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Abstract

This thesis reports the synthesis and analysis of a number of organometallic compounds, focusing primarily on novel structures containing Sn and P atoms.

Chapter 1 contains a literature review examining the different structural and bonding properties and reactions of C₄H₄, P₄ and P₂C₂R₂.

Chapter 2 describes the ability of the P₂C₂Bu₂ ligand to cause a reductive elimination in Sn(IV) species. Several different products from the reaction between Me₂SnCl₂ and Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Bu₂) are determined, and mechanisms for their interconversion are proposed. The synthesis of Sn(P₂C₂Ad₂) is reported along with its unprecedentedly low frequency 119Sn NMR spectroscopic chemical shift.

Chapter 3 contains attempts to produce transition metal complexes with phosphaalkyne based ligands. The crystal structure of a complex containing Fe and Zr centres with two P₂C₂Ad₂ rings is reported along with analysis of its paramagnetism. Mechanisms for the exchange of P₂C₂R₂ rings and chlorides are also proposed.

Chapter 4 details the synthesis of a range of CpₙSnIₘ species and a comparison of their solid and solution state structures using X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy. Reactions between these compounds and P(SiMe₃)₃ or LiP(SiMe₃)₂ are performed in order to explore the possibility of forming a Sn-P multiple bond.

Chapter 5 outlines the synthesis of bicyclic systems based on C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and Sn and Ge dialkyls. The effect of alkyl group bulk on product structure is investigated.

Chapter 6 explores the reaction between C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and P(SiMe₃)₃ in which an exchange of H and SiMe₃ groups occurs. The mechanism of the reaction is elucidated by the introduction of a catalytic proton source.
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Chapter 1

A Literature Review on the Structure and Bonding of C₄H₄, P₄ and P₂C₂R₂

When the aromatic nature of benzene was identified over 100 years ago, it sparked the imaginations of synthetic chemists to produce other carbon-based aromatic systems. The features defining an aromatic system are well known, i.e., the presence of a planar, cyclic system with a delocalisation of $4n + 2$ electrons resulting in an inherent stability and uniformity of bonding around the ring. The first attempts were directed towards the synthesis of both eight and four membered rings. Whilst cyclooctatetraene was produced with relative ease, cyclobutadiene posed a multitude of challenges. With respect to its molecular orbitals the four $p_z$ orbitals of each C combine to produce one bonding orbital, one anti-bonding orbital and two degenerate non-bonding orbitals. These molecular orbitals contain four electrons, the lowest energy (bonding) orbital is fully populated and each of the degenerate non-bonding orbitals contains one unpaired electron. (Figure 1.1)¹

![Figure 1.1: Frontier molecular orbitals of cyclobutadiene (simplified)](image)

¹ Figure 1.1: Frontier molecular orbitals of cyclobutadiene (simplified)
This di-radical nature coupled with the strained geometry of the four-membered ring result in an inherently unstable molecule which reacts almost immediately upon formation, either in the presence of additional reagents, or in their absence, will rapidly dimerise to form tricyclooctadiene.\(^2\) The transient nature of cyclobutadiene made structural analysis of the molecule impossible and debate over whether it adopted a square or rectangular structure remained unresolved for several decades. Eventually, the advent of matrix isolation techniques meant that single molecules of cyclobutadiene could be trapped and studied by infrared spectroscopy which identified its alternate single- and double-bonded structure.\(^3\)

In the interim, however, organometallic chemists followed a different route. In 1956, Longuet-Higgins and Orgel predicted that cyclobutadiene could be stabilised within the coordination sphere of a transition metal in a similar fashion to the already known cyclopentadienyl ligands.\(^4\) The stabilisation they predicted would be achieved by increasing the number of \(\pi\)-electrons from four to six. Thus both would meet the Hückel criterion of \(4n + 2\) electrons and would have a stable aromatic system. For the cyclopentadienyl ligand this involves a formal transfer of one electron from the metal centre whereas filling each of the two non-bonding orbitals of the cyclobutadienyl ligand requires two. Their predictions were quickly proved correct from experimentally isolated complexes containing substituted cyclobutadienyl ligands such as tetraphenylcyclobutadieneirontricarbonyl (I),\(^5\) tetramethylcyclobutadienenicke chloride (II),\(^6\) and cyclobutadieneirontricarbonyl (III).\(^7\) (Figure 1.2)
All three of these complexes have since been shown by single crystal X-ray diffraction to have four equal length ring C-C bonds indicating that they are in fact aromatic.\textsuperscript{8-10}

Since they were first produced around fifty years ago, \textit{circa} 500 molecular structures have been published featuring an aromatised cyclobutadienyl metal fragment. In comparison, there are currently over 38,500 structures based on a metal coordinated cyclopentadiene.\textsuperscript{11} This discrepancy is due to the relative instability of the cyclobutadiene precursor. Whilst the typical Diels-Alder dimerisation of the cyclopentadiene molecules\textsuperscript{12} can be prevented by converting them into aromatic alkali metal salts, there is no equivalent stabilisation technique for their cyclobutadienyl analogues, unless they possess multiple substituents such as ester or phenyl groups to disperse the double negative charge.\textsuperscript{13} This is why the cyclobutadienyl ring must be produced \textit{in situ}, usually by elimination reactions from larger molecules, prior to coordination to a metal centre.\textsuperscript{3,14} (Scheme 1.1)
The complications which arise from this *in situ* step, such as the reactivity of any side products, make the cyclobutadienyl ligand far less attractive to synthetic organometallic chemists.

In spite of these intrinsic difficulties, or maybe because of them, cyclobutadiene continues to fascinate theoretical and synthetic chemists alike. Whilst it may not have the same ubiquitous appeal as cyclopentadienyl, complexes containing cyclobutadienyl groups are still regularly reported.\textsuperscript{15,16} One area of research involving cyclobutadiene that has proven even more fertile in recent years however, is the calculation of its thermochemical properties, specifically its antiaromaticity.\textsuperscript{17} As previously mentioned, cyclobutadiene is a very unstable molecule, but this instability is due to multiple factors and determining the contribution from each remains a challenge. It was not until 2006 that an accurate value was determined for the heat of formation of cyclobutadiene at 429 ± 16 kJmol\textsuperscript{-1},\textsuperscript{18} in turn leading to a calculation of a total destabilisation energy of 316 ± 16 kJmol\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{17} This value though is an inseparable mix of both ring strain factors and antiaromaticity. The notion of ring strain serves more as a description of effects than a property that can be easily assigned to any particular steric feature. In the case of cyclobutadiene there appear to be two predominant factors which are responsible for the ring strain,\textsuperscript{17} the most obvious being found in the C-C-C bond angles. The ideal angle for sp\textsuperscript{2} hybridised carbon atoms would be 120°, but the rectangular shape of the ring

\begin{equation}
\text{Scheme 1.1: Examples of cyclobutadiene formed via photolysis}
\end{equation}
means that all of the angles are 90º, representing a considerable deviation. The second major cause of ring strain is due to the close proximity of the two localised \( \pi \)-bonds. In a molecule of cyclobutadiene, the double bonds are forced closer to each other than in any other molecule.\(^{19} \) The repulsion between these two \( \pi \)-bonds is believed to be the reason that the calculated length of the single bonds is 0.1 Å longer than the open chain butadiene.\(^{20} \) These two steric strains contribute to a significant portion of the overall destabilisation energy of cyclobutadiene, but the exact figure is unknown. As a result, estimates of the antiaromatic contribution to the destabilisation energy are subject to a large degree of uncertainty, with reported values ranging from 44 kJmol\(^{-1} \) to 230 kJmol\(^{-1} \).\(^{1, 21, 22} \) with no definitive method yet established to determine a single value.

Before the advent of data from molecular structure studies or IR spectroscopy, it was proposed that \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_4 \) molecule might have a tetrahedral structure.\(^{23} \) With all the evidence now available this may seem like a completely unfeasible premise, but it is not entirely illogical. In numerous instances it has been shown that the isolobal relationship between the CH fragment and a phosphorus atom allows one to substitute for the other.\(^{24} \) Therefore, considering the tetrahedral structure of white phosphorus - \( \text{P}_4 \) (IV), the existence of tetrahedrane (V) seems entirely plausible. It has been well established, however, that the two molecules do not form analogous structures. Whilst some sterically protected tetrahedrane are known,\(^{25} \) the unsubstituted form is not, and neither is free tetraphosphacyclobutadiene (VI) (although as with cyclobutadiene (VII), the aromatic dianion has been captured on a metal centre with the associated aromaticity providing the stabilisation).\(^{26} \)
There are a number of factors which are likely to contribute to this disparity between the possible isomers, such as bond length and orbital hybridisation, but the structure seems to ultimately be determined by balancing the energy difference between the saturated and unsaturated forms and the increased strain of the three-membered over the four-membered rings. In both instances the tetrahedral structure is more strained than the planar diene. However, because the two π bonds of the diene are weaker than the two σ bonds of the tetrahedral structure, the sum of the bond energies diene would suggest a relatively higher energy state.27

Figure 1.3: The differing structures of $C_4H_4$ and $P_4$, with their theoretical analogues

Because the difference between the energies of σ and π bonds increases down the periodic table as p-orbital overlap decreases, the increased energy of the P based diene is too great to be offset by the reduction in ring strain.28 Conversely, in the case of carbon the bond energy difference is less than experienced with P, ultimately meaning

Figure 1.4: Tautomerisation between structures of $P_4/C_4H_4$ and the relevant driving forces.
that the diene structure is adopted due to its favourable geometry.\textsuperscript{29} The tendency for phosphorus based molecules to form saturated cages rather than the unsaturated rings favoured by the equivalent CH molecules is also evident in the eight membered systems, cyclooctatetraene (VIII)\textsuperscript{30} and the P\textsubscript{8} phosphorus cube (IX) which is calculated to lie at a local energy minimum.\textsuperscript{31} (Figure 1.5)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{structures.png}
\caption{Structures of C\textsubscript{8}H\textsubscript{8} (observed) and P\textsubscript{8} (calculated local minimum)}
\end{figure}

Some of this structural dissimilarity can also be found in the relevant metal complexes. The existence of cyclobutadienyl complexes has already been discussed and it has been shown that the P\textsubscript{4} can be aromatised in forming a metal complex.\textsuperscript{26} However, there are examples of the tetrahedral P\textsubscript{4} bound to a metal\textsuperscript{32} through the breaking of one of the P-P bonds in what can be viewed as an oxidative addition. No analogous compounds of C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4} are known.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{complexes.png}
\caption{Planar and tetrahedral P\textsubscript{4} complexes}
\end{figure}
In addition to the formation of transition metal complexes, the activation of P₄ by main group compounds has been widely explored. The P₄ unit is most susceptible to nucleophilic attack by negatively charged species which breaks one of the bonds, opening the tetrahedron to form the butterfly-like structure. Using a bulky nucleophile and electrophile the P₄ can be trapped in this open form. (Scheme 1.2)

Scheme 1.2: Activation of P₄ by bulky aryl nucleophile and electrophile.

Without the steric stabilisation though, repeated attack on the P₄ unit is more commonly observed, leading to the formation of a mixture of P₁ species. For example, the reaction between P₄ and sodium hydroxide will ultimately yield hydrogen, phosphine and sodium hypophosphite.

Perhaps more interesting though are the activations by low coordinate main group atoms. Compounds such as carbenes or monovalent group 13 elements can be
viewed as either possessing a lone pair of electrons and an unoccupied orbital,\textsuperscript{36} or having both a positive and negative charge. This dual nature allows them to act both as nucleophile and electrophile, in theory enabling the activation of \( P_4 \) without additional quenching reagents by inserting into the P-P bond and forming what could be described as a bridged butterfly structure.\textsuperscript{33} Subsequent reactions then convert this into a variety of different forms determined by the activating element and its substituents. In the case of monovalent aluminium, for example, using the bulky nacnac ligand [HC(CMeN(2,6-iPr\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3}))\textsubscript{2}Al] causes an insertion into two bonds forming opposite edges of the tetrahedron.\textsuperscript{37} This leaves the phosphorus atoms in a puckered four membered ring between the two aluminium atoms. If a less bulky substituent such as Cp* is used, the result is a complete separation of the phosphorus atoms into the alternating P-Al structure shown, where the Cp* ligands are \( \eta^5 \) apart from those of the aluminium atoms bound to three phosphorus atoms which are \( \eta^1 \).\textsuperscript{38} (Figure 1.7)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure17.png}
\caption{Products of insertion of monovalent aluminium species into \( P_4 \).}
\end{figure}
In a similar fashion, the monovalent gallium species $\text{Ga}_4\left[C(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\right]_4$ has been shown to insert into three of the bonds around a single phosphorus to produce a structure similar to nortricyclane.\textsuperscript{39} This is in contrast to the behaviour of the fully oxidised Ga(III) compound $\text{^1Bu}_3\text{Ga}$ which no longer possessing the potential to undergo an oxidative addition by insertion into the P-P bond, adds across the bond.\textsuperscript{40} This opens the tetrahedron to form the butterfly structure as previously seen, but as the Ga is a Lewis acid the lone pair of electrons on the newly formed alkyl phosphorus coordinates to it forming a bridged structure. (Figure 1.8)

Unlike the monovalent group 13 compounds, the tendency of carbenes is not to insert into the P-P bonds. Instead the carbon forms a double bond with one of the phosphorus atoms, opening the tetrahedron into a three membered ring with a P=C side chain. The effect of subsequent carbene attacks is largely dependent on the identity of the carbon substituents, often either adding across a P-P bond or opening the ring to form chains.\textsuperscript{41, 42} (Scheme 1.3)
The fact that carbenes typically form C=P double bonds rather than maintaining the cage structure seems to be due to the nucleophilic nature of the carbenes as opposed to other electrophilic reagents.\textsuperscript{43} Despite being from the same group, electrophilic silylenes behave far more like the aluminium and gallium examples than the carbenes. Possibly the best examples of this are the results by Driess \textit{et al} in 2007,\textsuperscript{44} in which a cyclic silylene is shown to insert into one of the P-P bonds to form the now familiar bridged

\textit{Scheme 1.3: Reactions between P₄ and different carbenes.}
butterfly structure which may be followed by the insertion of a second silylene molecule into the bond opposite the first, producing a structure reminiscent of (XIII). (Scheme 1.4)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 1.4: Activation of } P_4 \text{ by silylenes.}
\end{align*}
\]

Much of the study into the activation of P₄ by Sn species has concerned methyltin hydrides, which undergo a reductive elimination \textit{in situ} to produce a transient stannylene group. Depending on the reaction conditions, dimethyltin dihydride can be used to form either an adamantane like structure by insertion into each of the six P-P bonds, or a norbornane like structure by fragmenting the P₄ unit and just bridging between two P atoms.⁴⁵ (Scheme 1.5)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme 1.5: Insertion of stannylenes into } P_4.
\end{align*}
\]
A similar reaction using tetramethyldistannane has been shown to yield a bicyclooctane analogue (XXVI) in which two phosphorus atoms are connected by three distannyl bridges. Because the Sn-Sn bond is already present before the reaction, there is no need for the organic base (DMF) which was previously required to prevent the formation of (XXIV) when using dimethylditin dihydride. When exposed to light, however, (XXVI) will eject dimethylstannylene to form (XXV). (Scheme 1.6)

Scheme 1.6: Activation of P₄ by tetramethylstannene, followed by photolysis.

These examples serve to further illustrate the inherent reactivity differences between P₄ and C₄H₄. Whilst the activated P₄ clusters in these examples are often doubly reduced, none of them adopt an aromatic ring structure over the saturated polycyclic forms.

Bearing these widely differing activities in mind, an obvious progression of research leads to the following question; how would a molecule comprised of a mixture of P and C fragments behave? Would it resemble the relatively stable tetrahedron of phosphorus or the reactive anti-aromatic ring of cyclobutadiene? And how would it bond to a metal centre? Answers to these questions first started appearing in 1986 when two papers emerged describing the cyclodimerisation of a phosphaalkyne by transition metal (most notably cobalt) complexes. These reactions result in new
complexes containing a four membered ring with alternating phosphorus and carbon atoms. The ring was found to be planar with all P-C bonds of equal length and coordinated η⁴ to the metal centre, indicating the same aromatisation experienced by the P₄ and C₄ rings. The fact that the mixed atom ring can act in a similar fashion to that witnessed in both extremes should come as no surprise and, in and of itself, tells us little about the nature of the ring. These complexes do, however, show that a P₂C₂R₂ ring could be synthesised relatively trivially and opened up the field for further study. These initial papers were followed up a year later with reports of another dimerisation of the ¹BuCP, this time using a Zr centre. Unlike the previous examples the P₂C₂R₂ system in this case was not a square planar ring but instead formed the opened tetrahedral type structure observed for (XI), with additional bonds formed between the two P and the two C bound to the Zr centre.

This ligation mode of the P₂C₂R₂ unit affords the phosphorus atoms the saturated nature they favour, while the presence of the zirconium atom between the carbon atoms results in a lower ring strain than if it were a tetrahedron. Since these early examples, the P₂C₂R₂ moiety has been used in several further complexes with a variety of different

![Figure 1.9: Different structures of the phosphaalkyne dimer formed on metal centres.](XXVII XXVIII)
transition metals, substituent groups and other ligands, but to date (XXVIII) remains the only example displaying the tetrahedron type structure on a transition metal centre.

Figure 1.10: Selected transition metal diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes

From these examples the $\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2$ ring does not seem to exhibit any behaviour that has not been observed for $\text{P}_4$ compounds, but this is no longer the case when the ring is removed from the transition metal. Several papers have been published which detail reactions between (XXVIII) and different main group halides, forming zirconocene dihalide and transferring the $\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2$ unit onto the new centre. Once transferred the $\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2$ unit adopts a number of different structures depending on the new metal centre. In some cases, such as the reactions with PhBCl$_2$ and PCl$_3$, it will retain the structure it held in the Zr complex. In contrast, reactions with SnCl$_2$ and PbI$_2$ form planar ring complexes while the products of reactions with GeCl$_2$ varied in structure depending on the ultimate oxidation state of the Ge.\textsuperscript{57}
The most substantial move towards a cyclobutadienyl like structure is seen in the reaction with SbCl$_3$.\textsuperscript{58} This results in a planar P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring with one double bond and the antimony atom forming a three membered ring on the opposite side, producing an analogue of the housene\textsuperscript{59} molecule.

\begin{scheme}
\textit{Scheme 1.7: Transfer of the P$_2$C$_2$ ring to main group elements}
\end{scheme}

The presence of a discrete double bond is far more reminiscent of the cyclobutadiene structure than any seen with a P$_4$ fragment. This suggests, as might well be expected,
the bonding interactions of the P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring occupy something of a middle ground between cyclobutadiene and P$_4$, capable of adopting structures which closely resemble those of either of the single element analogues depending on the molecular environment.

The previous examples illustrate well the variable structure of the P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring when bound to another atom or coordinated to a metal centre but provide no indication as to how it exists as a separate entity, just the neutral ring without any other atoms to stabilise it. At a glance, two obvious possibilities present themselves. If the ring behaves like P$_4$, then (holding with the $t$-Bu system as it is one of the more commonly used) it would form bis($t$-tert-butyldiphosphatetrahedrane. On the hand, it could form bis($t$-tert-butyl)diphosphacyclobutadiene the analogue of C$_4$H$_4$.

![Diagram](image)

Given that P$_4$ and C$_4$tBu$_4$ both exist as tetrahedral molecules, one could be forgiven for supposing that (XLII) would be the adopted structure, but in reality this does not seem to be the case. As previously mentioned, the P$_4$ tetrahedron is favoured over the diene because of the relatively weak P-P $\pi$-bonds. The tetra-$t$-tert-butyltetrahedrane on the other hand is stabilised by the steric “corset effect”, whereby the tetrahedral shape offers the maximum separation of the bulky alkyl groups. The P-P bonds have been replaced by P-C bonds, in which the energy difference between $\sigma$-bonds and $\pi$-bonds is not as great, and without the $3^{rd}$ and $4^{th}$ $t$-Bu groups the maximum separation is actually

Figure 1.11: Possible free P$_2$C$_2$ structures
across the diagonal of the planar ring rather than in the tetrahedron. Ironically, by combining halves of two molecules that stabilised the tetrahedron in different ways, both effects have been nullified resulting in the probable favouring of the dienyl structure.

As in the case of cyclobutadiene, (XLIII) is a highly reactive species and so as yet has not been observed directly. Its presence can be inferred though by examining the products of its dimerisation reactions. These often take the form of tetraphosphatricyclooctadienes (tetraphpsphaladderenes), demonstrating the analogy with cyclobutadiene. However, while the cyclobutadiene ring is comprised solely of carbon atoms and so can only form one dimer, the two different atoms of the P₂C₂R₂ ring mean that different isomers of the dimer can be formed by different reactions⁶¹-⁶³ (Scheme 1.9). (In the case of XLIV, the ring is transferred to the nickel centre and undergoes a rearrangement before being released).

\[
\text{Scheme 1.9: Products of P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \text{ ring dimerisations.}
\]
Thus far the 1,3,5,7-tetraphosphaladderene has not been observed, quite possibly because it converts easily into the tetraphosphacubane (XLVI) through a 2+2 cyclisation.\textsuperscript{63} This is in contrast to the behaviour of the solely carbon tricyclooctadiene which, if anything, will decompose to a cyclooctatetraene.\textsuperscript{1} Once again, this illustrates the ability of the P\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2}R\textsubscript{2} ring to alternate between mimicking the structures of the entirely C or P based analogues depending on the molecular environment.

Previous computational models have disagreed with experimental evidence, suggesting that the most commonly seen P\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{4} structure, (XLVI), is actually the least thermodynamically stable, and that the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene (XLIII) is similarly disfavoured over other four membered species.\textsuperscript{63}
The reason for this discrepancy may well be a result of the decision to model the simpler phosphaacetylene rather than tert-butylphosphaalkyne. By using a considerably smaller group on the carbon atom, structures such as (XLIII) and (XLVI) which offer the maximum separation of carbon substituents will not be as advantageous as the real world analogues.
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Chapter 2

Sn Complexes Containing the $\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2$ Ligand

2.1: Introduction

Although there are many reports featuring a phosphaalkyne dimer bound to a main group element,\(^1\) it is rarely the case that the dimerisation occurs *in situ*, as is frequently observed for transition metals (*vide* Chapter 3). The reaction in which two equivalents of phosphaalkyne are coupled using aluminium trichloride, in fact produced a bicyclic trimer.\(^2\) (Scheme 2.1)

\[
\text{iBu-}P-P\text{tBu} + \text{AlCl}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 0 \to 25^\circ\text{C}, 3\text{ h}} \text{iBu-PAlCl}_3\text{tBu}
\]

*Scheme 2.1: Coupling of phosphaalkyne by AlCl$_3$*

Subsequently, similar reactions were performed in the following years using aluminium (and later gallium) trialkyls. Initially it was observed that the reaction employing triethylaluminium produced a trimer or tetramer (incorporating two and one aluminium centres respectively) depending on the reaction conditions.\(^3\) (Scheme 2.2)
Later research showed that by replacing the ethyl groups with \textit{iso}-butyl or 2-phenylpropyl groups afforded a different trimeric structure containing a single dialkyl aluminium moiety.\(^4\) (Scheme 2.3)

In the same article, triethylgallium was reported to afford a similar product as the bulkier dialkyl aluminium analogues but the relative positions of the phosphorus and metal atom were different. (Scheme 2.4)
In addition to these two reports employing Al and Ga, the only other reported
dimerisation resulted from the activation of an excess of phosphaalkyne by one of two
trialkyltin hydrides. The authors postulated the formation of a stannyl phosphaalkene
intermediate which then proceeded to react with a further equivalent of the
phosphaalkyne to produce a diphosphacyclobutene. (Scheme 2.5)

Although the analogous reactions using dialkyl tin dihydrides were reported to give the
similar diphosphacyclobutenes, these were apparently unstable with respect to an
unspecified decomposition rendering their isolation impossible. Using
dialkyltinchlorohydrides on the other hand produced stable products, but in addition to
the dimer, a tetramer was formed containing a dialkyltin moiety inserted into one of the
P-C bonds of a tetraphosphacubane. (Scheme 2.6)
Finally, there is a single example of a 1:1 phosphaalkyne complex of a main group element which was synthesised when \textsuperscript{t}BuCP was employed to trap a photolytically generated silylene.\textsuperscript{6} (Scheme 2.7) The complex contained a three membered P-C-Si ring with a carbon-phosphorus double bond.

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si}
\end{array} \right] + \text{R} = \text{t} \text{Bu, Ad} \xrightarrow{h \nu, 20 ^\circ \text{C}, 14 \text{ d, pentane}} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si} \\
\text{Si}
\end{array} \right] + \text{t} \text{Bu}
\]

\textit{Scheme 2.7: Trapping of silylene using phosphaalkynes}
Almost all reported syntheses of \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) complexes of main group elements have employed \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2) \) in conjunction with the corresponding main group chloride. (Scheme 2.8)

![Scheme 2.8: Synthesis of \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) complexes of main group elements](image)

Many of these complexes along with their respective molecular structures have been discussed in the introductory literature review but some additional detail will be presented here. In complexes such as those of Ge(II), Sn(II) and Pb(II),\(^7,8\) the molecular structure displayed may be described as a \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) ring bound \( \eta^4 \) to the metal centre. It may, however, be more accurately described as a five-atom, Wadeian, \textit{nido}-cluster,\(^9\) adopting the expected square-base, pyramidal structure. The frontier orbitals of such clusters are formed from a combination of \( p_x \), \( p_y \) and sp-hybrid orbitals of the metal and the \( \pi \) orbitals of appropriate symmetry of the \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) ring (Figure 2.1)
This same structure is also predicted for the isoelectronic cationic analogues of the phosphorus, arsenic and antimony.\textsuperscript{10,11} (Figure 2.2)

Theoretical calculations on the all possible cluster isomers possible \textit{e.g.} with either C-C, P-P, or C-P bonded species have shown that the synthetically isolated species is not always the most stable, but is determined to some extent by the nature of the existing structure of the \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) group bound to the Zr centre and to kinetic barriers preventing its rearrangement.\textsuperscript{11}

\textit{Figure 2.1: Frontier orbitals of \( \text{EP}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) clusters} (\( E = \text{Ge}, \text{Sn} \) or \( \text{Pb} \))
In order for Wade’s rules to be applicable the atoms must be sp-hybridised. A change in structure is thus to be expected when the apical atom breaks this condition \textit{e.g.} changing from Ge(II) to Ge(IV). Although the Ge(IV) centre also formally uses two electrons to bond to the \(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2\) unit, the new orbital rearrangement requires this bonding to be composed of two discrete \(\sigma\)-bonds rather than the three delocalised cluster bonds. Similar structural alterations are observed for the neutral group 15 analogues. Thus, although the number of bonding electrons remains the same as their cationic counterparts, the population of what was previously an unoccupied orbital requires that the atom is rehybridised and the molecular structure becomes non-Wadeian structure.\textsuperscript{8,10-12} (Scheme 2.9)

\[\text{Fig. 2.2: Isoelectronic relationship between neutral group 14 and anionic group 15 clusters}\]
To date, it is noteworthy that the equivalent Sn(IV) and Pb(IV) non-Wadeian structures have not been reported.

Wadeian cluster formation has also been reported the novel phosphacarbaborane 2-\textsuperscript{1}Bu-1,2-PCB\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{5} which was isolated from the reaction of \textsuperscript{1}BuCP with B\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{10}.\textsuperscript{13} (Scheme 2.10)
Scheme 2.10: Reaction of $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ with $^{t}\text{BuCP}$
2.2: Results and Discussion

In an attempt to synthesise a Sn(IV) analogue of the previously reported Sn(II) species, Sn(P₂C₂tBu₂),⁹ a series of reactions using Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂tBu₂) and several tin reagents of the general formula RₙSnX₄₋ₙ (R = Ph, Cp, or Me and X = Cl, or I) were performed. For the majority of these reactions the only product identified by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy was Sn(P₂C₂tBu₂), or on occasion a mixture containing a high proportion of Sn(P₂C₂tBu₂), and the previously characterised tetraphosphacubane, (P₄C₄tBu₄).¹⁴ All Sn(IV) intermediates which may have been formed during these reactions were unstable with respect to reductive elimination, presumably arising from a radical coupling of the two of the ligands, although the by-products were not identified.

(Scheme 2.11)

![Scheme 2.11: Proposed formation of Sn(P₂C₂tBu₂) and P₄C₄tBu₄](image)

After the continued reduction of the Sn(IV) reagents, Me₂SnCl₂ was chosen as an alternative reagent as the methyl groups would be less prone to radical formation and thus less likely to form the stable Sn(II) product. This strategy succeeded in avoiding
the formation, Sn(P₂C₂'^Bu₂), and instead, depending on the conditions, three different Sn(IV) compounds could be produced.

**Reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂'^Bu₂) with Me₂SnCl₂**

![Scheme 2.12: Reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂'^Bu₂) with Me₂SnCl₂](image)

An initial reaction using a 1:1 stoichiometry was performed in an NMR tube using a mixture of THF and benzene-d₆ (*circa* 9:1). The mixture was heated to 60 °C for five days after which time the $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum was recorded. This spectrum indicated that three products had been formed a ratio of *circa* 2:0.9:0.7. (Figure 2.3) The resonances attributable to each of the three products were assigned on the basis of the values determined for their respective integrals and coupling constants. The $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy data for these compounds is displayed in Table 2.1, with $P^i$ and $P^{ii}$ denoting the higher and lower frequency chemical shifts respectively of each compound.
Each product was observed as pairs of doublets indicating that all three contained two chemically inequivalent phosphorus atoms. Thus the possibility any of these products having a “butterfly-type” structure, as observed for the zirconocene precursor, was ruled out. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum also showed only a very slight trace of Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) at δ 143.4, illustrating that the majority of the Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ starting material had not been reduced.

Figure 2.3: $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of the products of reaction of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>$J^P - J^P_{117}^{117}$ Sn /Hz</th>
<th>$J^P - J^P_{119}^{119}$ Sn /Hz</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\delta$ (broad)</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>Relative Integral</th>
<th>Integral</th>
<th>Product A</th>
<th>Product B</th>
<th>Product C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>311.2 (broad)</td>
<td>355.8</td>
<td>362.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2.1: Compiled NMR spectroscopic data for products A, B and C*
The $^{119}\text{Sn}^{[1]H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture showed that the resonances of all three products displayed chemical shifts between $\delta$ 0 and 150, also indicating the retention of the Sn(IV) centre. (Figure 2.4)

The $^{119}\text{Sn}^{[1]H}$ NMR spectrum also showed two significant impurities at $\delta$ 30 and 118. The resonance at $\delta$ 30 corresponded to Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ while the resonance at $\delta$ 118 was attributed to an unidentified decomposition product of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$, e.g., (Me$_2$ClSn)$_2$ or (Me$_2$ClSn)$_2$O. The nature of this decomposition was not pursued.

Figure 2.4: $^{119}\text{Sn}^{[1]H}$ NMR spectrum of the products of reaction of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)
For product A, the value determined for the $J_{P,P}$ was 80 Hz, which was notably almost double that determined for the other two products. (Figure 2.5)

In the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum, both resonances attributed to product A displayed Sn satellites. Although the $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites could not be resolved for the resonance at δ 311, a value of 124 Hz was determined for their average which is in the range typically observed for $^2J_{P,Sn}$ couplings. The second resonance at δ 21 displayed much larger Sn-P couplings and indeed the $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites were resolved. The much larger values of 1635 and 1560 Hz measured for the $^{31}P-^{119}$Sn/$^{117}$Sn couplings respectively indicated a $^1J_{Sn-P}$. In addition to this coupling to one Sn centre a second set of satellites with a value of 37 Hz were observed. These were attributed to a coupling arising from a second Sn centre. This assignment was supported by the observation that the $^{119}$Sn$\{^1H\}$ NMR

**Figure 2.5: Expanded $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of product A (trace impurity visible as doublet at δ 17.3)**
spectrum of the mixture contained an additional resonance that had the same relative integral as the resonance assigned to the first Sn centre associated with product A. Furthermore the line-width associated with this $^{119}$Sn resonance at $\delta$ 101 ($v_{1/2} = 91$ Hz), ruled out the observation of the expected doublet for which a coupling constant of 37 Hz would be present. (Figure 2.6)

Figure 2.6: Expanded $^{119}$Sn$^{1}$H] NMR spectrum of product A

Having postulated that product A contained a second Sn centre, an attempt was made to synthesise it selectively using two equivalents of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$. 
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Reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Bu₂) with two equivalents of Me₂SnCl₂

The reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Bu₂) with two equivalents of Me₂SnCl₂ was carried out in a mixture of THF and benzene-d₆ (*circa* 9:1). The mixture was heated to 50 °C for 5 days in the absence of light. Product A was synthesised in approximately 85% yield (as determined from the integration of the resonances in the $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum) along with traces of products B and C. (Figure 2.7)

![NMR spectrum of product A](attachment:image.png)

*Figure 2.7: $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum of product A*

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of product A displayed four resonances with an integral ratio of 9:9:6:6 (Figure 2.8)
The resonances at δ 1.32 and 1.22 were assigned, based on their integrals and chemical shifts, to the presence of two inequivalent \textsuperscript{1}Bu groups. Both of the resonances at δ 0.89 and 0.58 possessed Sn satellites and although the $^{117/119}\text{Sn}$ individual couplings could not be resolved this further confirmed the presence of a second Me$_2$Sn moiety in
product A. (Table 2.2) A molecular structure for product A which is consistent with the NMR spectroscopic data is shown in Figure 2.9.

![Molecular structure](image)

**Figure 2.9: Proposed molecular of product A (2.1)**

The nature of the SnCPCP ring would render the Me groups which are projected above and below this plane chemically equivalent in the $^1{}^H$ NMR spectrum. The introduction of a second Me$_2$Sn group within product A is proposed to derive from the coordination of the ring P atom adjacent to the first Sn centre, for which two sets of Sn satellites were observed in the $^{31}{}^P\{$$^1{}^H\}$ NMR spectrum of A. This coordination is also responsible for the large value of the $^2{}^J_{P-P}$ detected for this resonance, since similarly increased couplings have been observed for other P-C ring systems (containing S,$^{15}$ Se,$^{16}$ or Te,$^{17}$) upon W centres.$^{18}$ (Figure 2.10)

![Table of coupling constants](image)

**Figure 2.10: Increased $^2{}^J_{P-P}$ couplings due to coordination to W(CO)$_5$**
Since the uncoordinated SnCPCP ring was not observed in the $^{31}$P NMR or $^{119}$Sn NMR spectra it was postulated that this precursor (X) is unstable without the effect of the second Sn centre. (Scheme 2.13)

![Scheme 2.13: Synthesis of product A](image)

Whilst product A could be synthesised selectively, product B could only be obtained from the reaction of Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) with one equivalent of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$, as outlined in Scheme 2.12. The value of the P-P coupling constant of 43 Hz observed for product B is a value characteristic of a $^2J_{P-P}$ coupling around a P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring. (Figure 2.11)
The chemical shifts of the resonances observed at circa $\delta$ 350 and 0 are typically displayed by unsaturated and saturated phosphorus atoms respectively. Both resonances displayed $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites. For the resonance at $\delta$ 356 the measured values of 231 and 242 Hz for the $^{31}$P-$^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn coupling constants are typical of a $^{2}J_{P,Sn}$ coupling. On the other hand for the resonance at $\delta$ 5 the much higher values of 1252 and 1310 Hz determined for the $^{31}$P-$^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn coupling constants respectively are typical of a $^{1}J_{P,Sn}$ coupling. These coupling constants were also observable in the $^{119}$Sn$^{1}{H}$ NMR spectrum resonance attributed to this product which was observed at $\delta$ 67 as a doublet of doublets. (Figure 2.12)

Figure 2.11: Expanded $^{31}$P$^{1}{H}$ NMR spectrum of product B
For product B a molecular structure which is consistent with the NMR spectroscopic data is shown in Figure 2.13.

\[ \text{Figure 2.12: Expanded } ^{119}\text{Sn}[^{1}H] \text{ NMR spectrum of product B (unidentified impurity visible as a shoulder at } \delta \text{ 62.5)} \]

For product B a molecular structure which is consistent with the NMR spectroscopic data is shown in Figure 2.13.

\[ \text{Figure 2.13: Proposed molecular structure of product B (2.2)} \]

This molecular structure is a Sn analogue of the bicyclic product identified from the reaction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Bu}_2) \) and \( \text{SbCl}_3 \) \(^{12} \text{(vide Chapter 1, Scheme 1.8). As no X-ray} \]
diffraction data is available for product B, no comparison of bond lengths and angles can be made with SbCl(P₂C₂ᵗBu₂), it is interesting to note, however, that whilst the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of product B indicates the two expected P environments, that of SbCl(P₂C₂ᵗBu₂) shows only one. This suggests a far greater degree of fluxionality in the Sb-P bonds than in the Sn-P bonds. The proposal of this molecular structure for product B is based on two observations. Firstly, the identification of the five-membered Me₂SnCPCP ring motif within product A which, in our opinion, is made relatively stable by the presence of the second Sn centre. Clearly, the structure proposed for product B could be a precursor for product A. Secondly, it has been reported that the triphosphole, P₃C₂ᵗBu₂CH(SiMe₃)₂,¹⁹ which possesses an analogous five-membered ring motif as the structure proposed for the precursor X, but with {(Me₃Si)₂CH}P in place of the Me₂Sn group, underwent an electrocyclisation to form the triphosphabicyclopentene when exposed to sunlight over several days.²⁰ (Scheme 2.14) Given the similarities between the two systems it is postulated that the equivalent reaction is the source of product B.

Scheme 2.14: Electrocyclisations of triphosphole and Me₂Sn(P₂C₂ᵗBu₂)
This reaction is, in effect, an analogue of the well-established photochemical 2+2 cycloadditions which are common in organic chemistry.\textsuperscript{21}

This shared precursor of products A and B explains their concomitant production, and accounts for the conditions required to increase the proportion of product A formed. (Scheme 2.15)

![Scheme 2.15: Formation of products A and B from precursor X]

**Synthesis of Product C (2.3)**

Method 1:

When the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo} from solutions containing the mixture of products A, B and C and the resulting yellow solid was left to stand at ambient temperature for 24 hours in the light, the resonances observed in the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra assigned to products A and B were no longer visible, while those assigned to product C were still present along with a trace amount of a new product. (Figure 2.14)

That product C had increased in concentration was determined from measurements of the signal to baseline noise ratio (s/n) determined from a series of $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra, which increased from 25.6 to 144.5.
Method 2:

Similarly when a THF/benzene-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6} solution containing the mixture of products A, B and C was maintained at ambient temperature for 10 days in the light, the only the resonances arising from product C were observed in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of these solutions. (Figure 2.14)

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 2.16: Synthesis of product C}
\end{center}
The two resonances were observed as a pair of doublets with a $^{2}J_{P,P}$ of 42 Hz. The resonance at δ -8 showed $^{117/119}$Sn satellites with a coupling constant value of 17 Hz, but there was no sign of $^{117/119}$Sn satellites for the resonance at δ 363.

A mass spectrum of product C, obtained via method 1 revealed that the parent ion possessed a mass of 386 u which was consistent with a formula for product C of Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)HCl. (Figure 2.15) The major fragments at 351 and 201 indicated the loss of the Cl first, followed by the Me$_2$Sn group, leaving a H(P$_2$C$_2$Bu)$_2$ which then underwent further fragmentation. The presence of the Sn and Cl atoms in the appropriate fragments was also confirmed by the isotopic splitting patterns.
Figure 2.15: Mass spectrum of product C
In order to confirm the presence of this additional proton and ascertain its location within the molecular structure of product C, a proton coupled $^{31}$P NMR spectrum was obtained. (Figure 2.16)

In this spectrum each of the two resonances which were observed previously as doublets in $^{31}$P-$^1$H NMR spectrum now appeared as doublets of doublets. For the resonance at $\delta$ -8 a $^{31}$P-$^1$H coupling constant value of 165 Hz was determined which is typical of $^1J_{P,H}$. For the second resonance at $\delta$ 363 a much smaller value of 20 Hz was determined for the $^{31}$P-$^1$H coupling, a value which is typical of $^3J_{P,H}$ coupling. The $^1$H NMR spectrum revealed a corresponding doublet of doublets centred at $\delta$ 6.70. Combining the value of the chemical shift, the $^{31}$P-$^1$H couplings, and the relative integral ratios confirmed product C contained a protonated P centre. (Figure 2.17) The complete $^1$H NMR spectroscopic data for product C is shown in Table 2.3.

![Figure 2.16: $^{31}$P NMR spectrum product C](image)
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The $^{119}\text{Sn}^{(1){\text{H}}}$ NMR spectrum of product C showed a single, broad resonance at $\delta$ 112.

(Figure 2.18)
The proposed molecular structures of product C based on the NMR spectroscopic data and mass spectrometric data is shown in Figure 2.19.

The proposed structure for product C is that a square planar \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2 \) ring with one of the P atoms protonated and its adjacent C atom bearing a \( \text{SnMe}_2\text{Cl} \) group. The

**Figure 2.18: \(^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\} \text{NMR spectrum Me}_2\text{SnCl}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Bu}_2)\text{H}**

**Figure 2.19: Proposed molecular structure of product C (2.3)**

The structure proposed for product C is that a square planar \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2 \) ring with one of the P atoms protonated and its adjacent C atom bearing a \( \text{SnMe}_2\text{Cl} \) group. The
proposed structure is analogous to products isolated from the hydrostannylation reactions of Regitz et al.\(^5\) (vide supra, Scheme 2.6) Although such structures should display \(^2J_{\text{P-Sn}}\) couplings of *circa* 100-300 Hz, the greatest Sn-P coupling detected in the \(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\} \text{NMR spectrum was the 17 Hz coupling to the protonated P. The reduced coupling could arise from two factors. Firstly, if the angle between the Sn and P dipoles is close to 90°, which is likely given similar structures previously observed,\(^5\) or secondly, since the angles of the square planar ring imply a high level of p-orbital character in the bonding orbitals, the value of any \(^2J_{\text{P-Sn}}\) coupling would also be reduced.\(^22\) Ordinarily, the unsaturated P atom might be expected to couple to the Sn more strongly than the saturated P as the sp\(^2\) hybridisation has a higher proportion of s-orbital character than the sp\(^3\). This was not observed in this case, indicating that the angle between the dipoles of the Sn and unsaturated P was much closer to 90°.

Product C is proposed to arise as a result of the interaction between Me\(_2\)Sn(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)\(_t\)Bu\(_2\)) and the Cp\(_2\)ZrCl\(_2\) which was generated as a by-product during the reaction between Cp\(_2\)Zr(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)\(_t\)Bu\(_2\)) and Me\(_2\)SnCl\(_2\). The possibility of the H and Cl originating from a second equivalent of Me\(_2\)SnCl\(_2\) was discounted for two reasons. Firstly, the production of product C was seen to be greatest towards the end and after the initial reaction of Cp\(_2\)Zr(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)\(_t\)Bu\(_2\)) with Me\(_2\)SnCl\(_2\), which correlated to an increased concentration of Cp\(_2\)ZrCl\(_2\) but a decreased concentration of Me\(_2\)SnCl\(_2\). Secondly, a lack of acidic protons available from Me\(_2\)SnCl\(_2\) means that after the abstraction of the chloride the SnMe\(_2\)Cl moiety would likely bind to the P atom of the ring (which was not observed) or couple with a second equivalent to form (Me\(_2\)ClSn)\(_2\). This would leave the P centred radical to abstract a proton from another source, probably the solvent, but performing the reaction in THF-d\(_8\) showed no evidence of a deuterated product.
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The reaction was accelerated by exposure to sunlight and thus was proposed to proceed via a radical mechanism involving the homolytic fission of the Sn-P bond. The chloride would then be transferred from the Zr onto the Sn leaving an unpaired electron on the P which is thought to abstract a proton from the Cp ring, although the ultimate fate of the Zr complex is unknown. (Scheme 2.17)

Scheme 2.17: Proposed abstraction of HCl from Cp₂ZrCl₂ by Me₂Sn(P₂C₂Bu₂) to form Me₂SnCl(P₂C₂Bu₂)H

This proposed reaction presents another similarity between the behaviours of Me₂Sn(P₂C₂Bu₂) and triphosphabicyclopentene. The triphosphabicyclopentene has been shown to undergo a reaction with [PtCl₂(PEt₃)]₂ in which the P-P bond was broken, a chloride was transferred to P with the CH(SiMe₃)₂ substituent and Pt was bound between the P atoms.²⁰ (Scheme 2.18) This differs from the reaction depicted in Scheme 2.13 only in that, due to the sterically unhindered Pt centre and lack of acidic protons, the Pt has bound to the P rather than transferring a H.
Although in some ways the Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) and triphosphabicyclopentene systems appear very similar, a major difference in the activity of these compounds arose in the [1,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement. In the case of the triphosphabicyclopentene, $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopy reportedly showed a transition in which the phosphorus atoms of the ring became equivalent, indicating that it was the P-P bonds that were fluxional, with the P-C bond maintained throughout. In the $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum of Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) such a pattern was not observed. Instead there appeared to be a pair of doublets with a coupling constant value of 60 Hz displayed at δ 353 and 56 with different degrees of broadening, suggesting a possible fluxional state. (Figure 2.20)
The resonance at $\delta$ 56 shows a far greater broadening and a higher value of coupling constant to $^{117/119}$Sn than that at $\delta$ 353. (Table 2.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Shift</th>
<th>$J^{31P}^{117/119}$Sn/Hz</th>
<th>Line-width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>353.4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2.4: $^{31}P$/$^1H$ NMR data postulated as Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) during [1,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement*

This indicated that if these resonances are the result of a [1,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement transition state, the resonance at $\delta$ 56 corresponds to the P bound directly to the Sn atom and therefore undergoing the greater change in chemical shift during the
rearrangement. This suggests that the Sn-C bonds were fluxional in this example.
(Scheme 2.19)

This is an unexpected result in light of the fact that the decomposition product of
Me₂Sn(P₂C₂'Bu₂) showed that the Sn-P bond had been broken. The reason for this
apparent discrepancy is not known, but it may be the case that the rearrangement must
be arrested by the coordination of one of the P atoms to a metal centre (as observed for
the triphosphabicyclpentene²⁰) before the Sn-P bond cleavage can take place.

Synthesis of [Me₂Sn(P₂C₂'Bu₂)]₂ (2.4)

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of product C showed a small impurity with
resonances at δ 297.8 and 49.2. (Figure 2.21)
Unlike products A, B and C, these resonances appeared as doublets of doublets, each with $P-P$ coupling constants with values of 46 Hz and 42 Hz. This indicates a system containing four $P$ atoms in pairs which were chemically equivalent but not magnetically equivalent. This was postulated to arise from a dimerisation of the $\text{Me}_2\text{Sn(}P_2\text{C}_2\text{Bu}_2)\text{)}$ molecule, a fact which was later confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. (Figure 2.22)

Figure 2.21: $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of product C with focus on the trace impurity
Selected Bond Lengths [Å]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-Sn2</td>
<td>2.7609(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C1</td>
<td>2.212(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-P1</td>
<td>1.869(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-P2</td>
<td>1.891(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C2</td>
<td>1.699(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-C2</td>
<td>1.795(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-P3</td>
<td>2.1999(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C3</td>
<td>1.564(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-C7</td>
<td>1.518(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn2-C11</td>
<td>2.211(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-P3</td>
<td>1.891(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-P4</td>
<td>1.871(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-C12</td>
<td>1.701(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-C12</td>
<td>1.794(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-C13</td>
<td>1.553(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12-C17</td>
<td>1.513(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected Bond Angles [°]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angle</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sn2-Sn1-C1</td>
<td>108.27(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C1-P1</td>
<td>106.02(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C1-P2</td>
<td>110.40(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C1-C3</td>
<td>115.88(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C1-P2</td>
<td>88.59(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-P2-C2</td>
<td>83.97(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-P1-C2</td>
<td>87.33(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C2-P2</td>
<td>97.44(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C2-C7</td>
<td>129.67(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-C2-C7</td>
<td>132.04(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C2-P3</td>
<td>129.40(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-P2-P3</td>
<td>111.45(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-Sn2-C11</td>
<td>108.71(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn2-C11-P3</td>
<td>109.42(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-P3-P2</td>
<td>129.43(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-P4-C12</td>
<td>87.04(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-C12-P4</td>
<td>97.33(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-P3-C12</td>
<td>83.82(12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.22: ORTEP molecular structure of [Me₂Sn(P₂C₂'Bu₂)]₂ (2-4) (H atoms omitted for clarity)
This compound appeared to be a second decomposition product of Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) in which an absence of Cp$_2$ZrCl$_2$ meant that after the proposed radical cleavage of the Sn-P bond the compound coupled with a second equivalent of Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$), forming the Sn-Sn and P-P bonds. Therefore, in order to attempt to synthesise a larger sample for better analysis, a sample of the products from the reaction of Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) with two equivalents of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ was prepared as described (vide supra) but the solvent system was altered so that the poorly coordinating solvent (benzene-d$_6$) was in higher proportion (circa 90 %) so as to limit the effective presence of the Cp$_2$ZrCl$_2$. After being exposed to sunlight for 14 days to promote the radical cleavage, approximately 1/3 of the Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) had been converted to the dimer, allowing a more detailed $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopic analysis. (Figure 2.23)

![Figure 2.23: Expanded $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum of [Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)]$_2$](attachment:image.png)

The magnetic inequivalence of the two halves of the dimer results in a considerable second order effect which, along with the multiple $^2$J and $^3$J couplings, makes the
resonances broader and more complex. This additional complexity is just as evident, if not more so, in the $^{119}\text{Sn}^{{}^1\text{H}}$ NMR spectrum. (Figure 2.24)

Figure 2.24: $^{119}\text{Sn}^{{}^1\text{H}}$ NMR spectrum of $[\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)]_2$

The broadness and degree of coupling made any analysis of the Sn-P relationship from this resonance incredibly difficult, but the $^1J^{117}\text{Sn}$ satellites were detectable with a coupling constant value of 3495 Hz. The NMR spectroscopic data for $[\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)]_2$ is outlined in Table 2.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1, P4</th>
<th>P2, P3</th>
<th>$^3\text{P} \delta$</th>
<th>$J^{^3\text{P}-\text{Sn}} /\text{Hz}$</th>
<th>$^3\text{P} \delta$</th>
<th>$J^{^3\text{P}-\text{Sn}} /\text{Hz}$</th>
<th>$^1\text{P} \delta$</th>
<th>$J^{^1\text{P}-^3\text{P}} /\text{Hz}$</th>
<th>$^1\text{P} \delta$</th>
<th>$J^{^1\text{P}-^1\text{P}} /\text{Hz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>297.91</td>
<td>22.35</td>
<td>49.51</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>-66.52</td>
<td>3495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.5: $^3\text{P}^{{}^1\text{H}}$ and $^{119}\text{Sn}^{{}^1\text{H}}$ NMR spectroscopic data of $[\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)]_2$
This decomposition pathway initiated by the radical cleavage of the Sn-P bond is also postulated to be responsible for the reductive elimination reactions outlined in Scheme 2.11. It is thought that once the Sn radical has been formed, one of the substituents is lost to form a stable Sn(II) centre. This is then attacked by the unpaired electron of the ring which in turn caused the ejection of the second substituent and affords Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$). (Scheme 2.20)

\[ 	ext{Scheme 2.20: Potential mechanism for the radically initiated formation of Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)} \]

**Attempted Reaction of Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) with Me$_2$SnCl$_2$**

Although the reaction between Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) and Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ proceeded with little difficulty, the analogous reaction using Ad groups instead of Bu was almost nonexistent. After heating a 1:1 reaction mixture at 60 °C in THF for seven days (by which point the equivalent Bu reaction would be complete) the $^{31}$P{$_1^1$H} NMR spectrum showed minimal product formation, with the emerging resonances closely resembling those seen from the Bu analogue. Given the slow progress of the reaction it was decided that further study of this reaction would not be advantageous.
Reaction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \) with SnCl\(_2\)

\( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \) and SnCl\(_2\) were heated to 55 °C in THF for five days, causing the mixture to change colour from orange to yellow. (Scheme 2.21)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Zr} & \quad \text{P} \\
\text{P} & \quad \text{P} \\
\text{Ad} & \quad \text{Ad} \\
\text{SnCl}_2 + \text{THF} & \quad \text{55 °C, 5 days} \\
\to & \quad \text{Sn(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) + \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 2.21: Reaction of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Bu}_2) \) with SnCl\(_2\)

The \( ^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\} \) NMR spectrum of the product showed a single resonance at \( \delta \) 140.2 which displayed \(^{117}\text{Sn}/^{119}\text{Sn} \) satellites with coupling constant values of 291 and 305 Hz respectively. (Figure 2.25)

Figure 2.25: \( ^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\} \) NMR spectrum of Sn(\( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \)
This $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum closely resembled that of Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$), which displayed a chemical shift of $\delta$ 144.9 and $^{117}\text{Sn}/^{119}\text{Sn}$ satellites with coupling constant values of 284 Hz and 296 Hz respectively. Based on this data and the similarity of the reagents and reaction conditions it was proposed that the product is Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$). (Figure 2.26)

![Figure 2.26: Proposed structure of Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) (2.5)](image)

The most noteworthy feature though came from the $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopic data. Whilst the $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopic resonance of the tBu analogue has a chemical shift of $\delta$ -2129, that of the Ad complex was observed at $\delta$ -2405, displaying the expected triplet splitting due to the two coupling P atoms. (Figure 2.27)
Particularly low frequency NMR spectroscopy shifts are a recognised feature of the apical atom in nido-clusters of this form,\textsuperscript{13} but comparison with collections of \(^{119}\)Sn\(^{1}H\) NMR spectroscopic data\textsuperscript{24,25} suggests that this could be the lowest frequency shift measured to date. The next lowest frequency found was that of \([\text{Cp}^{\text{Bu2}}\text{Sn}][\text{BF}_4]\) reported to be \(\delta \text{-2337.7},\textsuperscript{26}\) a compound which can also be thought of as a nido-cluster with an apical Sn atom.

### Table 2.6: \(^{31}\)P \(^{1}H\) and \(^{119}\)Sn\(^{1}H\) NMR spectroscopic data for \(\text{Sn(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2)\) complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(^{31})P (\delta)</th>
<th>(^{119})Sn (\delta)</th>
<th>(J_{P\text{-Sn}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Sn(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2))</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>-2405</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Sn(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Bu}_2))</td>
<td>144.9</td>
<td>-2129</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3: Conclusions

The initial reactions in this chapter indicate one point quite clearly; compounds of the formula \( R_2\text{Sn}(P_2C_2^t\text{Bu}_2) \) are generally unstable with respect to reductive elimination. Upon further study it was determined that the cause of this instability was rooted in the Sn-P bond and its propensity towards radical cleavage. It was found that by using Me groups as the substituents the reductive elimination could be prevented affording two related products, but over time the reactive Sn-P bond would cause either dimerisation or the abstraction of HCl from other reagents depending on the conditions. The chemistry of this system was found to be very similar to that of previously reported triphospholes, which exhibit analogous structures that can be interconverted through a light-induced electrocyclisation. Similar reactions using the \( P_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \) ring showed almost no reaction whatsoever, but the compound \( \text{Sn}(P_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \) was produced using \( \text{SnCl}_2 \). This compound exhibited an incredibly low frequency chemical shift in the \( ^{119}\text{Sn} \) NMR spectrum, significantly lower than that of its \( ^t\text{Bu} \) analogue. The reason for this difference is unclear, especially in view of the fact that the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectra of all the related compounds show almost no difference between the two analogues.
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Chapter 3

The Synthesis of Transition-Metal Phosphaalkyne Complexes

3.1: Introduction

The most common examples of metal complexes containing a coordinated phosphaalkyne unit are those which have a single RCP ligand. The addition of further equivalents is restricted by either the electron count of the resulting complex or the steric demands imposed by the other substituents.\textsuperscript{1,2} (Scheme 3.1)

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{Scheme31.png}
\end{center}

\textit{Scheme 3.1: Selected examples of transition metal phosphaalkyne complexes}

Whereas the analogous nitrile ligands coordinate to metals through the nitrogen lone pair, the phosphaalkyne has been shown, in the vast majority of cases, to coordinate in an $\eta^2$ fashion through the $\pi$-orbitals of the multiple bond. Photoelectron spectroscopic studies have revealed that the HOMO of a phosphaalkyne is the $\pi$-bonding orbital,\textsuperscript{3} and
that these electrons are used in the metal-ligand bonding. Instances of $\eta^1$-coordination have been reported in complexes which contain other bulky ligands which prevent the $\eta^2$-coordination mode being adopted.\textsuperscript{4} It also possible for $\eta^2$-coordinated phosphaalkyne ligands to act as bridging ligands \textit{via} the P lone pair.\textsuperscript{5} (Figure 3.1)

![Figure 3.1: Complexes containing phosphaalkyne ligands displaying $\eta^1$-and $\eta^1,\mu^1$-coordination modes](image)

In addition to the $\mu^1$ bridging mode, phosphaalkynes may adopt an $\eta^2,\mu^2$ ligating mode, in which each of two pairs of $\pi$ electrons is donated to a different metal centre.\textsuperscript{6} As this ligating mode does not involve the phosphorus lone pair, it is available for coordination to a third metal centre.\textsuperscript{7} (Scheme 3.2)
Many transition metal complexes featuring P₂C₂R₂ ligands have also been synthesised. Since earliest reports,⁸,⁹ the dimerisation of phosphaalkynes within the coordination sphere of a transition metal has become a reaction repeated many times, with examples found using metals from Group 4⁹ to Group 10¹¹ and from the first-¹², second-¹³ and third-row¹⁴ d-block elements.

A synthetic route commonly employed for these derivatives is based on the displacement of multiple L-type ligands such as C₂H₄⁹ or CO¹⁵ by the appropriate equivalents of RCP, their subsequent dimerisation, and concomitant oxidation of the metal centre by two. (Scheme 3.3)
For certain metals for which no obvious low oxidation state starting material is available a precursor containing a metal centre in higher e.g. \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2 \) can be used. This can be reduced \textit{in situ} prior to the introduction of the phosphaalkyne. In the synthesis of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2) \), \(^{13}\) the reduction is normally achieved using \( ^{n}\text{BuLi} \), \(^{16}\) which di-alkylates the \( \text{Zr} \) to provide an intermediate which is unstable with respect to \( \beta \)-elimination, affording butane and a butene \( \eta^2 \)-bound to the \( \text{Zr} \). This rearrangement facilitates coordination of the first phosphaalkyne while subsequent displacement of the butene permits coordination of the second. Finally, dimerisation occurs. (Scheme 3.4)

Scheme 3.3: Formation of a \(^1\text{BuCP} \) dimer on a cobalt centre

Scheme 3.4: Formation of the tetrahedral \(^1\text{BuCP} \) dimer from \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2 \)
To date, the ZrCp\(_2\)(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)R\(_2\)) derivatives are the only transition metal complexes for which the molecular structure of the complexed dimer is not square planar.

Whilst Cp\(_2\)Zr(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)R\(_2\)) complexes have been used as P\(_2\)C\(_2\)R\(_2\) transfer reagents for numerous main group elements,\(^{17-20}\) they have rarely been employed for transition metals. A single report occurs in the literature detailing the use of Cp\(_2\)Zr(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)tBu\(_2\)) for the synthesis of Fe(P\(_2\)C\(_2\)tBu\(_2\))(CO)\(_3\).\(^{16}\) (Scheme 3.5) Rather than choosing FeCl\(_2\) as the source of Fe, the carbonyl Fe\(_2\)(CO)\(_9\) was selected along with C\(_2\)Cl\(_6\) to enable the formation of Cp\(_2\)ZrCl\(_2\).  

![Scheme 3.5: Transfer of the tBuCP dimer from Zr to Fe](image)

Sometimes the coupling of phosphaalkynes by a transition metals produces trimers, P\(_3\)C\(_3\)R\(_3\) rather than a dimer.\(^{10,21-23}\) Again their synthesis involves displacement of L-type ligands from a metal coordination sphere, (Scheme 3.6) but unlike the dimers, the trimers do not necessarily alter the oxidation state of the metal as the six membered ring may exist as in three structural isomers including one planar aromatic, neutral species. (Figure 3.2)
There have been a small number of complexes reported featuring phosphaalkyne trimers derived from bicyclic ring systems (bonding modes [3] and [4]). One of the earliest examples of a phosphaalkyne trimerisation reported a Dewar-benzene trimer formed on a V centre.\textsuperscript{24} (Scheme 3.7)
In addition to the complex with bonding mode [2] shown in Scheme 3.6, some Hf-based trimers have also been synthesised by displacement of a butadiene ligand from \([\text{Hf(}\eta^-\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)(\eta^-\text{C}_4\text{H}_6)]\). Simply combining \(^1\text{BuCP}\) with the complex resulted in a trimer of unknown structure, but its subsequent reaction with \(\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_6\) in toluene afforded triphosphabenzene A, while in pentane the Hf complex B was produced.\(^{21}\) (Scheme 3.8)
One possible factor accounting for the rarity of $P_3C_3R_3$ complexes may be the tendency for a fourth phosphaalkyne to add across the $P_3C_3R_3$ unit in a $4 + 2$ addition producing a tetraphosphabarrelene.$^{10}$ (Scheme 3.9)

![Scheme 3.9: Formation of $P_4C_4R_4$ by a Zr centre](image)

As the fourth equivalent of phosphaalkyne adds to the uncoordinated face of the $P_3C_3R_3$ ring there is very little increase in the steric demands of the resulting $P_4C_4R_4$ ligand but electronically it is an $L_2$ ligand, rather than an $L_3$. Preventing the addition of the fourth phosphaalkyne unit through steric or electronic saturation is not a trivial endeavour.

Whilst the synthesis of phosphaalkyne based analogues of cyclobutadiene and benzene may be relatively straightforward to envisage via the coupling reactions discussed above, a synthetic route to an analogue of the ubiquitous cyclopentadienyl ligand is less obvious. In order to form an odd-numbered ring the triple bond of one phosphaalkyne would need to be cleaved. In 1995 it was reported that cocondensation of V atoms with $^t$BuCP afforded a pentaphosphavanadocene with one $P_2C_3^t$Bu$_3$ and one $P_3C_2^t$Bu$_2$ ring.$^{25}$ (Scheme 3.10)
This route has also provided several transition metal complexes featuring three-, four-, five- and six-membered rings.\textsuperscript{26-29} (Figure 3.3)

\[ V_{(a)} + 'Bu\equiv P \rightarrow 77 \text{ K} \]

Scheme 3.10: Formation of a $P_2C_2'\text{Bu}_3$ and $P_3C_3'\text{Bu}_3$ rings on vanadium by MVS

\textbf{Figure 3.3:} A range of transition metal complexes containing different ring systems based on $'\text{Bu}CP$ synthesised by MVS
Complexes have been reported in which phosphaalkynes were coordinated $\eta^2$- to Ti centres featuring other sterically demanding ligands.\textsuperscript{30,31} (Figure 3.4)

![Figure 3.4: Known Ti complexes with coordinated $^1$BuCP](image)

A different reaction reported by Binger \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{32} involving the reduction of Cp$_2$TiCl$_2$ with $^n$BuLi and then the addition of $^1$BuCP afforded a product in which three $^1$BuCP units had undergone addition reactions with one of the Cp rings. (Figure 3.5)

![Figure 3.5: Previously reported structure of TiCp$_2$(BuCP)$_3$, formed from the reaction between Cp$_2$TiCl$_2$, $^n$BuLi and $^1$BuCP](image)
As yet, however, no Ti complex has been reported featuring solely phosphaalkyne based ligands. The initial reaction detailed in the following Results and Discussion section attempts to use the well established $P_2C_2R_2$/dichloride exchange reaction employing $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(P_2C_2R_2)$ to synthesise a complex with the formula $\text{Ti}(P_2C_2R_2)_2$. (Figure 3.6)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure36.png}
\caption{Potential Ti complexes containing two $P_2C_2R_2$ rings}
\end{figure}
3.2: Results and Discussion

Reaction of TiCl$_4$.2THF with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)

A reaction was carried out employing TiCl$_4$.2THF and two equivalents of Cp$_2$ZrP$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$ in benzene-d$_6$ and heated to 60 °C for ten days, with the desired product possessing two P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$ rings coordinated to the Ti centre. The product of this reaction as determined by $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopy was predominantly a mixture of tetramers namely, tetraphosphacubane (at δ 257) and its isomer 1,4,6,7-tetraphosphaladderene (at δ 397 and 43). (Scheme 3.11)

![Scheme 3.11: Reaction of Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) with TiCl$_4$](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Chemical Shift</th>
<th>$J_{P-P}$/Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetraphosphacubane</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4,6,7-Tetraphosphaladderene (pos.1, 4)</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4,6,7-Tetraphosphaladderene (pos.6, 7)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.1: $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopic data for selected $^1$BuCP tetramers*
A possible mechanism for this reaction could initially involve the transfer of two \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2 \) rings to the Ti followed by a coupling the rings and a reductive elimination. (Scheme 3.12)

![Proposed mechanism for the formation of phosphaalkyne tetramers](image)

**Scheme 3.12: Proposed mechanism for the formation of phosphaalkyne tetramers ('Bu groups omitted for clarity)**

Alternatively, the coupling could arise as the result of a bridging interaction between two Ti centres after the addition of just one ring to each. (Scheme 3.13)

![Alternative proposed mechanism for the formation of phosphaalkyne tetramers](image)

**Scheme 3.13: Alternative proposed mechanism for the formation of phosphaalkyne tetramers ('Bu groups omitted for clarity)**

**Reaction of TiCl\(_3\).3THF with \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \)**

In an attempt to prevent formation of the tetramers, TiCl\(_3\) was employed instead of TiCl\(_4\) and a single equivalent of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrP}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \) was added. The reagents were
combined in an NMR tube with a mixture of THF and benzene-d$_6$ and heated to 55 °C. (Scheme 3.14)

![Scheme 3.14: Reaction between Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) and TiCl$_3$](image)

During the course of this reaction $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopy revealed a gradual disappearance of the resonance attributable to Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) but not the appearance of any new resonance. The reaction was performed in a sealed NMR tube and as no solid precipitate was observed it is reasonable to conclude that the complete absence of any $^{31}$P resonances arose from the proximity of the P atoms to the paramagnetic Ti(III) centre. This in turn indicated that limiting the addition to one P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring per Ti centre the tetramer formation could be avoided.

Following this, a reaction using Cp$_2$TiCl$_2$ was investigated. This system was employed as the diamagnetic Ti(IV) oxidation state would allow NMR spectroscopic analysis, while the two Cp ligands prevent the addition of a second P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring.

**Reaction of Cp$_2$TiCl$_2$ with Mg and AdCP**

A sample of Cp$_2$TiCl$_2$ was reduced by stirring with activated Mg in THF for three hours by which point the mixture had turned from red to light blue/green. The
reduced species was then filtered from the Mg into a THF solution containing two equivalents of AdCP at -78 °C, causing a colour change to dark green. (Scheme 3.15)

\[ \text{Scheme 3.15: Reaction between } \text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2, \text{Mg and AdCP} \]

The resulting product displayed a $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (Figures 3.7 and 3.8) which showed three resonances in a 1:1:1 ratio at $\delta$ -50, -129 and -191 (along with some AdCP at $\delta$ -68). This indicated the formation a complex containing three inequivalent P atoms.

\[ \text{Figure 3.7: } ^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\} \text{ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of } \text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2 \text{ and AdCP} \]
These chemical shifts are compared with those of related compounds in Table 3.2. Unfortunately, no NMR spectroscopic data was available for the product depicted in Figure 3.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$^{31}$P δ (solvent)</th>
<th>Temp. /°C</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product B</td>
<td>-50.4, -129.5, -191.0 (C₆D₆)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ti(η-Cp⁵)₂(η²-PC'Bu)]</td>
<td>587.6 (C₆D₆)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ti(η-Cp)₂(η²-PC'Bu)(PMe₃)]</td>
<td>122.7 (C₇D₈)</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Ti(η-Cp)₂(η²-PC'Bu)}</td>
<td>431.6 (C₄D₈O)</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[{Ti(η-Cp)₂(η²-PC'Bu)}₂]</td>
<td>438.4, 73.5 (C₄D₈O)</td>
<td>-105</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ti(η-C₈H₈)(η-P₂C₂'Bu₂)] (PCPC ring)</td>
<td>214.5 (C₇D₈)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ti(η-C₈H₈)(η-P₂C₂'Bu₂)] (PPCC ring)</td>
<td>133.6 (C₇D₈)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.2: $^{31}$P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic data for Ti-phosphaalkyne complexes*
This clearly shows that the $^{31}$P chemical shifts of product B are greatly removed from those reported for any of the previously observed complexes listed. This implies that none of the AdCP units in product B are coordinated as either an $\eta^2$-PCAd or as an $\eta^4$-P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$ ring.

The resonances at $\delta$ -50 and -191 appeared as doublets with a coupling constant value of 297 Hz. This falls in the region typical for a P-P single bond, indicating a P-P coupled dimer which was anticipated given the bulk of the Ad substituents. The third resonance was a singlet and thus implies the presence of another AdCP ligand. The presence of three equivalents of AdCP per Ti centre was also supported by the mass spectral data. (Figure 3.9) A parent ion of 714 u was observed which is approximately consistent with a formulation of Cp$_2$Ti(PCA$_2$)$_3$ (ideally 712 u). Another major ion was observed at 536 u which corresponded to the loss of a 178 u i.e. an AdCP ligand.
Figure 3.9: Mass spectrum of Cp₂Ti(AdCP)₃
A speculated structure of product B is shown in Figure 3.10, possessing a phosphaalkyne bound $\eta^1$ (corresponding to the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopic resonance at $\delta$ -129) and a PPCC ring bound $\eta^2$ (corresponding to the resonances at $\delta$ -50 and $\delta$ -191). These atypical bonding modes may account for the considerable difference between the chemical shifts observed in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of product B and previously reported Ti complexes containing similar ligands.

![Postulated molecular structure of product B (3.1)](image)

Figure 3.10: Postulated molecular structure of product B (3.1)

The high solubility of this compound meant that no crystals could be obtained for X-ray diffraction, making and suggested structure largely speculative. It is also still unclear as to why the P of the phosphaalkyne shows no coupling to those of the PPCC ring.

**Reaction of product B with C$_2$Cl$_6$**

In an attempt to chlorinate the Ti centre and liberate the phosphaalkyne based products, an excess C$_2$Cl$_6$ was added to a sample of the product in benzene-$d^6$ at ambient temperature, changing the colour from green to red. (Scheme 3.16)
Scheme 3.16: Reaction of product B with C₂Cl₆

The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of the product of this reaction (Figures 3.11 and 3.12) showed three resonances (still accompanied by the free AdCP), one of which showed coupling to both of the others, suggesting that all three AdCP units were now joined.

Figure 3.11: $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of the product formed by the reaction of Cp₂Ti(AdCP)₃ and C₂Cl₆, plus unreacted AdCP
The coupling constants of circa 50 Hz and 5 Hz are indicative of $^2J$ and $^3J$ couplings respectively, indicating that not only were the three AdCP units coupled in product C, but that the P-P bond indicated by the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of product B has been broken. As with product B, no definitive structure for product C could be assigned, but one possibility given the small values of the coupling constants would be an open chain of three AdCP units, terminated by Cl atoms from the excess C$_2$Cl$_6$. (Figure 3.13)

![Figure 3.12: Expanded $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of the product of the reaction between Cp$_2$Ti(AdCP)$_3$ and C$_2$Cl$_6$](image)

![Figure 3.13: Postulated structure of product C (3.2)](image)
After the reactions of the Ti compounds, the potential to form other complexes incorporating the P₂C₂R₂ ligand and different first row transition metals was investigated. Given that Fe(II) centres have been shown to coordinate P₂C₂R₂ rings in an η⁴ fashion in complexes such as Fe(P₂C₂'Bu₂)(CO)₃, a reaction was undertaken to ascertain whether an Fe analogue of the established Sn(P₂C₂R₂)₁₆ complexes could be produced, without the need for additional ligands.

**Reaction of FeCl₂ with Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂)**

Using a preparation similar to that of Sn(P₂C₂Ad₂), FeCl₂ was combined with one equivalent of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂) in THF, degassed and heated to 55 °C for three days. (Scheme 3.17)

![Scheme 3.17: Reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂) and FeCl₂](image)

This reaction afforded a brown solution from which dark-purple, square plate crystals were formed. The molecular structure was determined by a single crystal X-ray
diffraction study and shown to contain both Fe and Zr metal centres as well as two P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$ rings. (Figure 3.14)
Selected Bond Lengths [Å]

- Zr-Cl1: 2.4997(12)
- Zr-Cl2: 2.5133(12)
- Fe-Cl1: 2.3651(13)
- Fe-Cl2: 2.3600(12)
- Zr-P1: 2.6523(12)
- Zr-P2: 2.771(12)
- Zr-C1: 2.338(4)
- Zr-C2: 2.571(4)
- Fe-P1: 2.4074(13)
- Fe-P3: 2.3041(13)
- Fe-P4: 2.3145(14)
- Fe-C28: 2.174(5)
- Fe-C29: 2.102(5)
- Zr-C23: 2.511(5)
- Zr-C24: 2.514(6)
- Zr-C25: 2.520(5)
- Zr-C26: 2.520(5)
- Zr-C27: 2.529(5)

Selected Bond Angles [°]

- Zr-Cl1-Fe: 74.32(2)
- Zr-Cl2-Fe: 74.49(2)
- Zr-P1-Fe: 83.79(4)
- Zr-P1-Cl2: 90.22(4)
- Zr-P2-Cl2: 83.1(2)
- Zr-P1-P2: 97.2(2)
- C1-C2-P3: 106.7(6)
- C1-C2-P4: 108.2(6)
- C1-C2-P5: 109.2(6)
- C1-C2-P6: 107.1(6)

Figure 3.14: ORTEP molecular structure of CpZr(P2C2Ad2)Cl2Fe(P2C2Ad2) (3.3) (H atoms omitted for clarity)
Comparison with literature values for Zr-C(Cp) bond lengths showed them to be typical for a Cp ligand coordinated to a Zr(IV) centre. Similarly the Zr-Cl and Fe-Cl bond lengths were in the range expected for bridging Cl to the respective metals, and the Fe-P bonds were similar to the handful of previously reported examples of complexes containing P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ rings coordinated to Fe centres. A comparison of the bonding between Zr and the P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$ was not possible as no previous examples of η$^4$ coordination to Zr have been reported. The P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$ ring adopts this near planar coordination mode (P1 deviated by 0.431 Å) due to the enhanced stability of its aromaticity. In the starting material, Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) the η$^4$ coordination mode is not possible due to the available orbitals of the metal centre. The Cp$_2$Zr fragment possesses three orbitals available for bonding, all of which lie in a plane between the Cp rings and do not provide the necessary overlap with the orbitals of the planar P$_2$C$_2$R$_2$ ring to allow a bonding interaction.

The paramagnetic nature of this product, was evident from its NMR spectra. Employing the Evans’ method gave a value of three for the number of unpaired electrons in this bimetallic complex.

Although no NMR spectrum of the product could be obtained, $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectra run of the reaction after two days revealed a pair of doublets at δ -97.6 and 366.6, the latter being much broader, with a coupling of 47 Hz. (Figure 3.15)
Given the low intensity and broad nature of these resonances it is postulated that these resonances correspond to an intermediate species in which the phosphorus atoms are no longer equivalent. The chemical shift value of δ 366 is typical for an unsaturated P atom, implying that the P-P bond of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂) is broken.

Examining the molecular structure of the dimer, in particular the presence of bridging Cl and a P₂C₂Ad₂ ring it is tempting to propose a general mechanism for the Cl/P₂C₂R₂ ring exchange. Initial coordination of a Cl lone pair from MCl₂ to the Zr and from one of the P atoms to the M leads to the formation a dimer. As the bridging Cl transfers to the Zr the P-P bond of the coordinated P₂C₂R₂ group breaks and the ring shifts towards the incoming M centre, with its coordination mode to M switching from L- to X-type.

Figure 3.15: $^{31}P{\{}^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of the intermediate in the reaction of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂) with FeCl₂
If this process is repeated then the second Cl is transferred to the zirconium and the \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) ring would move completely to the M centre. Finally, the dative M-Cl bond dissociate, affording \( \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2 \) and the new \( \text{MP}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 \) system. (Scheme 3.18) This mechanism would account for the products observed in reactions in which main group halides are employed and which are by far more numerous than their transition metal analogues (\textit{vide} Chapter 2).

\[
\text{P}_2\text{ZrP}_2\text{R}_2 + \text{MCl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}_2 + \text{MP}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2
\]

\[
\text{MP}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2 + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{P}_2\text{ZrP}_2\text{R}_2 + \text{MCl}_2
\]

\textit{Scheme 3.18: Proposed general mechanism for the P}_2\text{C}_2\text{R}_2/\text{dichloride exchange}

Clearly this is not the mechanism operating when \( \text{FeCl}_2 \) is the metal halide. Unlike its main group analogues for which any redox process must be a two-electron event, Fe can undergo a single electron transfer process. If the formal oxidation states of the metal centres in the product are assigned as Zr(IV) and Fe(III) then an one electron oxidation
of the Fe has occurred. The presence of the second \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \) group in the dimer implies that reaction with a second equivalent of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \) must be involved.

It is the ability of Fe to support a +3 oxidation state that permits the \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \) ring to coordinate \( \eta^4 \) without the requirement for the Fe centre to transfer a second Cl. The resulting chloro-bridged compound then coordinated to a second equivalent of the zirconium reagent via the Cl. However, since the transfer of the second \( \text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2 \) ring would require the Fe to adopt an unfavourable +4 oxidation state the progress was arrested before this could happen. Instead, it is postulated that the chloride donation prompted the loss of a Cp radical which (along with the loss of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr} \)) afforded the product. (Scheme 3.19)

Scheme 3.19: Proposed mechanism for the formation of \( \text{ZrCp}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \text{Cl}_2\text{Fe}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2\text{Ad}_2) \)
3.3: Conclusions

The reactions of Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂R₂) with TiCl₃ and TiCl₄ indicate that the transfer of the P₂C₂R₂ ligand from Zr to Ti is achievable, but that the presence of two rings on the metal centre leads to an unstable state in which the rings are lost and combined into molecules of tetraphosphacubane.

By reducing Cp₂TiCl₂ and combining with AdCP a compound was formed with the proposed formula of Cp₂Ti(AdCP)₃. The structure of this complex could not be determined but it is clear that there is a marked difference between this and the analogous reaction involving Zr which dimerises the phosphaalkyne into the butterfly structure.

The reaction between Cp₂Zr(P₂C₂Ad₂) and FeCl₂ produced a paramagnetic complex containing both metal centres bridged by chlorides and a P₂C₂Ad₂ ring. The molecular structure of this complex helped to formulate the proposed mechanism, not just for its own synthesis, but also for the general P₂C₂R₂/2Cl exchange reactions that have provided the principal theme of this and the previous chapter.
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Chapter 4

Investigating Cyclopentadienyl Tin Halides as Potential Precursors for Sn≡P Bonds

4.1: Introduction

When the first phosphaalkyne was synthesised almost fifty years ago by Gier\textsuperscript{1} it marked the beginning of a great deal of interest, not just into phosphaalkynes, but in multiple bonding between main group elements.\textsuperscript{2}

For heavier main group elements, there is an increasing tendency for the lower of the two available oxidation states to become more stable, resulting from the inert pair effect\textsuperscript{3} and a consequential reduction in the number of bonds formed by the heavier elements. In the case of the group 14 elements, for example, alkynes are ubiquitous whereas there is a relative dearth of germyl and stannyl analogues. For many years, this behaviour lead to an accepted “double-bond rule”\textsuperscript{2} which stated that multiple bonds of heavy main group atoms could not exist stably. The explanation proposed was that as an atom becomes larger, there is lessening of the overlap between the p-orbitals,\textsuperscript{4} thus making the formation of π-bonds ever more difficult and favouring the single bond and two lone pairs configuration. (Figure 4.1)
The inert pairs and bent geometries of low-coordinate compounds of heavy, main-group elements arises as a result of increased mixing of the $\pi$ and $\sigma^*$ orbitals of the central bond, due to the decrease in their energy separation as the atomic number of E increases. This mixing of orbitals lowers the energy of the $\pi$ orbital increasing the stability of the molecule, but in doing so transforms the bonding orbital into a non-bonding orbital.\(^2\) (Figure 4.2)

\[ \text{Increase in atomic number of E} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4.1: The inert pair effect. (E = group 14 element)} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4.2: Mixing of } \pi \text{ and } \sigma^* \text{ orbitals in heavy element alkene analogues} \]
These facts make the synthesis of heavier main group multiple bonds much more challenging than their first row cogeners, although still achievable through judicious use of substituent groups.

The first phosphaalkyne, HCP, was produced by passing phosphine through an arc struck between graphite electrodes at reduced pressure for several hours. This caused a reaction between the phosphine and the carbon, producing HCP and HCCH in a 1:4 ratio as well as traces of $\text{H}_2\text{CCH}_2$. HCP readily polymerises, which was later found to be a common property of phosphaalkynes, which resulted in the colourless gas changing to a black solid unless stored at very low temperatures ($\leq 124 \, ^\circ\text{C}$) and/or pressures. Since then, phosphaalkynes containing different alkyl and aryl substituents have been reported by a variety of methods. For example, flash vacuum thermolysis of 1-vinylphosphirane at 700 °C has been employed to produce MeCP via a vinylphosphididine intermediate. (Scheme 4.1)

![Scheme 4.1: Formation of MeCP by flash vacuum thermolysis](image)

A more widely used synthesis employs the elimination of adjacent atoms or groups across a C-P bond as a greater range of substituents on the carbon atom e.g. the elimination of HCl, from dichlorophosphaalkanes and dichloroalkylphosphines. (Scheme 4.2)

![Scheme 4.2: Formation of phosphaalkyne by elimination of HCl](image)
Flash vacuum pyrolysis of chloro(phenyl(trimethylsilyl)methyl)phosphine was reported to afford phenylphosphaalkyne,\textsuperscript{9} while pyrolysis of dichloro(tris(trimethylsilyl)methyl)phosphine afforded trimethylsilylphosphaalkyne.\textsuperscript{10} (Scheme 4.3)

As shown in Scheme 4.2 the H and Cl atoms may originate on either the C or P elements whereas the trimethylsilyl groups depicted in Scheme 4.3 are always based on the carbon centre.

\[
\text{Ph} \quad \text{C} \equiv \text{P} \quad \text{Cl} \\
\text{Me}_3\text{Si} \\
700 \, ^\circ\text{C} \\
\text{SiMe}_3\text{Cl} \\
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Me}_3\text{Si} \\
\text{Me}_3\text{Si} \\
\text{C} \equiv \text{P} \quad \text{Cl}_2 \\
300 - 630 \, ^\circ\text{C} \\
\text{SiMe}_3\text{Cl} \\
\end{array}
\]

This method, however, provided product of low yields and purities. Good yields may be obtained when the desired phosphaalkyne contains a primary or secondary carbon is bound to the C atom and thus can be synthesised via a Lewis base catalysed rearrangement of the corresponding alkynyl phosphine.\textsuperscript{11} (Scheme 4.4)
Perhaps the most frequently employed method for the preparation of phosphaalkynes involves the elimination of hexamethyldisiloxane.\textsuperscript{12} (Scheme 4.5) The initial step of this synthesis combines $\text{P(SiMe}_3\text{)}_3$ and an appropriate acyl halide. A molecule of trimethylsilyl chloride is eliminated to create the carbon phosphorus bond. This is quickly followed by a migration of a trimethylsilyl group from the P to the O of the carbonyl group, providing the $\text{C}=\text{P}$. Addition of base subsequently catalyses the elimination of the hexamethyldisiloxane thus forming the $\text{C}=\text{P}$.

This method affords high yields under relatively mild conditions, hence its wide appeal. It was using this method that, in 1981, the first kinetically stabilised phosphaalkyne, $^1\text{BuC}=\text{P}$, was synthesised.\textsuperscript{12} Unlike HCP which polymerised readily, $^1\text{BuC}=\text{P}$ could be stored, without decomposition at ambient temperatures and pressures. The $^1\text{Bu
substituent hinders access to the reactive C≡P moiety which is required for polymerisation to occur. Although twenty years elapsed between the synthesis of HCP and \(^{t}\)BuC≡P the isolation of the latter prompted the publication of several analogues such as the adamantyl\(^{13}\) and 2,4,6-tri-\textit{tert}-butylphenyl (Mes\(^*\)).\(^{14}\) (Figure 4.3)

![Selected kinetically stabilised phosphaalkynes](image)

*Figure 4.3: Selected kinetically stabilised phosphaalkynes*

Once a family of stable phosphaalkynes had been established, investigators turned to elements other than P to search for further examples of C≡E

The arsaalkynes\(^{2}\) were subsequently explored but only a handful of examples have, to date, been identified, and only one of these, Mes\(^*\)C≡As, is stable as a neutral species.\(^{15}\) This was formed via the route outlined in Scheme 4.5. Others such as MeC≡As were prepared \textit{via} the Lewis base catalysed rearrangement depicted in Scheme 4.4 but rapidly decomposes, with a half-life of only one hour at 0 °C.\(^{16}\) After having synthesised ethynylarsine, sodium carbonate was used at 80 °C to induce a rearrangement to the MeC≡As. This method was later used to synthesise the third example, EtC≡As, but failed for the synthesis of the \(^{t}\)Bu and (Me\(_3\)Si)CH\(_2\) analogues.\(^{17}\) (Scheme 4.6)
More recently, an anionic tris(trifluoromethyl)boroarsaalkyne was synthesised\textsuperscript{18} as outlined in Scheme 4.7.

Scheme 4.6: Formation of arsaalkynes by base catalysed rearrangement of an alkyne

Scheme 4.7: Formation of an arsaalkyne trialkylborane complex by elimination of (SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}O
Currently, there are no examples of molecules containing a triple bond between a heavier group 14 and 15 element, although some theoretical reports have appeared regarding the effect of different substituents, X, on the stability of Ge≡P.\(^{19}\) (Scheme 4.8)

\[
\begin{align*}
X &\rightarrow \text{Ge≡P} & \text{Ge≡P} & \rightarrow \text{Ge≡P} \\
\text{A} & & \text{B} & & \text{C}
\end{align*}
\]

*Scheme 4.8: Theoretical equilibrium between Ge(IV) and Ge(II) multiply bonded phosphorus species*

B3LYP calculations were used to show that the doubly bonded form (C) is intrinsically more thermodynamically stable, but the triply bonded molecule (A) lies at a local minimum on the potential energy surface and could be stabilised kinetically. The authors also revealed that A was stabilised by the presence of π-donating, electronegative groups, whilst the reverse was true for C.

Two years later, another calculation was reported for the XSn≡P analogue.\(^{20}\) The overall conclusion this time was that the electronic properties of X could not be tailored to make the triply bonded form more thermodynamically stable than the doubly bonded form. The use of very bulky aryl substituents such as \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{-2,6-[C}_6\text{H}_2\text{-2,4,6-C(SiH}_3\text{)]}_2\) was calculated to provide an energy minimum for the triply bonded species. (Figure 4.4)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{(H}_3\text{Si)}_3\text{C} & \text{C(SiH}_3\text{)}_3 \\
&\text{C(SiH}_3\text{)}_3 & \text{C(SiH}_3\text{)}_3 \\
&\text{Sn≡P} & \text{C(SiH}_3\text{)}_3 \\
&\text{(H}_3\text{Si)}_3\text{C} & \text{C(SiH}_3\text{)}_3
\end{align*}
\]

*Figure 4.4: Theoretically stable Sn≡P species*
This calculation showed $XSn≡P$ to be more stable than $Sn=P-X$ by approximately 20.71 kcal/mol, and around 22.80 kcal/mol less than the associated 2+2 dimer.

Although the elusive $RSn≡P$ molecule could supposedly be stabilised by employing a suitable ligand, this does present its own set of problems. Because the ligand is designed to block any oligomerisation of the $Sn≡P$ moiety by virtue of its bulk, it is difficult to envisage a route via a suitable Sn(IV) precursor a difficulty which is compounded by the necessity of requiring a Sn-P bond-forming step in the synthesis. One possible remedy would be to use an ambidentate ligand, which when bonding to the Sn in one mode would maintain the bulk of the ligand away from the Sn centre thus facilitating the Sn-P bond formation step. But would then adopt its second ligation mode in which the bulk of the ligand would protect the multiple bond after its subsequent formation. It is well established that the cyclopentadienyl ligand when bonded to Sn(IV) centres binds in an $\eta^1$ fashion while for its Sn(II) analogues an $\eta^5$ mode is adopted.\textsuperscript{21-24} (Figure 4.5)

\[ \text{Figure 4.5: Cp bonding modes in Sn(IV) and Sn(II) complexes} \]
Thus a route employing a CpSnX$_3$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ to afford CpSn{P(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}X$_2$ was proposed. Subsequent sequential elimination of two equivalents of Me$_3$SiX could then provide CpSn≡P. (Scheme 4.9)

![Scheme 4.9: Proposed synthetic route to CpSn≡P](image)

Whilst, a common method for synthesising phosphaalkynes involves starting with an acylchloride and elimination of hexamethyldisiloxane, a similar route using analogous Sn reagents was thought unlikely to be successful. In addition to the weaker π-bonds being formed, the SnO bond is much stronger than the corresponding CO bond (approximately 530 and 360 kJmol$^{-1}$ respectively), making the elimination of siloxane far less likely.
4.2: Results and Discussion

A number of attempts were made to synthesise molecules which could potentially act as precursors to SnP multiple bonds by sequential eliminations of trimethylsilyl halides. To begin with, reactions between a variety of Sn chlorides and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ were attempted, but this invariably resulted in the formation of insoluble black/brown solids which were most likely some mixture of polymers and/or clusters. Postulating that this was caused by too rapid and uncontrolled an elimination of SiMe$_3$Cl, the decision was made to use iodides instead of chlorides, as they would be less reactive towards the SiMe$_3$ groups. To this end, attempts were made to add different Cp derivatives to Sn iodides, with varying levels of success.

Synthesis of Cp*SnI$_3$ (4.1)

The first of these was the synthesis of Cp*SnI$_3$, synthesised from SnI$_4$ and KCp* stirred for two hours in Et$_2$O at 0 °C. (Scheme 4.10)

\[
\text{K}^+ + \text{SnI}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{Et}_2\text{O, 0 °C, 2 hours}} \text{Cp}^*\text{SnI}_3 \text{,} \text{- KI}
\]

\(\text{Scheme 4.10: Synthesis of Cp}^*\text{SnI}_3\)

The product was crystallised as dark red needles which were used for X-ray diffraction. (Figure 4.6) This complex had previously been reported as a product of the reaction between Cp$^*$$_2$SnI and Hgl$_2$ in benzene.$^{26}$
The solid state molecular structure showed that ring is bound $\eta^1$ to the Sn, with observably different C-C bond lengths representative of localised single and double bonds. The $^1$H NMR spectrum showed only one resonance at $\delta$ 1.65 and with $^{117/119}$Sn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Bond Lengths [Å]</th>
<th>Selected Bond Angles [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1-Sn 2.216(5)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C2 96.9(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2 1.494(8)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C5 98.0(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-C3 1.361(8)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C6 111.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4 1.459(8)</td>
<td>C2-C1-C5 104.6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5 1.341(9)</td>
<td>C1-C2-C3 107.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-C1 1.500(8)</td>
<td>C2-C3-C4 109.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C6 1.519(8)</td>
<td>C3-C4-C5 110.3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I1 2.6986(6)</td>
<td>C4-C5-C1 107.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I2 2.6763(6)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I1 114.57(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I3 2.6962(6)</td>
<td>I1-Sn-I2 105.290(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I2-Sn-I3 107.73(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I1-Sn-I3 103.77(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.6: ORTEP molecular structure of Cp*SnI$_3$ (H atoms omitted for clarity)
satellites with a coupling constant value of 75 Hz (the separate satellites of the different isotopes were unresolved), indicating that the position of the Sn relative to the ring is fluxional and, while the ring is still $\eta^1$, the Sn is shifting around all five carbon atoms. (Figure 4.7)

![Figure 4.7: $^1$H NMR spectrum of Cp*SnI$_3$](image)

**Synthesis of Cp$^{Me_2}$SnI$_2$ (4.2)**

Following the successful synthesis of Cp$^*$SnI$_3$, the reaction of SnI$_4$ with one equivalent LiCp$^{Me_4}$ was performed, stirring the reagents for two hours in diethyl ether at 0 °C. In this instance, however, the result was the formation of the Cp$^{Me_4}_2$SnI$_2$, as shown by X-ray diffraction analysis of the crystalline product. (Figure 4.8) It is unclear whether the two rings were added to the Sn from the outset or if there was a scrambling
of ligands subsequent to the initial reaction, but the $\text{Cp}^{\text{Me}_4}$ was evidently insufficiently bulky to deter the addition of a second ring.

**Figure 4.8: ORTEP molecular structure of $\text{Cp}^{\text{Me}_4} \text{SnI}_2$ (H atoms omitted for clarity)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Bond Lengths [Å]</th>
<th></th>
<th>Selected Bond Angles [°]</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1-Sn</td>
<td>2.202(5)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C2</td>
<td>98.3(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2</td>
<td>1.473(8)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C5</td>
<td>98.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-C3</td>
<td>1.360(8)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-C10</td>
<td>113.6(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4</td>
<td>1.445(8)</td>
<td>C2-C1-C5</td>
<td>105.5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5</td>
<td>1.363(8)</td>
<td>C1-C2-C3</td>
<td>108.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-C1</td>
<td>1.479(8)</td>
<td>C2-C3-C4</td>
<td>109.0(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C10</td>
<td>2.205(5)</td>
<td>C3-C4-C5</td>
<td>109.8(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10-C11</td>
<td>1.483(8)</td>
<td>C4-C5-C1</td>
<td>107.3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11-C12</td>
<td>1.347(9)</td>
<td>Sn-C10-C11</td>
<td>103.8(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12-C13</td>
<td>1.465(9)</td>
<td>Sn-C10-C14</td>
<td>104.8(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13-C14</td>
<td>1.338(9)</td>
<td>C14-C10-C11</td>
<td>104.0(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14-C10</td>
<td>1.484(8)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I1</td>
<td>109.08(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I2</td>
<td>2.7101(6)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I2</td>
<td>111.22(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued overleaf)
As in the case of Cp*SnI₃, the X-ray crystal structure of CpMe₄₂SnI₂ showed an η¹ coordination of the CpMe₄ rings, with equivalent bond lengths and angles showing similar values between the two structures. The ¹H NMR spectrum of CpMe₄₂SnI₂ showed three resonances corresponding to the single proton on the ring and the two different Me environments. (Figure 4.9) All three resonances exhibit [117/119]Sn satellites but with widely differing values of coupling constant. (Table 4.1)

**Figure 4.9:** ¹H NMR spectrum of CpMe₄₂SnI₂
This indicated that even in solution, the Sn centre does not move evenly across all five carbons of the ring. If, as observed with Cp*, the structure was fluxional, then an average of the positions would be seen which would result in the two Me resonances having similar values of coupling constant to the Sn centre. The reality that one of the Me resonances has a $^{117/119}$Sn coupling constant value more than twice the magnitude of the other indicates a localisation of the Sn centre on the non-methylated C of the ring.

Reactions between SnI$_4$ and the alkali metal salts of bulkier Cp rings including LiCp$^S$ and KCpPh$_5$ failed to yield any identifiable products, often just returning samples of SnI$_4$. As the Cp*SnI$_3$ was the only confirmed product of the desired form at this point it was used as the basis for the next stage of the process, addition of a PR$_2$ group.

**Reaction between KCp*, SnI$_4$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$**

In order to investigate the product of a one-pot synthesis, a reaction was carried out combining P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$, SnI$_4$ and KCp* in a 1:1:1 ratio in toluene at -78 °C and stirring for two hours before warming to ambient temperature and stirring for a further eighteen hours. The result, however, was not the formation of a SnP bond, but instead the reduction of the Sn centre and the production of Cp*SnI. (Scheme 4.11)
The product was crystallised as pale yellow needles which were used for X-ray diffraction. (Figure 4.10)
**Selected Bond Lengths [Å]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Length</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C1</td>
<td>2.653(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C2</td>
<td>2.597(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C3</td>
<td>2.473(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C4</td>
<td>2.467(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-C5</td>
<td>2.577(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I</td>
<td>3.1901(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I’</td>
<td>3.4997(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I”</td>
<td>3.5622(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Selected Bond Angles [°]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angle</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-Sn-I’</td>
<td>87.087(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Sn-I”</td>
<td>83.491(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I”-Sn-I’</td>
<td>126.929(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I-Sn’</td>
<td>90.291(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I-Sn”</td>
<td>96.509(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn”-I-Sn’</td>
<td>111.102(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Sn-M</td>
<td>117.25(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’-Sn-M</td>
<td>113.31(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I”-Sn-M</td>
<td>117.38(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.10: ORTEP molecular structure of \((\text{Cp}^*\text{SnI})_3\), (4.3) representing part of a longer \((\text{Cp}^*\text{SnI})_n\) chain (H atoms omitted for clarity)
The X-ray diffraction analysis showed that the ring is in the \( \eta^5 \) position typical of Sn(II) species, albeit slightly shifted towards the C3-C4 bond, and that in the solid state the molecules form a ladder-like chain. This chain adopts what can be described as a boat form (with alternating cis- and trans- rings) rather than a chair form (with only trans-rings) in order to provide the maximum separation for the bulky Cp* groups. (Figure 4.11)

![Boat and Chair arrangements of Cp*SnI](image)

*Figure 4.11: “Boat” and “Chair” arrangements of Cp*SnI (Sn and I labels omitted for clarity)*

In terms of the X-ray data displayed in Figure 4.10, the Sn-I-Sn’-I’ ring represents one of the cis- linkages (parallel to the chain) whilst the Sn-I-Sn”-I” ring represents one of the trans- linkages (oblique to the chain).

The \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopic analysis showed the expected single resonance from the five equivalent Me groups, but without any Sn satellites. (Figure 4.12)
The $^{119}$Sn NMR spectrum displayed just one singlet. (Figure 4.13) The chemical shift is found to be at a low frequency of $\delta -1537$ which is in the region typical for Sn(II) compounds. The resonance was also significantly broadened with $\nu_{1/2} = 515$ Hz.
A possible cause for broadness may be a fluxional equilibrium process whereby the 
Cp*SnI units are coordinating as shown in the crystal structure and dissociating into 
monomers. (Scheme 4.12)

Scheme 4.12: Proposed polymer/monomer equilibrium of Cp*SnI
Attempts to synthesise Cp*SnI directly from KCp* and SnI₂ only succeeded in producing Cp*SnI₃. From this it was concluded that the phosphine is essential in the reduction of the Sn centre and that without the presence of a reducing agent the Sn reagent will disproportionate.

**Synthesis of Cp₅SnI₃ (4.4)**

The formation of Cp*SnI₃ from the SnI₂ offered a potential route to the production of bulkier Cp analogues by removing the steric hindrance of the additional iodine atoms. Using this method a reaction between lithium CpS and an excess of SnI₂ was performed in Et₂O at 0 °C for two hours. The result was the formation of the Cp₅SnI₃. (Scheme 4.12) The by-products of this reaction could not be identified.

![Scheme 4.13: Synthesis of Cp₅SnI₃](image)

This was shown by X-ray diffraction of a single, dark-red crystal. (Figure 4.14)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Bond Lengths [Å]</th>
<th>Selected Bond Angles [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1-Sn 2.207(4)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C2 98.4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2 1.505(6)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-C5 96.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-C3 1.366(6)</td>
<td>Sn-C1-Si 114.04(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4 1.446(7)</td>
<td>C2-C1-C5 103.7(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5 1.360(6)</td>
<td>C1-C2-C3 108.5(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-C1 1.509(6)</td>
<td>C2-C3-C4 109.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-Si 1.922(4)</td>
<td>C3-C4-C5 110.3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-C12 1.900(5)</td>
<td>C4-C5-C1 107.9(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I1 2.6806(5)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I1 108.88(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I2 2.6956(4)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I2 111.62(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-I3 2.6962(6)</td>
<td>C1-Sn-I3 113.18(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.14: ORTEP molecular structure of Cp₅SnI₃ (H atoms omitted for clarity)
These values for the bond lengths and angles are very close to the applicable equivalents in the previous Sn(IV) examples, and again the ring is shown to coordinate in an η¹ fashion through C1. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the four resonances associated with the Cp⁵ group, with those corresponding to the Me groups bound to the ring showing ¹¹⁷/¹¹⁹Sn satellites. (Figure 4.15) The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data is shown in Table 4.2.

![Figure 4.15: ¹H NMR spectrum of Cp⁵SnI₃](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Shift</th>
<th>Relative Integral</th>
<th>J ¹H-Sn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4.2: ¹H NMR spectroscopic data for Cp⁵SnI₃*
The $^{117/119}$Sn satellites of the resonance at $\delta$ 1.68 displayed a far greater coupling constant value than those of the resonance at $\delta$ 1.99, which appeared only as shoulders on the main peak. As observed for Cp$^{Me_4}_2$SnI$_2$, this difference indicates that in solution the Sn centre remains localised over Cl. Whilst the $^4J$ and $^5J$ couplings of the Sn atom to the Me groups bound to the ring were clearly visible in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, no $^4J$ and $^5J$ couplings could be observed on the resonances of the SiMe$_2$Bu group. It has been shown that long-range couplings can be strengthened by the presence of a conjugated $\pi$-electron system\textsuperscript{27} such as that found in the Cp ring. In essence the conjugated system can be thought of as one elongated bond and, although the coupling interaction though $\pi$-system decreases exponentially with increasing path length, the decrease is much less than through several separate bonds the $\sigma$-bonded structures, enabling couplings over longer distances. The angle of the Sn-C bond at 77.59° from the plane of the ring indicates that it has a high degree of p-orbital character from the C atom, which would align the bonding orbital with the $\pi$-system and allow a significant interaction.\textsuperscript{22} Effectively this enables a direct, if somewhat diminished, coupling interaction between the Sn and the remote C atoms of the ring. This is proposed as the reason why there is evidence of coupling to the Me groups of the ring whilst not for the SiMe$_2$Bu group through the entirely $\sigma$-bond based system.

**Reaction Between Cp$^8$SnI$_3$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$**

Once synthesised, the Cp$^8$SnI$_3$ was combined in a reaction with one equivalent of P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ in toluene at -78 °C before warming to ambient temperature and stirring for twenty hours, resulting in a yellow solution. (Scheme 4.14)
Scheme 4.14: Reaction of Cp⁵SnI₃ and P(SiMe₃)₃

The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data for this product shows the resonances of the Cp⁵ group with no visible ¹¹⁷/¹¹⁹Sn satellites and no SiMe₃ resonances. The absence of any P(SiMe₃)₂ groups was corroborated by the ³¹P NMR spectrum showing that the sample contained no phosphorus atoms at all. This implies that any reaction undergone by the phosphine has not resulted in the P being bound to the Sn centre, but being lost as part of a volatile molecule in vacuo with the solvent. When cooled to -30 °C, the solution changed from yellow to a pale blue-green, with the blue colour becoming more predominant as the temperature was lowered further. When stored at circa -80 °C a dark blue precipitate was formed. Upon warming back to ambient temperature the yellow colour was regained. This kind of thermochromic response indicated a possible monomer-dimer (or higher oligomer) equilibrium. This data would be consistent with product A being a Sn(II) product analogous to the Cp*SnI complex reported earlier, but as no crystals were available for X-ray diffraction there was insufficient evidence to confirm this. (Figure 4.16) The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data is collected in Table 4.3.

Figure 4.16: Possible molecular structure of product A (4.5)
Attempts were made to obtain a $^{119}$Sn NMR spectrum of this sample but proved unsuccessful.

**Reaction Between Cp$^5$SnI$_3$ and LiP(SiMe$_3)_2$**

The use of LiP(SiMe$_3)_2$ offered an alternative route to the formation of the Sn-P bond, through the elimination of LiI. LiP(SiMe$_3)_2$ and Cp$^5$SnI$_3$ were combined in a 1:1 ratio in an NMR tube and dissolved in a mixture of THF and benzene-d$^6$ at -78 °C. Upon warming to ambient temperature the mixture was left for 3 hours, causing the colour to change from dark red to yellow.

![Scheme 4.15: Reaction of Cp$^5$SnI$_3$ and LiP(SiMe$_3)_2$](image)

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the product was similar to that seen in the reaction of P(SiMe$_3)_3$, showing resonances corresponding to the Cp$^5$ group without any $^{117/119}$Sn.
satellites. (Figure 4.17) Also present were three resonances between $\delta$ 0.2 and $\delta$ -0.2 attributed to unidentified SiMe$_3$ groups. None of these resonances corresponded to an integer number of SiMe$_3$ groups when integrated against the Cp$^S$ resonances and so were thought to correspond to by-products of the reaction.

![Figure 4.17: $^1$H NMR spectrum of the products of the reaction of Cp$^S$SnI$_3$ and LiP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$](image)

The chemical shifts of the Cp$^S$ group in this reaction mixture do not match exactly with those reported from the reaction between Cp$^S$SnI$_3$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$, but the greatest difference is only 0.11 ppm and could be due to the change in solvent. The $^1$H NMR spectroscopic data for this product is outlined in Table 4.4, along with proposed assignments based on the proposed Cp$^S$SnI structure depicted in Figure 4.16
A $^{31}$P-$^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction products displayed two singlets at $\delta$ -174 and $\delta$ -217. The identity of the compounds to which these resonances correspond was not determined, but as neither exhibited any $^{117/119}$Sn satellites it was thought unlikely that the products of this reaction contained a Sn-P bond.

The similarity of the $^1$H NMR spectroscopic data suggests the products of the two reactions could be the same proposed species, and the known reducing property of LiP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ makes the formation of Cp$^5$SnI a distinct possibility. If the reaction with LiP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ has formed Cp$^5$SnI, the mechanism is proposed to be through the formation of the SnP bond, followed by a reductive elimination of P(SiMe)$_2$I, although these by-products have yet to be detected.

An article detailing reactions similar to this was published earlier this year, in which RSnCl$_3$ (R = 2,6-(2,4,6-iPr$_3$C$_6$H$_2$)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$) was observed to undergo a reduction in a reaction with LiP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$. The result, however, was not RSnCl, but instead RSnP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$. A possible reason for this is that after an initial reduction the RSnCl was attacked by a second equivalent of LiP(SiMe$_3$)$_2$, whereas the iodides were not. (Scheme 4.16)
Scheme 4.16: Variation in reduction products of RSnX₃ species by LiP(SiMe₃)₂
4.3: Conclusions

The production of the Sn(II) centred Cp*SnI in the reaction between KCp*, SnI₄ and P(SiMe₃)₃ is taken as evidence of the ability of the phosphine to reduce the Sn(IV) to Sn(II). Given the fact that an oxidation from P(III) to P(V) would require the addition of two iodine atoms to the already crowded P of P(SiMe₃)₃, it is thought more probable that an initial reaction occurs in which SiMe₃I is eliminated forming a Sn-P bond, followed by a reductive elimination of P(SiMe₃)₂I, although these by-products have not been identified. A reaction such as this would be in keeping with the results reported in Chapter 2 of this work in which it was proposed that then Sn-P bond was highly susceptible to radical cleavage which, in turn, was seen to promote reductive elimination.

Conversely, it was observed that in the reactions SnI₂ with KCp* or LiCp⁵, the product would be the respective alkyl tin triiodide. Although the by-products were not identified, the transfer of iodine atoms from one Sn centre to another could possibly suggest a disproportionation, also producing colloidal Sn(0).
References

5. Regitz, M. *Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **90**, 191 (and references therein)


Chapter 5

Using Bis(phosphino)benzene to Produce New Group 14 Heterocycles

5.1: Introduction

For many years now ortho- substituted benzene rings have been a useful reagent for the synthesis of novel heteroatomic bicyclic ring systems. The rigid ring structure holds the substituents in the plane and so provides a ready made template into which a final atom or group can be inserted to produce bicyclic ring systems.¹ (Scheme 5.1)

A multitude of compounds of this form have been reported with a variety of groups in the X and E positions, as both neutral and charged species.²-⁴ (Figure 5.1)
One such species of interest is the C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ molecule and its derivatives. A large amount of the early work reported with this system dealt principally with C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and its reactions with chlorides of C, P and As. Several new bicyclic molecules were synthesised of the [4.3.0] fused ring form depicted in Scheme 5.1, including those with CH₂, PMe, PEt, AsMe or AsPh moieties bound between the P atoms. (Figure 5.2)

\[ \text{Figure 5.1: Examples of heteroatomic ring systems derived from substituted benzenes} \]

\[ \text{Figure 5.2: Products of early reactions using C₆H₄-1,2-(PPhLi)₂} \]
The same article detailed reactions between C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and 1,2-dihaloethanes, the results of which differed depending on the halide employed. (Scheme 5.2) The reaction with C₂H₄Cl₂ was shown to afford a [4.4.0] fused ring system in which the ethylene moiety bridged between the two P atoms. When the reaction was performed with C₂H₄Br₂, the ethylene moiety was not present in the product. Instead two of the bis(phosphino)benzene derivatives coupled to produce a new P₄C₄ ring. The mechanism for this reaction was postulated to involve a halogen exchange with the C₂H₄Br₂ to produce C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)(PHBr) which then dimerised, eliminating two equivalents of LiBr.

![Scheme 5.2: Reactions of C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and 1,2-dihaloethanes](image)

Subsequent reports detailed reactivity other than the lithium halide eliminations. It was reported that C₆H₄-1,2-(PPhMe)₂ and C₆H₄-1,2-(PPh₂) were used as precursors in the formation of strained carbodiphosphoranes. By performing a reaction between the bisphosphine and CH₂Cl₂ a diphosphonium ion was formed with the P atoms oxidised from P(III) to P(V). The dication was then deprotonated with NH₃ to generate a semilylide phosphonium species. (Scheme 5.3)
The same reaction pathways were followed regardless of whether the second phosphine substituent is a Me or Ph group, although the conditions for the reactions of the Ph analogues were somewhat harsher. This was not the case, however, when attempting the final step to form the carbodiphosphorane. (Scheme 5.4) In the Ph substituted example, deprotonation using Et₃P=CHMe in THF resulted in formation of the desired carbodiphosphorane, but when Me groups were present on the phosphines, these were deprotonated instead of the central carbon, giving rise to an asymmetrical double ylide. The Me substituted carbodiphosphorane was formed directly from the diphosphonium anion by reaction "BuLi and isolated as an adduct of the LiBr by-product.

Scheme 5.3: Reactions of substituted bis(phosphino)benzenes with CH₂Br₂ and NH₃ to form semi-ylid phosphonium salts
Later reports showed that by heating the C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-1,2-(PCl\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} with water the [4.3.0] system with O bridging between the P atoms was formed\textsuperscript{6} (Scheme 5.5) To date this remains the only structure of this type with a bridging chalcogen, most of the research in this area being focused on groups 14 and 15.

\[ \text{Scheme 5.5: Formation of C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}-1,2-(\text{PCl})_{2}O \]
Further reports showed that C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ could be employed to instigate a disproportionation of white phosphorus by removing P⁺ and affording P₇⁻. The product of this reaction was a benzotriphosphole anion which was then treated with a number of different nucleophiles. (Scheme 5.6)

![Scheme 5.6: Reaction of C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ with P₄](image)

More recently research has returned to focus on the Li salts of bis(phosphino)benzene derivatives and their reactions with main group chlorides. C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ can be deprotonated by anywhere from one to all four protons (with the alkylated phosphines being deprotonated as far as they are able) to potentially give a variety of reagents tailored towards different products. (Figure 5.3)
Figure 5.3: Products of increasingly deprotonated C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂

All of these structures have been observed with main group elements.³,⁵,⁸⁻¹¹

Although C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ can be converted entirely to C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ using two equivalents of n-BuLi, it has been reported that in the reaction between C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and BRCl₂, the desired benzodiphosphaborolane once formed was further deprotonated by remaining unreacted C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂. (Scheme 5.7) The result was the regeneration of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and the production of a new dilithiated benzodiphosphaborolane.³
The formation of this side product was suppressed by adding the lithium salt slowly to the dihalide, thus ensuring that the latter was always in excess.

Phosphorus has been shown to act as a ready substitute for a CH group and as such has already found use in a number of aromatic molecules, making \( C_6H_4-1,2-(PH_2)_2 \) a useful starting material in the formation of [4.3.0] heteroatomic aromatic systems and in recent years a number of such compounds have been produced. A reaction has been reported between \( C_6H_4-1,2-(PHLi)_2 \) and Sb(NMe\(_2\))\(_3\) which afforded the aromatic \( C_6H_4P_2Sb^- \) anion.\(^{13}\) (Scheme 5.8) During the reaction two equivalents of NHMe\(_2\) are eliminated to form the \( C_2P_2Sb \) cycle, followed by the loss of a final NMe\(_2^-\) which enables the aromaticity.
The synthesis of the analogous P and As compounds has also been reported. In these reactions C₆H₄-1,2-P₂₄⁺ was combined with PCl₃ or AsCl₃, eliminating three equivalents of LiCl and affording the aromatic system. (Scheme 5.9)

![Scheme 5.8: Formation of an aromatic C₆H₄-1,2-P₂Sb anion](image)

To date none of the heavy group 14 elements have been reported as forming an equivalent aromatic structure, although a similar structure has been produced incorporating a Sn centre. In an analogous procedure to that used in the production of the Sb species depicted in Figure 5.8, C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ underwent a reaction with Sn(NMe₂)₂ to produce a compound with the formula C₆H₄P₂Sn²⁻. (Scheme 5.10) Whilst this product does possess 10 π-electrons across the molecule, it appears to be closer to an Arduengo carbene than a fully delocalised aromatic system.
In the reaction between 20 equivalents of $C_6H_4$-$1,2$-(PH$_2$)$_2$ and \([\text{Cp}^*_{2}\text{ZrH}_3]\text{[K(THF)$_2$]}\) in a mixture of toluene and THF at 90 °C for 12 hours, the initial product formed within the first 30 minutes is a dehydrogenated dimer of $C_6H_4$-$1,2$-(PH$_2$)$_2$ bonding via the P atoms.$^{16}$ (Scheme 5.11)

Scheme 5.11: Reductive coupling of bis(phosphino)benzene

Over the following hours these dimers themselves are subject to further dehydrocouplings resulting in an overall cyclic octomer of $C_6H_4$-$1,2$-P$_2$. (Scheme 5.12)

Scheme 5.12: Formation of the $C_6H_4$-$1,2$-P$_2$ octomer
5.2: Results and Discussion

Initial attempts to create a C$_2$P$_2$Sn ring system from C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$ were unsuccessful. A wide variety of reagents and reaction conditions were employed, but the vast majority afforded the known, coupled bis(phosphino)benzene compound depicted in Scheme 5.11, as was evident from the $^{31}$P NMR spectra. (Figure 5.4)

![Figure 5.4: $^{31}$P{$_1^1$H} NMR spectrum of the coupled bis(phosphino)benzene](image)

This was the result of all reactions involving alkyl tin trihalides or those performed in THF, but many different combinations of solvents, substituents and temperatures resulted in the same product.
Synthesis of $C_6H_4$-1,2-P$_2$(μ-SnMe$_2$)(μ$^2$-Sn$_2$Me$_4$) (5.1)

A sample of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ was combined with two equivalents of $^n$BuLi in hexane at ambient temperature and stirred for twenty four hours, generating a bright yellow precipitate. One equivalent of Me$_2$SnCl$_2$ was then added as a solution in hexane and the mixture stirred for a further twenty four hours, forming a white precipitate. (Scheme 5.13)

![Scheme 5.13: Synthesis of $C_6H_4$-1,2-P$_2$(μ-SnMe$_2$)(μ$^2$-Sn$_2$Me$_4$)](image)

The product of this reaction displayed a singlet resonance in the $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum at δ -158.2 along side that of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ at δ 125. (Figure 5.5) A minor side product was also visible at δ -139 which will be fully discussed later. The $^{31}$P{$^1$H} and $^{119}$Sn{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopic data for both products is compared in Table 5.1.
The resonance for \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-\text{P}_2(\mu-\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2-\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4) \) was a singlet in the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectrum, (Figure 5.6) indicating that whilst the P atoms of the \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-(\text{PHLi})_2 \) precursor were protonated, this was no longer the case.

### Table 5.1: NMR spectroscopic data for \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-\text{P}_2(\mu-\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2-\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4) \) and side product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>( \text{Sn}^\text{i} )</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>Relative Integral</th>
<th>( J^{31}\text{P}-^{119}\text{Sn} )/Hz</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>Relative Integral</th>
<th>( J^{31}\text{P}-^{119}\text{Sn} )/Hz</th>
<th>( J^{119}\text{Sn}-^{117}\text{Sn} )/Hz</th>
<th>( ^{31}\text{P} )</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-\text{P}_2(\mu-\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2-\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4) )</td>
<td>( \text{Sn}^\text{i} )</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2724</td>
<td>-158.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side product</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-139.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.5: \( ^{31}\text{P}^{1\text{H}} \) NMR spectrum of the reaction products of \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-(\text{PHLi})_2 \) and \( \text{Me}_2\text{SnCl}_2 \)

Table 5.1: NMR spectroscopic data for \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-\text{P}_2(\mu-\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2-\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4) \) and side product

The resonance for \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-\text{P}_2(\mu-\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2-\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4) \) was a singlet in the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectrum, (Figure 5.6) indicating that whilst the P atoms of the \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4-1,2-(\text{PHLi})_2 \) precursor were protonated, this was no longer the case.
Further inspection of the $^{31}$P{¹H} NMR spectrum revealed the presence of $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites. There were, in total, three sets of $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites, all with some 2nd order complexity. The presence of three Sn centres was corroborated by the $^{119}$Sn{¹H} NMR spectroscopic data which showed two resonances, at $\delta$ 126 and -55, in a 1:2 ratio. (Figures 5.7 and 5.8)
Figure 5.7: $^{119}\text{Sn}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectrum of reaction products of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-(PHLi)$_2$ and $\text{Me}_2\text{SnCl}_2$

Figure 5.8: Expansion of $^{119}\text{Sn}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectrum of major reaction product of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-(PHLi)$_2$ and $\text{Me}_2\text{SnCl}_2$
The $^{119}\text{Sn}^{[1]}\text{H}$ NMR spectroscopic data also provided a clearer view of the coupling constants to the P atoms. The higher frequency resonance appeared as a triplet with a coupling constant value of 698 Hz, The lower frequency resonance was a doublet of doublets, with a large coupling constant value of 659 Hz and a small coupling constant value of 66 Hz, and showed $^{117}\text{Sn}$ satellites with a coupling constant value of 2724 Hz. Subsequent single-crystal, X-ray diffraction data, showed the product to be $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-P$_2$(μ-SnMe$_2$)(μ$_2$-Sn$_2$Me$_4$). (Figure 5.9)
Figure 5.9: ORTEP molecular structure of $C_6H_4-1,2-P_2(\mu-SnMe_2)(\mu^2-Sn_2Me_4)$
(H atoms omitted for clarity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Bond Lengths [Å]</th>
<th>Selected Bond Angles [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1-Sn1</td>
<td>2.5408(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-Sn2</td>
<td>2.5413(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-Sn3</td>
<td>2.5089(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-Sn3</td>
<td>2.5070(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-Sn2</td>
<td>2.7779(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-C1</td>
<td>1.859(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-C2</td>
<td>1.860(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C2</td>
<td>1.405(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-C6</td>
<td>1.423(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-C3</td>
<td>1.403(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C7</td>
<td>2.143(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn1-C8</td>
<td>2.164(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn2-C9</td>
<td>2.146(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn2-C10</td>
<td>2.147(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn3-C11</td>
<td>2.157(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn3-C12</td>
<td>2.142(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9-Sn2-C10</td>
<td>111.6(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopic data was in good agreement with the X-ray crystal diffraction data. The triplet splitting of the resonance at $\delta$ 126 indicated that it corresponded to the Sn centre is bound directly to both P atoms. The magnitude of larger value $^{31}\text{P}$ coupling constant of the resonance at $\delta$ -55 indicated $^{1}J_{\text{Sn-P}}$, corresponding to the second Sn atom bound directly to the P, with the smaller value $^{31}\text{P}$ coupling constant of the resonance at $\delta$ -55 is due to a $^{2}J$ interaction with the P atom on the opposite side of the molecule. The coupling constant value of the $^{117}\text{Sn}$ satellites present on the resonance at $\delta$ -55 showed the presence of a Sn-Sn bond. The coupling constant values of the $^{31}\text{P}$ and $^{119}\text{Sn}$ resonances were relatively low for $^{1}J$ and $^{2}J$ couplings, (cf. Table 2.1) but the explanation for this became apparent when examining the structural properties from the X-ray diffraction data. All of the angles between the Sn-P, Sn-Sn and P-C bonds of the molecule were close to 90°, suggesting that the bonding orbitals of the Sn-P bonds are high in p-orbital character. Because the p-orbital possesses a nodal plane at the nucleus, the nuclear spin has a greatly reduced affect on the electrons and so the coupling interaction with other nuclei through the bonds is lessened.\(^{17}\)

Along with $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-P$_2$(μ-SnMe$_2$)(μ$^2$-Sn$_2$Me$_4$), the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ and $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra showed a second set of resonances at approximately 5% of the intensity, with very similar characteristics. A resonance appeared at $\delta$ -139 in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 5.10) with a pattern of $^{117}\text{Sn}/^{119}\text{Sn}$ satellites closely resembling those observed for $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-P$_2$(μ-SnMe$_2$)(μ$^2$-Sn$_2$Me$_4$) resonance at $\delta$ -158. The $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 5.11) showed a second triplet and doublet of doublets at $\delta$ 58 and -77 respectively, again in a 1:2 ratio. Although the NMR spectroscopic data of the two compounds displayed many of the same features, notable differences could be seen in the values of $J_{P,\text{Sn}}$. The value of $J_{P,\text{Sn}}$ for the triplet resonance in the $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR
spectrum of the minor side product is 750 Hz compared to 698 Hz for the equivalent in C₆H₄-1,2-P₂(μ-SnMe₂)(μ²-Sn₂Me₄) and the larger coupling in the doublet of doublets is similarly greater at 706 Hz as opposed to 659 Hz. The small coupling, however, is only 7 Hz, far less than the 66 Hz equivalent observed for C₆H₄-1,2-P₂(μ-SnMe₂)(μ²-Sn₂Me₄).

Figure 5.10: $^{31\text{P}}$$^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of the minor reaction product of C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)$_2$ and Me₂SnCl₂
The minor side product was proposed to be an intermediate in the synthesis of C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and Me₂SnCl₂ in which the Sn-Sn bond has not been formed and the two related Sn atoms each retain one chloride. (Figure 5.12) Because these Me₂SnCl₂ groups would be terminal, some of the steric forces responsible for the circa 90° bond angles in C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂(μ²-Sn₂Me₄) would not be experienced and so the bonding orbitals are likely to possess a slightly higher degree of s-orbital character, resulting in the increased value of the ¹J coupling constants. Without the Sn-Sn bond, however, the ²J pathway is unavailable for the small ³¹P-¹¹⁹Sn coupling between opposite sides of the molecule. Instead the minimum through-bond distance would be the ³J coupling through the ring system, accounting for a much smaller value of coupling constant. The lack of a Sn-Sn bond also explains the absence of ¹J ¹¹⁷Sn satellites on the δ -77.9 resonance in the ¹¹⁹Sn{¹¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure 5.11: ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H} NMR spectrum of the minor reaction product of C₆H₄-1,2-(PHLi)₂ and Me₂SnCl₂
The proposed mechanism by which $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-$\text{P}_2(\mu$-$\text{SnMe}_2)(\mu^2$-$\text{Sn}_2\text{Me}_4)$ is formed firstly involves the elimination of two equivalents of LiCl to form the central P-Sn-P bonds. This intermediate then undergoes a reaction with a second equivalent of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-(PHLi)$_2$, losing the two remaining protons and regenerating a molecule of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ as a by-product, as has been observed in an analogous reaction with boron halides.$^3$ Further metathesis reactions then occur to bond the second and third Sn groups to the P atoms resulting in the proposed structure of the observed side product. Finally, a reduction, most likely by remaining $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-1,2-(PHLi)$_2$, removes the chlorine atoms and affords the Sn-Sn bond. (Scheme 5.14)
Synthesis of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(μ-SnMe₂)(μ²-Sn₂Me₄) (5.2)

By increasing the bulk of the alkyl groups on the Sn atoms, it was observed that the addition of the second and third Sn groups could be sterically prevented.

A sample of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂ was combined with two equivalents of nBuLi in hexane at ambient temperature and stirred for twenty four hours, generating a bright yellow precipitate. One equivalent of tBu₂SnCl₂ was then added as a solution in hexane and the mixture stirred for a further twenty hours, causing the mixture to turn orange. (Scheme 5.15)
The $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum of the product showed two singlet resonances at δ -143.0 and -146.8 with a relative intensity ratio of approximately 1:1.36, each with a single set of $^{117}$Sn/$^{119}$Sn satellites with coupling constant values of circa 680 Hz. (Figure 5.13)

In the proton coupled $^{31}$P NMR spectrum, both of the signals were split into doublets with coupling constant values circa 175 Hz (with some additional long range...
multiplicity) demonstrating that the phosphorus atoms had retained their single protons. (Figure 5.14)

![31P NMR spectrum of C_6H_4-1,2-(PH)_2(μ-SnBu_2)](image)

*Figure 5.14: 31P NMR spectrum of C_6H_4-1,2-(PH)_2(μ-SnBu_2)*

The ^119Sn\{^1H\} NMR spectrum showed two triplet resonances at δ 147 and δ 136 with the same relative integrals and coupling constant values as the resonances observed in the 31P\{^1H\} NMR spectrum. (Figure 5.15)
The fact that the two products of this reaction showed such incredibly similar NMR spectra suggested that they may be a pair of stereoisomers. As observed for the central Sn atom of C₆H₄-1,2-P₂(μ-SnMe₂)(μ²-Sn₂Me₄), the triplet splitting and ¹J coupling in the ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H} NMR spectrum indicated that the Sn centre is bound directly between the P atoms. The presence of a single Sn centre and the fact that the P atoms are each bonded to a single proton are consistent with C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(μ-Sn'Bu₂). The two isomers would be a result of the chiral phosphorus centres, with the relative positions of the associated protons giving rise to rac- and meso- forms of the molecule. (Figure 5.16)
Whilst the presence of the two isomers could be observed in the $^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}$ and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra, the symmetry of the molecule meant that they offered no way to determine which isomer corresponds to which resonance. The $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum, however, provided some insight into the geometry of the molecules. (Figure 5.17) In the rac- form the molecule has C$_2$ symmetry, with the rotational axis passing through the centre of the aromatic ring and the Sn atom. As a result, the $^\text{tBu}$ groups are chemically equivalent and would only give one resonance in the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum. In contrast, the meso- form has C$_S$ symmetry with the mirror plane running through the Sn atom and the central carbons of both $^\text{tBu}$ groups. In this instance the $^\text{tBu}$ groups are chemically inequivalent, one on the same face of the molecule as the protons and one on the opposite, therefore generating two separate resonances in the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum. Comparing the intensities of the three $^\text{tBu}$ resonances showed that the rac- isomer is the slightly more abundant form, with its single $^\text{tBu}$ resonance possessing an integral 1.36 times the size of the two others combined. (Figure 5.18) Selected NMR spectroscopic data for these isomers is shown in Table 5.2.
Figure 5.17: $^1$H NMR spectrum of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(Φ-Sn$^t$Bu$_2$)

Figure 5.18: Expanded $^1$H NMR spectrum of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(Φ-Sn$^t$Bu$_2$)
Because the structural difference between these two isomers was only the reversed positioning of a proton and a lone pair of electrons, the energetic difference between them was incredibly small. The result of this was that attempts to synthesise just one isomer, or separate them once formed, proved impossible. The difference in the structures was, however, sufficient to prevent an ordered packing of the molecules. This meant that the product could only be obtained as an oil, rather than a solid, and all attempts to produce crystals of the product for X-ray diffraction were unsuccessful. Without any X-ray diffraction data it was obviously difficult to determine the exact geometry of the molecules, but the NMR spectroscopic data did provide some information. The Sn-P coupling constants in these molecules are very close to the values observed for the equivalent phosphorus and tin atoms in C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(μ-SnBu₂). If the justification for the low coupling constant is correct (i.e. the bonds are high in p-orbital character) it can therefore be inferred from this data that the ring angles of the Sn and P atoms were all close to 90°.

A single hexagonal crystal was obtained from the reaction mixture but the X-ray analysis showed that it was a trace side product. Instead of a single ¹Bu₂Sn group bound between the two P atoms, two equivalents had formed a Sn-Sn bond, resulting in a six membered, P₂C₂Sn₂ ring. (Figure 5.19)
The formation of this distannylated product occurs far less readily than the monostannylated due to the fact that once the first Sn group has bonded to the P atom,
the ring closure is vastly favoured over the introduction of a second Sn centre due to the proximity of the eliminating groups. Although the crystal data clearly shows that the distannylated product will form independently alongside the monostannylated, the fact that its presence was so small that its NMR spectroscopic resonances were not observed is a testament to how infrequently a second Sn group has the opportunity to react with the remaining phosphide before the ring is closed. (Scheme 5.16) If the second equivalent of \( \text{tBu}_2\text{SnCl}_2 \) does react with the lithium salt it is postulated that a second molecule of dilithiobis(phosphine)benzene is responsible for the removal of the Cl atoms and thus the reductive coupling of the Sn groups.
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\[\text{Scheme 5.16: Synthesis of } C_6H_4-1,2-(PH)_2(\mu-Sn\text{tBu}_2) \text{ and } C_6H_4-1,2-(PH)_2(\mu^2-Sn_2\text{tBu}_4)\]

Once it had been established that increasing the size of the organic groups on the metal could prevent subsequent additions, the effect of changing the metal itself was investigated.
**Synthesis of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(µ-GeMe₂) (5.3)**

A sample of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂ was combined with two equivalents of "BuLi in hexane at ambient temperature and stirred for twenty four hours, generating a bright yellow precipitate. One equivalent of Me₂GeCl₂ was then added as a solution in hexane and the mixture stirred for a further twenty hours, causing the mixture to turn white. (Scheme 5.17)

![Scheme 5.17: Synthesis of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(µ-GeMe₂)](#)

The product was a colourless solid which, as observed in the case of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH)₂(µ-Sn'tBu₂) compound, contained a mixture of isomers. Again, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows two singlet resonances at δ -101.7 and -108.9 with relative integrals in a 1:1.5 ratio. (Figure 5.20) These resonances are split into doublets with coupling constant values *circa* 180 Hz with proton coupling, indicating P-H bonds. (Figure 5.21)
Figure 5.20: $^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectrum of $C_6H_4\cdot 1,2\cdot (\text{PH})_2(\mu\text{-GeMe}_2)$
($C_6H_4\cdot 1,2\cdot (\text{PH})_2$ visible at $\delta -125$)

Figure 5.21: $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectrum of $C_6H_4\cdot 1,2\cdot (\text{PH})_2(\mu\text{-GeMe}_2)$
(plus several trace impurities)
The use of Ge instead of Sn made characterisation of the product slightly more difficult due to the fact that it has no isotope suitable for NMR spectroscopic analysis, but the close resemblance of the $^{31}$P-$^1$H NMR spectrum to that of the $^1$Bu$_2$Sn analogue implied a similar structure.

As with the $^1$Bu$_2$Sn analogue, the organic substituents bound to the metal centre were used to ascertain which of the isomers is more abundant. The $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figure 5.22) for the Me groups of this compound displayed broad peaks, possibly due to unresolved $^3$J coupling to the P atoms, but seemed to show two slightly overlapping resonances at $\delta$ 0.59 and 0.54 attributed to the two inequivalent Me groups of the meso-isomer and a third resonance at $\delta$ 0.38 attributed to the rac- isomer. (Figure 5.23)

*Figure 5.22: $^1$H NMR spectrum of C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(μ-GeMe$_2$)*
The relative intensities of these resonances approximately matched with the 1:1.49 ratio of those observed in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum and indicated that, as in the case of C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(μ-SnBu$_2$), the rac- isomer was the more abundant of the two.

Table 5.3: Selected NMR spectroscopic data for C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(μ-GeMe$_2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomer</th>
<th>Relative Integral</th>
<th>$^{31}\text{P}\delta$</th>
<th>Me $^1\text{H},\delta$</th>
<th>$^1J^{31}\text{P}^1\text{H}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rac-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-108.9</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meso-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-101.7</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative intensities of these resonances approximately matched with the 1:1.49 ratio of those observed in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum and indicated that, as in the case of C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH)$_2$(μ-SnBu$_2$), the rac- isomer was the more abundant of the two.
5.3: Conclusions

The initial reactions of this chapter further illustrates the difficulty of forming Sn-P bonds, with the result being almost ubiquitously the coupled bis(phosphino)benzene product. This was avoided with the use of Me₂SnCl₂ which succeeded in forming a polycyclic compound containing three Sn centres. The fact that the problem was overcome by switching to Me substituents just as observed in Chapter 2 suggests the possibility that the coupling of bis(phosphino)benzene molecules may also have arisen as a result of radical cleavage of the Sn-P bond, although this is currently speculative.

Investigation of the analogous reaction using tBu₂SnCl₂ showed that the formation of multiple heterocycles could be prevented by increasing the steric bulk of the organic substituents. As a result a single Sn centre was bound between the P atoms, with the PH groups exhibiting both meso- and rac- isomers. The complete absence of any uncyclised rac- isomer in the Me₂SnCl₂ reaction suggests that the addition of at least the third Sn centre may have been directed in some way.

Reactions using Ge reagents showed that Me groups were sufficient to prevent the addition of more than one Ge centre.
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Chapter 6

The Exchange of Protons and Trimethylsilyl Groups Between Phosphines

6.1: Introduction

Substituent exchange reactions of phosphines with transition metals have been studied for several years, often as undesirable complications in reactions which use phosphines as ancillary ligands. A variety of different aryl/aryl and aryl/alkyl exchanges have been observed and attributed to several different mechanisms. (Scheme 6.1)
Many late transition metal complexes have been shown to catalyse the exchange of phenyl and $p$-tolyl groups between the respective tertiary phosphines when heated. This was initially reported for the Rh based hydroformylation catalyst $[\text{Rh}($H$)($CO$)(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ and was proposed to occur via an oxidative addition, phosphide exchange and reductive elimination.$^2$ (Scheme 6.2)
Reactions similar to this have also been reported for certain Pd reagents when used in cross coupling reactions.\(^3\) Heating the complex \(\text{trans-}[\text{Pd}(\text{Ar})I(\text{PPh}_3)_2]\) (\(\text{Ar} = p\)-tolyl) to 60 °C in THF yielded a mixture of \(\text{trans-}[\text{Pd}(\text{Ph})I(\text{PPh}_2\text{Ar})_2]\) and \(\text{trans-}[\text{Pd}(\text{Ph})I(\text{PPh}_3)_2]\). These were most likely formed through a scrambling of the phosphines following the formation of the initial exchange product \(\text{trans-}[\text{Pd}(\text{Ph})I(\text{PPh}_2\text{Ar})(\text{PPh}_3)]\).

Aryl/Alkyl exchanges have been observed in similar systems but with some notably different results.\(^4\) Heating \(\text{trans-}[\text{Pd}(\text{Me})I(\text{PPh}_3)_2]\) to 75 °C in benzene caused an exchange of Me and Ph groups, but unlike the equilibria which were reached in the aryl/aryl exchanges, the exchange was irreversible.

The exchange of substituents between two phosphines without any activation by a metal centre, however, is not a common reaction. Taking a reaction of the general form shown in Scheme 6.3;
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\(\text{Scheme 6.3: General phosphine substituent exchange reaction}\)
The sum of the bond energies before and after the reaction is identical (3 P-A bonds and 3 P-B bonds) meaning that, in the absence of any steric effects, there is little to no energetic advantage to the reaction. Similarly, neither state is more entropically favourable than the other. However, if one or more of the substituents were extremely labile, such a reaction could proceed via a dissociative mechanism. (Scheme 6.3) If, for example, the P-A bond were to spontaneously cleave, the resulting fragments (either charged or radical, depending on the nature of the cleavage) would be far more reactive and thus able to initiate a substitution reaction with the other phosphine.⁵

![Scheme 6.4: Proposed exchange reaction initiated through the loss of a labile substituent.](image)

If left for a sufficient period of time, this would result in a statistical redistribution of the substituents. (Figure 6.1)
Whilst not as strongly basic as the analogous amines, the basicity of phosphines due to the presence of the lone pair of electrons has been acknowledged for many years. Multiple studies have been carried out to determine the pKa values of various different phosphines in order to quantify the effect of the substituents. During these experiments it was found that some of the phosphines containing P-H bonds displayed a distinctly acidic character, notably those which also possessed aryl substituents due to the stabilisation of the conjugate base by the aromatic substituents. (Figure 6.2)
With this varying acid/base behaviour dependant on the substituents, it would seemingly be possible to combine acidic and basic phosphines and achieve a proton transfer from one to the other. The following step depends largely on the substituents of the newly formed phosphonium ion. If they are bound too strongly or disinclined to support a positive charge then the reaction will not proceed. If, however, appropriate leaving groups are employed there is the potential for them to transfer on to the phosphide thus complete the exchange.
6.2: Results and Discussion

When C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and P(SiMe₃)₃ were combined in a 1:1 ratio in an NMR tube with benzene-d⁶ at ambient temperature there were no immediate signs of reaction. However, over the course of circa eight weeks, new resonances began to emerge in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra. The new resonances appeared as a singlet at δ -236 and coupling doublets at δ -121 and δ -124. As the reaction progressed, additional resonances were observed indicating the generation of further products. After circa twenty weeks an equilibrium appeared to have been reached. The chemical shifts of the products seemed to fall in localized regions around those of the two reagents, C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ at δ -125.4 and P(SiMe₃)₃ at δ -251.8. (Figures 6.3 – 6.5) The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic data is listed in Table 6.1.
The $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR data is listed in Table 5.2.1.

**Figure 6.4**: Expanded $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-$1,2$-(PH$_2$)$_2$ region of $^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-$1,2$-(PH$_2$)$_2$ with $\text{P(SiMe}_3\text{)}_3$

**Figure 6.3**: Expanded $\text{P(SiMe}_3\text{)}_3$ region of $^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$-$1,2$-(PH$_2$)$_2$ with $\text{P(SiMe}_3\text{)}_3$
Resonances D and I correspond to the reagents, $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$, respectively. The products were positively identified using proton coupled $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy, which showed $J_{P-H}$ values of approximately 180-200 Hz attributed to $^1J_{P-H}$ couplings as well as in some cases the smaller values of <10 Hz which were attributed $^3J_{P-H}$ arising from the P-SiMe$_3$ groups. (Figures 6.6 to 6.8)

The $^{31}$P NMR spectrum of the $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ region showed that the resonance A, which was a singlet without proton coupling, now appeared as a second order doublet of doublets. This data is consistent with the literature data for meso- $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PHSiMe$_3$)$_2$. Comparison of the relative integrals showed that the resonance E visible in the proton coupled spectrum was only half of the total signal observed in the proton decoupled spectrum and thus it may well have been split into a doublet with the other half lost among the overlapping signals around $\delta$ -125. This, along with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resonance</th>
<th>Chemical Shift</th>
<th>$J^{31}P$-$^{31}P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-116.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-120.8</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-124.1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-125.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-125.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>-235.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-236.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-241.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>-251.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6.1: $^{31}P$/$^1H$ NMR spectroscopic data from the reaction of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ with P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$*
reasonable agreement of the chemical shift with published data\textsuperscript{5} and the appearance alongside the *meso-* form make the assignment of E to *rac*-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-1,2-(PHSiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} a logical inference. The pair of doublets, B and C, indicated an asymmetric bis(phosphino)benzene derivative and were split into a triplet of doublets and a doublet of doublets respectively in the proton coupled spectrum. The triplet and doublet splitting are indicative of PHR and PH\textsubscript{2} groups respectively, suggesting that these resonances correspond to C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-1,2-(PHSiMe\textsubscript{3})(PH\textsubscript{2}).

Figure 6.6: Expanded Bn(PH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} region of \textsuperscript{31}P NMR spectrum of the reaction of C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-1,2-(PH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} with P(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}

The region of the \textsuperscript{31}P NMR spectrum between δ -230 and δ -260 displayed the resonances of three products along with that of P(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}. The products were easily assigned using the \(J_{P-H}\) couplings obtained from the \textsuperscript{31}P NMR spectrum for which even the long range couplings were well defined. The resonance F changed from a singlet
into a triplet (182 Hz), indicating two H atoms bound to the P. The long range coupling (4 Hz) produced a decet which indicated nine SiMe₃ protons. Combined, these data suggested the product to be PH₂(SiMe₃). The resonance G was observed as a large doublet splitting (187 Hz) and its multiplicity arising from the long range coupling (4 Hz), indicated it to arise from a PH(SiMe₃)₂ (the long range coupling should give a nonadecet, but at best *circa* 15 peaks were detected, the four outermost being too small to be observable). The resonance H was split into a simple quartet in the proton coupled spectrum. The value of the coupling constant (186 Hz) is consistent with ¹Jₚ-H, thus the resonance was attributed to PH₃.

*Figure 6.7: Expanded PH(SiMe₃)₂ and PH₂(SiMe₃)¹³¹P NMR spectroscopic resonances from the reaction of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ with P(SiMe₃)₃*
These assignments indicated that the C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and P(SiMe₃)₃ were undergoing a reaction in which the H atoms and SiMe₃ groups were exchanged between the P atoms. (Scheme 6.5)

Scheme 6.5: Substituent exchange reaction between C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and P(SiMe₃)₃ (P atoms labelled corresponding to $^{31}P$/$^1H$ NMR assignments)
A mechanism by which this exchange occurs could be initiated by the donation of the acidic protons of the C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ to the P(SiMe₃)₃ to create a phosphonium ion. This would then release a SiMe₃ cation to the deprotonated C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂. In order to test this theory, two simultaneous reactions were performed. A 1:1 reaction mixture of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ and P(SiMe₃)₃ in benzene-d₆ was divided between two NMR tubes, to one of which was added 0.2 equivalents of NEt₃HCl to act as a catalytic proton source. The tubes were then heated to 50 °C and the progress of both reactions was monitored regularly by $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopy. It was observed that the initial production of both PH(SiMe₃)₂ and PH₂(SiMe₃) occurred much faster in the acid catalysed reaction, supporting the proposed mechanism. (Figure 6.9)

![Figure 6.9: $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectra of the catalysed (top) and uncatalysed (bottom) reaction of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ with P(SiMe₃)₃ at 40 hours](image-url)
The relative concentrations of both reagents and two of the products PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ and C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PHSiMe$_3$)(PH$_2$) were determined from their relative integrals of the $^{31}$P NMR spectra over the course of the reaction. A series of graphs showing the consumption of the reagents and the generation of the products over time are displayed in the following four figures. (Figures 6.10 to 6.13) (N.B. Values quoted as <0 % or >100% are due to random errors of integration).

![Figure 6.10: Relative concentration of C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ vs. time](image-url)

*Figure 6.10: Relative concentration of C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ vs. time*
Figure 6.11: Relative concentration of $P(SiMe_3)_3$ vs. time

Figure 6.12: Relative concentration of $C_6H_4-1,2-(PHSiMe_3)(PH_2)$ vs. time
A direct comparison revealed that the acid catalysed reaction proceeded more quickly than the uncatalysed reaction. The graph showing the percentage of PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ appeared to show that between 1500 and 2000 hours the uncatalysed reaction had caught up with and possibly overtaken the catalysed exchange. This was due to the steady state conversion of PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ to PH$_2$(SiMe$_3$) and PH$_3$. These products were not seen in the uncatalysed reaction, in which the amount of PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ continued to increase at a steady rate. Interestingly, this seemed to imply that although it is much slower, the uncatalysed reaction was, up to this point at least, more controlled and selective. This could clearly be seen the $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectra, which showed that after over 1900 hours the uncatalysed reaction still contained almost no evidence of the multiple exchange products, with only C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PHSiMe$_3$)(PH$_2$) and PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$.
being produced while the catalysed reaction showed the full range of exchange products. (Figure 6.14)

![NMR Spectra](image)

*Figure 6.14: $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra of the catalysed (top) and uncatalysed (bottom) reaction of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)$_2$ with $P(SiMe_3)_3$ at 1936 hours*

Although still faster than the uncatalysed reaction, the catalysed reaction displayed a induction period before the production of $C_6H_4$-1,2-(PH$_2$)_2(PHSiMe$_3$)(PH$_2$) was observed. The reason for this was revealed when examination of the $^1H$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed a singlet at $\delta$ 0.14 indicating the presence of SiMe$_3$Cl, alongside the $^{31}P$ coupled resonances corresponding to the SiMe$_3$ groups of PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ and PH$_2$(SiMe$_3$)$_2$. (Figure 6.15)
This indicated that the NEt₃HCl firstly protonated the P(SiMe₃)₃ which caused the loss of a SiMe₃ group, affording SiMe₃Cl and NEt₃. The basic NEt₃ then facilitated the loss of a proton from the C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂, the conjugate base of which in turn reacted with the SiMe₃Cl to form C₆H₄-1,2,(PHSiMe₃)(PH₂) and regenerate the catalyst. (Scheme 6.7)

Figure 6.15: \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the reaction of C₆H₄-1,2-(PH₂)₂ with P(SiMe₃)₃ at 184 hours.
The absolute values for the conversion of P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ to its various derivatives could not be obtained from the $^{31}$P integrals due to the unusually long relaxation time of the phosphorus nuclei causing some resonances to appear with a deceptively low intensity. For example the value of $T_1$ determined for the P atom of P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ was 44.6 s with and error of circa 4.84 s. The major reason for this large value is attributed to the nuclear magnetic dipole coupling (a significant contributing factor in spin-spin relaxation) and its dependence on internuclear distance. The dipole-dipole coupling constant is given by equation 6.1.

\[
b = - \frac{\mu_o}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar \gamma_a \gamma_b}{r^3}
\]

(eq 6.1)

Where $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$ are the magnetogyric ratios of the two nuclei and $r$ is the distance through space between them.\(^9\)

Because spin active C and Si have relatively low magnetogyric ratios and natural abundances, the only other nuclei of any consequence that the P has available to couple
to are the protons. These protons though are on average approximately 3.7 Å away from the phosphorus\textsuperscript{10} in comparison with, for example, PH\textsubscript{3} with P-H separations of around 1.42 Å\textsuperscript{11} and a relaxation time less than 1 s.\textsuperscript{12} Because of the inverse cube relationship, the fact that the protons are 2.61 times further away from the phosphorus in the case of P(SiMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} means that the dipole-dipole coupling is 17.7 times weaker, greatly increasing the relaxation time. Clearly the weak dipole-dipole coupling alone does not account for the long relaxation time but this is the predominant contributor.
6.3: Conclusions

The reaction between P(SiMe$_3)_3$ and C$_6$H$_4$-1,2-(PH$_2)_2$ was shown to result in an exchange of SiMe$_3$ and H groups over an extended time period to produce several different products. Further investigation of this reaction revealed that a catalytic amount of NEt$_3$(HCl) greatly increased the rate of exchange, indicating that the reaction proceeds via an ionic mechanism which was aided by the introduction of an additional proton source.

The observation that the resonance of P(SiMe$_3)_3$ in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum was smaller than expected prompted an investigation of its relaxation time, which was observed to be 44.6 seconds. The reason for this incredibly slow relaxation was attributed primarily to the low nuclear magnetic dipole coupling due to the distance between the P and the H atoms (the closest available spin-active nuclei).
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Experimental

**General Details**

All work was carried out under argon using standard Schlenk-line and cannula techniques, or in a dry nitrogen-filled glovebox. Glassware was dried in an oven at around 130 °C prior to use. Solvents were dried by heating to reflux over the appropriate drying agents (sodium, potassium or NaK), stored over potassium mirror or molecular sieves and degassed prior to use.

NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 300 MHz spectrometer, or a Varian Direct Drive 400, 500 or 600 MHz spectrometer. All shifts are reported in ppm, proton and carbon shifts are referenced to the residual proton and $^{13}$C chemical shifts respectively of the internal solvent, phosphorus shifts are referenced to H$_3$PO$_4$(aq), tin shifts are referenced to SnMe$_4$, silicon shifts are referenced to SiMe$_4$. Coupling constants are quoted in Hertz (Hz). The spectrometer frequencies (in MHz) for the various nuclei observed were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Advance DPX 300</th>
<th>Direct Drive 400</th>
<th>Direct Drive 500</th>
<th>Direct Drive 600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^1$H</td>
<td>300.13</td>
<td>399.50</td>
<td>499.91</td>
<td>599.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>75.47</td>
<td>100.46</td>
<td>125.71</td>
<td>150.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{29}$Si</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{31}$P</td>
<td>121.49</td>
<td>161.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{119}$Sn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>223.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NMR spectra performed on the 600 MHz spectrometer were carried out by Dr I. J. Day. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were covered in an inert oil and suitable single crystals were selected under a microscope and mounted on a Kappa CCD diffractometer. Data was collected at 173(2) K using Mo Kα radiation at 0.71073 Å. The structures were refined with SHELXL-97. Structural determinations were carried out by Dr. P. B. Hitchcock and Dr M. P. Coles.

Mass spectrometry (EI) was performed using a Fisons Instruments VG Autospec mass spectrometer at 70 eV. Measurements were carried out by Dr A. K. Abdul-Sada.

Elemental analyses were performed by Stephen Boyer at London Metropolitan University.
Starting materials

The following materials were synthesised using published preparations:

\[ \text{Cp}^\text{Me4}\text{Li}^2 \]
\[ \text{Cp}^\text{S}\text{Li}^2 \]
\[ \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(P}_2\text{C}_2^\text{tBu}_2^3 \]
\[ \text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(P}_2\text{C}_2^\text{Ad}_2^3 \]

\[ \text{P(SiMe}_3)_3 \] was synthesised \textit{via} a preparation adapted from the literature; \textsuperscript{4}

Red phosphorus (62 g, 2.0 mol), sodium (140 g, 6.1 mol) and naphthalene (3.0 g, 0.023 mol) were stirred in refluxing DME for 3 days causing a colour change from red to grey. Trimethylsilyl chloride (787 ml, 674 g, 6.2 mol) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred for one more day. The resulting off white slurry was diluted with further DME and filtered through celite. The solvent was distilled off at atmospheric pressure, followed by distillation of the phosphine at 6 mmHg and \( \approx 87 \, ^\circ\text{C} \). Product collected as a colourless liquid.

Yield = 225-380 g, 45-76 \%

\[ \text{Cp}^*\text{SnI}_3 \] \textit{(4.1)} was synthesised \textit{via} an unpublished method;

Pentametylcyclopentadienyl potassium (0.44 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether and cooled to 0 \(^\circ\text{C}\). The solution was added dropwise to a stirring solution of tin tetraiodide, also in diethyl ether at 0 \(^\circ\text{C}\). This caused a change from yellow to dark orange and the production of a precipitate. The mixture was stirred at 0 \(^\circ\text{C}\) for 2 h before warming to ambient temperature and being left stirring overnight, after which the
solution had become dark red. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was extracted with hexane. The product was crystallised as dark red needles at -30 °C. 

Yield = 1.42 g, 89 %

The following materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich;

SnI₂
Cp₄Me⁴H
₄BuLi
Me₂SnCl₂
SnCl₂
TiCp₂Cl₂
Mg
FeCl₂
¹Bu₂SnCl₂
Me₂GeCl₂
AdCP

Bn(PH₂)₂ was purchased from Strem Chemicals Inc.

The following materials were kindly donated by other lab users;

SnI₄
Cp*K
¹BuCp
Experimental Procedures

Synthesis of Me$_2$Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$)SnMe$_2$Cl$_2$ (2.1)

An NMR tube was charged with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Bu$_2$) (0.029 g, 0.069 mmol) and dimethyltin dichloride (0.031 g, 0.14 mmol). The mixture was then dissolved in a combination of THF and d6-benzene (approximately 1:10), degassed and heated to 50 °C in the dark for seven days, over which time the colour had changed from the initial orange, becoming pale yellow. The solution was placed in a -30 °C freezer.

Yield = approx 85% by $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy

$^1$H NMR: $\delta = 1.32$ [s, 9H, $^t$Bu], 1.22 [s, 9H, $^t$Bu], 0.89 [d, 6H, $^2J(^{119}$Sn,$^1$H) = 57 Hz, Sn$^1$Me$_2$], 0.58 [s, 6H, $^2J(^{117/19}$Sn,$^1$H) = 58 Hz, Sn$^2$Me$_2$]

$^{31}$P{${^1}$H} NMR: $\delta = 311.2$ [d, broad, 1P, $^2J(^{31}$P,$^{31}$P) = 80 Hz, $^2J(^{117/19}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 124 Hz], 21.4 [d, 1P, $^2J(^{31}$P,$^{31}$P) = 80 Hz, $^1J(^{119}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 1635 Hz, $^1J(^{117}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 1560 Hz, Dative $^1J(^{117/119}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 37 Hz, P$^1$]

$^{119}$Sn{${^1}$H} NMR: $\delta = 101$ (s, 1Sn, Sn$^2$), 10 [dd, 1Sn, $^1J(^{119}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 1635 Hz, $^2J(^{119}$Sn,$^{31}$P) = 124 Hz, Sn$^1$]

Elemental analysis unavailable due to mixed products.
Synthesis of $\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_{2}\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)$ (2.2)

An NMR tube was charged with $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{P}_{2}\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)$ (0.028 g, 0.067 mmol) and dimethyltin dichloride (0.015 g, 0.067 mmol). The mixture was then dissolved in a combination of THF and d6-benzene (approximately 1:10), degassed and heated to 65 ºC for five days, over which time the colour had changed from the initial orange, becoming slightly more yellow. (Product formed in a mixture with the $\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_{2}\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)$SnMe$_2$Cl$_2$ and $\text{Me}_2\text{SnCl}(\text{P}_{2}\text{C}_2\text{tBu}_2)$H which could not be separated).

$^1\text{H NMR}$: $\delta = 1.04$ (s, 9H, $^1\text{Bu}$), 0.99 (s, 9H, $^1\text{Bu}$), 0.82 [d, 3H, $^3J(\text{P}^31\text{P},^1\text{H}) = 2$ Hz, $^2J(117/119\text{Sn},^1\text{H}) = 57$ Hz, SnMe], 0.65 [d, 3H, $^3J(\text{P}^31\text{P},^1\text{H}) = 2$ Hz, $^2J(117/119\text{Sn},^1\text{H}) = 56$ Hz, SnMe]

$^{31}\text{P}^1\text{H NMR}$: $\delta = 355.8$ [d, 1P, $^2J(\text{P}^31\text{P},^3\text{P}) = 43$ Hz, $^2J(119\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 242$ Hz, $^2J(117\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 231$ Hz, P], 4.6 [d, 1P, $^2J(\text{P}^31\text{P},^3\text{P}) = 43$ Hz, $^1J(119\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 1310$ Hz, $^1J(117\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 1252$ Hz, P]

$^{119}\text{Sn}\text{P}^1\text{H NMR}$: $\delta = 67$ [dd, $^1J(119\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 1310$ Hz, $^2J(119\text{Sn},^3\text{P}) = 242$ Hz]

Elemental analysis unavailable due to mixed products.
Synthesis of Me$_2$SnCl(P$_2$C$_2$tBu$_2$)H (2.3)

An ampoule was charged with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$tBu$_2$) (0.200 g, 0.47 mmol) and dimethyltin dichloride (0.104 g, 0.47 mmol). The mixture was then dissolved in THF, degassed and heated to 55 ºC for seven days, over which time the colour had changed from the initial orange, becoming slightly more yellow. The THF was removed in vacuo and while in the solid state the compound turned a bright yellow. The product was extracted with cold hexane, concentrated and placed in a -30 ºC freezer, where it crystallised as yellow needles.

Yield = 0.11 g, 65 %

$^1$H NMR: $\delta = 6.70$ [dd, 1H, $^1J(^{31}P, ^1H) = 165$ Hz, $^3J(^{31}P, ^1H) = 20$ Hz, P$_1$H], 1.27 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.08 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.51 [s, 3H, $^2J(^{117/119}Sn, ^1H) = 54$ Hz, SnMe], 0.48 [s, 3H, $^2J(^{117/119}Sn, ^1H) = 54$ Hz, SnMe]

$^{31}$P{ $^1$H} NMR: $\delta = 362.5$ [d, 1P, $^2J(^{31}P, ^{31}P) = 42$ Hz, P$^2$], -8.2 [d, 1P, $^2J(^{31}P, ^{31}P) = 42$ Hz, $^2J(^{117/119}Sn, ^{31}P) = 17$ Hz, P$^1$]

$^{119}$Sn{ $^1$H} NMR: $\delta = 112$ (s, broad)


Elemental analysis unavailable due to time constraints.
Synthesis of \([\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2^t\text{Bu}_2)]_2\) (2.4)

A sample of \(\text{Me}_2\text{Sn}(\text{P}_2\text{C}_2^t\text{Bu}_2)\) in THF and benzene-d\(^6\) (approximately 1:10) was exposed to sunlight for 14 days, causing an approximate 1/3 conversion to the product (measured by \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy). Crystals were obtained as yellow blocks from hexane at -30 °C.

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR: \(\delta = 1.48\) (s, 9H, \(^t\text{Bu}\)), 1.26 (s, 9H, \(^t\text{Bu}\)), 0.35 [s, 6H, \(^2J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 44\) Hz, \(\text{SnMe}\)], 0.32 [s, 6H, \(^2J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 45\) Hz, \(\text{SnMe}\)]

\(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\) NMR: \(\delta = 297.8\) [dd, 2P, \(^2J(^{31}\text{P}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 46\) Hz, \(^3J(^{31}\text{P}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 42\) Hz, \(^2J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 23\) Hz, \(^3J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 10\) Hz, \(\text{P}^1]\)], \(49.2\) [dd, 2P, \(^2J(^{31}\text{P}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 46\) Hz, \(^3J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 15\) Hz, \(\text{P}^2]\)]

\(^{119}\text{Sn}\{^1\text{H}\}\) NMR: \(\delta = -67\) [m, \(^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 23\) Hz, \(^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 15\) Hz, \(^3J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 10\) Hz, \(^1J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^{117}\text{Sn}) = 3495\) Hz]

Elemental analysis unavailable due to mixed products.
Synthesis of Sn(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) (2.5)

An ampoule was charged with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) (0.152 g, 0.26 mmol) and tin dichloride (0.05 g, 0.26 mmol). The mixture was then dissolved in THF, degassed and heated to approximately 60 ºC. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for seven days, over which time the colour had changed from orange to pale yellow. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product was extracted with hexane. The solution was cooled to -30 ºC causing the product to crash out as a yellow powdery solid.

Yield = 0.072 g, 58 %

$^1$H NMR: δ = 1.75 (s, broad, 6H, CH), 1.51 (s, broad, 12H, CH$_2$), 1.44 [d, 6H, $^2$$J$(H, H) = 48 Hz, C$_2$H], 1.42 [d, 6H, $^2$$J$(H, H) = 48 Hz, C$_2$H]

$^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR: δ = 140.2 [s, $^1$$J$($^{119}$Sn, $^{31}$P) = 305 Hz, $^1$$J$($^{117}$Sn, $^{31}$P) = 291 Hz]

$^{119}$Sn{$^1$H} NMR: δ = -2405 [t, $^1$$J$(Sn, P) = 305 Hz]

Anal. Calc. for C$_{22}$H$_{30}$P$_2$Sn (475.13 u): C = 55.61 %, H = 6.36 %. Found: C = 55.62 %, H = 6.36 %

Synthesis of TiCp$_2$(AdCP)$_3$ (3.1)

Titanocene dichloride (0.10 g, 0.40 mmol) was dissolved in THF and stirred over activated magnesium. Once the colour had changed the mixture was cooled to -78
°C and filtered into a solution of AdCP (0.144 g, 0.080 mmol) in THF, also cooled to -78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for an hour before the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a dark green solid. The product was extracted with cold pentane and transferred to a -30 °C freezer.

$^1$H NMR: δ = 5.85-6.80 (m, 10H, Cp), 1.20-2.35 (m, 45H, Ad)

$^{31}$P{ $^1$H} NMR: δ = -50.4 [d, 1P, $^1$J($^{31}$P, $^{31}$P) = 297 Hz], -129.5 (s, 1P), -191.0 [d, 1P, $^1$J($^{31}$P, $^{31}$P) = 297 Hz]


Elemental analysis unavailable due to mixed products.

**Synthesis of ZrCp(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$)Cl$_2$Fe(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) (3.3)**

An ampoule was charged with Cp$_2$Zr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$) (0.1 g, 0.17 mmol) and iron (II) chloride (0.022 g, 0.17 mmol). The mixture was then dissolved in THF, degassed and heated to approximately 55 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for five days. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product was extracted with hexane giving an orange-brown solution. The solution was cooled to –80 °C causing the product to crystallise as very dark purple, square plates.

Yield = 0.0125 g 7 %

No NMR could be obtained due to the paramagnetic nature of the compound.

Elemental analysis unavailable due to time constraints.
Evans’ Method NMR Spectroscopic Study of CpZr(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$)Cl$_2$Fe(P$_2$C$_2$Ad$_2$)

A solvent mixture of benzene-d$_6$ and TMS (25:1) was used to make up a 0.25 cm$^3$ solution of 3.0 mg of the product. A sample of the same benzene-d$_6$/TMS mixture without the dissolved product was sealed in a capillary tube and placed inside the NMR tube containing the solution. The $^1$H NMR spectrum showed two resonances corresponding to the TMS protons in the two different solutions (as well as two benzene resonances). The difference in frequency caused by the paramagnetic compound was 35.51 Hz. This value was used to determine the magnetic moment, $\mu$ and subsequently the number of unpaired electrons in the complex.$^5$ The result of this calculation was a value of $\mu = 3.89$ BM which corresponds to the presence of three unpaired electrons.

Synthesis of Cp$^{Me_1}$SnI$_2$ (4.2)

Tin tetraiodide (0.506 g, 0.8 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether and cooled to 0 °C. LiCp$^{Me_1}$ (0.1 g, 0.8 mmol) in ether was added dropwise via a cannula, turning the mixture a deep orange. The reaction was stirred for 2 h before being allowed to reach ambient temperature and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum and
the residue was extracted with cold pentane, giving a dark red-orange solution. The product was recrystallised at -80 °C as dark red needles.

Yield = 0.325 g, 66%

$^1$H NMR: $\delta = 4.07 [s, 2H, ^2J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 166 \text{ Hz}, \text{C}^1\text{H}], 1.64 [s, 12H, ^5J(^{117/119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 27 \text{ Hz}, \text{Me}^2], 1.58 [s, 12H, ^4J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 67 \text{ Hz}, \text{Me}^1]$

$^{13}$C NMR: $\delta = 139.9 (s, \text{Cp}), 122.6 (s, \text{Cp}), 84.1 (s, \text{Cp}), 13.3 (s, \text{Me}), 11.0 (s, \text{Me})$

$^{119}\text{Sn}\{$$^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: $\delta = -515 (s)$

Elemental analysis unavailable due to time constraints.

**Synthesis of Cp*SnI (4.3)**

Tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine (2.90 ml, 2.50 g, 0.01 mol) was dissolved in toluene and cooled to -78 °C. Tin tetraiodide (6.28 g, 0.01 mol) was added slowly as a solid, resulting in a yellow solution. Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl potassium (1.74 g, 0.01 mol) was added gradually to the reaction mixture, causing it to turn brown and opaque. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and was left stirring for 60 h. When the stirring was ceased a fine precipitate settled out leaving a dark orange solution. The solution was filtered off and the solvent removed under vacuum.
The residue was then extracted with cold pentane to give a pale yellow solution. The product crystallised out at −30 °C as yellow needles.

Yield = 0.45 g, 12 %

$^1$H NMR: δ = 1.90 (s, Me)

$^{13}$C NMR: δ = 117.6 [s, $^1J(^{117/119}$Sn, $^{13}$C) = 31 Hz, Cp], 10.0 [s, $^2J(^{117/119}$Sn, $^{13}$C) = 3 Hz, Me]

$^{119}$Sn{$^1$H} NMR: δ = -1536 (s, broad)

Anal. Calc. for C$_{10}$H$_{15}$SnI (380.82 u): C = 31.54 %, H = 3.97 %. Found: C = 31.55 %, H = 3.89 %

**Synthesis of Cp$^5$SnI$_3$ (4.4)**

Tin diiodide (0.2 g, 0.54 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether and cooled to 0 °C. LiCp$^5$ (0.13 g, 0.54 mmol) in ether was added dropwise via a cannula, turning the mixture a deep orange. The reaction was stirred for 2 h before being allowed to reach ambient temperature and stirred overnight. After about 16 h, the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was extracted with cold pentane, giving a dark red-orange solution. The product was recrystallised at −30 °C as large, dark red needles.

Yield = 0.14 g, 35 % (wrt Cp$^5$)
1H NMR: δ = 1.99 [s, 6H, J(117/119Sn, 1H) = 11 Hz, Me²], 1.68 [s, 6H, J(117/119Sn, 1H) = 73 Hz, Me¹], 0.55 (s, 9H, Me⁴), 0.52 (s, 6H, Me³)

29Si{1H} NMR: δ = 5.6 (s)

119Sn{1H} NMR: δ = -573.2 (s)

Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₇SiSnI₃ (734.85 u): C = 24.52 %, H = 3.70 %. Found: C = 24.41 %, H = 3.79 %

Synthesis of C₆H₄P₂(SnMe₂)₃ (5.1)

1,2-bisphosphinobenzene (0.1 ml, 0.11 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane. A 2.5 M solution of n-butyl lithium (0.62 ml, 1.54 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe, instantly forming a bright yellow precipitate. The mixture was then left stirring overnight. Dimethyltin dichloride (0.17 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane and added dropwise to the phosphine mixture at 0 °C causing the colour to fade almost completely. The mixture was stirred for approximately 24 h, after which time the colour had disappeared. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to leave a powdery white solid. The product was crystallised from hexane as colourless blocks at room temperature by slow evaporation of solvent

Yield = 0.13 g, 77 % (wrt Me₂SnCl₂)
\(^1\)H NMR: \(\delta = 7.87 \text{ (m, } 2\text{H, } \text{Bn)}, 6.75 \text{ (m, } 2\text{H, } \text{Bn)}, 0.40 \text{ [s, } 3\text{H, } ^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 46 \text{ Hz, Sn}^1\text{Me}], 0.37 \text{ [s, } 3\text{H, } ^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 47 \text{ Hz, Sn}^1\text{Me}], 0.24 \text{ [s, } 6\text{H, } ^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 82 \text{ Hz, Sn}^2\text{Me}], 0.12 \text{ [s, } 6\text{H, } ^2J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 48 \text{ Hz, Sn}^2\text{Me}]\)

\(^{13}\)C\{\(^1\)H\} NMR: \(\delta = 136 \text{ (m, } \text{Bn)}, 126 \text{ [t, } J(^{13}\text{C}, ^{31}\text{P}) = 6 \text{ Hz], -8.8 \text{ (s, SnMe)}, -9.7 \text{ (s, SnMe)}, -9.9 \text{ (s, SnMe)}\)

\(^{31}\)P\{\(^1\)H\} NMR: \(\delta = -158.2 \text{ [s, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 698 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 659 \text{ Hz, } ^2J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 66 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{117}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 629 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{117}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 600 \text{ Hz, } ^2J(^{117}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 63 \text{ Hz]}\)

\(^{119}\)Sn\{\(^1\)H\} NMR: \(\delta = 126 \text{ [t, } 1\text{Sn, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 698 \text{ Hz, Sn}^1\text{}], -55 \text{ [dd, } 2\text{Sn, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 659 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{117}\text{Sn}) = 2724 \text{ Hz, Sn}^2\text{]}\)

Anal. Calc. for C\(_{12}\)H\(_{22}\)P\(_2\)Sn\(_3\) (584.38 u): C = 24.66 %, H = 3.79 %. Found: C = 22.07 %, H = 3.49 %

**Synthesis of C\(_6\)H\(_4\)P\(_2\)(SnMe\(_2\))(SnMe\(_2\)Cl)\(_2\) (5.1b)**

Formed as a by product in the synthesis of C\(_6\)H\(_4\)P\(_2\)(SnMe\(_2\))\(_3\).

\(^1\)H NMR: Not observable beneath C\(_6\)H\(_4\)P\(_2\)(SnMe\(_2\))\(_3\).

\(^{31}\)P\{\(^1\)H\} NMR: \(\delta = -139.1 \text{ [s, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 750 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{117}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 676 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 707 \text{ Hz, } ^1J(^{117}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 644 \text{ Hz, } ^3J(^{117/119}\text{Sn, } ^{31}\text{P}) = 7 \text{ Hz]}\)
\(^{119}\text{Sn} \{^1\text{H}\} \text{ NMR: } \delta = 58 \ 【t, \ 1\text{Sn}, \ ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn}, \ ^{31}\text{P}) = 750 \text{ Hz, Sn}^1\}, -78 \ 【dd, \ 2\text{Sn}, \ ^1J(^{119}\text{Sn}, \ ^{31}\text{P}) = 707 \text{ Hz} \ ^3J(^{119}\text{Sn}, \ ^{31}\text{P}) = 7 \text{ Hz, Sn}^2\]}

Elemental analysis unavailable due to mixed products.

**Synthesis of C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}(PH)\textsubscript{2}Sn\textsuperscript{t}Bu\textsubscript{2} (5.2)**

![Synthesis diagram]

1,2-bisphosphinobenzene (0.1 ml, 0.11 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane. A 2.5 M solution of n-butyl lithium (0.62 ml, 1.54 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe, instantly forming a bright yellow precipitate. The mixture was then left stirring overnight. Di-tert-butyltin dichloride (0.24 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane and added dropwise to the phosphine mixture at 0 °C causing the colour to turn slightly orange. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. After approximately 20 h the solution had become completely orange. The mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to leave a dark orange oil consisting of a mixture of meso- and rac- isomers.

Yield = 0.19 g, 68 %

**rac- isomer (57.3 %):**

\(^1\text{H} \text{ NMR: } \delta = 7.71 \ 【m, \ 2\text{H, Bn}】, 6.79 \ 【m, \ 2\text{H, Bn}】, 3.86 \ 【d, \ 2\text{H}, \ ^1J(^1\text{H}, \ ^{31}\text{P}) = 175 \text{ Hz, PH}】, 1.18 \ 【s, \ 18\text{H}, \ ^3J(^{119}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 75 \text{ Hz} \ ^3J(^{117}\text{Sn}, ^1\text{H}) = 72 \text{ Hz, Sn}^\text{t}\text{Bu}_2\】\]

\(^{13}\text{C} \{^1\text{H}\} \text{ NMR: } \delta = 136 \ 【m, \ Bn】\), 126.8 \ 【t, \ ^1J(^{13}\text{C}, \ ^{31}\text{P}) = 5 \text{ Hz}】\), 31.4 \ 【s, \ 6\text{Me}】\), 24.4 \ 【s, \ \text{CMe}_3\]
$^{31}$P{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR: $\delta = -146.8$ [s, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 679 Hz, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{117}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 648 Hz], (d with $^1$H coupling $^1J(^1$H, $^{31}$P) = 175 Hz)

$^{119}$Sn{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR: $\delta = 147$ [t, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 679 Hz]

meso- isomer (42.7 %):

$^1$H NMR: $\delta =$ 7.71 (m, 2H, Bn), 6.79 (m, 2H, Bn), 3.88 [d, 2H, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{1}H, $^{31}$P) = 176 Hz, PH], 1.25 [s, 9H, $^3J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn,$^1$H) = 73 Hz, $^3J$(\textsuperscript{117}Sn,$^1$H) = 71 Hz, Sn'Bu], 1.12 [s, 9H, $^3J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn,$^1$H) = 77 Hz, $^3J$(\textsuperscript{117}Sn,$^1$H) = 73 Hz, Sn'Bu]

$^{13}$C{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR: $\delta =$136 (m, Bn), 126.7 [t, $J$(\textsuperscript{13}C,$^{31}$P) = 5 Hz], 31.6 (s, C\textsubscript{Me3}), 31.0 (s, C\textsubscript{Me3}), 23.2 (s, C\textsubscript{Me3}), 21.0 (s, C\textsubscript{Me3})

$^{31}$P{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR: $\delta = -143.0$ [s, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 678 Hz, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{117}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 645 Hz], (d with $^1$H coupling $^1J(^1$H, $^{31}$P) = 176 Hz)

$^{119}$Sn{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR: $\delta = 136$ [t, $^1J$(\textsuperscript{119}Sn, $^{31}$P) = 679 Hz]

Elemental analysis unavailable due to oily nature of product.

---

**Synthesis of C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}(PH)\textsubscript{2}GeMe\textsubscript{2} (5.3)**

1,2-bisphosphinobenzene (0.1 ml, 0.11 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane. A 2.5 M solution of n-butyl lithium (0.62 ml, 1.54 mmol) was added dropwise via a syringe, instantly forming a bright yellow precipitate. The mixture was then left stirring overnight. Dimethylgermanium dichloride (0.089 ml, 0.13 g, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in hexane and added dropwise to the phosphine mixture at 0 °C causing the colour to
fade. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h before being allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred overnight. After approximately 20 h the solution had become completely colourless with a white precipitate. The mixture was cooled back to 0 °C, filtered and the solvent removed under vacuum to leave a sticky white, solid consisting of a mixture of meso- and rac- isomers.

Yield = 0.14 g, 82 %

rac- isomer (59.8 %):

1H NMR: δ = 7.64 [s, 2H, Bn], 6.84 [s, 2H, Bn], 3.75 [d, 2H, 1J(1H, 31P) = 182 Hz, PH], 0.38 [s, broad, 6H, GeMe₂]

13C{1H} NMR: δ = 115.3 (s, Bn), 36.0 (s, 2Me)

31P{1H} NMR: δ = -108.9 (s), (d with 1H coupling 1J(1H, 31P) = 182 Hz)

meso- isomer (40.2 %):

1H NMR: δ = 7.78 [s, 2H, Bn], 6.86 [s, 2H, Bn], 3.78 [d, 2H, 1J(1H, 31P) = 180 Hz, PH], 0.59 [s, broad, 3H, GeMe], 0.54 [s, broad, 3H, GeMe]

13C{1H} NMR: δ = 128.9 (m, Bn), 47.3 (s, Me), 29.1 (s, Me)

31P{1H} NMR: δ = -101.7 (s), (d with 1H coupling 1J(1H, 31P) = 180 Hz)

Anal. Calc. for C₈H₁₂P₂Ge (242.77 u): C = 39.58 %, H = 4.98 %. Found: C = 39.47 %, H = 4.87 %
Substituent exchange between Bn(PH$_2$)$_2$ and P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$

An NMR tube was charged with 1,2-bis(phosphino)benzene (0.009 ml, 0.01 g, 0.07 mmol), tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine (0.02 ml, 0.018 g, 0.07 mmol) and benzene-d$_6$. The mixture was degassed and heated to 50 °C for approximately 16 weeks, after which an equilibrium appeared to have been reached.

$^{31}$P-$^1$H NMR:

*Meso*-Bn(PHSiMe$_3$)$_2$ \(\delta = -116.5\) (s, 2P)

Bn(PH$_2$)(PHSiMe$_3$) \(\delta = -120.8\) [d, 1P, $^3$$^J(\text{P}^31P,\text{P}^31P) = 71$ Hz, PH$_2$],

\(-124.1\) [d, 1P, $^3$$^J(\text{P}^31P,\text{P}^31P) = 71$ Hz, PH]

*Rac*- Bn(PHSiMe$_3$)$_2$ \(\delta = -125.5\) (s, 2P)

PH$_2$(SiMe$_3$) \(\delta = -235.3\) (s, 1P)

PH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ \(\delta = -236.2\) (s, 1P)

PH$_3$ \(\delta = -241.1\) (s, 1P)
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