

The salience of children increases adult prosocial values

Article (Accepted Version)

Wolf, Lukas J, Thorne, Sapphira R, Iosifyan, Marina, Foad, Colin, Taylor, Samuel, Costin, Vlad, Karremans, Johan C, Haddock, Geoffrey and Maio, Gregory R (2021) The salience of children increases adult prosocial values. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*. pp. 1-10. ISSN 1948-5506

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/102485/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Accepted at *Social Psychological and Personality Science* as a research article, February 2020.

The Salience of Children Increases Adult Prosocial Values

Lukas J. Wolf¹, Sapphira R. Thorne², Marina Iosifyan¹, Colin Foad², Samuel Taylor²,
Vlad Costin³, Johan C. Karremans⁴, Geoffrey Haddock², Gregory R. Maio¹

¹ Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Claverton Down, BA2 7AY, Bath, UK

² School of Psychology, Cardiff University, 70 Park Place, CF10 3AT, Cardiff, UK

³ School of Psychology, University of Sussex, BN1 9HQ, Brighton, UK

⁴ Department of Psychology, Radboud University, Nijmegen, NL

Corresponding author: Lukas J. Wolf, email: L.Wolf@bath.ac.uk

Abstract

Organisations often put children front and centre in campaigns to elicit interest and support for prosocial causes. Such initiatives raise a key theoretical and applied question that has yet to be addressed directly: Does the salience of children increase prosocial motivation and behaviour in adults? We present findings aggregated across eight experiments involving 2,054 adult participants: Prosocial values became more important after completing tasks that made children salient compared to tasks that made adults (or a mundane event) salient or compared to a no-task baseline. An additional field study showed that adults were more likely to donate money to a child-unrelated cause when children were more salient on a shopping street. The findings suggest broad, reliable interconnections between human mental representations of children and prosocial motives, as the child salience effect was not moderated by participants' gender, age, attitudes, or contact with children.

Keywords: children, infants, prosocial, human values, donation behaviour

Children are often featured in campaigns to support issues such as disaster relief (Donate to Africa, 2019), healthy living (NHS, 2020), and environmental protection (Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2009), expressly calling for sympathy and assistance. These campaigns reveal a widespread assumption that children elicit sympathetic reactions. Consistent with this assumption, images of Aylan Kurdi, a child who died during the 2015 Syrian refugee crisis, elicited strong reactions of empathy and solidarity with refugees (Smith et al., 2018). More generally, children and adults with neonatal facial features elicit greater empathy and helping behaviour (Keating et al., 2003; Lishner et al., 2008), and they trigger protective and care-taking motivations towards them (Bleske-Rechek et al., 2010; Glocker et al., 2009). Further evidence suggests that making suffering children or parenthood salient is linked with higher risk aversion (Gilead & Liberman, 2014; Lu & Schuldt, 2016; Palomo-Veléz et al., 2020) and stronger rejections of norm violations (Eibach et al., 2009), both of which likely stand in the service of protecting one's own children from harm. Collectively, these findings suggest that adults are motivated to help and protect their own children and children needing help.

Importantly, however, there may be a much broader and more substantial role for children, one in which the salience of children *per se* (i.e., not only one's own children or children in need) elicits higher prosocial motivation towards *others in general*. Indirect support for this broader impact of children comes from an evolutionary perspective, which suggests that the caretaker role in human societies was shared by group members to facilitate the survival and thriving of the group's offspring (Hrdy, 2005). This caretaker role can be triggered by the salience of any child, and child-like adults, animals, or even cars (e.g., Little, 2012; Miesler et al., 2011; Sherman et al., 2009).

Moreover, this caretaker role is assumed to involve a range of motivations and behaviours that go beyond immediate benefits to children. For instance, children may be more likely to survive and thrive if they grow up in a cooperative, supportive group than in a

competitive, hostile group (Best, 1993). Similarly, primates that share the caretaking role more often demonstrate spontaneous prosocial behaviours that are not directly linked to infants (e.g., food sharing, social tolerance) than independently breeding primates (Burkart & van Schaik, 2010; Snowdon & Cronin, 2007). Together, these perspectives suggest that a range of child-related stimuli can motivate adults to engage in prosocial behaviours towards others in general, pointing to an ingrained link between humans' mental representations of children and prosocial motivation.

However, psychological research has not directly tested a *child salience effect* that goes beyond immediate caretaking of children. The present research significantly extends the literature by examining whether children and prosocial motives are intrinsically linked, thus providing a better understanding of the role of mental representations of children in adult social motives.

Human Values

We focus on human values as indicators of prosocial motives, because of cross-cultural commonalities in them and their important role as life-guiding principles, suggesting that values provide an ideal opportunity to test the potentially broad role of child salience. People around the world indicate that values like equality and achievement are important to them, and such values are integral to human social judgement and behaviour (e.g., Hurst et al., 2013; Wolf et al., 2019). According to the most prominent theory of values in psychology, the quasi-circumplex model (Schwartz, 1992), values differ in the goals or motives they express, which are structured along two orthogonal dimensions of self-transcendence/self-enhancement and openness/conservation (see Figure 1). Our research focuses on the former dimension as an indicator of prosocial motives, with *self-transcendence* values promoting the welfare of others (e.g., helpfulness, responsibility), and *self-enhancement* values promoting self-interested principles (e.g., power, success). Consistent with these definitions, there is extensive evidence that higher self-transcendence values and

lower self-enhancement values predict and elicit more prosocial attitudes and behaviours (e.g., Boer & Fischer, 2013; Sagiv et al., 2017).




Figure 1. Schwartz's theory of human values. Values are universally structured along two orthogonal dimensions of self-transcendence/self-enhancement and openness/conservation.

Schwartz's model has been replicated in over 80 nations (Bilsky et al., 2011; Schwartz et al., 2012). Although values are thought to be relatively stable over time (Schwartz, 1994), research has shown that there is considerable potential for change in values, either through life-changing events (Bardi et al., 2009), age (Vecchione et al., 2016), or experimental manipulations (e.g., Karremans, 2007; Maio et al., 2009). These changes follow the model's two-dimensional structure, with values on the same end of a dimension changing in the same direction and values on opposing ends of a dimension changing in the opposite direction. Thus, an index of self-transcendence and self-enhancement values can be expected to be sensitive to a shift in people's prosocial motives. The present research examines the extent to which there is a latent psychological link between human mental representations of children

and compassionate, prosocial values, such that the salience of children *per se* increases the importance people attach to these values.

The Present Research

We tested our hypothesis in eight experiments that manipulated the salience of children using description tasks and examined the effects on prosocial motives (i.e., self-transcendence vs self-enhancement values). Adult participants were randomly assigned to either a child salience condition, a non-child control condition, or a baseline control condition. These experiments also examined a range of potential moderators (e.g., parenthood, gender, age, attitudes towards children). Following previous recommendations for multi-study articles (Lakens & Etz, 2017), we describe a meta-analysis of the effects of child salience to summarise the findings. We expected that the salience of children would increase the importance adults attach to prosocial values.

Building upon the results of the meta-analysis, we conducted an observational field study to examine the practical, behavioural implications of the presence of children in everyday life. This study recorded the number of children and adults on a shopping street and collected donations from adult passers-by for a cause not specifically related to children. We expected that a higher proportion of children (relative to adults) would predict more donations from passers-by. The study materials and data (including explanations and syntax) described in this article will be publicly available under 10.17605/OSF.IO/VFQA7 upon publication. All studies reported here follow APA and BPS ethical standards and received ethical clearance from the ethical review committees of the respective universities.

Meta-Analysis

Method

Overview of experiments. Table 1 provides an overview of the individual experiments, all of which examined additional, unique research questions (see Supplement for full details).

Table 1. Experimental designs

Experiment	Sample	Independent variables	Moderating variables
Experiment 1	Laboratory, students at Dutch university	2 description conditions (child, baseline)	-
Experiment 2	Online, UK participants on Prolific	6 description conditions (baby, toddler, child , teenager, adult, baseline)	Task enjoyment, mental image
Experiment 3	Online, UK participants on Prolific	3 (descriptions: baby, child, baseline) x 2 (survey order: values measure first or last)	Contact with children, task enjoyment, mental image
Experiment 4	Online, UK participants on Prolific	5 presentation conditions (images, stories, videos, child description, adult description)	Attitudes towards children, contact with children, task enjoyment, mental image
Experiment 5	Laboratory, UK community participants	2 (room: child, clutter) x 2 (description: child, restaurant) + baseline control .	Attitudes towards children, contact with children, task enjoyment, mental image
Experiment 6	Laboratory, UK community participants	2 (description: child, adult) x 2 (cognitive load: low, high)	Contact with children, task enjoyment
Experiment 7	Online, UK participants on Prolific	2 (description: child, adult) x 2 (time: time 1, time 2)	Attitudes towards children
Experiment 8	Online, UK participants on Prolific	2 (description: child, adult) x 2 (time: time 1, time 2)	Attitudes towards children, contact with children, task enjoyment, mental image

Note: The main text only discusses analyses involving the child, adult, restaurant, and baseline conditions (shown in bold). All other conditions were considered in supplemental analyses.

Experiment samples. Given the lack of past evidence on child salience effects, we based our power analyses on a medium effect size. The required sample size to detect a medium effect with a power of .90, two-tailed tests, and a critical significance level of .05 was 86 participants per condition. All experiments exceeded this requirement.

We used the same exclusion criteria in each study. Participants were excluded from analyses if they completed the description task too quickly (more than 1SD below mean completion time) or if they failed a reading check (e.g., asking participants to ignore the question and click response option 1). Across all experiments, 77 participants did not meet these criteria and were excluded. Table 2 shows the number of participants and demographic statistics in each experiment after exclusions.

Table 2. Demographic characteristics.

Experiment	<i>N</i> used in analyses (Total <i>N</i>)	Mean Age (<i>SD</i>)	Gender		Being a parent	
			Male	Female	Yes	No
Experiment 1	182 (182)	21.22 (2.84)	37	145	0	182
Experiment 2	319 (633)	29.36 (9.37)	87	230	174	145
Experiment 3	424 (634)	29.49 (6.65)	96	328	207	217
Experiment 4	258 (682)	37.99 (11.85)	71	185	146	110
Experiment 5	297 (297)	26.73 (13.52)	56	241	44	253
Experiment 6	194 (194)	28.11 (10.94)	80	114	29	165
Experiment 7	187 (187)	23.56 (9.51)	62	124	-	-
Experiment 8	193 (193)	29.58 (11.01)	103	90	45	148
Total	2054		592	1457	645	1220

Note: Participant numbers are reported after exclusions (see Supplement for information on full samples and exclusions per study).

Child salience manipulation. All eight experiments manipulated child salience using written descriptions, consistent with evidence that such tasks increase category accessibility (e.g., Marhenke & Imhoff, 2020). Participants were randomly assigned to either a child salience

condition, a non-child description condition, or a no-task baseline condition in between-participants designs. In the *child salience condition*, participants described what a typical primary school age child is like. Participants wrote about a child's appearance and personality, what a child typically does, and the types of situations this would involve. Six of the experiments included a *non-child description condition* that involved a similar description of either an adult or a mundane event (i.e., being at a typical restaurant). Four of the experiments included a *no-task baseline condition*, which did not involve a description task.

Prosocial motivation. Across all eight experiments, prosocial values were the primary dependent variable. We used items from the Schwartz value survey (SVS; Schwartz, 1992) and the aspiration index (AI; Grouzet et al., 2005) to assess Schwartz's higher-order value types, including self-transcendence (9 items, e.g., "*helpfulness*") and self-enhancement (8 items, e.g., "*power*"). Initially, 12 values were selected from the SVS to assess the two value types (i.e., helpfulness, responsibility, forgiveness, equality, honesty, broadmindedness, protecting the environment versus power, wealth, success, ambitious, influence). Next, three items were added from the SVS that showed strong conceptual overlap with items from the AI (social justice, love vs. competence). Finally, two further items were added from the AI (image, popularity), which were conceptually related to the higher-order value types but not covered by our original item selection. All items were presented in an adapted SVS format: To assess the importance attributed to each goal/value, participants indicated to what extent they will try to attain it, using a scale from 1 (*I will never try to attain this goal*) to 11 (*I will always try to attain this goal*). This future-oriented scale was used to avoid anchoring participant responses in inferences from their past behaviour, which is a route to responding in standard values measures; our adaptation made the scales more reflective of current motivational states. The items were presented on separate pages, one for each higher-order value type.

We examined Tucker's congruence coefficient, derived from multidimensional scaling analyses, to confirm that the pattern of responses conformed to Schwartz's quasi-circumplex model

(Bilsky et al., 2011). In cross-study analyses including all values, the Tucker's coefficient was .969, indicating good fit (Lorenzo-Seva & ten Berge, 2006).¹ In line with Schwartz's model, we aggregated scores across self-transcendence and across self-enhancement values (α s=.74-.87 across all studies; see Supplement for details) and subtracted the self-enhancement from the self-transcendence aggregate (Schwartz, 1994). Thus, higher positive scores indicate higher prosocial values.

Meta-analysis information. The meta-analysis included ten effect sizes generated across the eight experiments (total $N=2,054$). Two types of effects were included: six comparing child salience to the non-child description controls (total $N=1,295$) and four comparing child salience to the baseline control (total $N=1,001$).² Effect sizes (Hedge's g) were estimated using a fixed effects model in *R* based on standard mean differences between the child salience condition and the non-child description condition or the baseline condition. Effect sizes of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 represented small, medium, and large effects, respectively. Heterogeneity was assessed using I^2 statistics; values of 25% indicated low levels, values of 50% indicated moderate levels, and values of 75% indicated high levels.

Results and Discussion

Our meta-analysis first compared (a) the child description and non-child description conditions and (b) the child description and baseline conditions. Next, we addressed potential moderators to determine of the generality of the observed effects.

Child versus non-child description. The experiments comparing the child salience and non-child description conditions showed very low heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$, $p=.483$), suggesting that the effects within this comparison are consistent across experiments (Figure 2, upper panel). The aggregated effect size was small and significant, .12 (95% CI [.01, .23], $z=2.09$, $p=.037$).³

¹ The Tucker's coefficient was at least .959 or higher in the individual studies; see Supplement for details.

² Because participants in the child conditions were included in both comparisons, the total N is lower than the combined N of both effects.

³ When the restaurant condition (i.e., Experiment 5) was excluded from this analysis, the aggregated effect size decreased to .11 (95% CI [-.01, .23], $z=1.77$, $p=.08$).

Child description versus baseline. The comparison between the child salience and baseline conditions showed moderate, but non-significant, heterogeneity ($I^2=60%$, $p=.06$; Figure 2 lower panel). The aggregated effect size across experiments, .15 (95% CI [.02, .27], $z=2.30$, $p=.021$), replicated the significant difference between the child and non-child description conditions.




Figure 2. Meta-analytic findings. Upper panel: Main effects of child description condition versus the non-child description condition. Lower panel: Main effects of child description condition versus the baseline condition. SMD=standardised mean difference.

Moderation analyses. Using PROCESS (Model 1, 5000 iterations; Hayes, 2018), our moderation analyses entered condition (i.e., child salience vs non-child control or child salience vs baseline) as the predictor, prosocial values as the outcome, and each potential moderator in separate analyses (see Table S3 in the supplement for analysis outcomes).

Description task moderators. In comparisons between the child salience and non-child description conditions, we examined the extent to which participants were able to form a clear

mental image of the described child/adult (1=*not at all* to 7=*very much*; five experiments) and how enjoyable participants found the description task (1=*not at all* to 7=*very much*; six experiments) to test whether the child salience effect emerges more strongly among those who show the ability and willingness to engage with the task. The interaction between child salience and mental image clarity was significant, $b=.19$, CI 95% [.05, .34], $p=.010$. The simple effect of child salience pointed in the expected direction at higher and intermediate levels of mental imagery, though the effect was only reliable at intermediate levels ($M=5.35$), $M_{\text{child salience}}=2.09$, $SD=1.71$ versus $M_{\text{non-child}}=1.70$, $SD=1.72$, $t(781)=-2.56$, $p=.011$, but not at higher levels ($>+1SD$; 6.90), $M_{\text{child salience}}=2.32$, $SD=1.75$ versus $M_{\text{non-child}}=1.99$, $SD=1.83$, $t(781)=-1.26$, $p=.211$. The simple effect of child salience at lower levels of mental imagery was non-significant and pointed in the opposite direction ($<-1SD$; 3.54), $M_{\text{child salience}}=2.03$, $SD=1.83$ versus $M_{\text{non-child}}=2.47$, $SD=1.83$, $t(781)=1.22$, $p=.226$. Hence, child salience elicited higher prosocial values more strongly when participants reported forming a clearer mental image during the description task. Task enjoyment did not moderate the impact of child salience on prosocial values ($p=.63$).⁴

Child-specific moderators. Four experiments comparing the child salience and non-child descriptions included the attitudes towards children scale (Wolf et al., 2020) to measure perceived affection towards children ($\alpha=.74-.95$; e.g., “*Children make me feel happy*”), and perceived stress elicited by children ($\alpha=.77-.84$; e.g., “*Children make me feel anxious*”) on a scale from -3 (*strongly disagree*) to +3 (*strongly agree*). Wolf et al. found consistent support for the scale’s two-factor structure and the unique predictive validity of each factor (see Supplement for more details). Contact with children over the past weeks was measured in five experiments (1=*no time at all* to 7=*a lot of time*): Four compared the child salience and non-child description conditions, and two compared the child salience and baseline conditions. None of these variables moderated the impact of child salience in the comparisons between the child salience and no-child description conditions

⁴ An additional study recruited independent judges to rate the child and adult descriptions from Experiment 2. The method and results are further described in the supplement.

(affection: $p=.28$, stress: $p=.93$, contact: $p=.75$), and between the child salience and baseline conditions (contact: $p=.053$; see Supplement for a breakdown of the marginally significant interaction with contact).

Demographic moderators. We considered three demographic moderators: participant age, gender, and parenting status. None of these variables significantly interacted with the impact of child salience across the comparisons between the child salience and non-child description conditions (age: $p=.78$, gender: $p=.58$, parenthood: $p=.59$) and between the child salience and baseline conditions (age: $p=.22$, gender: $p=.076$, parenthood: $p=.55$; see Supplement for a breakdown of the marginally significant interaction with gender).

Summary. The aggregate findings across eight experiments revealed small but consistent effects of the salience of children on prosocial values. The effects were more reliable among participants who reported forming a relatively clear mental image of the described child/adult. Of interest, child salience increased prosocial values regardless of participants' attitudes towards or contact with children, age, gender, or parenthood status. The persistence of the child salience effect across these variables is revisited in our General Discussion.

Field Study

An observational field study examined the behavioural implications of the salience of children in everyday life. We expected that a higher proportion of children relative to adults on a public shopping street would elicit more donations from adult passers-by.

Method

Procedure. Donations to a cause not specifically related to children were observed in a naturalistic environment. Two researchers carried donation buckets with a bone marrow charity logo and wore sweaters from the charity during data collection. Data collection occurred on a residential shopping street in a UK city, on 12 days in March 2019. The area was chosen because of its relatively high footfall and proximity to several schools. Because schools in the area finished at approximately 3:30pm, we collected data between 3 and 5pm, given that children could be

expected to be most salient during that time of the day. During data collection, one researcher collected donations using the prompt “Any spare change for *Bath Marrow*?”, whilst the other researcher recorded the weather and the number of children and adults present every two minutes. When a donation was made, the researcher noted the donor’s gender and whether the donor was accompanied by a child. Children were identified as being in school uniform or clearly under 16 years of age.

Data preparation. The final dataset contains 721 two-minute intervals. Each interval shows the proportion of children to adults (i.e., child salience), the number of donations (i.e., prosocial behaviour), the weather, and how many of the donations were made by women, men, adults with a child, or adults without a child. We combined the two-minute timeslots into 142 ten-minute timeslots to reduce noise.⁵ These ten-minute timeslots served as the units of analysis.

Because the proportion of children to adults showed a right-skewed distribution, we used the square-root of this proportion in analyses. For moderation analyses, we computed an index indicating the relative number of men and women per timeslot by subtracting the number of female donors from the number of male donors. A similar index was computed for accompanying children by subtracting the number of donors without a child from the number of donors with a child. Weather was coded as sunny (+1), cloudy (0), and rainy (-1). Finally, we tested whether the effect depended on the time of day.

Results and Discussion

Across the 142 ten-minute intervals of data collection, 231 adults donated, 122 of which were male, 109 female, 34 were accompanied by children, and 197 without children. The analysis

⁵ Five consecutive two-minute timeslots were combined into one ten-minute timeslot. Eleven two-minute timeslots were spread out (i.e., fewer than five two-minute timeslots at the end of a day) and could not be combined into ten-minute timeslots, resulting in 142 and not 144 ten-minute timeslots.

showed a significant positive correlation between the proportion of children present and the number of donations, $r(142)=.22, p=.009$.

We next tested whether this effect was dependent on donor gender, whether they were with a child, the weather, and the time of the day. Zero-order correlations showed that the proportion of children did not correlate with donor gender, accompanying child, weather, or time of day (all $p>.27$). We next ran two-step regression analyses with the proportion of children and the potential moderator simultaneously entered in the first step, their interaction entered in the second step, and donations entered as the outcome. Results indicated that the effect of proportion of children remained significant in each analysis, with no moderating impact of the added moderator variables (gender: $p=.50$, accompanying child: $p=.25$, weather: $p=.59$, time of day: $p=.16$; see Supplement for the regression coefficients).

Summary. People were more likely to donate when children were relatively more salient on a shopping street. This association was not dependent on whether the donor was male or female, whether the donors were accompanied by a child, the weather, or the time of day.

General Discussion

We conducted eight experiments and one field study testing whether the salience of children elicits general prosocial motivation and behaviour in adults. The analysis of child salience effects across our eight experiments supports this prediction. Participants who completed a task making children salient subsequently reported higher prosocial values (e.g., helpfulness, social justice) than those who completed control tasks making adults or a mundane situation salient or those who completed the study at baseline. These effects were not moderated by participant gender, age, parenthood, attitudes towards children, and self-reported contact with children.

We also found that child salience predicts behaviour in a naturalistic setting. When children were more salient on a busy pedestrian street, adults were more inclined to make a donation for a cause not specifically related to children. This effect was present irrespective of whether the donor

was male or female, whether they were themselves accompanied by a child, the weather, and the time of day.

Overall, these findings indicate that the salience of children elicits higher prosocial motivation and behaviour in adults, and this effect emerges across different settings and a range of demographic variables. From a broader perspective, these findings go beyond previous evidence supporting links between (one's own) children and compassion (e.g., Bleske-Rechek et al., 2010; Palomo-Veléz et al., 2020) and point to a broad link between mental representations of children *per se* and prosocial values *and* behaviour in adults (e.g., Best, 1993; Burkart & van Scheik, 2010; Snowdon & Cronin, 2007). Moreover, the finding that child salience effects emerge across demographic variables is consistent with observations that the caretaker role in human societies is shared among group members (Hrdy, 2005), such that parents and non-parents develop similar motivations linked with children.

It is noteworthy that the effect of child salience on prosocial values is reliable though relatively small in our chosen experimental paradigm. Inferences from this effect size must be situated by the fact that our description task depended on participants' ability and willingness to briefly describe children, as evidenced by our moderation analyses showing that child salience effects are more pronounced among those who reported forming a relatively clear mental image of a child/adult. Importantly, the meta-analysis provides consistent support for the expected child salience effect in both experimental comparisons, giving more confidence in the effect. Moreover, the effect of child salience on donations in a public street was reliable and of small-to-medium size, despite the high level of distractions in a naturalistic setting. Future research would benefit from devising additional realistic but involving ways to evoke mental representations of children (e.g., interacting with children), and from studying the extent to which the effects are generalisable to other contexts and cultures.

There are further interesting questions to explore regarding the mechanisms that connect mental representations of children to prosocial values. Our approach was most closely related to

work on indirect semantic goal or behaviour priming, wherein the presentation of semantic content (here: children) increases the accessibility of an associated goal or behaviour, making it more likely that those behaviours are executed (Janiszewski & Wyer, 2014; Weingarten et al., 2016). Although behavioural priming research has been met with intense scrutiny after the emergence of prominent replication failures (e.g., Shanks et al., 2013), closely related literatures on evaluative priming and behavioural mimicry are established beyond doubt (Ferguson & Mann, 2014; Bargh, 2014), and more recent meta-analyses find reliable evidence for behavioural priming effects that are robust to publication bias and questionable research practices (Shariff et al., 2016; Weingarten et al., 2016). Nevertheless, Weingarten et al.'s meta-analysis also found that both original studies and replication attempts were severely underpowered, producing non-significant results at a rate of 4:1, and many behavioural priming researchers acknowledge that additional research is needed to shed light on relevant moderators and mediators of the effects for better replicability (Cesario, 2014; Higgins & Eitam, 2014; Newell & Shanks, 2014). The present research provides a well-powered example that making a social category salient can influence related goals and behaviour and suggests that the level of task immersion (i.e., forming a clear mental image of a child/adult) may be a useful moderator. It is further conceivable that we found a reliable effect because the prime was self-generated (Cesario & Jonas, 2014; Loersch & Payne, 2014), children are generally viewed positively and are universally relevant, meaning that effects may be stronger and more comparable across participants (see below; Cesario & Jonas; Wheeler et al., 2014), and the outcomes were flexible enough to detect shifts (Fujita & Trope, 2014). Future research could further explore the underpinning mechanisms at work, including whether the accessibility (i.e., ease of retrieval) of prosocial motives functions as a mediator of child salience effects on behaviour.

Of importance, we do not expect that the obtained effects are unique to children. Other human and nonhuman social categories may elicit broad prosocial motivations and behaviours, including groups that are viewed as deserving of help (e.g., the elderly, victims) and groups that are themselves associated with prosocial behaviour (e.g., nurses, superheroes; Aarts et al., 2005; Van

Tongeren et al., 2018). We chose to examine effects of child salience not because they are the *only* category to elicit such effects, but because the social cognitive effects of this category have the potential for high global relevance, and these effects may be underestimated in their pervasiveness and potential impact. This impact may be particularly important for a range of reasons. Children are generally viewed as more innocent, naïve, and vulnerable than other groups (Goff et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2020), and they trigger empathy and care-taking motivations (Bleske-Rechek et al., 2010; Glocker et al., 2009). Moreover, children may be unique in motivating adults to be good role models, while setting prosocial injunctive norms (e.g., to avoid swearing in front of children) which may drive effects on broad prosocial motivation. Another important attribute of children may be their relative powerlessness, which has been found to evoke feelings of social responsibility (Handgraaf et al., 2008). Future research could explore the extent to which these and other attributes of children (e.g., age, gender, mood) are relevant to the effects of child salience, while considering a range of outcomes beyond prosocial motives (e.g., aggression, creativity, temporally distant cognition).

The primary dependent measures in our research were values and donations, which have been shown to reflect prosocial attitudes and behaviour (e.g., Boer & Fischer, 2013; Sagiv et al., 2017). Some of our studies explored additional outcomes, including prosocial intentions (Pavey et al., 2011), social value orientations (SVO; Murphy et al., 2011), and empathic emotions (Batson et al., 1995). Noting that interpretations of these additional outcomes are constrained by the lower sample size, only empathic emotions showed consistent effects of child salience, such that child salience elicited more empathic emotions (e.g., sympathy) with other people's adversities in concrete scenarios (see Supplement for cross-study analyses on these outcomes). It may be the case that the measures of prosocial intentions and SVO were influenced by social desirability concerns or that the child salience effect triggers a spontaneous/emotional response which impacts responses on measures of values, empathy, and donation behaviour, but not these arguably more deliberate

outcomes. Future research could explore this possibility directly and test other prosocial or pro-environmental outcomes.

The present research provides a glimpse of a much bigger picture. Children are indirectly dependent on how adults behave towards each other and towards the planet as a whole. Yet, children are separated from many adult environments, such as workplaces, bars, and restaurants, and from political bodies where important decisions affect their future (Webb, 2004; Westman, 1991). Relatedly, there have been calls for child parliaments or for the explicit consideration of children in legislative bodies (Graham et al., 2017; Read, 2012), and some organisations have been set up for this purpose (e.g., Children's parliament, 2020). The finding that child salience motivates adults to be more prosocial may encourage more explicit or implicit integration of children in contexts where adults make important long-term decisions. Through further study of the role of children in prosocial motivation, we can better understand when and how children affect adults' social motivations, with broad ramifications for further developments in the inclusion of children in adult spheres of activity and decision making.

Acknowledgement: We thank all following students at the University of Bath for their help with data collection: Hannah Bratt, Si Lok Chan, Paige Davies, Alice Drake, Maddie Holden, Katya Kowalski, Kristin Lee, Angie Min, Rebecca Rogers, Summer Law, Chris Shine, George Skingley, Lorraine Sun, Cody Yen. This project was supported by funding from the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) under grant agreement ES/P002463/1. The data (including explanations and syntax) and study materials will be made openly available upon publication. The authors declare no competing interest.

References

- Aarts, H., Chartrand, T. L., Custers, R., Danner, U., Dik, G., Jefferis, V. E., & Cheng, C. M. (2005). Social stereotypes and automatic goal pursuit. *Social Cognition, 23*, 465-490. doi: 10.1521/soco.2005.23.6.465
- Bardi, A., Lee, J. A., Hofmann-Towfigh, N., & Soutar, G. (2009). The structure of intraindividual value change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 97*(5), 913-929. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016617>
- Bargh, J. A. (2014). The historical origins of priming as the preparation of behavioral responses: Unconscious carryover and contextual influences of real-world importance. *Social Cognition, 32*, 209-224. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.209>
- Batson, C. D., Batson, J. G., Todd, R. M., Brummett, B. H., Shaw, L. L., & Aldeguer, C. M. (1995). Empathy and the collective good: Caring for one of the others in a social dilemma. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 68*(4), 619-631. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.4.619>
- Best, J. (1993). *Threatened children: Rhetoric and concern about child-victims*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bilsky, W., Janik, M., & Schwartz, S. H. (2011). The structural organization of human values - Evidence from three rounds of the European Social Survey (ESS). *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 42*(5), 759-776. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022110362757>
- Bleske-Rechek, A., Nelson, L. A., Baker, J. P., Remiker, M. W., & Brandt, S. J. (2010). Evolution and the trolley problem: People save five over one unless the one is young, genetically related, or a romantic partner. *Journal of Social, Evolutionary, and Cultural Psychology, 4*, 115-127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0099295>
- Boer, D., & Fischer, R. (2013). How and when do personal values guide our attitudes and sociality? Explaining cross-cultural variability in attitude-value linkages. *Psychological Bulletin, 139*(5), 1113-1147. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031347>

- Burkart, J. M., & van Schaik, C. P. (2010). Cognitive consequences of cooperative breeding in primates? *Animal Cognition*, 13(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-009-0263-7>
- Cesario, J. (2014). Priming, replication, and the hardest science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 9(1), 40-48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613513470>
- Cesario, J., & Jonas, K. J. (2014). Replicability and models of priming: What a resource computation framework can tell us about expectations of replicability. *Social Cognition*, 32, 124-136. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.124>
- Children’s Parliament. (2020). Retrieved from <https://www.childrensparliament.org.uk/>
- Department of Energy and Climate Change (2009). "Bedtime Stories" Act on CO2 campaign. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SDthR9RH0gw>
- Donate to Africa. (2019). Retrieved from <http://donatetoafrica.org>
- Eibach, R. P., Libby, L. K., & Ehrlinger, J. (2009). Priming family values: How being a parent affects moral evaluations of harmless but offensive acts. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45(5), 1160–1163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.06.017>
- Ferguson, M. J., & Mann, T. C. (2014). Effects of evaluation: An example of robust “social” priming. *Social Cognition*, 32, 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.33>
- Fujita, K., & Trope, Y. (2014). Structured versus unstructured regulation: On procedural mindsets and the mechanisms of priming effects. *Social Cognition*, 32, 68-87. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.68>
- Gilead, M., & Liberman, N. (2014). We take care of our own: Caregiving salience increases out-group bias in response to out-group threat. *Psychological Science*, 25(7), 1380–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614531439>
- Glocker, M. L., Langleben, D. D., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J. W., Gur, R. C., & Sachser, N. (2009). Baby schema in infant faces induces cuteness perception and motivation for caretaking in adults. *Ethology*, 115, 257-263. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2008.01603.x
- Goff, P. A., Jackson, M. C., Di Leone, B. A. L., Culotta, C. M., & DiTomasso, N. A. (2014).

- The essence of innocence: Consequences of dehumanizing Black children. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *106*, 526–545. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035663>
- Graham, H., Bland, J. M., Cookson, R., Kanaan, M., & White, P. C. L. (2017). Do people favour policies that protect future generations? Evidence from a British survey of adults. *Journal of Social Policy*, *46*(3), 423–445. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279416000945>
- Grouzet, F. M., Kasser, T., Ahuvia, A., Dols, J. M. F., Kim, Y., Lau, S., ... & Sheldon, K. M. (2005). The structure of goal contents across 15 cultures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *89*(5), 800-816. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.5.800>
- Handgraaf, M. J., Van Dijk, E., Vermunt, R. C., Wilke, H. A., & De Dreu, C. K. (2008). Less power or powerless? Egocentric empathy gaps and the irony of having little versus no power in social decision making. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *95*(5), 1136-1149. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.5.1136>
- Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd Edition). New York: Guilford.
- Higgins, E. T., & Eitam, B. (2014). Priming... Shmiming: It's about knowing when and why stimulated memory representations become active. *Social Cognition*, *32*, 225-242. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.supp.225>
- Hrdy, S. B. (2005). Evolutionary context of human development: The Cooperative Breeding Model. In C. S. Carter, L. Ahnert, K. E. Grossmann, S. B. Hrdy, M. E. Lamb, S. W. Porges, & N. Sachser (Eds.), *Attachment and bonding: A new synthesis* (p. 9–32). MIT Press.
- Hurst, M., Dittmar, H., Bond, R., & Kasser, T. (2013). The relationship between materialistic values and environmental attitudes and behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *36*, 257–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.09.003>
- Janiszewski, C., & Wyer Jr, R. S. (2014). Content and process priming: A review. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *24*, 96-118. doi: 10.1016/j.jcps.2013.05.006

- Karremans, J. C. (2007). Considering reasons for a value influences behaviour that expresses related values: an extension of the value-as-truisms hypothesis. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 37*(3), 508-523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.371>
- Keating, C. F., Randall, D. W., Kendrick, T., & Gutshall, K. A. (2003). Do babyfaced adults receive more help? The (cross-cultural) case of the lost resumé. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 27*, 89-109. doi: 10.1023/A:1023962425692
- Lakens, D., & Etz, A. J. (2017). Too true to be bad: When sets of studies with significant and nonsignificant findings are probably true. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 8*(8), 875-881. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617693058>
- Lishner, D., Oceja, L., Stocks, E., & Zaspel, K. (2008). The effect of infant-like characteristics on empathic concern for adults in need. *Motivation and Emotion, 32*, 270-277.
doi:10.1007/s11031-008-9101-5
- Little, A. C. (2012). Manipulation of infant-like traits affects perceived cuteness of infant, adult and cat faces. *Ethology, 118*(8), 775–782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2012.02068.x>
- Loersch, C., & Payne, B. K. (2014). Situated inferences and the what, who, and where of priming. *Social Cognition, 32*, 137-151. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.137>
- Lorenzo-Seva, U., & ten Berge, J. M. F. (2006). Tucker's congruence coefficient as a meaningful index of factor similarity. *Methodology, 2*(2), 57–64.
<https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241.2.2.57>
- Lu, H., & Schuldt, J. P. (2016). Compassion for climate change victims and support for mitigation policy. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 45*, 192-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2016.01.007>
- Maio, G. R., Pakizeh, A., Cheung, W.-Y., & Rees, K. J. (2009). Changing, priming, and acting on values: Effects via motivational relations in a circular model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 97*(4), 699–715. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016420>

- Marhenke, T., & Imhoff, R. (2020). Increased accessibility of semantic concepts after (more or less) subtle activation of related concepts: Support for the basic tenet of priming research. *The Journal of General Psychology, 147*(2), 186-211.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.2019.1665489>
- Miesler, L., Leder, H., & Herrmann, A. (2011). Isn't it cute: An evolutionary perspective of baby-schema effects in visual product designs. *International Journal of Design, 5*(3), 17-30.
- Murphy, R. O., Ackermann, K. A., & Handgraaf, M. (2011). Measuring social value orientation. *Judgment and Decision making, 6*(8), 771-781.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1804189>
- Newell, B. R., & Shanks, D. R. (2014). Prime numbers: Anchoring and its implications for theories of behavior priming. *Social Cognition, 32*, 88-108.
<https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.88>
- NHS. (2020). Change4life Childhood Obesity. Retrieved from <https://www.nhs.uk/change4life>
- Palomo-Vélez, G., Buczny, J., & Van Vugt, M. (2020). Encouraging Pro-Environmental Behaviors Through Children-Based Appeals: A Kin Selection Perspective. *Sustainability, 12*(2), 748.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020748>
- Pavey, L., Greitemeyer, T., & Sparks, P. (2011). Highlighting relatedness promotes prosocial motives and behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 37*(7), 905-917.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211405994>
- Read, R. (2012). *Guardians of the future. Green House*. Weymouth.
- Sagiv, L., Roccas, S., Cieciuch, J., & Schwartz, S. H. (2017). Personal values in human life. *Nature Human Behaviour, 1*(9), 630-639. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0185-3>
- Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 25*, 1-65. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60281-6
- Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Are there universal aspects in the structure and contents of human

values? *Journal of Social Issues*, 50(4), 19-45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1994.tb01196.x>

Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Davidov, E., Fischer, R., Beierlein, C., . . .

Demirutku, K. (2012). Refining the theory of basic individual values. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 103, 663-688. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029393>

Shanks, D. R., Newell, B. R., Lee, E. H., Balakrishnan, D., Ekelund, L., Cenac, Z., ... & Moore, C.

(2013). Priming intelligent behavior: An elusive phenomenon. *PloS One*, 8(4), e56515. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056515>

Shariff, A. F., Willard, A. K., Andersen, T., & Norenzayan, A. (2016). Religious priming: A meta-analysis with a focus on prosociality. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 20(1), 27-48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868314568811>

Sherman, G. D., Haidt, J., & Coan, J. A. (2009). Viewing cute images increases behavioral carefulness. *Emotion*, 9(2), 282-286. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014904>

Smith, L. G., McGarty, C., & Thomas, E. F. (2018). After Aylan Kurdi: How tweeting about death, threat, and harm predict increased expressions of solidarity with refugees over time. *Psychological Science*, 29(4), 623-634. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617741107>

Snowdon, C. T., & Cronin, K. A. (2007). Cooperative breeders do cooperate. *Behavioural Processes*, 76(2), 138-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2007.01.016>

Van Tongeren, D. R., Hibbard, R., Edwards, M., Johnson, E., Diepholz, K., Newbound, H., ... & Green, J. D. (2018). Heroic helping: The effects of priming superhero images on prosociality. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 2243. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02243>

Vecchione, M., Schwartz, S., Alessandri, G., Döring, A. K., Castellani, V., & Caprara, M. G. (2016). Stability and change of basic personal values in early adulthood: An 8-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 63, 111-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2016.06.002>

- Webb, E. (2004). Discrimination against children. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 89, 804-808.
doi: 10.1136/adc.2003.046300
- Weingarten, E., Chen, Q., McAdams, M., Yi, J., Hepler, J., & Albarracín, D. (2016). From primed concepts to action: A meta-analysis of the behavioral effects of incidentally presented words. *Psychological Bulletin*, 142(5), 472-497. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000030>
- Westman, J. C. (1991). Juvenile ageism: Unrecognized prejudice and discrimination against the young. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 21, 237-256.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00705929>
- Wheeler, S. C., DeMarree, K. G., & Petty, R. E. (2014). Understanding prime-to-behavior effects: Insights from the active-self account. *Social Cognition*, 32, 109-123.
<https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2014.32.suppl.109>
- Wolf, L.J., Costin, V., Thorne, S.R., Nolan, A., Foad, C., Haddock, G., & Maio, G.R. (2020). *Attitudes towards children: Distinguishing affection and stress*. Manuscript in preparation.
- Wolf, L. J., Weinstein, N., & Maio, G. R. (2019). Anti-immigrant prejudice: Understanding the roles of (perceived) values and value dissimilarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 117(5), 925–953. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000177>