radioisotope microbatteries, namely the radioisotope source efficiency and the coupling efficiency, are
9 excluded from the following discussion.

10

11 **2. Shortcomings of the Klein relationship**

12 The Klein relationship was derived from a collection of measurements on early semiconductors
13 collated from the literature as it stood prior to October 1967. With scant data available, no
14 considerations were made for initiating radiation type or energy, nor of temperature or quality of
15 semiconductor material. It was recognised at the time that this may affect the validity of the apparent
16 relationship [15], although the work of Shockley [16] stated explicitly that ω “was independent of the
17 nature of the particle” and dependent “only on its energy” citing the work of Grainger et al. [21] and
18 McKay and McAfee [22]. It is accepted now that as well as varying with E_g , ω also depends on
19 material temperature [23-28] and initiating photon energy, E , at soft X-ray energies ($E < 0.5$ keV in Si
20 [29]) and when E is close to the energies of the absorption edges of the material [29]; variation of ω at
21 hard X-ray energies appears to be small [29]. Incomplete charge collection in semiconductor
22 materials of poor quality (common in the 1960s) is also known to produce anomalously high apparent
23 values of ω [25]. A dependence of ω upon initiating quantum type is still discussed; apparent
24 variations may be due to charge collection efficiencies < 1 in the semiconductor structures used to
25 measure the parameter [25] as well as backscatter effects [15]. However, even excluding those
26 phenomena, it is reasonable to assume that a slightly different apparent ω value may result in some
27 cases. An X-ray or γ -ray photon deposits its energy in a single instance when Compton scattering and
28 pair production are negligible, whereas beta- and alpha- particles deposit their energy along the length

1 of their absorption tracks in a series of energy loss events. As such, it is reasonable to assume that a
 2 slightly larger apparent ω value may result if it is computed on the basis of the initial energy of the
 3 beta- or alpha- particle being divided by the total number of electron-hole pairs produced over the
 4 entire track, rather than by consideration of each loss event separately. Whilst the former may be
 5 expedient for some applications – and easier to measure experimentally – the larger apparent ω
 6 computed in this way would be incorrect since the multiple energy loss events along the track give
 7 rise to multiple (per initial quantum) partitionings of deposited energy between electron-hole pairs and
 8 other processes (e.g. phonon losses), even if the events themselves (as well as the electron-hole pairs
 9 created in each event) are not strictly independent of each other [7].

10 The validity of the Klein relationship was first questioned when more materials were added to
 11 the Klein plot. Owens and Peacock [30] included ω values (without uncertainties) for 22 materials
 12 obtained from the literature. As per the original Klein plot, no control was made of quantum energy
 13 or type or material quality or temperature. However, at least some of the data points included were
 14 reliable (notably those for Ge and Si [24] and GaAs [25]). Based on the distribution of the data,
 15 Owens and Peacock suggested the presence of two Klein branches each forced to have a gradient
 16 identical to that suggested by Klein [15] but with different intercepts, one of which was unphysical in
 17 the Shockley-Klein model [15,16] since it indicated a negative energy loss to optical phonons.

18 The first material to match neither Klein branch was $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$; using high quality material
 19 and X-rays, ω was measured in $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$ at a controlled temperature of 294 K [31], and then as a
 20 function of temperature [23]. The values of $\omega(E_g)$ at 300 K for Si, Ge, GaAs, and $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$ formed
 21 a straight line with a gradient and intercept [23] different to those predicted by Klein [15]. $\omega(T)$ was
 22 measured subsequently in $\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As}$ [26], $\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Ga}_{0.4}\text{As}$ [32], $\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}$ [27], and $\text{In}_{0.5}\text{Ga}_{0.5}\text{P}$ [28]
 23 these values at 300 K were used along with those of Ge and Si [24] and GaAs [25] to refine the
 24 relationship between ω and E_g suggested from the $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$ measurements. The most recently
 25 refined Bertuccio-Maiocchi-Barnett (BMB) relationship [32],

26

$$27 \quad \omega = (1.54 \pm 0.07) E_g + (1.89 \pm 0.12), \quad (3)$$

28

1 is quantitatively different from the Klein relationship (**Eq. 2**) and suggests that the mechanisms
2 proposed by Shockley [16] and Klein [15] may be incorrect or at least incorrectly apportioned in their
3 contributions. In contrast to the Klein relationship, the BMB relationship (**Eq. 3**) resulted from
4 measurements at a controlled temperature (300 K) using only high-quality materials (with negligible
5 incomplete charge collection) and only X-ray and γ -ray photons (with energies low enough that
6 Compton scattering and pair production processes were negligible). It should be noted that the BMB
7 relationship shows the dependence of ω upon E_g , where ω is average energy consumed in the creation
8 of an electron-hole pair, ω , on a per interaction basis. Whilst alpha and beta particles may undergo
9 multiple interactions, thus leading to a slightly higher apparent average electron-hole pair creation
10 energy on a per particle (cf. per interaction) basis, the limit for their charge creation (and hence
11 theoretical maximum (ultimate) conversion efficiency is still set by ω). However, it is anticipated that
12 Monte Carlo computer simulations and experimental results may be reported in future which quantify
13 the difference between ω and the average electron-hole pair creation energy on a per particle basis for
14 particles which do not lose all of their energy in a single interaction event, such as alpha and beta
15 particles. The latter (the average electron-hole pair creation energy on a per particle basis) is
16 anticipated to be a function of particle energy as well as dependent on the elemental composition and
17 crystalline structure of the material with which it is interacting. Once such values are available, it will
18 be informative to compare the conversion efficiencies they predict with those predicted using ω . The
19 form of **Eq. 3**, which still follows that long-known for gas-ionisation chambers [33], does not
20 necessarily require a fundamental reconceptualization of the notion that the energy of the quanta are
21 divided between electron-hole pairs and losses to the lattice [16,29,34,35]. However, it does highlight
22 that the specifics of the apportionment warrant further exploration.

23


24 **3. Results and discussion**

25 *3.1. Ultimate conversion efficiency*

26 Whilst the mechanics of electron-hole pair creation are of fundamental importance, they are also of
27 practical concern, as shown for radioisotope microbatteries in **Eq. 1**. Given **Eq. 3**, a significant
28 revision to the ultimate conversion efficiencies expected of radioisotope microbatteries as a function

1 of the semiconductor bandgap is required. **Fig. 1** uses **Eq. 1** to show the ultimate conversion
 2 efficiency implied by the BMB relationship (**Eq. 3**) and compares it to that computed using the Klein
 3 relationship (**Eq. 2**) with $r(\hbar\omega_R) = 0.606$ [30].

4



5

6 FIG. 1. Comparison between the ultimate conversion efficiencies predicted by the Klein [15] (---) and
 7 BMB [32] (—, with error bars) relationships for radioisotope microbatteries as a function of bandgap.

8

9 As shown in **Fig. 1**, the Klein relationship suggests that the ultimate conversion efficiency for
 10 radioisotope microbatteries saturates at 35 % when $E_g \geq 6.2$ eV. In contrast, the BMB relationship
 11 suggests greater conversion efficiencies than Klein when $E_g > 1.0$ eV, and that saturation does not
 12 occur within the range of conventional bandgap energies.

13 For diamond, $E_g = 5.5$ eV, Klein predicts a 34 % ultimate efficiency, whereas the BMB
 14 relationship predicts $53 \% \pm 2 \%$. Thus, it appears that the ultimate efficiency of diamond batteries is
 15 a factor of 1.5 greater than previously thought.

16


17 3.2. Conversion efficiency and upper limit of power output

18 It is informative to compute the semiconductor conversion efficiencies potentially achievable with
 19 two typical laboratory radioisotope sources used for characterization (one beta emitter, ^{63}Ni ; one X-
 20 ray emitter, ^{55}Fe) and ten common semiconductor materials, since it is this value which radioisotope
 21 microbattery engineers strive to attain.

1 Radioisotope microbatteries with planar geometries and single side illumination were
2 considered; each radioisotope source was assumed to be in direct contact with the top face of the
3 semiconductor conversion device. Two typical laboratory radioisotope sources were considered, ^{63}Ni
4 (a beta-particle emitter, endpoint energy = 66.9 keV [36]) and ^{55}Fe (an X-ray emitter, giving Mn $K\alpha$ =
5 5.9 keV and Mn $K\beta$ = 6.49 keV photons [37]). Ten common semiconductor materials were chosen
6 for consideration: Si; GaAs; $\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As}$; $\text{In}_{0.5}\text{Ga}_{0.5}\text{P}$; $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.4}\text{As}$; $\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}$; 4H-SiC; $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{Ga}_{0.5}\text{N}$;
7 diamond; and c-BN. The structure of the conversion device was assumed to have a thickness large
8 enough to ensure full absorption of the beta-particle or X-ray power incident upon the conversion
9 device. The required conversion device thickness for efficient beta particle absorption depends on the
10 energies of the beta particles in question as well as the specific semiconductor material used as the
11 converter; for a ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta particle source (end point energy = 66 keV), the depth at which
12 87 % of all beta particle energy is fully absorbed, has been calculated previously to be 5 μm for GaAs
13 and 9.5 μm for diamond, for example [18]. The required conversion device thickness for efficient X-
14 ray photon absorption depends on the energy of the X-rays, the semiconductor density, and the mass
15 absorption coefficient of the semiconductor material at that X-ray energy [38]; for an ^{55}Fe
16 radioisotope X-ray source (primary X-ray emission Mn $K\alpha$ = 5.9 keV), the depth at which 1/e of the
17 X-rays is absorbed was calculated to be 12 μm for GaAs and 256 μm for diamond, for example. It
18 should be noted here that thick conversion devices may suffer from poor quality materials resulting in
19 reduced charge collection efficiencies. In addition, a backscatter coefficient = 0 was considered, thus
20 enabling direct comparisons of different semiconductor materials. Partial absorption of the incident
21 power and energy losses due to backscattering would reduce the coupling efficiency between the
22 radioisotope source and the conversion device (≤ 1); however, real-world coupling efficiencies as
23 high as 0.91 have been calculated for ^{63}Ni -diamond microbatteries [18]. Each conversion device was
24 assumed to cover an area large enough that edge effects were negligible.

25 The ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta particle source was assumed to be 5 μm thick (with an area of 6.92
26 $\text{mm} \times 6.92 \text{ mm}$ based on the details given [18], although the geometric area of the source is
27 inconsequential for the analysis which follows here); this was found to be the optimal thickness to
28 deliver maximum power, based on the radioisotope's energy spectrum and material density [18].

1 Although highly pure ^{63}Ni radioactive material may have a specific activity of up to 56.8 Ci/g, typical
 2 commercially available laboratory ^{63}Ni radioactive material are less pure; a purity of 50 % was
 3 assumed for the calculations, thus giving a specific activity of 28.4 Ci/g i.e. an activity of 126 mCi
 4 [18]. The beta particle power incident to the conversion device has been previously calculated using
 5 Monte Carlo simulations [18] and was found to be $2.68 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$. The ^{55}Fe radioisotope X-ray source
 6 was assumed to be a circular disk of 7.92 mm diameter; similarly to the ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta particle
 7 source, the geometric area of the ^{55}Fe radioisotope X-ray source is inconsequential for the analysis
 8 which follows here. It had an activity at its surface of 100 mCi, employing a 250 μm thick Be
 9 window to absorb the emitted Auger electrons as this is a common arrangement for laboratory sealed
 10 sources. The X-ray power incident to the conversion device was calculated taking into account the
 11 activity and the area of the source, the X-ray attenuation through the Be window, as well as the
 12 energies of the emitted X-ray photons and their emission probabilities [37]; it was found to be 0.89
 13 $\mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$. The schematic diagram of the two types of the radioisotope microbatteries considered can
 14 be seen in **Fig. 2**.




16
 17 FIG. 2. Schematic diagram of the (a) ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta particle source and (b) ^{55}Fe radioisotope
 18 X-ray source based microbatteries considered for the calculations. The geometry of the source in
 19 each case is inconsequential for the analysis presented here; the same power incident on the
 20 conversion device may be realised via the use of radiation sources of different geometries. The
 21 schematics are given to aid the reader's conceptualisation rather than to reflect specific real world
 22 microbattery designs.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

To enable comparison, ω was calculated using both the Klein (Eq. 2) and BMB (Eq. 3) relationships. The saturation current density was calculated using a simplified empirical relationship between the saturation current and $E_g(T)$ [39]. The short circuit current density, the open circuit voltage, V_{OC} , and the fill factor, F_F , (each of which is dependent upon ω) were calculated given the illumination characteristics of the two sources. Finally, the upper limit of the semiconductor conversion efficiency,

$$\eta_s = \frac{V_{OC}F_F}{\omega}, \tag{4}$$

was computed by applying the detailed balance limit derived by Shockley and Queisser [40], and excluding Auger recombination, band tail recombination, recombination in the bulk, at interfaces, and at surface defects, and contact losses [9]; the results are shown in Fig. 3.



16
17
18
19
20
21

FIG. 3. Comparison between the semiconductor conversion efficiencies predicted by the Klein [15] (⊗ and ×) and BMB [32] (⊙ and ×) relationships for ^{63}Ni beta particle (⊙ and ⊗) and ^{55}Fe X-ray (× and ×) radioisotope microbatteries based on Si; GaAs; $\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As}$; $\text{In}_{0.5}\text{Ga}_{0.5}\text{P}$; $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.4}\text{As}$; $\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}$; 4H-SiC; $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{Ga}_{0.5}\text{N}$; diamond; c-BN.

1 The upper limit of the semiconductor conversion efficiencies shown in **Fig. 2** for the X-ray-
2 voltaic batteries was slightly lower than those for the beta-voltaic batteries, this was due to the lower
3 illumination power of the typical ^{55}Fe radioisotope X-ray source ($0.89 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$) cf. that of the typical
4 ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta particle source ($2.68 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$). Whilst the semiconductor conversion
5 efficiency computed for radioisotope microbatteries employing Si converter devices did not differ
6 between the BMB (= $10.2 \% \pm 0.4 \%$ with ^{63}Ni) and Klein (= 9.8% with ^{63}Ni) relationships, most
7 radioisotope microbattery designs employ wide bandgap semiconductor converters. The difference
8 was substantial as E_g increased: e.g. for ^{63}Ni -GaAs ($E_g = 1.42 \text{ eV}$) 14.2% (Klein) and $16.0 \% \pm 0.6 \%$
9 (BMB); for ^{63}Ni -diamond ($E_g = 5.5 \text{ eV}$) 29% (Klein) and $45 \% \pm 2 \%$ (BMB). Thus, the upper limit
10 of the semiconductor conversion efficiency for a ^{63}Ni -diamond microbattery of the structure described
11 here is expected to be 55% greater than was previously thought.

12 Given these calculations it is possible to compute the upper limit of the power output per unit
13 area of illumination, P_{max} , for the planar microbattery structure considered here. The maximum power
14 output per unit area of illumination was $0.43 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2} \pm 0.02 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ for ^{63}Ni -GaAs and $1.21 \mu\text{W}$
15 $\text{cm}^{-2} \pm 0.05 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ for ^{63}Ni -diamond, when the BMB relationship was used, in contrast to $0.38 \mu\text{W}$
16 cm^{-2} and $0.78 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ using the Klein relationship. The availability of highly enriched ^{63}Ni would
17 enable even greater power outputs: at 100% enrichment ($5.36 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ incident on the converter), the
18 battery would output $2.42 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$. Although production of isotopically-pure 100% enriched ^{63}Ni is
19 still considered an expensive and challenging task, a scheme was proposed recently to enrich ^{63}Ni
20 content to $80\text{-}90 \%$ [41].

21 A prototype multi-layer ^{63}Ni -diamond microbattery has been reported previously that
22 achieves $\sim 0.0186 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ from an irradiance of $0.5 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$, giving $10 \mu\text{W cm}^{-3}$, enough to power a
23 cardiac pacemaker [42]. The semiconductor conversion efficiency ranged between 4.5% and 6%
24 [42] (cf. the $45 \% \pm 2 \%$ ultimate limit predicted by the BMB relationship). Given the BMB
25 relationship and highly enriched ^{63}Ni (100%), the ultimate limit (power output per unit area
26 illuminated) for multi-layer ^{63}Ni -diamond batteries appears to be 130 times greater (i.e. $2.42 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$)
27 than has so far been achieved; this translates to a power density of $1300 \mu\text{W cm}^{-3}$ ($= 1.3 \text{ W l}^{-1}$) which
28 is an astounding figure and far greater than was ever previously considered achievable with such

1 devices, thus the range of potential applications for radioisotope microbatteries is broader than was
2 expected. It should be noted here that this calculated ultimate limit of conversion efficiency for ^{63}Ni -
3 diamond batteries is unlikely to be realised in practice since it excludes non-ideal behaviour of real
4 microbatteries (e.g. recombination, scattering, series and shunt resistances). Nevertheless, it is
5 important for device physicists and engineers to compare the efficiencies achieved with their real-
6 world batteries to this ultimate efficiency value since through doing so, it is possible to quantify the
7 negative contributions made by the various lossy processes and, as such, work to reduce their impacts
8 on real-world battery designs.

9 Utilizing 3D structures (e.g. pore channels within the conversion device) to increase the
10 effective surface area of illumination [43] [44] has been shown to increase battery conversion
11 efficiencies by a factor of ten compared to those of planar structure [12], and thus may provide a route
12 to increasing this power density even further.

13

14 **4. Conclusions**

15 The ultimate conversion efficiency, power output, and power density of radioisotope microbatteries is
16 shown to be far greater than had previously been supposed. In principle, a ^{63}Ni -diamond microbattery
17 could have a semiconductor conversion efficiency of up to $45\% \pm 2\%$, and a power output density as
18 high as $1300 \mu\text{W cm}^{-3}$ ($= 1.3 \text{ W l}^{-1}$) when employing highly enriched ^{63}Ni and a multi-planar
19 configuration (i.e. utilizing beta-particles emitted from both sides of the radioisotope foil).

20 Furthermore, it is apparent that the detailed physics of electron-hole pair creation in semiconductor
21 materials in response to X-ray photons and other radiation quanta with similar energies still needs
22 further exploration. This is important not just for radioisotope microbatteries but indeed all
23 applications which require accurate values of ω . Recently reported data obtained with modern
24 semiconductor materials and experiments carefully controlled for parameters such as temperature and
25 quanta type have shown that the previously widely-used Klein relationship [15] is incorrect; the
26 relationship between electron-hole pair creation energy and bandgap energy is better described by the
27 Bertuccio-Maiocchi-Barnett (BMB) relationship [32]. However, like the Klein relationship, the BMB
28 relationship is semi-empirical; work is still required to understand the specific mechanisms of

1 apportionment of photon energy between electron-hole pairs and the various loss mechanisms,
2 particularly as a function of bandgap and temperature.

3

4 **Acknowledgements**

5 This work was supported in part by Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK, Grants
6 ST/P001815/1 and ST/T000910/1 (PI A.M.B.). A.M.B also acknowledges funding received from The
7 Leverhulme Trust, UK, in the form of a 2016 Philip Leverhulme Prize.

8

9 **Data availability**

10 Whilst all data from the study and the findings are contained within the paper, further reasonable
11 requests for information may be addressed to the authors.

12

13 **CRedit Author Statement**

14 **G. Lioliou:** Validation, Formal analysis, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review
15 and Editing, Visualization; **A.M. Barnett:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal
16 analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review and
17 Editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding Acquisition.

18

19 **REFERENCES**

- 20 [1] J. Dixon, A. Rajan, S. Bohlemann, D. Coso, A.D. Upadhyaya, A. Rohatgi, S. Chu, A. Majumdar,
21 and S. Yee, Evaluation of a Silicon ⁹⁰Sr Betavoltaic Power Source, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 38182.
22 [2] L.C. Olsen, P. Cabauy, and B.J. Elkind, Betavoltaic power sources, *Phys. Today* 65 (2012) 35.
23 [3] S.W. Koch, M. Kira, G. Khitrova, and H.M. Gibbs, Semiconductor excitons in new light, *Nat.*
24 *Mater.* 5 (2006) 523–531.
25 [4] A. Moulet, J.B. Bertrand, T. Klostermann, A. Guggenmos, N. Karpowicz, and E. Goulielmakis,
26 Soft x-ray excitonics, *Science* 357 (2017) 1134–1138.
27 [5] S. Shrestha, R. Fischer, G.J. Matt, P. Feldner, T. Michel, A. Osvet, I. Levchuk, B. Merle, S.
28 Golkar, H. Chen, S.F. Tedde, O. Schmidt, R. Hock, M. Rührig, M. Göken, W. Heiss, G. Anton, and

1 C.J. Brabec, High-performance direct conversion X-ray detectors based on sintered hybrid lead
2 triiodide perovskite wafers, *Nat. Photon.* 11 (2017) 436–440.

3 [6] J. Liu, F. Xia, D. Xiao, F.J. García de Abajo, and D. Sun, Semimetals for high-performance
4 photodetection, *Nat. Mater.* 19 (2020) 830–837.

5 [7] U. Fano, Ionization Yield of Radiations. II. The Fluctuations of the Number of Ions, *Phys. Rev.* 72
6 (1947) 26–29.

7 [8]. J. David, The staircase photodiode, *Nat. Photon.* 10 (2016) 364–366.

8 [9] A. Polman, M. Knight, E.C. Garnett, B. Ehrler, and W.C. Sinke, Photovoltaic materials: Present
9 efficiencies and future challenges, *Science* 352 (2016) aad4424-1–aad4424-10.

10 [10] T.B. Arp, D. Pleskot, V. Aji, and N.M. Gabor, Electron–hole liquid in a van der Waals
11 heterostructure photocell at room temperature, *Nat. Photon.* 13 (2019) 245.

12 [11] P. Rappaport, The Electron-Voltaic Effect in p–n Junctions Induced by Beta-Particle
13 Bombardment, *Phys. Rev.* 93 (1954) 246–247.

14 [12] W. Sun, N.P. Kherani, K.D. Hirschman, L.L. Gadeken, P.M. Fauchet, A Three-Dimensional
15 Porous Silicon p-n Diode for Betavoltaics and Photovoltaics, *Adv. Mater.* 17 (2005) 1230–1233.

16 [13] M.V.S. Chandrashekhar, C.I. Thomas, H. Li, M.G. Spencer, and A. Lal, Demonstration of a 4H-
17 SiC betavoltaic cell, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88 (2006) 033506.

18 [14] S. Butera, G. Lioliou, A.B. Krysa, and A.M. Barnett, $\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}^{55}\text{Fe}$ x-ray-photovoltaic battery,
19 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 49 (2016) 355601.

20 [15] C.A. Klein, Bandgap Dependence and Related Features of Radiation Ionization Energies in
21 Semiconductors, *J. Appl. Phys.* 39 (1968) 2029–2038.

22 [16] W. Shockley, Problems related to p-n junctions in silicon, *Solid State Electron.* 2 (1961) 35–60.

23 [17] K.G. McKay, Electron-Hole Production in Germanium by Alpha-Particles, *Phys. Rev.* 84 (1951)
24 829–832.

25 [18] S.I. Maximenko, J.E. Moore, C.A. Affouda, and P.P. Jenkins, Optimal Semiconductors for ^3H
26 and ^{63}Ni Betavoltaics, *Sci. Rep.* 9 (2019) 10892.

27 [19] C.J. Eiting, V. Krishnamoorthy, S. Rodgers, and T. George, Demonstration of a radiation
28 resistant, high efficiency SiC betavoltaic, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88 (2006) 064101.

- 1 [20] L.C. Olsen, Review of betavoltaic energy conversion, Proc. SPRAT 12 (1993) 256–267.
- 2 [21] R.J. Grainger, J.W. Mayer, J.S. Wiggins, and S.S. Friedland, Further Characteristics of the Solid-
3 State Ionization Chamber, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 5 (1960) 265.
- 4 [22] K.G. McKay, and K.B. McAfee, Electron Multiplication in Silicon and Germanium, Phys. Rev.
5 91 (1953) 1079–1084.
- 6 [23] A.M. Barnett, J.E. Lees, and D.J. Bassford, Temperature dependence of the average electron-hole
7 pair creation energy in $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102 (2013) 181119.
- 8 [24] R.H. Pehl, F.S. Goulding, D.A. Landis, and M. Lenzlinger, Accurate determination of the
9 ionization energy in semiconductor detectors, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 59 (1968) 45–55.
- 10 [25] G. Bertuccio, and D. Maiocchi, Electron-hole pair generation energy in gallium arsenide by x and
11 γ photons, J. Appl. Phys. 92 (2002) 1248–1255.
- 12 [26] M.D.C. Whitaker, S. Butera, G. Lioliou, and A.M. Barnett, Temperature dependence of
13 $\text{Al}_{0.2}\text{Ga}_{0.8}\text{As}$ X-ray photodiodes for X-ray spectroscopy, J. Appl. Phys. 122 (2017) 034501.
- 14 [27] S. Butera, G. Lioliou, A.B. Krysa, and A.M. Barnett, Measurement of the electron–hole pair
15 creation energy in $\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}$ using X-ray radiation, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 879 (2018)
16 64–68.
- 17 [28] S. Butera, G. Lioliou, A.B. Krysa, and A.M. Barnett, Temperature characterisation of
18 spectroscopic InGaP X-ray photodiodes, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 908 (2018) 277–288.
- 19 [29] G.W. Fraser, A.F. Abbey, A. Holland, K. McCarthy, A. Owens, and A. Wells, The X-ray energy
20 response of silicon Part A. Theory, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 350 (1994) 368–378.
- 21 [30] A. Owens, and A. Peacock, Compound semiconductor radiation detectors, Nucl. Instrum.
22 Methods Phys. Res. A 531 (2004) 18–37.
- 23 [31] A.M. Barnett, J.E. Lees, D.J. Bassford, and J.S. Ng, Determination of the electron-hole pair
24 creation energy in $\text{Al}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{As}$, J. Instrum. 7 (2012) P06016.
- 25 [32] M.D.C. Whitaker, G. Lioliou, A.B. Krysa, and A.M. Barnett, $\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Ga}_{0.4}\text{As}$ X-ray avalanche
26 photodiodes for spectroscopy, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 35 (2020) 095026.
- 27 [33] E. Baldinger, and W. Czaja, On the energy expended per electron-hole-pair produced in p-n-
28 junction-detectors, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 10 (1961) 237–239.

- 1 [34] F. Gao, L.W. Campbell, R. Devanathan, Y. Xie, L.R. Corrales, A.J. Peurrung, and W.J. Weber,
2 Monte Carlo method for simulating γ -ray interaction with materials: A case study on Si, Nucl.
3 Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 579 (2007) 292–296.
- 4 [35] F. Gao, L.W. Campbell, R. Devanathan, Y.L. Xie, Y. Zhang, A.J. Peurrung, and W.J. Weber,
5 Gamma-ray interaction in Ge: A Monte Carlo simulation, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 255
6 (2007) 286–290.
- 7 [36] B. E. Zimmerman, and R. Collé, Standardization of ^{63}Ni by $4\pi\beta$ Liquid Scintillation
8 Spectrometry With ^3H -Standard Efficiency Tracing, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 102 (1997)
9 455–477.
- 10 [37] U. Schötzig, Half-Life and X-ray Emission Probabilities of ^{55}Fe , Appl. Radiat. Isot. 53 (2000)
11 469–472.
- 12 [38] J.H. Hubbell, Photon Mass Attenuation and Energy Absorption Coefficients from 1 keV to 20
13 MeV, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isotopes 33 (1982) 1269–1290.
- 14 [39] M.A. Green, Solar Cells: Operating Principles, Technology, and System Applications, Prentice-
15 Hall, New Jersey, 1982.
- 16 [40] W. Shockley, and H.J. Queisser, Detailed Balance Limit of Efficiency of p-n Junction Solar
17 Cells, J. Appl. Phys. 32 (1961) 510–519.
- 18 [41] B. Bryskin, A. Pustovalov, L. Tsvetkov, V. Fedorov, and A. Kostylev, Highly Enriched Nickel-
19 ^{63}Ni Radionuclide for β -Voltaic Nuclear Batteries, Energy Technol. 2 (2014) 210–214.
- 20 [42] V.S. Bormashov, S.Yu. Troschiev, S.A. Tarelkin, A.P. Volkov, D.V. Teteruk, A.V. Golovanov,
21 M.S. Kuznetsov, N.V. Kornilov, S.A. Terentiev, and V.D. Blank, High power density nuclear battery
22 prototype based on diamond Schottky diodes, Diam. Relat. Mater. 84 (2018) 41–47.
- 23 [43] K. Hogan, M. Litz, and F. Shahedipour-Sandvik, 3D GaN-based betavoltaic device design with
24 high energy transfer efficiency, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 145 (2019) 154–160.
- 25 [44] C. Yue, J. Li, and L. Lin, Fabrication of Si-based three-dimensional microbatteries:
26 A review, Front. Mech. Eng. 12 (2017) 459–476.