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Introduction

More people are living into old age than ever before. The increased longevity is partly due to the increased use of medicines. Despite the potential benefits of medicines, they can still cause significant harm. Medication-related harm (MRH) may be from adverse drug reactions (ADR) or harm from inappropriate drug use e.g. non-adherence or medication error. The European Commission estimated in 2008 that MRH contribute to at least 100,800 deaths in member states annually and costs society €79 billion. Older adults are most at risk due to their high exposure to medicines and age-related pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics changes. A recent systematic review found that one in ten hospitalised older adults are admitted due to MRH, and approximately the same proportion experience MRH as an inpatient. Avoidable health service use due to MRH is substantial. A study in the Netherlands estimated the average cost of an avoidable MRH hospitalisation in an older adult at €5500.

Top-down interventions to reduce MRH and unplanned admissions, such as pharmacist-led medicines review, have shown limited effectiveness. There is a need to consider a bottom-up approach, exploring patient-centred modifiable determinants. Health literacy is one such determinant that is under explored in relation to MRH. A survey of eight countries in the European Union (EU) found that 30-60% of people are not health literate, with the older population representing a particularly high-risk group. A ‘mandate’ to enhance health literacy has been set out to policy makers in the 2016 World Health Organisation 9th Global Conference on Health Promotion. In this editorial we consider how health literacy can be conceptualised as a fundamental principle in reducing MRH in the older adult.
Conceptualising health literacy in the older person

Health literacy is ‘the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions’ 5. Health literacy can be viewed both as an asset for health and wellbeing, and a risk factor for disease. Low health literacy develops from a range of negative determinants over time (at the individual, health system or environmental level) and their intersectionality. Health literacy operates in various patient encounters that influence health outcomes, from the immediate healthcare environment e.g. at a GP surgery to support a participatory consultation, to wider community settings e.g. purchasing over-the-counter medicine. From the patient perspective, health literacy influences the identification of a health issue, to access and navigation of the health system, subsequent communication within the health system and thereon the ability to co-manage the resolution of a health issue 6.

Figure 1 shows a simplified, adapted version of a conceptual model of health literacy 7 in order to pictorially highlight some key determinants and outcomes of health literacy within the lived experience of an older person. One important health outcome is the appropriate use of medications.

[Figure 1 here]

Health literacy: a modifiable determinant of MRH

Older patients identify a lack of knowledge about medicines and poor understanding of treatment aims as key problems related to medicines use 8. Low health literacy related to
medicines utilisation is a predictor of premature mortality in older adults, independent of cognition, comorbidities, education, and, socioeconomic status. In the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA), a 5-year follow up of 7500 older adults, participants answered four questions about the instructions on a packet of Aspirin. One in two adults over the age of 80 could not correctly answer all four questions. In this study, low health literacy (≥2 errors) was independently associated with a 26% increased risk of mortality compared to those that fully understood the medication use instructions (HR 1.26, CI 1.03-1.56).

Low health literacy is associated with deprivation, an established risk factor for polypharmacy and hospitalisation due to MRH. Low health literacy may be a critical mediator of this relationship, as it is strongly associated with reduced uptake of preventative healthcare, the incidence of chronic disease, lower participation in health-related decisions, and higher rates of unplanned health service utilisation. Only one study has directly investigated the impact of low health literacy of older adults on the incidence of MRH. This prospective study of 310 retired US veterans followed up for 12 months showed a trend of low health literacy serving as a risk factor for adverse drug events. Whilst this relationship was not statistically significant, the authors postulated that the study was underpowered. The findings of this study should stimulate further investigation of this relationship in other healthcare systems and populations, and mapping of the causal pathways.

There is some evidence for a linear relationship between health literacy and medication adherence in older people, however, this relationship is inconsistent. The inconsistency might be explained by a ‘U-shaped’ relationship; those with lower health literacy tend to unintentionally non-adhere, and those with higher health literacy intentionally non-adhere.
The capacity to self-manage and ‘intentionally’ non-adhere when encountering medication problems may indeed serve as a protective factor against the occurrence or exacerbation of harm. This aligns with the argument that health literacy is a pivotal empowerment strategy, enabling people to seek out information and increase autonomy over their health\textsuperscript{16,17}. Health literacy can additionally support greater patient involvement in medical decision making\textsuperscript{18}, enabling patients to critique decisions that they deem unsafe, whether this be misdiagnosis and/or inappropriate prescribing. This is of importance in the older population, where evidence for the use of many commonly prescribed medicines is lacking, especially in patients with multimorbidity\textsuperscript{19}. Engaging with patient’s therapeutic preferences can provide a powerful counter-measure to polypharmacy provoked by guidelines focused on single-disease management. For instance, a qualitative exploration of the therapeutic priorities of older patients with both hypertension and falls risk showed that patients with multimorbidity were significantly less likely to prioritise blood pressure reduction over an increased MRH risk from antihypertensive use.

**Addressing low health literacy**

Health professionals working with older patients can use a single validated question to screen for low health literacy in the time-pressured clinical setting, ‘How confident are you filling out medical forms by yourself?’\textsuperscript{20} Answers are ‘extremely’, ‘quite a bit’, ‘somewhat’, ‘a little bit’, ‘not at all’. Those that respond ‘a little bit’ or ‘not at all’ are likely to have inadequate health literacy and can be supported with evidence-based measures.

The US-based Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) developed a ‘Universal Precautions Toolkit’, which describes several interventions that can be used in clinical practice to (1) simplify communication and prevent miscommunication, (2) make the health
system and environment easier to navigate, and, (3) empower individuals to self-manage their conditions\textsuperscript{21}. A key example of this is ‘Teach-back’, which requires the clinician to ask the patient to repeat back in their own words the key information that has been provided and, if necessary, repeat the information for improving provider-patient communication. This has been shown in a randomised trial of emergency department care to significantly improve patient comprehension of discharge medications\textsuperscript{22}.

Patients with low health literacy are more likely to find medication labelling confusing leading to misunderstanding of usage instructions. Drug dosing should be written explicitly, for example replacing ‘3 times a day’ with specific timings, and ensuring the drug name and dosage on medication labels is highlighted, underlined and uses large font\textsuperscript{23}. In areas with multi-ethnic communities, multilingual treatment instructions have been shown in a randomised trial to improve older adults understanding of medication usage instructions, dosing and regimen consolidation\textsuperscript{24}. Other measures to support health literacy include alternative forms of information provision e.g. videos, and clear face-to-face contact when consulting with a patient with hearing difficulty that might lip read\textsuperscript{23}.

A successful example from the UK of patients themselves designing a health literacy intervention is the ‘My Medication Passport’\textsuperscript{25}. This is a pocket-sized booklet that supports patients to record their medication list and related-information in a way that is comprehensible for them. Evaluation of the patients’ experience with these passports showed that it supported their confidence to discuss their medicines with healthcare professionals, and to share medication-related information with family and carers\textsuperscript{25}.
A recommendation from the EU funded IROHLA (Intervention Research on Health Literacy among Ageing populations) is the development of e-health apps for tablets, designed with older users, which can be used to order medicines, give alerts on medicines intake and support adherence (www.irohla.eu). In time, these technologies could improve the integration of treatment, in real-time, between multiple health providers and improve patient-provider communication through a shared e-platform of personalised medicines-related information.

In conclusion, health literacy has high face validity, and some empirical evidence, as a modifiable determinant of MRH in older adults. Whilst policy-makers respond to the WHO’s mandate for increasing health literacy at the population level, clinicians must be vigilant in their daily practice to the health literacy needs of their patients (and carers). Screening for low health literacy can be easily implemented in routine clinical settings, and should be used to target additional support with medicines. Patients with low health literacy are likely to benefit from closer review of their medicines usage, encouragement to participate in medication-related decisions, and aids to increase their understanding of therapeutic aims and potential harms. Addressing low health literacy can provide an alternative, patient-centred approach for reducing MRH.
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