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Room-Temperature Cu(II) Radical-Triggered Alkyne C–H Activation


ABSTRACT: A dimeric Cu(II) complex [Cu(II)₂L₂(μ₂-Cl)Cl] (1) built from an asymmetric tridentate ligand (2-(((2-aminocyclohexyl)imino)methyl)-4,6-di-tert-butylphenol) and weakly coordinating anions has been synthesized and structurally characterized. In dichloromethane solution, 1 exists in a monomeric [Cu(II)ClL] (1') (85%)—dimeric (1) (15%) equilibrium, and cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies indicate structural stability and redox retention. Addition of phenylacetylene to the CH₂Cl₂ solution populates 1' and leads to the formation of a transient radical species. Theoretical studies support this notion and show that the radical initiates an alkyne C–H bond activation process via a four-membered ring (Cu(II)–O–H–Calkyne) intermediate. This unusual C–H activation method is applicable for the efficient synthesis of propargylamines, without additives, within 16 h, at low loadings and in noncoordinating solvents including late-stage functionalization of important bioactive molecules. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, postcatalysis, confirmed the framework’s stability and showed that the metal center preserves its oxidation state. The scope and limitations of this unconventional protocol are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Copper catalysis is frequently used for molecular transformations of natural products, bioactive molecules, agrochemicals, and organic functional materials.¹⁻⁵ Coupling reactions involving terminal alkynes in the construction of new C–X bonds (where X = C, O, or N)³ are vital in the design of important organic scaffolds. Several studies have investigated the mechanism of these transformations initiated by well-characterized or in situ generated catalytic species. These studies aimed to optimize catalyst performance either by fine-tuning the coordination environment of the catalyst or by varying coligands, solvents, and temperature. Copper is redox-plural (0/I/II/III), so the oxidation state in the starting component may differ from those in the reaction intermediates.₅,₁₀ However, particular attention has been given to Cu(II) derivatives due to the well-documented use of Cu(I) alkyne and alkylide complexes in substrate activation.₆⁻¹⁰ Mixed-valent paradigms of well-characterized or in situ generated polynuclear catalytic species are known. For example, a mixed-valent Cu(I/II) complex was crystallographically characterized from a Glaser coupling,¹¹ and dicopper Cu(I/II) and Cu(I/II) complexes were used in click chemistry.¹² Furthermore, monitoring of in situ generated species suggests a Cu(II)/Cu(I) synergistic cooperation for alkyne C–H activation¹³ or direct observation of reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) by terminal alkynes.¹⁴ The general notion in organocopper chemistry is that the Cu(II)–carbon σ-bond is unstable and subject to spontaneous decomposition; however, it may be a key step in organic transformations.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ These ideas suggest that more examples are needed to fully understand the role of Cu(II) species in terminal alkyne activation processes. This is a challenging task since Cu(II)–aryl complexes are rare. Only recently, Cu(II) aryl complexes were found to promote C–C¹⁶ and C–O¹⁷ bond formation or have been proposed as vital intermediates in catalytic cycles.¹⁸,¹⁹

The successful design of catalytically efficient Cu(I) and Cu(II) complexes requires the design of specifically tailored ligands to cater for each oxidation state. For a Cu(I) species, bi- or tridentate ligands are chosen and for Cu(II) complexes ligands with higher denticity are used. Consequently, a broader library of ligands can be used in the latter case, and targeted
screening protocols can result in useful conclusions and optimized experimental conditions. Moreover, catalytic protocols involving well-characterized catalysts benefit from low loadings, reaction monitoring, and absence of additives. We have developed two different families of well-characterized Cu(II) components for alkyne C–H activation in the absence of additives,\textsuperscript{20–24} using a variety of polydentate ligands. The first series incorporated mono- or bidentate electron-deficient ligands.\textsuperscript{20–23,24} In this case, activation required elevated temperatures, but for the bidentate examples, activation at room temperature under N\textsubscript{2} was observed. This unusual performance was rationalized by a structural rearrangement of the complex and in situ reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I), possibly triggered by the alkyne.\textsuperscript{14,24} For the second series, inspired by pioneering research on galactose oxidase and the use of Cu(II)-radical based complexes,\textsuperscript{25} we reasoned that the use of the tridentate monoprotic ligand HL (N\textsubscript{2}O)(Scheme 1) would provide a Cu(II) complex and its respective Cu(II) complex would be key for an alkyne activation protocol. Inspired by recent results on an indium complex, built from a tridentate ligand, that was shown to facilitate a copolymerization reaction\textsuperscript{28} and the evidence, we hypothesized that fine-tuning of the salen ligand and its respective Cu(II) complex would be key for an optimized C–H activation protocol. Inspired by recent results on an indium complex, built from a tridentate ligand, that was shown to facilitate a copolymerization reaction\textsuperscript{28} and the excellent performance of a similar tridentate ligand in an asymmetric Grignard synthesis of tertiary alcohols,\textsuperscript{29} we reasoned that the use of the tridentate monoprotopic ligand HL (N\textsubscript{2}O)(Scheme 1) would provide a Cu(II) complex.

Results and Discussion

trans-2-Aminocyclohexyl[(mimo)methyl]-4,6-di-tert-butylphenol hydrochloride, HL-HCl, was synthesized in two steps from the commercially available racemic trans-N-boc-1,2-cyclohexanediamine (Scheme 2). First, condensation with 3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylaldehyde affords the Boc-protected Schiff base, HL-Boc, which is then deprotected with HCl to afford HL as its HCl salt in quantitative yield. Due to the susceptibility of the HL-HCl ligand to hydrolyze to the corresponding amine and aldehyde as observed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, it was employed directly in the synthesis of the copper catalyst, 1, without further purification. Attempts to synthesize the desired copper complex from the free base of HL failed due to the insolubility of the latter in suitable protic solvents. Given the monoprotopic character of the ligand, we chose the weakly binding chloride anion to prevent the formation of the CuL\textsubscript{2} species. The open-air reaction of HL-HCl, CuCl\textsubscript{2}, and Et\textsubscript{3}N, in MeOH, in a molar ratio 1:1:3, afforded compound 1 in 43% yield. The air-stable green crystalline material can be synthesized on a gram scale (Figure S6) and was characterized by SXRD (Figure 1), IR, UV–vis, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and cyclic voltammetry (CV) (see Figures S7–S14).

Compound 1, in the solid-state, consists of an asymmetric dimer (Figure 1). The Cu(II) coordination shows two different elongated Cu–Cl bonds. The Cu(1)–Cl(2) bond length is 2.8345(10) Å, whereas the Cu(2)–Cl(1) bond length [3.0217(9)Å] is significantly longer. However, based on a literature survey\textsuperscript{31–34} and experimental data (see EPR discussion), we consider Cl(2) and Cl(1) as bridging and terminal chlorides, respectively. Geometrical calculations (see Table S1) show that the coordination geometry of Cu(1) is a hybrid of a square pyramidal or vacant octahedron (N\textsubscript{2}OCl\textsubscript{2}), while that of Cu(2) is square planar (Cl\textsubscript{3}NOC). The Cu–O, Cu–N, and Cu–Cl bond distances (Table S2) are typical for a Cu(II) compound, while bond validation calculations analysis (Table S3) suggests that both Cu centers are in oxidation state...
Based on literature evidence,\textsuperscript{35,48,56,57} the oxidation process shows a typical behavior for this kind of Cu(II) complexes compounds. It may correspond to either oxidation of a π-radical that would lead to the formation of an iminobenzosemiquinone from the iminobenzenesemiquinone unit or oxidation of the Cu(II)-coordinated phenolate unit that would generate a Cu(II)−phenoxyl radical.\textsuperscript{51} Cyclic voltammetry studies of HL show that the ligand exhibits no reductive process (Figure S11). To confirm this hypothesis and before continuing to catalytic studies, we studied the compound in solution by EPR (Figures S13 and S14). Spectra from frozen CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} solutions (0.77 mM for [Cu\textsubscript{2}]) collected at 100 K, revealed a principal component of axial, hyperfine-split spectra, characteristic of mononuclear Cu(II) complexes. Superposed on these were hyperfine-split, half-field transitions, indicating interacting species in solution. An additional feature at g = 1.85 supports this notion. Given the low concentration of the solution, these could stem from intramolecular interactions within dinuclear species, rather than intermolecular interactions between mononuclear species. This suggests that a fraction of the complex retains its dinuclear structure upon dissolution. The spectra were simulated considering a mixture of mononuclear (85\%) and dinuclear (15\%) species. For the dinuclear species, given the crystal structure, which reveals a superechange pathway through the Cu(II) ions’ nonmagnetic orbitals, only dipolar interactions were considered (1 = 0). For these, the relative orientations of the two g-tensors were explicitly taken into consideration, assuming two identical ions, whose g-tensors were collinear with their A-tensors. Assuming Cu(1) as a frame of reference with the z-axis coinciding with the d\textsubscript{z}\textsuperscript{2} orbital orientation, then the free variables were the angle of the Cu(2) concerning the z-axis, the intermolecular distance r. Attempts were made to simulate a tilt of the g\textsubscript{xy}-tensor with respect to its local y-axis, but these did not afford significant improvements to the fits\textsuperscript{58} (Figures S12 and S13).

Propargylamines\textsuperscript{59,60} are essential precursors in the synthesis of high value products, including isoindolines,\textsuperscript{61} oxazolidines,\textsuperscript{62} pyridones,\textsuperscript{63,64} and alkaloids.\textsuperscript{65} Following our work on Cu(II) protocols in A\textsubscript{3} couplings,\textsuperscript{23,24} we selected cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde, pyrrolidine, and phenylacetylene as model substrates. Each catalytic reaction was performed in hexanecarboxaldehyde, pyrrolidine, and phenylacetylene with deviations of <10\%. Screenings of concentration, time, and solvent are given in the Supporting Information (Table S5). Conversion to the desired product was complete within 16 h at room temperature under an Ar atmosphere and a significant improvement over our previous work (72 h). The present protocol is, to the best of our knowledge,\textsuperscript{68,69} an example of a Cu(II) A\textsubscript{3}-coupling catalyst that is efficient at room temperature over a relatively short time. The scope of the reaction is shown in Figure 3, applicable to aliphatic aldehydes/secondary amines and alkynes. Yields of the resulting propargylic amines were good to excellent. The reactions with aryl aldehydes or aliphatic amines at room temperature are unsuccessful; however, by increasing the temperature of the reaction (reflux) the corresponding products are obtained in good to moderate yields, but on this occasion a different catalytic pathway may be followed. Therefore, we decided not to include these data in the current article.

Purification was achieved using normal-phase chromatography with hexane and ethyl acetate as eluents with the compounds characterized by \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectroscopy

Figure 1. Crystal structure of 1. All H atoms except NH\textsubscript{2} are omitted for clarity. The elongated Cu−Cl bonds are shown with dashed lines.

Figure 2. CV of compound 1 (upper) in the presence of phenylacetylene (lower).
and HRMS. This method was used in the isolation of eleven previously unknown compounds (* in Figure 3), demonstrating the potential of this catalytic protocol in the synthesis of new organic compounds.

Ethinylestradiol is widely used as an estrogen-based oral contraceptive. Copper-catalyzed alkyne azide cycloaddition reactions have been used to develop triazole derivatives of ethinylestradiol. Related derivatives have cytotoxic activity against human cancer cell lines and can act as positron emission tomography (PET) imaging agents for estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer. To demonstrate the powerful scope of our catalyst in an A3 reaction, was employed in the synthesis of a propargylamine steroid derivative, which was isolated in good yield as a white solid. Other pharmaceutically relevant examples include A3 reactions on a (BRD4) bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 analogue, to a, and on the clinically used epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitor erlotinib, to a.

Therefore, we have shown that this chemistry can have impactful, late-stage applications relevant to the pharmaceutical industry, particularly as A3-couplings can introduce new sp3 chiral centers, with escape from flatland applications that are often employed to improve the physiochemical properties of compounds. Moreover, these reactions occur in the presence of other competing nucleophilic groups including alcohol, phenol, aniline, and amides.

**MECHANISTIC UNDERSTANDING**

We designed in situ CV, IR, and EPR studies in a sense to mimic the catalytic reaction; the catalyst/alkyne ratio is 2:100. Our attempts to record the corresponding UV−vis or NMR data of highly concentrated and paramagnetic samples failed to provide conclusive results. Titration CV studies on 1, under an N2 atmosphere, with PhC≡C−H (0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 equiv) showed no significant effects on the oxidative process (Figure 2 lower) and only a slightly modified cathodic behavior, which suggests that during the PhC≡C−H addition complex 1 is stable. The metal center retains its oxidation state; thus, this one electron reduction process may correspond to reduction of the (1−PhCCH) complex. Following previous experiments, we monitored these titrations by EPR (Figure 4). Frozen and fluid solution EPR studies on pure 1 gave a hyperfine-split axial signal typical of a mononuclear CuII complex in a Jahn−Teller elongated ligand field. In addition, they revealed a minor component of hyperfine-split half-field transitions and a g = 1.85 absorption. The latter component was analyzed as derived from a dipole-coupled species of CuII ions (see the SI for fitting details). These results demonstrated that ~85% of the complex decomposes into mononuclear species in solution, while a smaller fraction of dinuclear persist. We performed PhC≡C−H loading experiments in the absence and presence of a spin trap (4-pyridyl N-oxide)-N-tert-butylnitrone (POBN). These show that alkyne loading further promotes the dimer’s dissociation into monomers. We conclude that complex 1,
upon dissolution, exists in an equilibrium of 85% (monomer)−15% (dimer); however, the alkyne loading drives the equilibrium to 100% (monomer) - 0% (dimer). In the absence of the spin trap, no additional signals were detected. In the presence of POBN, a characteristic signal was recorded which, after subtraction of the Cu(II) complex spectrum, was nicely fitted to a radical system coupled to a nitrogen and proton nuclei, with parameters $g = 2.006$, $A_N = 41.2$ MHz (14.71 G), $A_{1H} = 5.96$ MHz (2.13 G). These values are characteristic of POBN-radical species (Scheme S2). The typical values of this trapped radical do not allow further characterization, although, based on the prior art, formation of a Cu(II)-phenoxyl radical species seems likely. However, the key conclusion of this experiment is that the Cu center retains its oxidation state during this process.

To further understand the solution behavior of 1, we performed time-dependent in situ IR studies at room temperature, under N₂ atmosphere and CH₂Cl₂ solvent (Figure 5A). Monitoring of the dark green solution for 20 min identifies a structural change. The intensity of the peaks at 1627 and 1055 cm⁻¹ indicative for the C≡N and C−O bonds, respectively, fluctuates. This differentiation possibly can be attributed to the above-mentioned dimeric-monomeric equilibrium. Then, upon phenylacetylene addition in catalytic loading (1:50 ratio), the intensity of the peak at 1627 cm⁻¹ decreases over time (Figure 5B) and possibly indicates the population of the monomeric species (1'), as noted in the EPR studies. At the same time, the intensity of the peak at 2112 cm⁻¹, indicative of the C≡C stretching bond, decreases over time (Figure 5C), indicating interaction of the phenylacetylene moiety with 1'. Finally, after the addition of the remaining substrates (cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde and pyrrolidine, Figure 5D), the intensity of the C≡C stretching bond peak declines over time, thus supporting the room temperature C−H activation process.

Given that the catalytic and CV studies were performed at room temperature and the EPR and SXRD studies were performed at 100 K, we considered that a variable-temperature SXRD study would shed light on structural features and behavior of compound 1. SXRD data of compound 1 at three temperatures 200 K (1₂₀₀), 298 K (1₂⁹₈), and 330 K (1₃₃₀) showed only slight changes in the bond distances and angles (Tables S1 and S2, Figure S14) round the Cu centers. It is evident that compound 1, at 330 K, exists as a monomer in the solid state, whereas the bond distances and angles of the organic platform, in all temperatures, are unaffected. The SXRD data at 298 K, at which the catalytic conversion takes place, indicate elongation of the Cu(1)−Cl(2) bond to 2.9562(14), (the Cu(2)−Cl(1) bond is 3.0806(14)), suggesting very weak interaction to form a dimeric species. From these studies, it is evident that in the solution phases at 298 K, compound 1 predominantly exists as a monomer (1').

We performed control synthetic experiments to establish a reasonable mechanistic pathway. The open-air reaction (entry

Figure 5. In situ IR studies of 1 (A), 1 and phenylacetylene (1:50 ratio) (B,C), and 1 and cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde, pyrrolidine, and phenylacetylene (1:50:50:50) (D).
2, Table 1) yielded 2a in a moderate yield suggesting the need for an inert atmosphere. The different yields (entries 1 and 2, Table 1) may be due to catalyst structural changes, or a different mechanistic pathway. Both hypotheses could be rationalized if we take into account that similar complexes in the open air can be used as one-electron oxidized models of galactose oxidase \(^{25} \) and that the existence of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} - \text{O}_2 - \text{Cu} \) species, that may inhibit alkyn binding, cannot be excluded. \(^{77} - 80 \) The EPR experiments (see above) confirmed a radical presence; therefore, we studied the reaction in the presence of a radical trap TEMPO (10% mol %) under an Ar atmosphere (entry 3, Table 1), which led to 2a in 16% yield. The significant difference in yields can be rationalized by a 2-fold TEMPO role, either to suppress the radical formation, as noted in our previous studies, \(^{25} \) or to oxidize the amine. \(^{81} \) The catalytic reaction with in situ blending of HL and CuCl\(_2\) gives 2a in 26% yield (entry 4, Table 1). This result indicates that other noncatalytic \( \text{Cu}^\text{II}L^\text{II}Cl^\text{II} \) species may form, thus establishing the need for a precisely characterized species. However, to examine the ligand’s contribution to the catalytic activity, we experimented with HL (2 mol %) alone, without Cu (entry 5, Table 1), and observed no product formation. We then examined the efficacy of copper salts in different oxidation states (entries 6 and 7, Table 1) in 2% loadings, which yielded 2a in 5% (using CuCl\(_2\)) and 16% (using CuCl). In these experiments, the catalytic pathway may be a different, and the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) by terminal alkynes is feasible. \(^{13,14} \) Next, we attempted to expand the scope of the reaction to primary amines; however, the reaction with aniline (entry 8, Table 1) failed to yield the desired product.

Last, we attempted to recrystallize a green solid obtained from all the control experiments, post catalysis, with dichloromethane. From the reactions in open air (entry 2, Table 1), small green crystals were obtained, and their crystal structure was determined. The SXRD data at 120 K reveal the existence of a different dimeric complex formulated as \[ \text{Cu}^{\text{II}}L^\text{II}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{10}\text{CO}_2)^\text{II} \] (3, Figure 6). Compound 3 is built from the starting organic ligand and cyclohexanecarboxylate bridging anions. We assume the carboxylate formation is the result of the oxidation of unreacted cyclohexanecarboxylate-hydro during the workup process. For the reactions performed under an O\(_2\) atmosphere, compound 3 is recovered almost quantitatively. Interestingly, the use of 3 in 2% loading as catalyst in the parent reaction yielded 2a in 16% (conversion), indicating that the presence of strongly binding anions inhibits the catalytic activity which may be the cause of lower yields observed in open air (entry 9, Table 1). Lastly, in none of the reactions was the redox A\(^3\)-product observed in the crude \(^1\)H NMR. \(^{82} \)

Taking all the above into account and considering the following observations, (i) the absence of side products (heterocoupling, bis-adduct) or metallic Cu precipitate in the crude NMRs, (ii) the EPR experiments, discounting the possibility of two monomers activating the Ph–C≡C≡C–H entity, or the existence of a dimeric species, (iii) that the coordinating metal labilizes the terminal hydrogen, allowing a weak base (Cl\(^-\) anion or N/O heteroatoms of the ligand) to deprotonate the alkyne, thus forming the activated acetylide as has been confirmed by IR and NMR studies, \(^{83,84} \) (iv) the fact that the substituted phenolate and primary amines have similar \( pK_a \) values, \(^{85} - 88 \) and (v) that the activated acetylide subsequently couples with the corresponding imine, \(^{89 - 91} \) we propose the following mechanism (Scheme 3). Compound 1 in solution exists as an 85–15% monomer–dimer equilibrium, and the excess of Ph–C≡C≡C–H populates 1’ (step 1). Then the alkyne binds to the monomer (step 2) and initiates activation (step 3). This procedure follows a 4-membered ring formation, which subsequently triggers the radical formation to complete the activation process while the imine moiety replaces the Cl\(^-\) anion (step 4). Then, the acetylide couples with the in situ generated iminium species, which is formed via H\(^+\)-mediated elimination of water \(^{89} \) (step 5) to form the propargylamine product (step 6). The final step involves catalyst regeneration and product release (step 7). The proposed mechanism resembles the one proposed by Knochel et al, \(^{89} \) however, the major difference is that in the present case Cu in the precatalyst is in oxidation state II.

---

**Table 1. Various Experiments to Elucidate the Mechanism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>atmosphere</th>
<th>additives</th>
<th>yield of 2a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>open air</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>TEMPO (10 mol %)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>open Air/(N_2)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isolated yields. \(^{6}\)Reaction conditions, catalyst (2 mol %), 1.0 mmol of aldehyde, 1.1 mmol of amine, 1.2 mmol of alkyne, molecular sieves 4 Å, solvent; dichloromethane 2 mL, concentration 0.5 M, room temperature, Ar atmosphere, 16 h. \(^{1}\)In situ formation of the catalyst HL/CuCl\(_2\). \(^{2}\)Reaction with HL (2 mol %). \(^{3}\)Reaction with CuCl\(_2\), (2 mol %) in place of I. \(^{4}\)Reaction with CuCl (2 mol %) in place of I. \(^{5}\)Reaction with aniline in place of pyrrolidine. \(^{6}\)Reaction with recovered material 3 (2 mol %) (vide supra), conversion by \(^1\)H NMR. \(^{8}\)Reaction completed in 24 h.

---

**Figure 6.** Crystal structure of the recovered material 3. All H-atoms except NH\(_2\) are omitted, and C atoms of carboxylate unit are drawn in light purple for clarity.
THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

Given the peculiar catalytic process observed, we further carried out theoretical calculations within Kohn–Sham density functional theory (DFT) at the OLYP/def2-SVP D3 level with PCM (dichloromethane) implicit solvation. Figure 7 showcases a qualitative reaction profile and a description of the electronic structure of key species. As mentioned earlier in the manuscript, initial activation of the alkyne by the monomeric neutral doublet $1^\text{a}$ takes place by way of through-space interaction and surprisingly brings the resulting van der Waals (v-d-W) complex to about 5 kcal/mol more stable. We tentatively ascribe this to dispersion interactions and the relaxation toward quasi-tetrahedral symmetry of the copper center. Full activation through dative alkyne capture with concomitant leaving of neutral chlorine occurs, bringing the system to some 8 kcal/mol more energetic. Calculations highly suggest that the now nonhalogenated system needs to switch its state from doublet $2^\text{A}$ to singlet $1^\text{A}$. Combining this with the fact that (a) to be consistent in terms of full electronic energy, the departing chlorine has to be treated as nonsolvated species, (b) upon activation as mentioned in the previous step, the Cl–Cu bond distance increases, and (c) the number of electrons increases around the oxygen adjacent to the metal, we conclude that there is homolytic-like Cu–Cl bond breaking, and subsequently, a nondirectional interaction between the Cl and the catalytic adduct takes place. To further support this, we optimized the activation structure with Br in lieu of Cl; the Cu–N bonds are not affected; however, the distance between the two radical centers, the Cu and the adjacent O, is dependent on the nature of the halogen, with Cu–O slightly longer in the chlorine species. Based on the analysis of charge displacement upon activation, it can be suggested that the alkyne induces electron movement toward the oxygen and halogen centers with the concomitant electrophilic attack of the copper center on the alkyne, acquiring in the process a decrease in its oxidation state. In the next stage, akin to the first step, another through-space interaction brings the system to lower energy by 10 kcal/mol, this time by v-d-W capture of NR$_2$. One should note that conformational change occurs, contributing to the observed shift in energy. Full head-on interaction between the alkyne carbon and the metal center follows with the liberated hydrogen scavenged by the oxygen, putting the system to 8 kcal/mol higher Fusion of the enamine and the alkyne with isochronous water production sets the system again to about 2 kcal/mol more stable. One can note that charge movement involves solely the alkyne carbons needed to form the C–C bond. The additional role of the metal is evident at this stage, that is, to template the resulting N–C–C catenate. Lastly, a downward release of the product is associated with 10 kcal/mol, with the accompanying reformation of the Cu–Cl bond hence recycling the catalyst.

Further interesting points need to be mentioned. First, the copper center switches from Cu(II) to Cu(I) form transiently, as evidenced by the Bader charge analysis. Indeed, upon change of state, one notes an increase in the metallic valence electron index; in the current formalism, this is enough to form the transient radical (spin-trapping EPR study).
indicate a change of oxidation state. The change would not have taken place if the center had not been Cu(II). Second, one could ascribe the facile capture of the reactants to the charge dynamics lability provided by the ligands as explained above; indeed, a closer inspection of the SOMOs and HOMOs indicate the permanent involvement of the metallic $d$ manifolds and, surprisingly, both the ring systems of the ligand and the chlorine. Given the complexity of the reaction, notably the involvement of noncovalent interactions and change of spin-state, the inclusion of both electronic and vibrational entropy contributions in terms of calculations would be biased. Hence, we report the reaction profile in terms of the total electronic energies only, which in our opinion, fits our purpose and the scope of the manuscript. Lastly, both the isotropic Fermi contact couplings and the eigenvalues from the diagonalization of the spin dipole couplings tensor (data not shown) indicate some unpaired electron–nuclear coupling in the oxygen, further validating the experimental results.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have synthesized and characterized a Cu(II) complex that can activate alkynes at room temperature without base or additives with very low loadings in only 16 h. To the best of our knowledge, the present unconventional protocol is the first example of an A$^3$ coupling incorporating such a time efficient Cu(II) component. Vital to this success is the use of (i) the asymmetric tridentate ligand, which matches the coordination geometry of the metal center, (ii) weakly binding anions that prevent the formation of other, possible catalytically inactive, species, (iii) a phenoxyd moiety that triggers the radical formation, and (iv) unsaturated heteroatoms on the organic framework that accommodate the acetylenic proton during the activation process. Thus, the appropriate ligand design allowed
us to achieve an exceptional Cu(II) alkyne activation process and develop an environmental friendly catalytic protocol applicable for synthesizing propargylamines in high yields. EPR, CV, IR, and DFT studies shed light on this peculiar C–H activation process and our future efforts will focus on catalyst development, notably chiral versions, and their applicability to other organic transformations and asymmetric syntheses relevant to the late-stage elaboration of pharmacologically valuable scaffolds.
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