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Following One’s Heart: Cardiac Rhythms Gate Central Initiation of Sympathetic Reflexes
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Central nervous processing of environmental stimuli requires integration of sensory information with ongoing autonomic control of cardiovascular function. Rhythmic feedback of cardiac and baroreceptor activity contributes dynamically to homeostatic autonomic control. We examined how the processing of brief somatosensory stimuli is altered across the cardiac cycle to evoke differential changes in bodily state. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging of brain and noninvasive beat-to-beat cardiovascular monitoring, we show that stimuli presented before and during early cardiac systole elicited differential changes in neural activity within amygdala, anterior insula and pons, and engendered different effects on blood pressure. Stimulation delivered during early systole inhibited blood pressure increases. Individual differences in heart rate variability predicted magnitude of differential cardiac timing responses within periaqueductal gray, amygdala and insula. Our findings highlight integration of somatosensory and phasic baroreceptor information at cortical, limbic and brainstem levels, with relevance to mechanisms underlying pain control, hypertension and anxiety.

Key words: baroreceptor; blood pressure; PAG; amygdala; insula; fMRI; shock; anxiety; blood phobia; HF HRV

Introduction
The processing of environmental stimuli is influenced by visceral state. The brain receives continuous afferent information about internal bodily functions. Cardiovascular homeostasis depends on such feedback; notably phasic signals from aortic arch and carotid sinus baroreceptors activated by blood-volume-distention during cardiac systole (Mancia and Mark, 1983). Baroreceptor firing modulates efferent autonomic responses in an organ-specific manner, inhibiting muscle sympathetic nerves while leaving skin sympathetic outflow unaltered (Wallin, 2007). The central processing of painful stimuli is influenced by experimentally increasing aortic baroreceptor firing via external neck pressure at specific phases of the cardiac cycle, reducing perceived pain and reflexive responses (e.g., limb withdrawal) (Brody and Rau, 1994; Droste et al., 1994; Dworkin et al., 1994; Kardos et al., 1994; Mini et al., 1995; Angrilli et al., 1997; Edwards et al., 2001, 2002; Rau and Elbert, 2001).

Sympathetic outflow to muscle vascular beds [muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA)] occurs in characteristic bursts, reflecting the cyclical inhibition of efferent brainstem cardiovascular nuclei, including the rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM) by baroreceptor feedback (McAllen and Malpas, 1997; Jänig, 2006). Unexpected somatosensory stimuli occurring during early cardiac systole [200–400 ms after electrocardiogram (ECG) R-wave] further inhibit MSNA in ∼60% of healthy subjects (Donadio et al., 2002a,b; Eder et al., 2009). In contrast, stimuli presented at the ECG R-wave (hereafter called synchronous stimuli), i.e., before the systolic pressure wave, do not inhibit MSNA (Donadio et al., 2002a,b; Wallin, 2007). Thus, when stimuli are administered after a delay relative to the ECG R-wave (hereafter delayed stimuli), baroreceptor impulses inhibit activity in sympathetic nerves supplying muscle. This inhibition is exaggerated in patients with blood phobia and syncope, suggesting that feedback mechanisms supporting cardiac homeostasis shape an individual’s affective style (Donadio et al., 2007). Stimulus timing within the cardiac cycle also influences reflexive and/or subjective impact of somatosensory stimulation; limb withdrawal and painful sensation are reduced to delayed versus synchronous stimuli (Elbert et al., 1988; Dworkin et al., 1994; Angrilli et al., 1997; Edwards et al., 2002, 2003, 2008).

The role of baroreceptor-sensitive brainstem nuclei in the homeostatic regulation of blood pressure is well characterized (Gilbey and Spyer, 1993; McAllen and Malpas, 1997; Spyer, 1999; Jänig, 2006; Gilbey, 2007). However, the mechanisms through which baroreceptor activity influences sensory and emotional processing is poorly understood. Neuroimaging studies implicate anterior insular and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in mediating cardiac influences on conscious feeling states (Craig, 2002; Critchley, 2005; Gianaros et al., 2007). The amygdala, via direct connections with pontine autonomic nuclei, is further implicated in translating psychological stress into bodily arousal, including...
patterns of blood pressure reactivity (Gianaros et al., 2008). We therefore hypothesized the activity of brainstem autonomic nuclei, amygdala, anterior insula and ACC would reflect cardiac timing influences on physiological reactions to somatosensory stimuli. We simultaneously recorded neural activity and physiological (beat-to-beat blood pressure) responses to unpredictable electrical stimulation of the skin at different times within the cardiac cycle to investigate the central mechanisms through which sensory and baroreceptor information interact.

Materials and Methods
Participants. Eleven healthy right-handed adults (7 female, 4 male, mean ± SD age 27.2 ± 7.2 years) with no history of psychiatric, neurological or cardiovascular illness or psychotropic or cardiovascular medication gave informed written consent to take part in this study which was approved by the Joint Research Ethics Committee of the Institute of Neurology and the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, UK. Informed consent was obtained after full explanation of the study and procedures.

Procedure. The scanning study was designed to investigate ECG R-wave synchronous and delayed stimuli. Immediately before scanning, ECG and pulse oximetry (Nonin 8600 FO Series, Nonin Medical Inc.) data were recorded in a psychophysiology laboratory with the participant in a reclined position, to determine mean pulse transit time, calculated as time between ECG R-wave and peak finger pulse oximetry waveform. In the MRI scanner, participants were then fitted with three ECG electrodes (mid sternum, 5 cm above and below left nipple). An inflatable finger cuff and infrared plethysmograph were fitted to the index finger of the subject’s left hand, allowing measurements of beat-to-beat finger arterial blood pressure with a Portapres device (Finapres Medical Systems) adapted in-house to be MR compatible. Finally, the electrocutaneous shock electrode was applied to the Portapres device to produce a stimulation area of 19.6 mm surrounded by a ring anode (diameter 6 mm) (Katsarava et al., 2006), providing a stimulation area of 19.6 mm². Participants underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) of the brain while synchronous or delayed electrical shocks were delivered to the dorsum of the hand.

ECG, skin conductance response (SCR), and Portapres (beat-to-beat blood pressure) analog waveform data were transformed into frequency data for fiber optic transmission from the MRI scanning room (1000, 10,000, and 50,000 Hz respective frequencies) to minimize radio frequency interference. Because scanner artifacts contaminated the ECG signal and could not be filtered away in real time, we used the pulse oximetry signal to trigger stimulus delivery relative to the next predicted ECG R-wave. The relationship between the times of stimulus presentation and ECG R-waves was validated post hoc.

Task and design. Participants were informed of the study aims; to examine neural and physiological responses to electrical skin stimuli. Seventy-two unpredictable (pseudorandomized presentation) electric shocks (mean ISI 26.1692 ± 23.289 s) were timed to occur either synchronous with the ECG R-wave (estimated using mean pulse transit time from preceding pulse oximetry waveform) or delayed [100–500 ms (mean 284.8, SD = 105.1 ms) after the ECG R-wave (see Fig. 1)]. Shocks were 10 ms square waves, set at a subject defined intensity of “strong, but not painful” (mean intensity 5 mA, 60 V). Participants were instructed to simply focus on a central fixation cross and wait for sensory stimuli. On average, each subject was presented with 27.1 synchronous (SD = 6.59) and 29.5 delayed shocks (SD = 8.13).

MRI acquisition and image preprocessing. Functional echo-planar datasets sensitive to BOLD (blood oxygen level dependent) contrast were acquired at 3 Tesla (Siemens Allegra) continuously throughout the stimulus delivery. The functional sequence minimized orbitofrontal signal dropout (Deichmann et al., 2003) and achieved partial brain coverage (40 slices, 1.5 mm slice thickness no gap, tilted 30° from intercommisural plane (see Fig. 3A) (TR 102 ms, TE 30 ms). In addition, a full brain T2 weighted volume (identical parameters, 100 slices), a full brain B0 phase-map (64 slices 128 × 128 matrix) and a high resolution T1-weighted structural scan (1 mm³) were acquired to optimize functional unwarping and normalization. Extensive physiological monitoring (beat-to-beat blood pressure, GSR, ECG, pulse oximetry) and shock delivery resulted in a small increase in radio frequency (RF) noise. Occasional transitory artifacts appeared as clearly visible intensity increases within a small number of neighboring voxels, restricted to a single column in a single slice of an fMRI volume Functional images were therefore...
**Mean Arterial Blood Pressure Responses**

### A. MAP increases after synchronous shocks were significantly greater than those observed after delayed shocks.

### B. Systolic Blood Pressure Responses

### C. Diastolic Blood Pressure Responses

**Figure 2.** Blood pressure changes after electric shocks. A, MAP increases after synchronous shocks were significantly greater than those observed after delayed shocks. B, C. Similar effects were observed in systolic (B) and diastolic (C) blood pressure measures, with significantly greater increases observed after R-wave synchronous shocks.

Screened for RF spike artifacts, initially slice by slice and then column by column (phase encode direction) within each slice using a custom-written SPM5 toolbox. Columns containing spikes were replaced with the mean of surrounding columns. Second, we ran a voxel by voxel screen over each of the ~20,000 voxels in each brain volume, for all volumes per subject, for all subjects, with a custom written 2-dimensional median intensity filter. We set the threshold at 20% above or below extreme values in surrounding voxels, replacing outliers with the median (variable size rectangular kernel = <9 x 9 voxels). Because the change in BOLD signal intensity due to brain activity is typically 2–3% of the total signal this was unlikely to alter uncontaminated data. These procedures were successful and conservative (~2% data replacement in total). Images were preprocessed using SPM5 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm), using spatial realignment and unwarping incorporating B0 field mapping (Hutton et al., 2002) and sequential coregistration (6 parameter rigid body spatial transformation). Structural scans were segmented into CSF, gray and white matter images and iteratively normalized to standard space (Montreal Neurologic Institute) using a single generative model (Ashburner and Friston, 2005). Transformation parameters for structural image segmentation and normalization were then applied to transforming participants’ functional data into normalized images in standard space. Functional scans were subsequently smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian smoothing kernel. The first six functional volumes were discarded to allow for equilibration of net magnetization.

**Physiological data analysis.** Beat-to-beat pressure waveforms obtained from the finger arterial pressure waveform recorded on the Portapres and reconstructed as brachial pressures. A set of physiological measures, including brachial pressure at systole and diastole, and mean arterial pressure (MAP) (calculated as the integral of the brachial pressure curve/number of measurements during that ECG cycle) was derived from these smoothed waveforms.

Stimuli were triggered by the ECG R-wave labeled the stimulus R-wave (Fig. 1). Blood pressure waveforms generated between the stimulus beat and R-wave 1 are not considered, because this period is confounded by shock delivery. The blood pressure wave generated during the following cycle cardiac cycle (from R-wave 1 to R-wave 2) is labeled BP 1. For ease of description, this numbering convention has been modified from previously used numberings focused on microneurography recordings (Donadio et al., 2002b, 2007). All blood pressure data are presented as change from baseline (mean of the respective blood pressure parameters between R-waves −4 to −1; Fig. 1, high-lighted in red).

**Heart rate variability analysis.** Heart rate measures [interbeat interval (IBI)] were derived from pulse oximetry recordings covering the entire recording period (~45 min). Heart rate variability (HRV) was assessed by calculating the power spectral density (PSD) of both the entire IBI time series, and of 60 s epochs after each shock providing measures of heart rate change within very low (0–0.04), low (0.04–0.15) and high frequency bands (0.15–0.4). High frequency heart rate variability (HF HRV) provides an index of relative parasympathetic (primarily vagus nerve) influences on the heart (Berntson et al., 1993; Task Force, 1996). Spectral density estimates were calculated using autoregressive time series modeling in HRV analysis tool version 1.1 (http://bsamig.uku.fi/index.shtml, Department of Physics, University of Kuopio, Finland). To explore the physiological basis to individual differences in cardiac cycle effects of stimulation, we examined both total HF power during the entire recording, and change in HF power after delayed (relative to synchronous) shocks, deriving a single measure of each for each subject.

**fMRI data analysis.** Region-of-interest (ROI) masks were constructed for bilateral amygdala, bilateral anterior insula, ACC and brainstem. Insula ROIs consisted of two 22 × 24 × 28 mm rectangular masks (left centered at −43, 18, −4, right centered at 48, 18, −4) whereas the ACC ROI was a 20 × 30 × 30 mm rectangular mask centered at 0, 16, 36. Brainstem ROI was a 32 × 34 × 30 mm rectangular mask (center 0, −25, −27). Finally, the amygdala ROI was traced to include basolateral, central, and superficial nuclei. Family-wise error (FWE) corrections for multiple comparisons were made for each anatomical ROI based on random field theory and implemented in SPM5 (Friston et al., 1994).

**Results**

**Physiological timing effects**

We first examined how beat-to-beat blood pressure responses after somatosensory stimulation were affected by cardiac timing. MAP was significantly greater during the first 8 cardiac cycles after synchronous shocks when compared with delayed shocks (Fig. 2A, Table 1) (supplemental Table S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Similarly, larger increases in systolic and diastolic blood pressure were observed after synchronous compared with delayed shocks (Fig. 2B, C) (supplemental Tables S2 and S3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Heart rate was not significantly altered by the occurrence or timing of stimuli [IBI (group mean ± SD) = 874.16 ± 149.38 ms].

We also examined the effects on blood pressure within subjects, motivated by the finding that there is variability across subjects in the degree to which delayed shocks inhibit sympathetic nerve outflow to the muscle (Wallin, 2007). Significant differences were observed at an individual level in three participants and trends in the same direction were observed in four others (see supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).
Significantly increased MAP responses following synchronous shocks remained after excluding clear nonresponders.

CNS responses

Neuroimaging data, quantifying BOLD signal changes across the scanning session, were analyzed using multiple regression analyses to identify changes in regional neural responses during the processing of synchronous and delayed shock that evoked distinct effects on peripheral blood pressure. Our model included separate regressors of interest identifying neural activity mediating beat-to-beat MAP responses to synchronous and delayed shocks for seven seconds after each stimulus, convolved with a delayed hemodynamic response function to account for the temporal relationship between neural activity and BOLD signal. We stringently controlled for artifactual (i.e., independent of neural activity) influences of blood pressure on BOLD measurements by including immediate (ondelayed and nonconvolved) MAP changes as a continuous nuisance regressor within the same model.

Our results identify differential responses within anterior insula, amygdala and brainstem to synchronous and delayed shocks (Fig. 3, Table 2). The differential neural responses within these a priori regions of interest are FWE corrected for the bilateral volume of each structure. Evoked activity within left anterior insula and mid pons was significantly greater after synchronous rather than delayed stimuli. A similar response pattern within the right insula was observed at lower (uncorrected) significance. Conversely, within the right amygdala (in the region of the central nucleus), activity increased after delayed and decreased after synchronous stimuli (Fig. 3). Again, significant differential responses within anterior insula, amygdala and brainstem remained after excluding clear nonresponders.

We also examined whether individual differences in vagal tone predicted differences in blood pressure or neural responses after synchronous versus delayed stimuli. The relationship between individual differences in evoked blood pressure responses and individual differences in neural or HRV measures did not reach significance (see supplemental Fig. S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). However second level covariate analyses revealed strong associations between differential neural responses and HRV. Interindividual differences in overall HF HRV power were significantly associated with interindividual differences in BOLD response within the left insula (Fig. 4D, E, Table 3, top); greater HF power was associated with a larger functional increase after synchronous than after delayed shocks (clusters closely match regions observed in Fig. 3B). Differential changes in HF power also reflected neural responses (Fig. 4F, G, Table 3, bottom). Increased HF power after delayed shocks was associated with increased right amygdala and periaqueductal gray activity (relative to synchronous shocks). Similar associations between HF power after delayed shocks were also observed within the anterior cingulate and left ventrolateral prefrontal cortices.

Discussion

The present study implicates specific cortical, limbic and brainstem centers for integration of responses to somatosensory with pulsatile baroreceptor stimulation. Specifically our main findings were: (1) delayed electric shocks delivered to the skin significantly attenuated MAP increases when compared with ECG R-wave synchronous shocks; (2) the central neural responses differed between synchronous and delayed shocks, with greater activity within insula and pons after synchronous and within right amygdala after delayed shocks; and (3) on an individual basis, differences in central neural responses between delayed and synchronous stimuli correlated closely to corresponding differences in HRV indices of vagal function.

Our findings regarding cardiovascular responses to electrical skin stimuli extend previous studies demonstrating that R-wave delayed stimulation inhibits sympathetic vasoconstrictor outflow to the vascular bed of skeletal muscle but not to skin (Wallin, 2007), and that increased baroreceptor activation attenuates nociceptive somatomotor reflexes (Dworkin et al., 1994; Rau and Elbert, 2001; Edwards et al., 2002; McIntyre et al., 2006, 2008). Increases in blood pressure evoked by sensory stimuli arise mainly through sympathetically mediated vasoconstriction, the magnitude of which reflects the balance between excitatory and inhibitory effects on sympathetic vasoconstrictor nerves across the body. Recordings of peripheral blood flow and/or sympathetic nerve traffic show that unexpected sensory stimuli induce

### Table 1. Differences between blood pressure (MAP) responses after synchronous versus delayed shocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>Levene’s statistic</th>
<th>Levene’s significance</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significance (α = 0.0028)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP 1</td>
<td>1, 690</td>
<td>33.243</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>10.500</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 2</td>
<td>1, 694</td>
<td>65.575</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>14.794</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 3</td>
<td>1, 695</td>
<td>90.410</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>14.828</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 4</td>
<td>1, 700</td>
<td>132.208</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>15.485</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 5</td>
<td>1, 700</td>
<td>146.320</td>
<td>3.265</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>14.360</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 6</td>
<td>1, 698</td>
<td>142.232</td>
<td>1.773</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>13.353</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 7</td>
<td>1, 694</td>
<td>147.760</td>
<td>3.706</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>14.533</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 8</td>
<td>1, 692</td>
<td>91.250</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>10.121</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 9</td>
<td>1, 692</td>
<td>47.345</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>5.573</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 10</td>
<td>1, 689</td>
<td>25.605</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>3.273</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 11</td>
<td>1, 691</td>
<td>31.699</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>4.217</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 12</td>
<td>1, 688</td>
<td>38.119</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>5.081</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 13</td>
<td>1, 688</td>
<td>23.583</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>3.267</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 14</td>
<td>1, 682</td>
<td>8.956</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>1.176</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 15</td>
<td>1, 680</td>
<td>2.870</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 16</td>
<td>1, 669</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 17</td>
<td>1, 667</td>
<td>2.073</td>
<td>4.294</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 18</td>
<td>1, 646</td>
<td>6.444</td>
<td>2.586</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One-way ANOVA revealed synchronous shocks evoked significantly greater MAP increases than delayed shocks. Highlighted (boldface) results are significant after correction for multiple comparisons (α = 0.0028). Nonsignificant Levene’s statistics demonstrate equality of variances.
transient vasoconstriction in the skin, kidney and splanchnic vascular beds (Forsyth, 1972; Caraffa-Braga et al., 1973; Yu and Blessing, 1997) but vasoconstriction is not typically observed in muscle, highlighting organ specific sympathetic control. In the present study, the differences in MAP are likely to correspond to vasodilation within skeletal muscle consequent upon MSNA inhibition during delayed (but not synchronous) shocks, counteracting the vasoconstriction in other vascular beds (Jänig, 1985; Wallin, 2007).

A central finding is that cortical and limbic neural responses differed to shocks presented at different times in the cardiac cycle. Our data indicate the presence of a discrete matrix of brain regions including amygdala, insula and brainstem nuclei that support the integration of somatosensory information with phasic cardiovascular feedback to control autonomic arousal responses. Notably, the processing of delayed stimuli, coincident with the systolic peak of baroreceptor signaling, activated the amygdala and attenuated responses in bilateral insula and mid pons. Both insula and amygdala are sensitive to feedback from bodily states of arousal, yet in this experimental context the two regions showed distinct responses. A body of research relates insula activity to the representation and regulation of myocardial function (Oppenheimer, 2007). Previous functional imaging suggests differential insula response to cardiac timed stimuli during interoception (Critchley et al., 2004; Gray et al., 2007). We observed increased blood pressure after synchronous shock, without significantly altered heart rate, suggesting altered cardiac ventricular contractility and/or peripheral resistance. Autonomic innervation regulating cardiac dromotropy and inotropy (rapidity and force of ventricular contractility) is predominantly left sided (Wittling et al., 1998). Our finding of greater increases in left insula function after synchronous shocks may correspond to left sided cerebral regulation of cardiac contractility. However, the close association between the magnitude of this effect and overall HF power (generally accepted to index parasympathetic cardiac regulation) across subjects suggests that the functional differences may reflect the degree of parasympathetic inhibition after delayed shocks. An alternative explanation is that activity in paralimbic insula cortex reflects cortical re-representations of visceral and autonomic status (Craig, 2002; Critchley et al., 2004; Gray and Critchley, 2007) in contrast to the direct coupling of brainstem [periaqueductal gray (PAG)] (Kober et al., 2008) and amygdala with afferent baroreceptor inhibition of sympathetic cardiovascular reflexes.

An important earlier observation is that there are reproducible individual differences in the degree of MSNA inhibition to delayed shocks (Wallin, 2007). These differences may partially
Figure 4. HRV predicts differential responses to synchronous and delayed shocks within left insula and brainstem. A, The variability in interbeat intervals (only 300 beats illustrated) is displayed for two subjects. Parametric autoregressive PSD measures identify very-low-frequency, low-frequency, and high-frequency HRV components. B, C, HRV spectrums are shown for a subject with small (B, red bordered plots) and large (C, blue bordered plots) high-frequency components. D, Across subjects, differential neural responses to shocks (synchronous–delayed) within the left insula correlate with HF HRV during the entire experiment. E, Greater differences in insula response are observed with increased HF HRV (colored circles identify subjects displayed above). F, G, Changes in HF HRV correlate with changes in neural responses across subjects. Greater HF HRV after delayed shocks is significantly associated with greater BOLD response after delayed shocks in right amygdala (F) and periaqueductal gray (G).
reflect an individual’s autonomic or affective style; participants with blood phobia and vasovagal syncope display exaggerated MSNA inhibition (Donadio et al., 2007). In our study increased HF HRV after delayed shocks was closely associated with increased functional activity within the PAG and amygdala. The PAG contributes to neural circuitry regulating anxiety, defensive motor and autonomic responses to surprising or threatening stimuli, including the initiation of nociceptive and non-nociceptive reactions (Fields and Basbaum, 1999; Mason, 2005; Mobbs et al., 2007). Anatomically, PAG is connected reciprocally to insula, amygdala and medullary centers, including RVLM, in which cardiovascular responses are regulated (Behbehani, 1995). Caudal ventrolateral PAG columns generate depressor responses (Lovick, 1992), primarily altering blood pressure rather than heart rate (van der Plas et al., 1995). Likewise, neurons in the central nucleus of the amygdala, projecting monosynaptically to the RVLM cardiovascular nucleus, are activated by blood pressure decreases (Saha et al., 2005). Our observation that cardiac timing differences in HF HRV power after brief sensory stimuli are reflected in differences in functional activity within PAG and amygdala further strongly implicates these regions in autonomic integration of baroreceptor influences with stimulus processing. Subjects with greater parasympathetic HRV responses to delayed shocks also showed greater functional activity within the PAG and amygdala.

Our human neuroimaging data detail the central influence of afferent information from baroreceptors and may be interpreted in the light of existing literature from animal studies. Animal studies show afferent neural activity from baroreceptors, beginning at the upstroke of each pressure wave, is relayed via the NTS to the caudal ventrolateral medulla (CVLM). From there, inhibitory projections dampen descending sympatho-excitatory efferent outputs generated within the RVLM (Sved et al., 2001). Neurons within CVLM exhibit tight cardiac synchrony and reflect arterial blood pressure at each cardiac cycle (Schreihofer and Guyenet, 2003). CVLM neurons also project directly and indirectly (via hypothalamus) to the PAG. Via the direct projection, baroreceptor information arrives at the PAG ~250–350 ms after ECG R-wave (Edwards et al., 2002). Additionally information regarding cutaneous stimulation may reach the PAG via inputs from the parabrachial nucleus. The PAG is known to play a crucial role in quiescence and nociception, attenuating reflexive responses to painful stimuli (Fields and Basbaum, 1999; Jänig, 2006), and may act as a bidirectional gateway inhibiting the ascending transmission of pain to cortical regions such as insula cortex (Millan, 1999). The present findings, in the light of these previous observations suggest the PAG to be a plausible neural substrate for the temporal integration of baroreceptor and nociceptive information in the control of blood pressure.

Table 3. HRV predicts differential responses to synchronous and delayed shocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>k (p &lt; 0.001)</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total HF HRV and increased neural response to synchronous shocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FDR 0.050</td>
<td>FWE 0.060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left insula</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increased HF HRV and neural response after delayed shocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>FWEamy 0.020</th>
<th>FWE 0.005</th>
<th>FWE 0.0330</th>
<th>FWE 0.0380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right amygdala</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periaqueductal gray</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventrolateral PFC</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total HF HRV predicted a trend for increased neural responses to shocks (synchronous–delayed) with decreased neural activity in left insula, amygdala, and medullary centers, including RVLM. In this case we would expect fMRI signatures to reflect both the initial efferent initiation, afferent representation and the evolving homeostatic regulation of cardiovascular responses. This, along with constitutional differences across subjects, may account for the absence of a robust association between peripheral blood pressure responses and neural or HRV measures. Together our findings highlight the distinct cortical representations of synchronous and delayed stimuli through functional coupling with amygdala and brainstem nuclei with implications for the subjective appraisal of external stimuli. Further, they suggest that individual differences in parasympathetic tone and reactivity may predict the influence of cardiac afferent traffic on the attenuation of central electrophysiological responses and blunted perception of nociceptive stimuli at different phases of the cardiac cycle (Dworkin et al., 1994; Rau et al., 1994; Edwards et al., 2001, 2002, 2008; Rau and Elbert, 2001; al’Absi et al., 2005; McIntyre et al., 2006, 2008).

In summary, our findings show that sensory processing depends on when stimuli are experienced in relation to heart beat timing; here particularly manifest as significant differences in evoked cardiovascular (blood pressure) arousal. We implicate brainstem, limbic and cortical brain regions in the integration of somatosensory and baroreceptor information and a role of individual sympathovagal balance in determining the influence of cardiac baroreceptor influences on central stimulus related processing. Our findings have direct relevance to understanding instrumental conditioning of essential hypertension (Rau and Elbert, 2001), pain perception (Dworkin et al., 1994) and individual differences in psychophysiological response tendencies (including, blood phobia) (Donadio et al., 2007).
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