A role for palindromic structures in the cis-region of maize Sirevirus LTRs in transposable element evolution and host epigenetic response
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Transposable elements (TEs) proliferate within the genome of their host, which responds by silencing them epigenetically. Much is known about the mechanisms of silencing in plants, particularly the role of siRNAs in guiding DNA methylation. In contrast, little is known about siRNA targeting patterns along the length of TEs, yet this information may provide crucial insights into the dynamics between hosts and TEs. By focusing on full-length Sirevirus LTR retrotransposons in maize, we show that their silencing associates with underlying characteristics of the TE sequence and also uncover three features of the host–TE interaction. First, siRNA mapping varies among families and among elements, but particularly along the length of elements. Within the cis-regulatory portion of the LTRs, a complex palindrome-rich region acts as a hotspot of both siRNA matching and sequence evolution. These patterns are consistent across leaf, tassel, and immature ear libraries, but particularly emphasized for floral tissues and 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. Second, this region has the ability to form hairpins, making it a potential template for the production of miRNA-like, hairpin-derived small RNAs. Third, Sireviruses are targeted by siRNAs as a decreasing function of their age, but the oldest elements remain highly targeted, partially by siRNAs that cross-map to the youngest elements. We show that the targeting of older Sireviruses reflects their conserved palindromes. Altogether, we hypothesize that the palindromes aid the silencing of active elements and influence transposition potential, siRNA targeting levels, and ultimately the fate of an element within the genome.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
One aspect of TE silencing pathways that remains largely unexplored is the patterns of siRNA targeting and methylation along the TE sequence. These patterns may provide important clues into the interaction between TEs and the host response. To date, most studies have focused on the investigation of either consensus sequences (Cantu et al. 2010; Creasey et al. 2014; McCue et al. 2015) or by combining exemplars from different TE orders (Wang et al. 2009; Feng et al. 2010; Zemach et al. 2013). Although informative, these approaches often have low resolution and may not assess how siRNA and methylation levels change as a function of the age of element insertion. Higher resolution work requires the careful annotation of large numbers of individual TEs, which can be difficult in large plant genomes where TEs are numerous, diverse, and often nested. Accordingly, a recent review stressed the need for fine-scale characterization of plant TEs to properly assess the epigenetic interplay with their hosts (Ragupathy et al. 2013).

In this study, we assess epigenetic patterns on Sireviruses within the maize genome. Sireviruses are a genus of plant-specific Long Terminal Repeat (LTR) retrotransposons of the Copia superfamily (Bousios and Darzentas 2013). They are unique in structure among LTR retrotransposons, because they contain highly conserved motifs in their noncoding regions (Fig. 1A; Bousios et al. 2010). These motifs include junctions between the LTRs and the internal (INT) domain of the elements, as well as characteristic features such as palindromic repeats within the upstream half of the LTRs that represents the cis-regulatory center of LTR retrotransposons (Grandbastien 2015). Due to these conserved motifs, full-length Sireviruses can be identified accurately. We previously reported that ∼20% of the maize genome is occupied by Sireviruses and identified thousands of full-length elements that belong to five families, including the abundant Ji and Opie populations (Bousios et al. 2012a). This TE set provides a unique opportunity to study epigenetic patterns on individual elements that extend from recent to ancient insertions. Here, we map multiple siRNA libraries and bisulfite-sequencing (BS-seq) methylation data to Sireviruses, study the resulting epigenetic patterns, and report that silencing associates with underlying sequence characteristics or the age profile of TEs.

Results

The Sirevirus data

The 13,833 full-length maize Sireviruses currently in MASIVEdb (Bousios et al. 2012b) were originally identified by the Sirevirus-specific MASIVE algorithm (Darzentas et al. 2010). After additional filtering for sequence quality, length, and TE contamination (see Methods), the set consisted of 6456 elements, mainly of the Ji (3285) and Opie (2926), but also of the jvnr (99), Giepum (102), and Hopie (44) families. Their age distribution, as measured by the sequence divergence of each LTR pair, was similar to that of all 13,833 Sireviruses (Supplemental Fig. S1). This suggests both that our strict requirements did not favor the inclusion of young over old Sireviruses, and the filtered set is a representative sample.

General characteristics of siRNA mapping

We mapped the previously published leaf (Diez et al. 2014), tassel (Zhang et al. 2009), and immature ear (Nobuta et al. 2008) siRNA libraries to the reference maize B73 genome and assessed mapping patterns for 21-nt, 22-nt, and 24-nt siRNAs separately. Each distinct siRNA sequence was termed “species,” and its number of reads was termed “expression.” We considered siRNA species that mapped to either unique (U_sirRNAs) or multiple (M_sirRNAs) locations in the genome. Next, we counted both the number of siRNA species that mapped to Sireviruses and also their expression levels. The two measurements were highly correlated (average Pearson $r = 0.91$ for all siRNA lengths; $P < 10^{-26}$); hence, we primarily report results based on species for simplicity. Finally, mapping to individual elements was highly correlated between pairs of the three libraries (Supplemental Fig. S2), indicating that the same Sireviruses were consistently targeted across libraries.

In total, a relatively small fraction of each siRNA library mapped to the 6456 elements (Supplemental Table S1). For example, the immature ear library yielded the highest proportions with 3.1% of the 1,118,020 24-nt species, 11.3% of the 177,719 22-nt species, and 8.8% of the 106,514 21-nt species. In contrast, the leaf library yielded the lowest proportions (2.7%–5.0%) for each siRNA length. Although these percentages appear to be low, we note that the 6456 elements encompass a total sequence length of ∼65 Mb, or ∼2.8% of the 2300-Mb maize genome. Of the siRNAs that mapped to Sireviruses, >97% were multiple mapping M_sirRNAs (likely due to a large number of highly similar elements), which contrasts with the much higher U_sirRNA to M_sirRNA ratio (∼1:2.1) across the entire genome (Supplemental Table S1). Nonetheless,
these M_{siRNAs} tended to match Sireviruses exclusively, because only 0.1% mapped to both Sireviruses and exons from the Filtered Gene Set, and only 0.6% mapped to both Sireviruses and the non-Sirevirus maize TE exemplars (http://maizetedb.org).

At the family level, Ji and Opie differed considerably in the number of mapped siRNA species across libraries, despite their similar ages (Supplemental Fig. S1), length distributions (Supplemental Fig. S3), and numbers within the 6456 elements. For example, 54% (78,714) compared to 26% (38,187) of the 144,817 siRNA species of the leaf library mapped to the Ji and Opie populations, respectively (Supplemental Table S2), of which only 2966 (2.6%) cross-mapped to both families. In comparison to Ji and Opie, fewer 24-nt siRNAs mapped to the three less abundant families Jien, Giepum, and Hopie; however, these were often mapped by more 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. These observations were further supported by comparing the average number of siRNA species that mapped to each element of each family, which was first normalized by the family’s average genome length to allow cross-family comparisons (Supplemental Table S2). Altogether, these findings corroborate previous evidence that siRNA targeting does not necessarily correlate with TE abundance (Barber et al. 2012; Diez et al. 2014).

siRNA targeting along Sirevirus sequences

We then investigated whether siRNA targeting varied along the length of elements. To do so, we first tagged each Sirevirus nucleotide with the number of siRNA species (or their expression) that mapped to it and then averaged across the length of the locus under investigation, i.e., the full-length element, the LTRs, or the INT domain. Summarizing across families, we found that 24-nt siRNAs targeted Sireviruses more intensely than 21- to 22-nt siRNAs, and this was particularly true for the LTRs (Fig. 1B,C). Conversely, 21-nt siRNAs targeted the LTRs and INT domain similarly, whereas 22-nt siRNAs targeted the INT domain more heavily. These patterns generally held across libraries, although the predominance of 24-nt siRNAs was not as apparent in tassel (Supplemental Fig. S4).

Next, we divided each LTR or INT domain into 100 equally sized windows and calculated the per nucleotide coverage for each library, focusing more on the abundant Ji and Opie families. Overall, this approach revealed three main patterns. First, mapping to the LTRs was nonuniform, because both Ji and Opie exhibited distinct siRNA peaks, or mapping “hotspots” (Fig. 2A).
The location of hotspots varied between the two families, but for both they were ~40–60 nt in length and more easily discerned in floral libraries and for 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. Second, mapping to the INT domains was also nonuniform, including a ~1-kb siRNA-poor region followed by a ~3.5-kb region of increased targeting (Fig. 2B). The ~3.5-kb region corresponded to the location of the pol gene, whereas the siRNA-poor region overlapped with the 3’ end of the gag gene. Finally, siRNA mapping to Jienv, Giepum, and Hopie generated similar siRNA hotspots in the LTRs (Supplemental Fig. S5A); these families contain an envelope-like gene in their INT domain (Bousios et al. 2012a), which also tended to have many mapped siRNAs (Supplemental Fig. S5A).

siRNA hotspots correspond to the palindrome region

To further investigate the siRNA hotspots, we examined additional sequence features of Sireviruses. Notably, most hotspots were situated within the upstream half of the LTRs, which corresponds to the cis-regulatory palindromic-rich region of Sireviruses (Fig. 1A). The consensus sequence of the palindrome for Ji and Opie is CACCGGACGTCGCCGGTG, and it was originally found in multiple proximal pairs in the archetypical Ji and Opie sequences in GenBank (Bousios et al. 2010). Allowing for one mismatch, we identified the palindrome 86,055 times, for an average of 7.3 copies revealed that the symmetrical arms were more conserved than the central nucleotide (Fig. S5A); these families contain an envelope-like gene in their INT domain (Bousios et al. 2012a), which also tended to have many mapped siRNAs (Supplemental Fig. S5A).

We tested whether the four palindrome loci had significantly higher numbers of siRNA species per nucleotide than the rest of the LTR regions, by using the Mann-Whitney U test (P-values adjusted by Bonferroni correction) and combining information from both strands. Each locus was first allocated four consecutive windows: 16–19, 20–23, 24–27, and 28–31 nt. For each family, we combined for this analysis. The test showed that the siRNA/palindrome overlap was statistically significant in most cases, especially in floral tissues and for smaller siRNA lengths (e.g., P = 3.4 × 10^-3 and 5.9 × 10^-3 for 21-nt siRNAs in tassel for Ji and Opie, respectively) (Supplemental Fig. S6A). Nonetheless, these results could be caused by mapping artifacts, especially given

Figure 3. Sequence and cross-mapping characteristics of the palindrome region. (A) An example of a Ji/LTR showing the palindromes (red) and the conserved promoter (blue). Underlined are the fragments that form the long stem indicated by the arrows in Figure 4A. (B) Sequence logo of the 86,055 palindromes. (C) Length of indels identified during LTR pair alignment for Ji and Opie elements. The y-axis is cut off at 4000 so that the scale is appropriate for indels >1 nt. (D) Location of cross-mapping siRNAs between Ji and Opie LTRs (D) and all Sirevirus families (E) using Circos (Krzywinski et al. 2009). siRNAs that did not map to two or more elements within each family were removed. Ribbons connect windows (described in Fig. 2) to which each siRNA species mapped; red indicates an increased number of overlapping ribbons. Moving clockwise, the outer circle denotes the 5’ LTR, INT domain, and 3’ LTR. The black asterisk in ‘i’ indicates the area near the envelope-like gene of Giepum that “cross-talks” with the palindrome region of Ji/LTRs.
the repetitive nature of palindromes, the multiple locations of M siRNAs, and the fact that we examined only one mapping statistic, i.e., the average number of siRNA species per nucleotide. Accordingly, we investigated additional strategies, such as measurements of siRNA expression and the use of both metrics normalized by their number of genomic locations, hence, controlling for the effect of M siRNAs. Across all approaches, the palindromes remained statistically significant siRNA hotspots for most combinations of tissue and siRNA length (Supplemental Fig. S6B–D).

Overall, a large proportion of all LTR-targeting siRNA species mapped to the four palindrome loci. For example, 62% and 71% of the 21-nt siRNAs in the immature ear library targeted the Ji and Opie loci, respectively (Supplemental Table S3), although they collectively comprised only 16% of the ∼1.3-kb LTR length. Furthermore, the whole palindrome-rich region, which contained all four loci and the GC-rich part of the LTR (ji windows: 16–53; Opie windows: 19–48), mapped up to 89% of LTR-targeting siRNAs (Supplemental Table S3). This was clearly demonstrated when we plotted the total number of siRNA species that mapped to each window of all Ji or Opie LTRs combined (Supplemental Fig. S7). Within this larger region, however, the four loci were the areas of highest siRNA mapping (Mann-Whitney U test; P values adjusted by Bonferroni correction; $P < 3.4 	imes 10^{-2}$).

### The palindrome loci differ considerably at the sequence level

Because the palindrome loci of Ji and Opie are composed of the same building block, it is possible that they represent identical sequences mapped by the same set of siRNAs. Three observations, however, suggest this not to be the case. First, when we counted the total number of siRNA species that mapped to each locus, we recorded up to a threefold difference among loci within each family (Table 1). Second, only a small proportion (2.3%–19.9%) of siRNAs mapped to any pair of the four Ji or Opie LTRs combined. Finally, only a few siRNAs cross-mapped between the LTRs of the two families, i.e., 1265 (2.1%), 613 (3.7%), and 1298 (5.3%) of all LTR-targeting siRNAs for the leaf, tassel, and immature ear libraries, respectively. These results suggest that each locus represents a distinct sequence that is targeted by distinct sets of siRNAs. That said, the few cross-mapping siRNAs specifically clustered to the palindrome loci (Fig. 3D). It appears, therefore, that the palindromes form a backbone for siRNA targeting but also a template for sequence evolution.

Supporting this conjecture, we found that the LTRs of the three lower copy families exhibited similar correspondences between indel size and location, GC content, and siRNA targeting (Supplemental Fig. S5A–C). Moreover, analysis of the cross-mapping siRNAs among all families revealed that Giepum shared part of the Ji and Opie backbone in its LTRs but also in the vicinity of the envelope-like gene (Fig. 3E), where additional palindromes have been previously reported (Bousios et al. 2010). In contrast, the lack of cross-mapping siRNAs for Jienv and Hopie implies that they may harbor distinct sets of palindromes.

### siRNA targeting and the age of Sireviruses

It seems likely that the abundance and proximity of palindromes in the LTRs (Fig. 3A) could trigger the formation of stem-loop secondary structures. To test this idea, we calculated the folding potential of Ji and Opie LTRs using RNAfold (Gruber et al. 2008) and found that the majority could form complex structures in their upstream halves similar to the example shown in Fig. 4A. We then speculated whether this region could also form appropriate precursors for the production of a recently reported class of plant small RNAs termed hairpin RNAs (hpRNAs) (Axtell 2013a). To examine the folding strength of such potential precursors, we followed the methodology of Wang et al. (2009), who reported that loci for known maize miRNAs typically had a minimum free energy (MFE) below −40. Extending the mapping location of each siRNA by 20 nt upstream and 70 nt downstream and calculating the MFE of these 111- to 114-nt fragments, we found that those that mapped to the palindrome region had such an MFE (Fig. 4B). Moreover, when we split siRNAs into three mapping locations, i.e., the palindrome-rich LTR region as previously defined, the rest of the LTR, and the INT domain, the MFE was consistently below −40 only for the first across all Ji and Opie elements (Fig. 4C). This was also true for Jienv, Giepum, and Hopie elements (Supplemental Fig. S5D). In addition, these families also produced putative precursor structures with MFE below −40 in narrow regions upstream of their envelope-like gene, which further supports the existence of palindromes in the specific area. Altogether, these results suggest that the palindrome region may be a suitable template for the production of hpRNAs.

| Table 1. Comparison of total numbers of siRNA species that mapped to the four palindrome loci of all Ji or Opie LTRs combined |
|---|---|---|---|
| Number of siRNA species from all lengths combined | Pairs of loci | siRNA species that mapped to both loci (%) |
| | Leaf | Tassel | Ear | Leaf | Tassel | Ear |
| Ji | | | | | | |
| 1st | 2186 | 813 | 1898 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 14.7 | 13.0 |
| 2nd | 3577 | 1154 | 2557 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| 3rd | 4065 | 1234 | 2853 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 7.3 |
| 4th | 5223 | 2389 | 3008 | 2.3 | 16.0 | 19.9 | 18.0 |
| | 2.4 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 5.2 |
| Opie | | | | | | |
| 1st | 1316 | 537 | 1592 | 1.2 | 8.7 | 15.6 | 10.8 |
| 2nd | 2268 | 795 | 2532 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 10.3 | 7.1 |
| 3rd | 1922 | 635 | 2025 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 8.7 |
| 4th | 1899 | 781 | 1973 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 15.9 | 10.6 |
| | 2.4 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 11.7 | 10.5 |
siRNA ‘cross-talk’ between young and old elements

Because siRNAs map to multiple Sireviruses, we asked whether the high targeting of old elements might be due to increased cross-mapping with younger elements. Hence, we examined mapping patterns among Ji or Opie age groups. For example, we took each Opie VY element and calculated the number of 24-nt siRNA species that mapped both to (1) either of its LTRs, and (2) at least one LTR of any MA or VO element. On average, 97.6% of the siRNA species that mapped to the LTRs of any VO Opie element also cross-mapped to the LTRs of one or more VO elements; in contrast, only 68.1% mapped to one or more MA elements (Supplemental Table S6).

This observation prompted us to investigate cross-mapping in more detail. To control for potential biases due to the differing sizes of age groups, we randomly sampled 30 elements from each age group for Ji or Opie and formed “triplets” of one VY, one MA, and one VO element; 27,000 triplets for each family. We next allocated each siRNA species into one of seven possible categories based on the element(s) of the triplet that it mapped to. The process was repeated three times for each siRNA length and tissue. In both families, a large proportion (73% on average) of the siRNAs was unique to one age group (Fig. 5C; Supplemental S10; Supplemental Table S7), unsurprisingly considering that this was an analysis of only three elements at a time. However, a significant fraction of the remaining siRNAs was shared only by the VY and VO elements (ranging between 7.0% and 16.2% for Ji and 14.3% and 24.9% for Opie) as opposed to only 0.8%–6.8% for VY-MA and MA-VO. This difference was statistically significant for all tissues and siRNA lengths (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; $P < 10^{-10}$), and again more evident for floral tissues and smaller siRNA lengths (Supplemental Table S7).

We also checked whether phylogenetic histories within each family might artifactually drive this pattern (e.g., if VY and VO elements represent a distinct clade from MA elements), but this was not the case (see Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S11). Taken together, these results suggest that the VY-VO siRNA “cross-talk” is a true property of Sirevirus evolution.

Degeneration and conservation of the palindrome loci

Thus far, the reasons for the increased mapping of siRNAs to VO elements and their “cross-talk” with VY elements are unclear. Inspired by the nonuniform siRNA targeting pattern along Sireviruses, we examined the distribution of siRNA species along Ji and Opie elements for the three age groups separately. Although the mapping pattern was complex, there were evident differences in the palindrome region, with fewer siRNAs matching to MA compared to VY and VO elements (Fig. 6A,B; Supplemental S12A,B). In contrast, other regions such as the first –150 nt or the 3’ end of Ji LTRs had similar mapping dynamics across all three age groups.

We further investigated the occurrence of palindromes and 19- to 22-nt indels in each age group. As expected, the average number of all indels in each LTR pair increased with age (Table 2); however, MA elements had the highest number of 19- to 22-nt indels, and accordingly, the lowest number of palindromes. In addition, although the average number of substitutions in each LTR pair also increased with age as expected (Table 2), VO was the only age group with a distinct and statistically significant lack of substitutions in the four palindrome loci (Mann-Whitney U test; $P$-values adjusted by Bonferroni correction; $4 \times 10^{-5}$ for Ji VO using windows 5–80 and $2 \times 10^{-5}$ for Opie VO using all windows) (Fig. 6C; Supplemental Fig. S12C). These data indicate that the palindrome regions are conserved in VO elements and contribute both to their higher-than-expected siRNA targeting and to the cross-mapping with VY elements. That said, siRNA mapping to
the INT domain was also less intense in MA elements, but it was difficult to pinpoint specific regions of differential targeting (Supplemental Fig. S12D). Thus, although the conservation of the palindrome region may be essential, it does not alone explain the phenomenon.

Methylation levels of Sireviruses

The purpose of the siRNA response is ultimately to deposit heterochromatic marks on TEs. We therefore investigated Sirevirus methylation levels based on a subset of the 6456 elements that exceeded BS-seq coverage cutoffs (see Supplemental Material). Consistent with previous studies of maize TEs (Regulski et al. 2013; West et al. 2014), Sireviruses were highly methylated in the CG (~95%) and CHG (~84%) contexts but lacked extensive CHH (~1.2%) methylation (Supplemental Fig. S13A). Methylation was higher in the LTRs than in the INT domains, whereas CG methylation appeared to plateau across the palindrome region in the LTRs (Fig. 2E). We also investigated the evolutionary relationship between methylation and age. The analysis produced weak, yet significant positive correlations between symmetric methylation and age, but only for the Ji and Opie LTRs (average Pearson \( r = 0.1; \)
This correlation coefficient increased to $r = 0.25$ when we excluded elements older than 2 my, which again deviated from the general pattern (Supplemental Fig. S13C). Conversely, CHH methylation in the LTRs correlated negatively with age, which also became stronger by excluding old elements (average Pearson $r = -0.22$ compared to $-0.42$; $P < 10^{-20}$).

### Discussion

We have analyzed epigenetic features of LTR retrotransposons with the goal of gathering insight into the interaction between hosts and TEs. With our carefully annotated Sireviruses data set, we have shown that silencing associates with sequence characteristics of the TE itself, a theme that remains unexplored partially due to difficulties in accurately identifying large populations of TEs. We have uncovered variation in siRNA targeting among families, along the length of elements and as a function of element age. We discuss these findings in more detail below.

### siRNA targeting at the family level

$Ji$ and $Opie$ are similar in their genomic abundance, age, and length distributions (Bousios et al. 2012a) and are also represented by similar numbers in our data set. However, $Ji$ elements are targeted by considerably higher numbers of siRNA species, especially of 21- to 22-nt lengths (Supplemental Table S2). Higher level of targeting to $Ji$ elements has been noted previously; Barber et al. (2012) found $Ji$ to be the most targeted LTR retrotransposon family in maize. When they categorized TEs by their predominant siRNA length, $Ji$ and $Opie$ were defined as “22-nt” and “24-nt” families, respectively, which is in agreement with our findings. Among the three

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Number of SVs</th>
<th>All indels</th>
<th>19- to 22-nt indels</th>
<th>Palindromes</th>
<th>Substitutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ji</td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>1611</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>188.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opie</td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*VY* very young; (MA) middle-aged; (VO) very old; (SVs) Sireviruses.

Indels and substitutions were scored during the LTR pair alignment of each element.
remaining families, Jienv and Hopie have high 21- to 22-nt targeting, whereas Giepum is nearly exclusively targeted by 24-nt siRNAs in leaf and tassel tissues. At present, the biological causes for variable targeting are unclear, but it could reflect differences in the number of elements that escape repression and produce Pol II transcripts in vivo, which are then processed by RDR6-RdDM into 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. Another possible cause may be genomic location, since there are peaks of RdDM activity near genes (Gent et al. 2013; Zemach et al. 2013). However, Ji and Opie have similar distributions in relationship to gene proximity (Bousios et al. 2012a) and the same weak negative correlation between siRNA mapping and distance to genes (average Pearson $r = -0.08; \ P < 10^{-10}$), suggesting that gene proximity explains at best a minor facet of siRNA targeting variation.

The function, complexity, and evolution of the palindrome region

The most profound similarity between Ji and Opie is the overlap in the LTRs between siRNA hotspots and the palindromes (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Figs. S6, S7; Supplemental Table S3). The intensity of this overlap, however, varies among tissues and among siRNA lengths: There is a consistent increase from leaf to floral tissues and from 24-nt to 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. These findings prompted us to examine an additional siRNA library from the immature ear and from 24-nt to 21- to 22-nt siRNAs. These findings prompted us to examine an additional siRNA library from the immature ear (Supplemental Table S1), but it retained the same siRNA hotspots in the palindromes and produced similar patterns to the wild-type ear library (Supplemental Fig. S14).

Several lines of evidence suggest that Jienv, Giepum, and Hopie also contain palindromes: They generate long indels, have high GC content, and are predicted to form secondary structures in the same region. Furthermore, cross-mapping of siRNAs between the Giepum, Ji, and Opie LTRs occurs only in the palindrome region (Fig. 3E). It appears, therefore, that the palindromes are the building blocks of a conserved backbone among families. This conservation possibly extends across the plant kingdom based on our previous finding that most Sireviruses contain similar symmetrical motifs (Bousios et al. 2010). Importantly, the palindromes are located in the highly conserved cis-regulatory region of LTR retrotransposons (Grandbastien 2015) and retroviruses (Mergia et al. 1992). In some families, this area is arranged similar to Sireviruses as arrays of few repeats or may display symmetrical features (Araujo et al. 2001; Grandbastien 2015). Variation in the repeats among subfamilies has been suggested to confer new regulatory properties for optimizing coevolution within their hosts or for colonizing new species (Vernhettes et al. 1998; Araujo et al. 2001). Thus, there is substantial evidence that this region is important for the cis-regulation of Sireviruses.

Nevertheless, although the palindrome structure may be necessary for the function of Sireviruses, its sequence content is apparently not conserved. It appears to differ (1) among families, (2) among family members, and (3) among the four discrete palindrome loci. The first point is supported by the small proportion of cross-mapping siRNAs between the palindrome-rich regions of the Ji and Opie LTRs (≤ 5.3%), which nonetheless represent the only point of “contact” between their LTRs (Fig. 3D). The second point follows from our observation that the number of palindromes changes with age (Table 2). The third point is based on the small proportion (≤ 20%) of siRNAs that match any pair of the four loci (Table 1). Consequently, the makeup of this region is complex and has evolved differently for each locus. The mechanisms that generate such sequence variation have yet to be elucidated. However, reverse transcription has very low fidelity, which could mediate the loss and gain of palindrome variants. Furthermore, elements might occasionally swap regions of their LTRs through recombination, for example, when their transcripts co-package within the same virus-like particle during reverse transcription (Sharma et al. 2008; Du et al. 2010).

Palindrome hpRNAs may trigger silencing of active Sireviruses

Another prominent feature of the palindromes is that they are an obvious source of stem–loop structures and a potential template for hpRNA production (Fig. 4). hpRNAs are an understudied class of small RNAs that resemble miRNAs by being derived by ssRNA precursors (Axtell 2013a). One study has focused on a large 6-kb hairpin in Arabidopsis and found that DCL1 was used for hpRNA biogenesis (Henderson et al. 2006). Such long hairpins do not occur within LTR retrotransposons, but Axtell (2013a) hypothesized that numerous shorter hairpins might also produce hpRNAs. In fact, Wang et al. (2009) analyzed the sequence composition of hpRNA loci in maize to show that they are exceptionally GC-rich, an observation consistent with the high GC content of the Sirevirus palindrome region (Fig. 2D).

The capacity to form hairpins may offer insight into a poorly understood step of the silencing pathway. Previous studies have established how RDR6-RdDM initiates silencing of active TEs (Mari-Ordóñez et al. 2013; McCue et al. 2015), but it remains unclear how RDR6 physically recognizes single-stranded TE mRNA to produce dsRNA. It is believed that “primary” siRNAs are required to trigger dsRNA synthesis, either directly as primers or indirectly by mediating AGO-directed cleavage of the ssRNA (Bologna and Voinnet 2014). Recently, miRNAs have been implicated for this role in Arabidopsis (Creasey et al. 2014), but this occurs in a subset of TE families, suggesting that additional miRNA-independent mechanisms are needed. One of these additional mechanisms may entail the existence of hairpins within the TE sequence (Lisch and Slotkin 2011), because hairpins may be recognized directly by DCL1/DCL2 and processed into “primary” siRNAs. Secondary RNA structures have been searched for, but not found, during silencing of the Evade element in Arabidopsis (Mari-Ordóñez et al. 2013), but an inverted repeat in a maize MuDR element has been shown to trigger RNAi and de novo transcriptional silencing of the whole family (Slotkin et al. 2005).

Under this model, we predict that palindrome-derived hpRNAs may play a role in silencing active Sireviruses by functioning as “primary” siRNAs. Of course, this conjecture requires further experimental confirmation.

Age and evolutionary fate of Sireviruses

Our analysis suggests that age is a major factor in the dynamic between the host response and Sireviruses. Generally, age is negatively correlated with the targeting level of all siRNAs (Fig. 5A,B). This pattern seems reasonable if one assumes that older elements are deeply silenced due to heavy cytosine methylation. The positive correlation between symmetric methylation and age supports this conjecture (Supplemental Fig. S13B,C). However, there is a striking turning point at 2–2.5 my at which older elements remain heavily mapped by siRNAs. We are confident that this is not an artifact of incorrect age estimation, because our methodology generates precise LTR borders (Darzentas et al. 2010). We nevertheless manually examined the LTR alignments of old elements and
found no obvious misalignments. Furthermore, this phenomenon is not obviously driven by phylogenetic history. Remarkably, the best explanation seems to be the fact that old Sireviruses contain many intact palindromes (Fig. 6C; Table 2). That said, the INT domain also contributes to these targeting effects, although specific areas of interest could not be identified.

These observations allow us to explore Sirevirus aging under an evolutionary perspective, where the palindromes represent a crucial parameter. The basic assumption is that they are important cis-regulators and, hence, major targets of silencing. Under this model, young elements have not yet accumulated many mutations, contain intact palindromes, have a large potential for transposition, and are therefore intensively targeted by siRNAs. Conversely, middle-aged elements have undergone degeneration by mutations, tend to be less fit due to loss of palindromes, and are therefore less targeted by siRNAs. Finally, old elements have escaped degradation of their palindrome region by mechanisms that remain obscure. As a result, these elements may be capable of trans activation and are heavily targeted by siRNAs. In addition, evidence from preliminary analysis indicates that some may also retain intact gag and pol open reading frames and, therefore, may be competent for autonomous cis activation despite their advanced age.

But why are the palindromes retained in old Sireviruses? Perhaps, they have simply escaped mutation by chance. Although reasonable, this scenario cannot easily explain how some elements remain highly targeted at estimated ages up to 13 my (Fig. 5A). Another hypothesis entails the existence of “zombie” TEs (Lisch 2009), that is, domesticated elements that act as memory to produce 21- to 22-nt siRNAs and guard against epigenetic loss. Zombies are capable of Pol II transcription and contain appropriate triggers for the initiation of silencing. Through sequence homology with their relatives, the host uses zombies to spread silencing across family members. Several properties of the old Sireviruses are compatible with this hypothesis. First, they contain the presumed epigenetic trigger, i.e., the hairpin-prone palindrome region. Second, they are full-length and presumably competent for cis or trans activation by Pol II. Third, most of their siRNAs “cross-talk” with young elements (Supplemental Table S6), suggesting that a small population of zombies may suffice for silencing thousands of “untamed” elements. Nevertheless, we note at least two major discrepancies with this hypothesis. First, zombies are a predicted feature of plant genomes with no empirical support. Second, young elements with intact palindromes may also contain the hpRNA triggers and could perhaps act as zombies themselves. Hence, the preservation of old, intact Sireviruses within the maize genome, as documented here, remains an intriguing mystery.

Methods

Sirevirus data

The population of 13,833 full-length maize Sireviruses was downloaded from MASiVEdb (http://databases.bat.inspire.org/masivedb/). This set was further curated to minimize the inclusion of low quality elements, while still retaining thousands of bona fide full-length Sireviruses. We first filtered out 4027 elements with more than five consecutive “N” nucleotides in their sequence. Based on evidence that BLASTN hits between Sireviruses and genes often matched precisely at the border of stretches of Ns, suggesting errors during scaffold assembly. We then filtered out elements, whose full or LTR lengths were outside typical ranges for the family (Supplemental Fig. S3). Furthermore, we used the maize TE exemplars (http://maizetedb.org), excluding the Sirevirus representatives, to screen for TE insertions within the Sirevirus sequences (BLASTN, E-value $1 \times 10^{-20}$) and filter out potentially hybrid elements. The final set consisted of 6456 elements (see Supplemental Material). To estimate the age of each element, we aligned its LTR pair using MAFFT with default parameters (Katoh and Standley 2013), which also simultaneously produced data on indels and substitutions. We then applied the LTR retrotransposon age formula with a substitution rate of $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ mutations per site per year (Ma and Bennetzen 2004).

Mapping of siRNA data

We used published short read data from leaf (GSM1342517), tassel (GSM448857), and immature ear wild-type (GSM306487) and mup1 (GSM306488) libraries. Adapters were trimmed using Trimmomatic, and low quality nucleotides were removed using the FASTX toolkit until every read had three or more consecutive nucleotides with a quality score of 20 or more at the 3′-end. Reads of length 21, 22, and 24 nt were filtered to eliminate tRNAs (http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu/), rRNAs and snoRNAs (http://rfam.sanger.ac.uk/), and miRNAs (http://www.mirbase.org/). The remaining siRNAs were mapped to the maize B73 genome (ReGen_V2) using BWA with default settings and no mismatches (Li and Durbin 2010) and classified as uniquely (U_siRNAs) or multiply mapped (M_siRNAs).

Normalization and measurement of siRNA data

The extent to which siRNAs can direct methylation to multiple locations is unclear, but evidence suggests that M_siRNAs account for a considerable subset of RdDM (Lister et al. 2008). Previous studies have followed various approaches for addressing the effect of M_siRNAs, which can be more numerous in TE-rich genomes like maize, compared to species like Arabidopsis in which most siRNAs map uniquely to TEs (Hollister et al. 2011). For example, some studies used only U_siRNAs, but mapping was conducted on TE exemplars (Gent et al. 2013; Regulski et al. 2013). In contrast, others randomly allocated M_siRNAs to a single genomic locus (Wang et al. 2009; He et al. 2013) or weighted each M_siRNA by its number of mapping locations (Barber et al. 2012; Diez et al. 2014). Recently, tools have been developed that appoint M_siRNAs to single loci based on the densities of U_siRNAs among all possible options (Axtell 2013b). For Sireviruses, however, the dearth of U_siRNAs (Supplemental Table S1) and the high number of locations for M_siRNAs hinder the implementation of such tools.

We therefore analyzed both U_siRNAs and M_siRNAs and used three measures. The first counted the number of distinct siRNA sequences (siRNA “species”) or the number of siRNA reads (siRNA “expression”) that mapped to an individual nucleotide of a TE. Mapping events were not corrected for M_siRNAs, so that each event had a value of 1.0. In practice, each nucleotide was put into a bin to allow calculation of averages and variances by location across individual TEs. The second counted siRNA species or expression in the same way but corrected for the number of mapping locations, i.e., if a siRNA species or a siRNA read mapped to x locations, each specific mapping event was weighted by x and counted as 1/x. Finally, we also counted the total number of siRNA species that mapped to a locus. This metric is unaffected by normalization, because it simply shows how many different siRNA species (i.e., the diversity of siRNA species) map to a given locus. This approach was also used to compare between different
sets of loci or elements (e.g., cross-mapping between palindromes or age groups).

**Mapping of methylation data**

Methylation BS-seq data from unfertilized ears (SRA050144) were mapped to the maize B73 genome (RefGen_V2) as previously described (Takuno and Gaut 2013). In brief, BS Seeker (Chen et al. 2010) was used with default settings, allowing no mismatches and retaining only uniquely mapped reads. We applied a binomial method at $P < 0.01$ to classify each cytosine as methylated or unmethylated (Lister et al. 2008) and then measured methylation levels separately in the CG, CHG, and CHH contexts. The methodology and reasoning for the methylation coverage cutoffs used in this study are included as Supplemental Material.

**Bioinformatics and statistics**

Most bioinformatics analyses were performed using custom scripts based on the Perl programming language (available as Supplemental Material). Their main functionality involved parsing Sirevirus information from MASiVEdb, parsing siRNA and methylation mapping files, and reporting outputs for individual TIs or regions for use in downstream analyses. All statistical tests were performed in R (R Core Team 2015).
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