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## List of symbols and abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAK1</td>
<td>adaptor-associated protein kinase1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA</td>
<td>N-arachidonoylethanolamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-AG</td>
<td>2-Arachidonoylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP2K</td>
<td>bone morphogenic protein-2-inducible kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>tert-butylxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>carbonic anhydrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB₁</td>
<td>cannabinoid receptor type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB₂</td>
<td>cannabinoid receptor type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLC</td>
<td>cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>doublet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>N,N-Diisopropylethylamine, C₈H₁₉N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>dimethylformamide, C₃H₇NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide, C₂H₆OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>dextran sulfate sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYRK</td>
<td>dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC₅₀</td>
<td>half maximal effective concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>epidermal growth factor receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>ethyl acetate, C₄H₈O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAAH</td>
<td>fatty acid amide hydrolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-IR</td>
<td>fourier transform infrared spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAK</td>
<td>cyclin G-associated kinase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GC<sub>50</sub>  Growth Control 50%
Gly  glycine
GPCRs  G protein–coupled receptors
GSH  glutathione
h  hour
HATs  histone acetyltransferases
HBTU  3-[Bis(dimethylamino)methyl]imino]-3H-benzotriazo-1-oxide hexafluorophosphate
HCl  hydrochloric acid
HDACs  histone deacetylases
His  histidine
HOBt  hydroxybenzotriazole
HRMS  high-resolution mass spectrometry
IBS  irritable bowel syndrome
IC<sub>50</sub>  half maximal inhibitory concentration
JAHA  N<sup>1</sup>-hydroxy-N<sup>8</sup>-ferrocenyloctanediamide
LC-MS  liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry
m  multiplet
MeOH  methanol, CH<sub>3</sub>O
MHz  megahertz
mL  milliliter
mM  millimolar
MRI  magnetic resonance imaging
m/z  mass to charge ratio
NADH  nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
nm  nanometre
nM  nanomolar
NMR  nuclear magnetic resonance
ppm  parts per million
RNA  ribonucleic acid
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTK</td>
<td>receptor tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>singlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>suberorylanilide hydroxamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>structure activity relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>sodium nitroprusside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK16</td>
<td>serine/threonine-protein kinase16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>triplet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>tetramethylsilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR3</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor receptor3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBG</td>
<td>zinc binding group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µL</td>
<td>microlitre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>micromolar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Organometallic complexes containing transition metals, such as Ru(II), Os(II), Ir(III), have been moderately and recently used in medicinal chemistry as anticancer, antimalarial, antimicrobial or diagnostic agents. Current trends have led researchers to explore and define new synthetic methods in the quest for the design of new drugs and reduce the inherit associated toxic side-effects by using metal based compounds. Ferrocene based derivatives have been subjected to study for their biological and medicinal applications. Examples include ferrocenophane polyphenol, ferrocenyl quinone methides, ferrocenyl-aminoquinoline-carboxamide and a ferrocene-substituted hydroxytamoxifen, which has been proved as a potential new breast cancer therapeutic. Ferroquine displays antimalarial activity and ferrocifen is a tamoxifen-ferrocene anticancer agent. During the course of our research, we have focused on synthesizing and studying the biological activity of novel organometallic compounds containing the corresponding ferrocene moiety. We aimed to make, at least, 3 different families of compounds, which were cannabinoid receptor (CB1/CB2 receptor agonists), histone deacetylase (HDAC) selective inhibitors and general kinase inhibitors.

Firstly, a review covering the state-of-the-art in bioorganometallic chemistry will be presented. Secondly, we started with the synthesis of a small library of compounds containing the following groups: ferrocenylamine, 4-oxo-1, 4-dihydropyridine and dihydroquinoline. We used aminoferrocene as a bioisostere of the adamantylamine group where previous studies of compounds containing the latter group had showed it to effectively interact with the cannabinoid receptors, CB1 and CB2. Some of our compounds displayed good to excellent potency in the nM range against the CB1 and CB2 receptors.

Thirdly, we embarked to synthesize HDAC inhibitors knowing their potential as anticancer drugs and trying to obtain, wherever possible, enzyme isoform selectivity. One of the well-known HDAC inhibitors is suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA). Vorinastat, as it is also known, has received Food and Drug Administration approval for treating patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. The compound developed in our group by replacing ferrocene for the phenyl ring in SAHA, called JAHA, is another example of a highly potent HDAC inhibitor. Our research led to compounds where the hydroxamic
acid moiety in JAHA has been replaced by a benzamide group. This transformation has produced a significant effect in delivering a potent HDAC3 selective HDAC inhibitor. This is supported by biological studies and a molecular modelling rationalisation.

Next, a series of oxindole based analogues have been synthesized by the Knoevenagel condensation reaction of: 5-(pentafluorosulfanyl)-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one and 6-(pentafluorosulfanyl)-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one compound with: ferrocenecarboxaldehyde and pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde. The compounds thereby synthesised have been studied against a panel of kinases, and kinase inhibitory data will be discussed and presented.

In a Future directions section, we will describe the synthesis of FAAH (fatty acid amide hydrolase) inhibitors based on an aminoferrocene backbone.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Uses of iron complexes in medicinal chemistry

Cisplatin is no doubt the best known and most used metal complex in chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{1} Many cisplatin analogues have since been developed and used for cancer chemotherapy, for example, carboplatin, oxaliplatin, heptaplatin, nedaplatin and lobaplatin, to address issues such as toxicity, resistance, selectivity and limited cancer scope of cisplatin.\textsuperscript{2}

These results have induced researchers to design other novel metal complexes for chemotherapy. Iron is also one of the essential transition metals that can be found in the body and is involved in many biological systems such as haemoglobin, transferrin and cytochromes.\textsuperscript{3-4} In many publications iron complexes have displayed potent anticancer activities.\textsuperscript{5-6} Usually iron has two common oxidation states in ferrous, Fe(II) and ferric forms, Fe(III). The first reported anticancer iron compounds were ferrocenium picrate and ferrocenium trichloroacetate salts.\textsuperscript{7-8}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{images/cisplatin_analogues.png}
\caption{Cisplatin and its analogues.}
\end{figure}
Ferrocene and its derivatives are popular iron complexes that researchers have synthesised and studied for their biological effects given its stability in aqueous and aerobic media and its electrochemical properties.\textsuperscript{9-10}

1.2 Background on ferrocene

Ferrocene or dicyclopentadienyliron or bis(\(\eta^5\) – cyclopentadienyl)iron is a compound containing iron (II) and two cyclopentadienyl rings. It was accidentally discovered by 2 groups; Peter L. Pauson and Thomas J. Kealy\textsuperscript{11} in 1951, when they attempted to make fulvalene, and by Samuel A. Miller when they had tried to prepare amines from saturated or unsaturated hydrocarbons and nitrogen, they reacted cyclopentadiene and N\(_2\) at 300 °C, but they obtained the unexpected product.\textsuperscript{12-13} They obtained a yellow solid compound, however the chemical formula did not fit with C\(_{10}\)H\(_8\) but was formulated as FeC\(_{10}\)H\(_{10}\).\textsuperscript{14} The compound structure is contributed by resonance and intermediate forms (Figure 1.2).

Later, three chemists; Ernst Otto Fisher, Robert B. Woodward and Geoffrey Wilkinson\textsuperscript{15} were persuaded that the structure proposed by Pauson and Kealy was wrong and they contributed the new structures which have the same electronic configuration as krypton (Kr, noble gas) (Figure 1.3). Woodward\textsuperscript{16} suggested the name of ferrocene for [Fe(C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_2\)] and later applied to the chemicals in general form [M(C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_2\)] called metalloccenes (or sandwich compounds).

![Figure 1.2. The compound structure proposed by Pauson and Kealy.](image1)

![Figure 1.3. The compound structure proposed by Woodward and Wilkinson 1](image2)
and by Fischer 2.\footnote{Image links to actual diagrams or figures.}
Ferrocene is a π-complex in which interactions between the d-orbitals of the Fe\(^{2+}\) metal centre with the π-orbitals of the two planar cyclopentadienyl ligands (C\(_5\)H\(_5\)^−) form the metal-ligand bonds. Ferrocene is an air stable orange solid and it can be oxidized to the ferrocenium cation, [(C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_2\)Fe]^+. Ferrocene at room temperature crystallizes in a monoclinic form and shows the staggered conformation (D\(_{5d}\)), while in the gas phase it has an eclipsed conformation (D\(_{5h}\))\(^{17}\). The discovery of ferrocene was a starting point of organometallic chemistry\(^{18}\) and then has been merged with biochemistry to herald a new area of chemistry called bioorganometallic chemistry\(^{19-20}\) and the use of ferrocene in this area has increased rapidly.

Ferrocene and its derivatives have been used in many areas such as bio-analysis, bioconjugation, material sciences, organic synthesis (chiral catalysis), homogeneous catalysis (olefin polymerization), fuel additives, medicinal chemistry, and so on. In our research, we have focused on using ferrocene in medicinal chemistry.

### 1.2.1 Chemistry of ferrocene

The cyclopentadienyl rings in ferrocene are aromatic and undergo many reactions similar to benzene. Ferrocene is more reactive than benzene, since it is more electron rich, in electrophilic substitutions. Some important reactions of ferrocene include Friedel-Crafts acylations and lithiation reactions (Scheme 1.1).\(^ {21}\) Friedel-Crafts reaction are a group of reactions enabling the substitution of an aromatic ring developed by Charles Friedel and James Crafts in 1877.\(^ {22}\) In the case of ferrocene acetylations or alkylations proceed by adding keto or alkyl groups to the cyclopentadienyl ring using an acetic anhydride/acetyl chloride or alkyl halide under Lewis acid catalysed conditions.\(^ {23}\) Here, formally the electrophile replaces the hydrogen atom and a new carbon-carbon bond is formed (Scheme 1.1).
1.3 Bioisosteres in medicinal chemistry

Bioisosteres are atoms or chemical groups with similar physical or chemical properties, which are usually used in drug design. The use of bioisosteres introduces structural changes. Bioisosteres are useful to address a number of aspects connected with the design and development of drug candidates, for example, improving potency, increasing selectivity, modifying some physical properties, reducing toxicity and so on. Bioisosteres can be classified in 2 different groups, which are classical and nonclassical bioisosteres.\textsuperscript{24-25}

1.3.1 Classical bioisosteres

Classical bioisosteres show the results of an early evaluation of the concept and include structurally simple atoms or groups. Examples of classical bioisosteres and isosteres are shown below:

1.3.1.1 monovalent atoms or groups. such as:

D and H, F and H.

\(\text{NH}_2\) and OH, RSH and ROH.

F and CH\(_3\), Cl and OH, etc.
1.3.1.2 divalent atoms or groups. such as:

1.3.1.3 trivalent atoms or groups. such as:
–CH=, –N=

1.3.1.4 tetrasubstituted atoms. such as:
R₄C, R₄Si, R₄N⁺

1.3.1.5 ring equivalents. such as:

![Ring Equivalents](image)

1.3.2 Non-classical bioisosteres

Non-classical bioisosteres are structurally distinct, normally containing different number of atoms and display different steric and electronic properties. Non-classical bioisosteres can be divided into 2 different groups, which are 1) cyclic and acyclic bioisosteres and 2) exchangeable group isosterism in which the properties of separate functional elements are imitated. Example of non-classical bioisosteres; include a tetrazole, which is a more lipophilic bioisostere of a carboxylic acid and indole is a bioisostere of a phenol (Scheme 1.2).

![Some non-classical bioisosteres](image)

**Figure 1.4.** Some non-classical bioisosteres.
1.4 Applications of ferrocene in medicinal chemistry

Ferrocene has attracted special attention as it offers attractive properties such as neutrality, lipophilicity, good redox properties, chemical stability and low toxicity.\textsuperscript{26-27} A lot of ferrocenyl compounds display fascinating antifungal,\textsuperscript{28-29} antimalarial,\textsuperscript{30-31} DNA-cleaving,\textsuperscript{32} cytotoxic\textsuperscript{33} and antitumour activity.\textsuperscript{34-35} The use of ferrocene in medicinal chemistry is a vigorous research area, some ferrocene derivatives are highly active in \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} assays.

In 1978, the anticancer potential of ferrocenyl compounds bearing amine or amide groups against lymphocytic leukemia P-388 cell lines, was disclosed.\textsuperscript{36} Their concept was to design a ferrocene compound that can bind to a tumour cell surface nucleic acid bringing about an immune response. Polyamines, for example, putrescine, spermidine and spermine have been generally found in nature and interact strongly with nucleic acids.\textsuperscript{37} These compounds were tested along with a ferrocene derivative in mice, and showed that the presence of a ferrocenyl group could increase antitumour activity (Figure 1.5).\textsuperscript{36}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure15.png}
\caption{The compound structures of putrescine, spermidine, spermine and ferrocenyl bearing amide group.}
\end{figure}
A ferrocenyl group was linked to the acridine group of 3 (Figure 1.6) and the resulting compound 4 was compared with a benzylamine compound 5. *In vitro* studies showed the compound 4 was highly toxic to cancer cell line tested, but the benzylamine analogue 5 was inactive. From these results they concluded that combining the cytotoxic effects of a ferrocenyl group with the DNA intercalation ability of an acridine led to enhanced target binding and increased cytotoxicity.\(^{38}\)

![Figure 1.6. Structures of an acridine 3, a ferrocenyl acridine 4 and a benzylamine containing ferrocene 5.](image)

In 2005, ferrocenyl compounds were studied for their biological activity against topoisomerase IIα and β. Topoisomerases are enzymes that are involved with the winding and unwinding of DNA. In normal cells the activity of topoisomerase II is highly regulated but in cancer cells it is overexpressed. The results showed that 6 and 7 (Figure 1.7) interacted with topoisomerase II and inhibited its activity thus causing cell death.\(^{39}\)

![Figure 1.7. Azalactone ferrocene 6 and a thiourea ferrocene 7.](image)

A series of ferrocenyl compounds was tested *in vitro* against the human lung carcinoma cell line H1299. Most of them showed IC\(_{50}\) values lower than 10 \(\mu\)M.\(^{40-41}\) Especially, the \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid ethyl ester, 8 (Figure 1.8)\(^{42}\) had an IC\(_{50}\) value of 0.62 \(\mu\)M, which is more active *in vitro* than cisplatin.\(^{43}\)
Azole compounds including adenine, imidazole and pyrazole are used as privileged structures in many drugs and have attracted many researchers (Figure 1.9). For example, a series of ferrocenylalkyl azoles were studied for tumour growth inhibition and toxicity in vivo. The results are comparable with cisplatin. The results showed compound 9 was the best with up to 100% tumour growth inhibition. They suggested that compound 9 was successful because; (1) the lipophilicity of the ferrocenyl group which enabled membrane permeability, (2) the hydrophilicity of the benzotriazolyl group enabled transport in aqueous media, (3) the ferrocenium form can be generated, (4) the azolyl group can form hydrogen bonds with the phosphate groups of DNA, (5) the planar heterocyclic ring in the molecule can intercalate between the planes of DNA nucleic bases, (6) the flexible, swinging, alkyl bridge can aid formation of ligand-receptor complexes.
Retinoids are a class of chemical compounds related to vitamin A and play an important role in vertebrate growth and development, embryonic development, immune response, supporting cell differentiation and reproduction.\textsuperscript{45} Some trans-retinoid acids are currently used for treatment of dermatological diseases and used as chemotherapeutic agents against various cancer cells. Their actions involve binding and activation of the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) or retinoid X receptors (RXRs).\textsuperscript{46} A novel retinoyl derivative of ferrocene, 15 (Figure 1.8) was synthesized and studied their antiproliferative activity toward a human lung cancer cell line (A549), human liver cancer cell line (BEL7404), and human tongue cancer cell line (Tca), although the results showed ferrocenyln analogues had higher antiproliferative activities when compared to the parent 13-cis-retinoic acid (IC\textsubscript{50} = 0.46 - 1 µM), the IC\textsubscript{50} values of novel compounds are being 19-40 µM.\textsuperscript{47}

![13-cis-retinoic acid and retinoyl derivative of ferrocene.](image)

Figure 1.10. 13-cis-retinoic acid and retinoyl derivative of ferrocene.

Ferrocifens are the most widely studied of ferrocene derivatives for cancer therapeutics, which have promising results for breast cancer.\textsuperscript{48-49} Jaouen and co-workers have synthesized e.g. 16, 17 and 18 (Figure 1.11). 18 was differentiated by the length of the alkyl chain connected to the dimethyl amino group, n = 2-5, and 8.\textsuperscript{48-49} The antiproliferative effects of 18 were assessed on MCF-7 cells (hormone-dependent breast cancer cells), 18 being slightly more potent than hydroxytamoxifen at 0.1 µM concentration and higher at 1µM. While 17 was inactive on hormone-independent cells and 18 showed a remarkable low IC\textsubscript{50} value.\textsuperscript{48-49}
Figure 1.11. Structures of tamoxifen 16, hydroxytamoxifen 17 and hydroxyferrocifens 18.

A comparison of compounds 19 and 20 (Figure 1.12) differing by the linkage in the cyclopentadienyl rings, showed the conjugated compound 19 is more cytotoxic than the non-conjugated compound 20 with the antiproliferative effect on hormone-independent PC-3 cells and MDA-MB-231 with IC$_{50}$ value of 0.09 µM.$^{50-51}$

Figure 1.12. Ferrocenophane compounds structurally related to tamoxifen.

The same group also synthesized and characterized a new family of ferrocenophanic suberamides (Figure 1.13) with potent antiproliferative activities against triple-negative MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines and hormone dependent MCF-7 breast cancer cells. The results showed redox activation could occur in the ferrocenophane. Hence, they can be converted into ferrocenium intermediates, which are able to show a strong antiproliferative activity of ferrocene and ferrocenophanic compounds.$^{52}$
Replacement of a phenyl ring by a ferrocenyl moiety, on raloxifene (21, Figure 1.14), maintained cytotoxic activity against ovarian, cervical, lung, colon and breast cancer cell lines. Among all the compounds, [3-ferrocenyl-6-methoxybenzo[b]thiophen-2-yl][4-(piperazin-1-yl)methylphenyl]methanone (22, Figure 1.14) showed IC$_{50}$ values in the low-micromolar range, lower than those of cisplatin.$^{53}$

This represents a general strategy in this body of work, whereby a phenyl or bulky alkyl group is replaced by a ferrocenyl group, thereby modifying the molecules’ physical properties without adversely affecting its biological activity as in the “bioisostere” (bio-equivalent) replacement approach (Section 1.3)
Jaouen and co-workers attempted to improve the therapeutic activity of nilutamide (23, Figure 1.15) by replacing positions in the molecule by a ferrocenyl group (nilutamide is a non-steroidal anti-androgen therapeutic used in prostate cancer). The novel compound (24, Figure 1.15) showed cytotoxic activity against PC-3 hormone-independent prostate cancer cells with an IC$_{50}$ value of 5.4 µM. The idea of attaching ferrocene to androgens and anti-androgens seems to be a promising way to create efficient drugs for hormone-dependent prostate cancers.$^{54}$

![Figure 1.15. Nilutamide 23 and a ferrocenyl-nilutamide analogue 24.](image)

Novel hydroxyl-substituted Schiff-bases were synthesized containing ferrocenyl moieties and were evaluated for their antioxidant and anticancer activities. The results showed dual antioxidant and anticancer activities for compound 25 (Figure 1.16), which contains both ferrocenyl and o-dihydroxyl groups. The ferrocenyl moiety has dual functions; increasing the lipophilicity and lowering the redox potentials of the o-dihydroxyl groups.$^{55}$

![Figure 1.16. Hydroxyl-substituted Schiff-bases containing ferrocenyl moieties.](image)
A series of novel iminosugar–ferrocene hybrids displayed potent inhibition of fucosidase (bovine kidney) and inactivation of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell proliferation at the low µM range. A number of compounds were prepared by varying the length of the linker connecting ferrocene to the iminosugar for establishing structure–activity relationships (Figure 1.17).56

![Figure 1.17. Iminosugar–ferrocene hybrids.](image)

The antimalarial, chloroquine 29 has been used extensively since its original synthesis.57 Recently researchers have improved this by replacing the alkyl linker by a ferrocenyl group and called the resulting molecule “ferroquine” 30. Ferroquine is a novel antimalarial drug candidate and was designed by Christophe Biot.58 Malaria is caused by single cell protozoon parasites of the Plasmodium species, of which P. falciparum is the most dangerous. 30 proved to be the best antimalarial candidate (Figure 1.18).59

![Figure 1.18. Antimalarials chloroquine 29 and 30 ferroquine.](image)

Spencer and co-workers have designed ferrocene analogues and shown them to have anticancer activity and act as metallodrugs in many examples such as erlotinib and gefitinib. Some ferrocene analogues based on a 6,7-dimethoxy-N-phenylquinazolin-4-amine template have been synthesized (compounds 31, 32 and 33) and tested for in vitro anticancer activities against epidermal growth receptor (EGFR) with IC₅₀ value in the sub-µM range (Figure 1.19).60
Figure 1.19. Ferroence-based EGFR inhibitors.

Suberoyl anilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) is a compound that shows promise for the treatment of cancer and has been clinically approved against CTCL (cutaneous T-cell lymphoma). SAHA 34 has activity against HDACs (histone deacetylases) and is effective in cancer cell lines.\(^6\) Other work from Spencer and co-workers described \(N^8\)-ferrocenyl-\(N^1\)-hydroxy-octanediamine (JAHA), an analogue of SAHA, containing a ferrocenyl group as a phenyl bioisostere. JAHA analogues 35 displayed anticancer activity and inhibition of some classes of HDACs.\(^6\) “Click JAHAs” 36 also have been synthesized and displayed excellent HDAC inhibition, Figure 1.20.\(^6\)

Figure 1.20. SAHA 34, JAHA 35 and click JAHAs 36.
Tucker’s group have studied functional supramolecular systems and ferrocene chemistry, and some of their work focused on designing the molecules containing ferrocene e.g. by using ferrocene to mimic the DNA structure (artificial nucleic acids) called ferrocene nucleic acids (FcNA), 37. The phosphate-sugar-phosphate fragment in an oligomer is replaced by ferrocene molecule, the eight units of ferrocene and sixteen thymine (T) nucleobases gave the molecule called (Fc-TT)$_8$, 38 and they then studied their electrochemical behaviour. Later, in 2014 they reported nucleoside analogues containing ferrocene as a replacement of the five-membered sugar ring and in the cell lines studied they found these novel ferrocenyl nucleoside analogues display anticancer activity, e.g. 39.

![Figure 1.21](image_url)

Figure 1.21. FeNA 37, (Fc-TT)$_8$ 38 and nucleoside-Fc 39.
Gasser and co-workers are also interested in the synthesis and study of the biological activity of organometallic compounds and recently reported the synthesis and biological study of sandwich and half-sandwich derivatives of platensimycin, a natural antibiotic. The results failed to show any antibacterial activity and they reasoned that this could be because of cell permeability problems of bioorganometallics.\textsuperscript{66} Gasser also synthesized ferrocenyl derivatives of praziquantel (Fc-PZQ) against schistosomiasis, where praziquantel (PZQ), \textbf{40} is a drug for treat some types of parasitic worm infections. The results \textit{in vitro} showed that some compounds have the IC\textsubscript{50} values in the µM range. Even the most active compound was not good enough to go through \textit{in vivo} testing but this study will be open new mode in the search for novel drug candidates against schistosomiasis.\textsuperscript{67}

\textbf{Figure 1.22.} Praziquantel (PZQ) \textbf{40}, Fc-PZQ (type-A) and Fc-PZQ (type-B).

Other work from Gasser has shown the synthesis of light activated organometallic HDAC inhibitors, p-Fc-SAH\textsubscript{A} (\textbf{41}, Figure 1.22), that release a JAHA-type HDAC inhibitor,\textsuperscript{68} as shown in the cleavable linker.

\textbf{Figure 1.23.} Light activatable organometallic HDAC inhibitor, p-Fc-SAH\textsubscript{A}.
Orvig and co-workers have synthesized and studied the anticancer activity of ferrocenyl-aminoquinoline-carboxamide conjugates, \(42\) and \(43\) (Figure 1.24), \textit{in vitro} and these showed good activity against human breast cancer cells. The ferrocenyl derivatives were highly potent against colon and breast cancer cells but not very selective versus normal cell line.\(^{69}\)

![Figure 1.24. ferrocenyl-aminoquinoline-carboxamide conjugates compounds.](image)

Carbonic anhydrase (CA) inhibitors have uses in the treatment of glaucoma, seizure disorder and so on. CA is an enzyme that catalyzes the decomposition of carbonic acid into carbon dioxide and water and CA exists in several isoforms including CA II, IX and XII. Metallocene-based compounds have been synthesized and evaluated as carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (Figure 1.25) by Poulsen and colleagues. They used either ferrocene or ruthenocene in the molecules. \textit{In vitro} studies found these compounds were moderate to good CA inhibitors, and compounds containing a metallocene fragment showed similar SAR to the phenyl rings but in CA II, IX and XII the metallocene compounds have better potency to CA inhibition compared to phenyl-compounds.\(^{70}\)

![Figure 1.25. Some novel ruthenocene and ferrocene-based CA inhibitors.](image)
The synthesis and biological evaluation, in two murine cell lines (mouse embryonic fibroblasts, NIH-3T3 and pheochromocytoma of the rat adrenal medulla, PC-12) and two human cell lines (adenocarcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells, A-549 and hepatocellular carcinoma, Hep-G2) of gold complexes incorporating different ferrocenyl-amide phosphine ligands (Figure 1.26) was carried out. These were compared with silver analogues. The results showed the gold complexes to be more active than the silver derivatives.\(^{71}\)

![Figure 1.26. Some gold complexes with ferrocenyl-amide phosphine ligands.](image)

A series of ferrocene-appended terpyridine copper(II) complexes combined with phenanthroline bases (Figure 1.27) was synthesized and their DNA binding, photoinduced DNA cleavage activity in visible light, and cytotoxicity in HeLa cells were studied. The results showed efficient DNA photocleavage activity in visible light at different wavelengths. These results are of importance toward developing the chemistry of bioorganometallic complexes as metal-based photoactivated anticancer agents showing DNA cleavage activity.\(^{72}\)

![Figure 1.27. Some ferrocene-appended terpyridine copper(II) complexes with phenanthroline bases.](image)
Ruthenocenyl-6-aminopenicillinic acid and ferrocenyl compounds have been synthesized and their antibacterial activity studied against CTX-M-β-lactamase. The researchers obtained the crystal structure of these organometallic complexes and protein residues. In this conclusion they found compound 53 to be an inhibitor of β-lactamase, and the potential use of this product as a novel scaffold for drug discovery against β-lactamases, while compound 52 was inactive due to the steric clashes and electrostatic in the protein.\textsuperscript{73}

![Ferrocenyl penicillin](image)

**Figure 1.28.** Structures of 51 and hydrolyzed products 52 and 53.

Ferrocenyl paclitaxel and docetaxel analogues have been synthesized and their antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects studied. They used ferrocene as a bioisostere of a phenyl ring and the results showed the most active compound to be 54, which has better antiproliferative activity than that of the parent (paclitaxel or taxol) compound with an \( IC_{50} \) of 0.11 versus 1.11 \( \mu \text{M} \). (Figure 1.29).\textsuperscript{74}
Two isomers of clotrimazole (55 and 56) have been synthesized by replacing the phenyl ring with ferrocene and their cell growth inhibitory activity on two types of human cancer cell lines was tested. It was found that the cytotoxicity of the ferrocene analogues showed almost twice increase activity against HT29 colorectal cancer cells, whilst the activity against MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines was close to the parent compound.\textsuperscript{75}
1.5 Other metal complexes in medicinal chemistry

As stated earlier, cisplatin is a widely used anticancer agent and this success has inspired researchers to develop other metal-based drugs and apply these to other applications in medicinal areas such as using the organometallic complexes in fluorescence cell imaging, contrast agents in MRI and so on.

Fluorescence cell imaging relates to the use of chemicals for monitoring organ, tissues, some diseases or even tracking systems inside the body, as in a selection of imaging agents 57, 58 and 59 based on a variety of metal atoms i.e. Rh, Re and Tc, respectively.

The bioconjugation of a rhodium (Rh) complex with biotin has used as a fluorescent stain in cell imaging, (Figure 1.31) also known as called “biotinylation”. Biotin is a vitamin B7, it is a coenzyme for carboxylate enzymes related with the synthesis of isoleucine, valine, fatty acids and metabolic pathway of the generation of glucose (gluconeogenesis). The results showed 57 had luminescence properties inside cells.

![Biotin](image)

![Figure 1.31](image)

**Figure 1.31.** Rh complex imaging agent.
The design of Re(CO)₃-based complexes has been developed over the last 15 years. Many luminescent rhenium complexes which target different organs have been studied and published, (Figure 1.32) e.g. 58.

![Re complex imaging agent](image1.png)

**Figure 1.32.** Re complex imaging agent.

Technetium (Tc) complexes have been synthesized and used as cell imaging agents. Tc is the most widely used in diagnostic medicine, a number of Tc-based radiopharmaceuticals have been developed and approved by the FDA. Figure 1.33 shows an example of a Tc cell imaging agent 59.

![Tc complex imaging agent](image2.png)

**Figure 1.33.** Tc complex imaging agent.
Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is used for determining compound structure. The related magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) uses a similar principle but with a larger magnetic field size. It is used for medical diagnosis by detecting the difference between water molecules according to their environment, either in fluids or soft tissue in the body. Patients will take the paramagnetic metal complex before running the MRI, in this case the image will be enhanced due to the complex acting as a small magnet and will induce NMR changes in water molecules and then will be detected by MRI. Some MRI contrast agents include dotarem 60 and teslascan 61 based on Gd and Mn respectively (Figure 1.34).  

Figure 1.34. MRI contrast agents, dotarem 60 and teslascan 61.
A number of compounds from the Meggers group show promise as selective kinase inhibitors. They propose the role of metals as “hypervalent carbon”, enabling octahedral coordination for example and enabling interactions with the kinase pocket that are not possible with tetrahedral carbon.\(^\text{83}\)

The pyridocarbazole platinum complex has been synthesized to mimic the structure of staurosporine, which is a natural product that is isolated from bacterium and it also had the anti-fungal, anti-hypertensive activities, inhibitor protein kinase and so on.\(^\text{84}\) Staurosporine acts as a kinase inhibitor, by binding to the ATP-binding site on the kinase instead of ATP, stopping phosphorylation. However, staurosporine is unselective and could not be used as a drug as it needs to be more specific since it binds to a number of the 500+ kinases present in the body. Figure 1.35 shows a Pt-staurosporine complex 62, which shows high affinity binding to glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK-3\(\alpha\)).\(^\text{85}\)

![Figure 1.35. Pt-staurosporine complex 62.](image)

Py\(^1\), Py\(^2\) = pyridine derivatives
Ruthenium complex (SPM1) 63 (Figure 1.36) has been synthesized and its study shown against acetylcholinesterase (AChE) with an IC$_{50}$ of 200 nM compared to the parent compound [Ru(phen)$_3$]Cl$_2$ (IC$_{50}$ of 10 µM). AChE is an enzyme which catalyzes the breakdown of acetylcholine and some other choline esters and is related to neurotransmitters.$^{86-87}$

![Figure 1.36. Structure of SPM1, 63.](image1)

Another protein kinase inhibitor based on octahedral iridium (Ir) complex 64 (Figure 1.37) has been synthesized and studied for it angiogenesis properties, which is related with the growth of new blood vessels. It showed a remarkable selectivity for VEGFR3 (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3) over other protein kinases.$^{88}$

![Figure 1.37. Octahedral Ir complex 64.](image2)
The applications of metals in medical uses are increasing either via the design of new ligands or of metal-based complexes. Normally, therapeutic agents and drugs will be tested in *in vitro* to provide initial information about cytotoxicity (preliminary data) then *in vivo* assays will provide the pharmacokinetics data, these 2 steps are called “preclinical step”. The next step will be “clinical trials” which consist of phase I, II, III and IV, these steps are concerned with dosage, safety and efficacy. The two-last steps will be FDA review and FDA post-market safety monitoring (U.S. Food & Drug Administration). Typically, a drug costs over $1 billion to develop and it takes 10-15 years.

![Scheme 1.2. The drug development process.](image)

There are now many metal compounds that have been used as therapies or reached clinical trials such as carboplatin, arsenic trioxide, gallium nitrate, NAMI-A, KP1019, BEOV, ferroquine and so on. The structure of those compounds is shown in Figure 1.38.

**Carboplatin** is a chemotherapy drug containing platinum metal used to treat some cancers including lung, brain, breast, ovarian, testicular, bladder, head and neck. It is used by intravenous injection. Side effects of carboplatin include nausea, low blood cell levels and allergic reactions to the body.

**Arsenic trioxide or Trisenox®** is anticancer drug for acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), it is administered via an intravenous injection.
Gallium nitrate\textsuperscript{90} is a drug which used for treating symptomatic hypercalcemia (hypercalcemia associated with cancer).

NAMI-A (New Anti-Tumor Metastasis Inhibitor)\textsuperscript{91} is a compound based on ruthenium metal and now has entered to the clinical trials phase II. It used for treatment of metastatic cancer. Side effects are nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, fatigue, inflammation in mouth and lips, fever, etc. It is thought to act as a prodrug to Ru(II) in hypoxic (low oxygen) solid tumours.

KP1019 or trans-[tetrachlorobis(1 H-indazole)ruthenate(III)]\textsuperscript{91} is also based on ruthenium metal and has been to clinical trials phase I. It is used for metastatic cancer and shown potent cytotoxicity against colorectal cancer.

BEOV or bis(ethylmaltolato)oxovanadium(IV)\textsuperscript{77} is based on vanadium metal and has entered clinical trials phase II. It is used to treat diabetes. In clinical trials phase I, it has been given orally to non-diabetic volunteers and the results showed no side effects.

Ferroquine (FQ)\textsuperscript{92} is a compound containing with ferrocene and has entered clinical trials phase II. It is used as an antimalarial agent.
Figure 1.38. Structures of some metal complexes in medicine.
1.6 Conclusions

Above, an overview of some uses of metals in medicinal chemistry has been provided, focussing mainly on ferrocene-based chemistry. This is certainly an area of vibrant research activity but, unfortunately, due to space restraints, only a small amount of this chemistry has been mentioned. Hereafter, the study of ferrocene as a bioisostere of aryl and bulky alkyl groups is presented, supported by solid state (x-ray) studies and biological assays. In many cases nM or submicromolar ferrocene-based metallo-drugs or bioorganometallic complexes are described.

![Figure 1.39. Ferrocene bioisostere principle used in this thesis.](image)
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**Chapter 2**

*Synthesis of CB₂ agonists and FAAH inhibitors containing a ferrocene unit.*

### 2.1 Overview

Ferrocenylamine has been explored as a bioisostere¹ of bulky amines in a series of potential CB₁ and CB₂ (cannabinoid) receptor agonists. A series of ferrocene analogues has been synthesized by the amide coupling reaction of aminoferrocene with carboxylic acid-functionalised isoxazole, quinolinone and pyridinone rings as CB₂ agonists and their application as potent FAAH (fatty acid amide hydrolase) inhibitors has been studied. The products were characterized by elemental analyses, NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, LC-MS and, many were further analysed by X-ray crystallographic studies. Ferrocene analogues of known bioactive adamantylamides were found to be effective cannabinoid receptor (CB₁ and CB₂) agonists, with low nanomolar potency. X-ray crystallography showed intramolecular hydrogen bonding to be important for activity as N-methylation of the amide resulted in the loss of hydrogen bonding and biological activity. Biological studies were undertaken through a collaboration with a medicinal chemistry group in Lille, France, who recently published work on FAAH inhibitors and CB₂ agonists,²³ where they found that bulky amine substituents were beneficial for biological activity.⁴

### 2.2 Introduction

G protein-coupled receptors (GCRs) are an important and large group of therapeutic targets. They play a central role in many biological processes and are linked to a wide range of disease areas. The GPCR-G-protein activation/deactivation cycle⁵ is shown in Scheme 2.1.
Figure 2.1. GPCR-G-protein activation/deactivation cycle

(Licensed under a Creative Commons Distribution by Repapetillo).
About 20-30% of drugs in the market act on GPCRs\textsuperscript{6-7} such as ranitidine, salbutamol, chlorpromazine, doxepin, cinnarizine and so on.

![Figure 2.2. Some medications acting on GPCRs.](image)

Cannabinoid receptors are also receptors that belong to the GPCR family, and contain two different subtypes CB\textsubscript{1} and CB\textsubscript{2} (both are in the endocannabinoid system). CB\textsubscript{1} is found mainly in central nervous system while CB\textsubscript{2} is found in the immune system.\textsuperscript{8} Known endocannabinoids that are involved in a variety of physiological processes such as pain sensation, mood and memory include \textit{N}-arachidonoyl ethanolamine (anandamide, AEA)\textsuperscript{9} which acts as a ligand of central CB\textsubscript{1} cannabinoid receptors and has also been shown to be a potent and selective inhibitor of the proliferation of human breast cancer cells that can activate the cannabinoid receptor.\textsuperscript{10} Arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG)\textsuperscript{11-12} also acts as a ligand for the cannabinoid CB\textsubscript{1} receptor.\textsuperscript{13}

![Figure 2.3. The molecular structures of AEA and 2-AG.](image)
CB₂ receptors have been proposed to have a significant role in inflammation. Overexpression of CB₂ receptors was found after an inflammation stimulus. Activation of CB₂ receptors occurred with the suppression of macrophage activation, the blockage of calcium signals in nociceptors (these are sensory nerve cells which relay signals to the spinal cord and brain upon possible damage or stimuli), and the modulation of nociceptor excitability, related to anti-inflammation, neuroprotection, gastroprotection, or other protective effects. The CB₂ receptor is coupled to a Gαᵢ₀ signalling target which inhibits adenylyl cyclase (AC is an enzyme and there are ten known isoforms of adenylyl cyclases in mammals) and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP is a derivative of ATP and important for the intracellular signal transduction) production. Ligands for CB₂ receptors can be classified as agonists, antagonists and inverse agonists when agonists activate CB₂ receptors and then repress adenylyl cyclase resulting in a decrease in cAMP concentration, antagonists prevent the activation of receptors by endocannabinoids, and inverse agonists reduce CB₂ receptor activity and induce an accumulation of cAMP through upregulation of adenylyl cyclase activity.

Figure 2.4. The molecular structures of ATP and cAMP.

CB₂ agonists have been described to produce anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and other protective efficacies by the modulation of calcium signal and nociceptor excitability. CB₂ antagonists/inverse agonists showed potential in the treatment of bone-dependent disorders (such as osteoporosis) through regulation of bone proliferation. In addition, inverse agonists have been identified to exert immunomodulatory (capable of modifying or regulating one or more immune functions) ability and therapeutic potential for inflammation through regulating the migration of inflammatory cells and immune cells, including leukocytes (white blood cells).
CB₂ ligands have been observed as an interesting approach for the treatment of a range of nervous or immune system disorders. Over the last decades, research on CB₂ ligands has significantly progressed. Several CB₂ agonists, antagonists, and inverse agonists have been described. WIN 55,212-2 or \((R)-(+)\)-[2,3-Dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinylmethyl)pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]-1-napthalenylmethanone, \textit{66}, one of the most potent dual CB₁/CB₂ agonists, has been widely used for the investigation of cannabinoid-receptor-dependent biological responses.\textsuperscript{24} It exerts antinociceptive efficacy in a model of spinal cord injury and inhibits the proliferation of cancer cells.\textsuperscript{25-26} (2-Iodo-5-nitrophenyl)-[1-[(1-methylpiperidin-2-yl)methyl]indol-3-yl]methanone, \textit{67} (AM-1241), an aminoalkylindole derivative, has been shown to act as a potent selective CB₂ agonist and shows efficacy in a variety of \textit{in vivo} pain and inflammation models, including spinal nerve ligation (SNL)-induced nociception and 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced colitis.\textsuperscript{27} Molecule \textit{68} (SR144528) was the first selective CB₂ antagonist, discovered by Sanofi Research, and was later identified to possess temperature-dependent inverse agonist properties.\textsuperscript{28} It was further identified to upregulate the expression of CB₂ receptors.\textsuperscript{29-30} 6-Iodopravadoline, \textit{69} (AM630), a potent selective CB₂ inverse agonist, showed potential to attenuate titanium-particle-induced osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{31-32} Two other well-known CB₂ inverse agonists, \textit{70} (Sch225336) and \textit{71} (Sch414319), can relieve inflammation by modulating the migration of immune cells.\textsuperscript{20-23}

\textbf{Figure 2.5.} Structures of some well-known CB₂ ligands.
4-Oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine and 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline have been synthesized as potent CB$_2$ agonists or inverse agonists.$^{33-34}$ Actually, derivatives with a 1-adamantylamine group were noted to be potent and functionally selective CB$_2$ ligands. For instance, the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline derivative 72 was identified as a CB$_2$ agonist ($K_i = 16.4$ nM, $E_{\text{max}}(\text{GTP}\gamma\text{S}) = 125.6\%$). In the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridines series, derivative 73 showed partial agonist properties, while compound 74 was identified as a full agonist for CB$_2$ receptors ($K_i = 20$ and $29$ nM, $E_{\text{max}}(\text{GTP}\gamma\text{S}) = 148\%$ and $212\%$, $EC_{50}$ (GTP$\gamma$S) = 5.5 and 12.2 nM, respectively). These compounds showed good selectivity over CB$_1$ receptors (selectivity index (SI) > 100).$^{35-36}$ Another 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine derivative, 75 ($K_i = 4$ nM, $E_{\text{max}}(\text{GTP}\gamma\text{S}) = 39\%$, $EC_{50}(\text{GTP}\gamma\text{S}) = 3.2$ nM, SI = 148), containing a phenyl ring rather than an alkyl group at the C6 position, acts as a CB$_2$ inverse agonist. Depending on the substituent at position-6 (methyl, tert-butyl, or phenyl group), the biological response changed from CB$_2$ agonist to CB$_2$ inverse agonist.$^{35}$

**Figure 2.6.** 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine/4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolinone derived CB$_2$ ligands containing an adamantylamine group.
Fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) is an enzyme that hydrolyses fatty acid derivatives such as anandamide (AEA), palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) or oleoylethanolamide (OEA). Enzymatic activity was described in the 1960s showing it to produce ethanolamine but the role of FAAH in hydrolyzing anandamide was first reported in 1993.

Scheme 2.1. The hydrolysis of AEA by FAAH.

Elevated levels of AEA are known to offer protection in inflammation. Hence, preventing its removal, by inhibiting FAAH, could be a useful approach in treating diseases including IBS (Irritable Bowel Syndrome) and other disorders such as colitis. Figure 2.7 shows the structure of FAAH inhibitors reported by others. URB597 is particularly active against acute, inflammatory disorders and protects against experimental colitis and OL-135 is described as a potent FAAH inhibitor.

Figure 2.7. Literature FAAH inhibitors.
New FAAH inhibitors based on a 3-carboxamido-5-aryl-isoxazole scaffold have been synthesized by our collaborator (Millet group). Results showed that compounds 76 and 77 inhibit the development of dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced acute colitis in mice.²

![FAAH inhibitors 76 and 77.](image)

Figure 2.8. FAAH inhibitors 76 and 77.

Ferrocene, an organometallic complex, shows advantageous properties for the design of potential pharmaceutical agents. For instance, its lipophilicity (logP = 2.66 for ferrocene vs 2.69 for adamantane)⁴² endows its derivatives with suitable bioavailability and membrane penetration.⁴³-⁴⁴ The rotation of the aromatic cyclopentadienyl ring confers conformational diversity on ferrocene (staggered or overlapped conformation), which is favourable for orientating ferrocene-derived ligands into their receptor pockets.⁴⁵ Additionally, ferrocene derivatives have been identified to produce a variety of biological responses, including anticancer,⁴⁶-⁴⁷ antimalarial,⁴⁸ antioxidant,⁴⁹ antibacterial,⁵⁰ and glucose-sensing properties.⁵¹ Thus, development of ferrocene derivatives could be regarded as an interesting approach to discover potential novel pharmaceutical agents and tool compounds.
2.3 Results and Discussion

On the basis of these interesting literature results, we decided to observe the influence of the replacement of the 1-adamantyl group by a bulky, lipophilic, potentially ferrocene unit bioisostere (Figure 2.9) in terms of synthetic and biological potential.

![Figure 2.9. A ferrocenylamine replacement of a 1-adamantylamine group.](image)

Herein, we report the synthesis and biological evaluation of a novel series of ferrocenyl 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine and dihydroquinoline derivatives and 3-carboxamido-isoxazole derivatives (Scheme 2.2). All series of compounds were easily synthesized by standard amide coupling protocols and were characterized by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and combustion analysis, for solids, or by percentage purity by LCMS for oils. In the case of solids, six of these air-stable derivatives were further characterized in the solid state by X-ray crystallography, some of them confirming the presence of an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the ketone and amide functionalities (Figure 2.10), which is also observed in solution-based studies ($\delta = \text{ca. 11.5 ppm in their } ^1$H NMR spectra) and the $^1$H NMR spectrum of isoxazole compounds showed the most downfield signal was assigned to the –NH amide ($\delta$ 7.50-8.00 ppm) located next to the heterocyclic ring. The results from elemental analysis and mass spectrometry corresponded to the calculated values and the solid state molecular structures of compounds were determined by X-Ray crystallography (Figure 2.11).
Scheme 2.2. Synthesis of ferrocenylamides.
Often, an intramolecular hydrogen bond acts as a conformational lock, stabilizing an active conformation of a molecule and improving its binding to a receptor or enzyme.\textsuperscript{52}

\textbf{Figure 2.10.} X-ray structures of 78, 79 and 80.

\textbf{Figure 2.11.} X-ray structures of 84 and 85.
We then decided to synthesize a N-Me amide derivative 87 to compare its receptor binding to its NH-amide precursor 78, and hence the role of intramolecular hydrogen bonding on receptor binding. We confirmed its structure by X-ray crystallography, which clearly shows a change of molecular structure by the loss of the intramolecular H-bond (Scheme 2.3).

**Scheme 2.3.** N-Methylation reaction of 78 and X-ray structure of 87.
The $^1$H NMR spectrum of 87, even at variable temperature, showed a broad signal and was indicative of a fluxional molecule, but could also be due to the increased solubility of compound when the temperature is raised (Figure 2.12).

Figure 2.12. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 87 in CDCl$_3$ at 20 °C and 37 °C.
2.4 Biological Results

The affinities of each synthesized compound for both CB\textsubscript{1} and CB\textsubscript{2} receptors were determined by a competitive radioligand displacement assay using the dual CB\textsubscript{1}/CB\textsubscript{2} ligand [3H]-CP55,940.\textsuperscript{53,3} Compounds 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, and 83 (Scheme 2.2) showed good CB\textsubscript{2} affinity (5 nM < K\textsubscript{i} < 220 nM). Specifically, for the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline derivatives, a lipophilic alkyl chain on the endocyclic nitrogen (78, K\textsubscript{i} = 36.7 nM; 77, K\textsubscript{i} = 10.9 nM) gives a superior affinity to the compound compared with a hydrophilic morpholinoethyl group (80, K\textsubscript{i} = 133.6 nM). As expected, the methylation of the amide group of compound 78 brought about a sharp decrease in affinity for CB\textsubscript{2} (87, K\textsubscript{i} > 1 \mu M).

In the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine series, a bulky group (such as a tert-butyl or a phenyl group, 82, K\textsubscript{i} = 59.5 nM, and 83, K\textsubscript{i} = 5.2 nM, respectively) is preferred for CB\textsubscript{2} affinity rather than a small alkyl group (such as a methyl, 81, K\textsubscript{i} = 218.8 nM) at the C6 position. Compared to previously developed CB\textsubscript{2} ligands (such as 72, 73, 74, and 75, SI > 100), the replacement of the 1-adamantyl group by a ferrocene seems to maintain the CB\textsubscript{2} affinity of the molecules, with only a weak decrease in affinity for 81 compared to 73. However, it brings about an obvious increase in affinity for CB\textsubscript{1} receptors (81, 82, and 83, SI < 15).

Compounds displaying potent CB\textsubscript{2} affinity were further studied for their functionality by cAMP assays in Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) expressing CB\textsubscript{2} receptors (CHO-CB\textsubscript{2}).\textsuperscript{54-55} Cells were treated with forskolin, 88 in order to activate adenylyl cyclase and cAMP production. In Table 2.1, the maximum efficacy (E\textsubscript{max}) of a compound represents the maximum response at 10\textsuperscript{-6} M and is expressed as the percentage of forskolin-induced cAMP production. Compound potency was also evaluated in the presence of increasing concentrations of each compound and was expressed as the concentration that exhibits the half-maximal response (EC\textsubscript{50}). Although 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolines 78 and 79 were determined to show potent affinity for CB\textsubscript{2} receptors, their binding to the receptors did not significantly affect CB\textsubscript{2}-mediated regulation of cAMP production (E\textsubscript{max} cAMP = 74\% and 78\%, respectively). The replacement of the N-substituted alkyl group of 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives by an N-ethyl morpholine gave rise to an obvious increase in CB\textsubscript{2} agonist functionality of the molecule (80, E\textsubscript{max} cAMP = 52\%), which might be attributed to probable hydrogen-binding interactions between morpholine and CB\textsubscript{2} receptors. The 4-oxo-1,4-
dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide derivatives bearing an alkyl substituent at the C6 position (81 and 82) show CB$_2$ agonist properties (E$_{\text{max}}$ cAMP = 50% and 55%, respectively). In the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide series, compound 83 (E$_{\text{max}}$ cAMP = 500%), bearing a 6-substituted phenyl group instead of an alkyl substituent, appears to behave as a CB$_2$ inverse agonist. These observations are consistent with the previous published results.\textsuperscript{14} 83-induced accumulation of cAMP (EC$_{50}$ cAMP = 12 nM) is approximately 65-fold greater than for compound 69 (EC$_{50}$ cAMP = 785 nM), one of the most potent CB$_2$ inverse agonists reported to date. Interestingly, as illustrated in Table 2.1, replacement of the 1-adamantyl group (73, 74, and 75) by a ferrocene (81, 82, and 83) does not alter the functionality of these compounds and their ability to regulate cAMP formation. Of the isoxazole series only compound 85 displayed activity, in the ca. 30 nM range.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{forskolin.png}
\caption{Structure of forskolin, 88.}
\end{figure}
The cytotoxicity of these compounds was determined at 10 μM using a cell proliferation assay on Chinese hamster ovary cells wild type (CHO-WT), CHO-CB₂, and human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells HT29. No significant cytotoxicity was observed for these new compounds except for derivative 80, which slightly inhibited the proliferation of HT29 cells (48% at 10 μM).⁵⁶

The affinities (Kᵢ Values), Maximum Efficacy (Eₘₐₓ), and/or Half-Maximal Response (EC₅₀) of compounds 73–75, 78–80, 81–83, 87, and reference compounds (WIN-55,212-2, AM630) toward hCB₂ and hCB₁ Cannabinoid Receptors, Selectivity Ratios hCB₂ versus hCB₁, and Cytotoxicity on CHO-WT, CHO-CB₂, and HT29 Cells are shown in Table 2.1.

We are awaiting FAAH biological studies of 84, 85 and 86 from our Lille-2 University partners to establish: i) if the bioisostere changes lead to retention or loss of biological activities and ii) if other analogues need to be made, once SAR (structure activity relationship) has been established.
Table 2.1. Biological activity results of 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine/
4-oxo-1,4 dihydroquinolinone – ferrocene derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>CB(_2) K(_i) (nM)</th>
<th>CB(_1) K(_i) (nM)</th>
<th>Ratio CB(_1)/CB(_2)</th>
<th>CB(_2) cAMP assays(^b)</th>
<th>Cytotoxicity assays % inhibition at 10 (\mu)M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>20 ± 3(^c)</td>
<td>&gt; 3000(^d)</td>
<td>&gt; 150(^e)</td>
<td>63 ± 8</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>29 ± 3(^c)</td>
<td>&gt; 3000(^d)</td>
<td>&gt; 103(^e)</td>
<td>51 ± 14</td>
<td>21 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4(^e)</td>
<td>592 ± 97(^e)</td>
<td>148(^e)</td>
<td>458 ± 106</td>
<td>36 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>36.7 ± 20.4</td>
<td>372.2 ± 27.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>74 ± 13</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>10.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>78 ± 14</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>133.6 ± 25.6</td>
<td>475.4 ± 51.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>52 ± 9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>218.8 ± 15.8</td>
<td>204.9 ± 33.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>50 ± 14</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>59.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>747.2 ± 106.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>55 ± 13</td>
<td>21 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>23.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>500 ± 32</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>32.54 ± 11.37</td>
<td>25133.33 ± 731.56</td>
<td>77.24</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
<td>N.D.(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forskolin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIN552122</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>13.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>45 ± 7</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM630</td>
<td>31.2 ± 12.4(^f)</td>
<td>5152 ± 567(^f)</td>
<td>165(^f)</td>
<td>232 ± 79</td>
<td>785 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Data represent the mean ± SEM (SEM is Standard Error of Mean) of three or four experiments performed in duplicate or triplicate. \(^b\)\(E_{max}\) values are expressed as a percentage of forskolin-induced cAMP production. \(^c\)Data from ref 34. \(^d\)N.D. means not determined. \(^e\)Data from ref 30.
2.5 Conclusion

A series of ferrocenylamine-based 4-oxo-1,4-dihydropyridine and dihydroquinoline derivatives has been synthesized. Many display potency even at low (nM) concentrations vs CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. A crucial intramolecular (NH---O=C) hydrogen bond, evidenced in both solution and the solid state, appears to be important for receptor binding since N-methylation, and loss of this hydrogen bond, leads to a loss of affinity. This study demonstrates that aminoferrocene-based compounds can replace adamantanyl amines in GPCR-targeting bioorganometallic agents. Whether or not this effect is simply a size/shape similarity of a ferrocene moiety compared to an adamantyl group or if there is the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS), as is often the case with ferrocenyl groups in bioorganometallic chemistry, is beyond the scope of this study, but the latter cannot be ruled out.

2.6 Experimental

The acids precursors for making compound 76-84 were provided by our Lille-2 University partners, solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without purification. Ferrocenylamine was purchased from TCI, UK and used as such. All reactions were performed in a fume hood. \(^1\)H, \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 500 MHz or 400 MHz spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in ppm, usually referenced to TMS as an internal standard. LCMS were performed on a 5 μm C18 110 Å column and percentage purities were ran over 30 minutes in water/acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (5 min at 5%, 5%-95% over 20 min, 5 min at 95%) with the UV detector at 254 nm. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) were performed by the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of Swansea. Elemental analyses were conducted by Stephen Boyer (London Metropolitan University).
The title compound was prepared by a coupling reaction; 1-cyclohexyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (271 mg, 1 mmol) was reacted with 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) (68 mg, 0.5 mmol), O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) (570 mg, 1.5 mmol), and N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (0.35 mL) in CHCl₃ solution (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (241 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. Afterward, the reaction mixture was washed with NaOH (0.5 N, 20 mL), HCl (1 N, 20 mL), and H₂O (20 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated to a 3 mL volume and purified by column chromatography. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (7:3) mixture and was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 340 mg, 75% (orange solid). Crystallization by diffusion between CH₂Cl₂ and hexane provided orange crystals.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ =11.56 (1H, s, NH), 9.04 (1H, s, CH), 8.62 (1H, dd, J = 8.1,1.6, CH), 7.78–7.76 (1H, m, CH), 7.68 (1H, d, J = 8.7, CH), 7.55–7.53 (1H, m, CH), 4.82 (2H, d, J = 1.8, 2CH), 4.53–4.51 (1H, m, CH), 4.19 (5H, s, Cp), 4.05 (2H, d, J = 1.8, 2CH), 2.22–2.19 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.08–2.05 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.89–1.86 (3H, m,CH₂+ CH), 1.60–1.57 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.36–1.34 (1H, m, CH).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 176.2, 163.2, 143.1, 139.4, 132.8, 128.1, 127.6, 125.0, 115.2, 111.8, 94.5, 69.2, 64.5, 61.8, 59.8, 32.8, 25.9, 25.2.

HRMS-ESI (m/z): found 455.1396, calcd for [C₂₆H₂₆FeN₂O₂ + H]+ 455.1416.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₆H₂₆FeN₂O₂: C, 68.73; H, 5.77; N, 6.17.
Found (%): C, 68.50; H, 5.89; N, 6.06.
N-Ferrocenyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide, 79.

4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (25.9 mg, 0.1 mmol) was reacted with HOBT (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol), and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ solution (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture and was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 19 mg, 43% (orange solid). Crystallization by diffusion between CH₂Cl₂ and hexane provided yellow crystals.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 11.51 (1H, s, NH), 8.84 (1H, s, CH), 8.61–8.58 (1H, m, CH), 7.79–7.77 (1H, m, CH), 7.54–7.52 (2H, m, Ar), 4.81 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 4.30–4.27 (2H, m, CH₂), 4.20 (5H, s, Cp), 4.05 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 1.42–1.38 (6H, m, 3CH₂), 0.94–0.90 (3H, m, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 176.6, 163.1, 147.4, 139.0, 132.9, 128.0, 127.5, 125.2, 115.9, 111.9, 94.4, 69.2, 64.6, 62.0, 54.4, 28.7, 28.8, 22.2, 13.8.

HRMS-ESI (m/z): found 443.1412, calcd for [C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₂ +H]⁺ 443.1416.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₂: C, 67.88; H, 5.92; N, 6.33.

Found (%): C, 67.87; H, 6.05; N, 6.45.
*N*-Ferrocenyl-1-(2-morpholinoethyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide, 80.

1-(2-Morpholinoethyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (30.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) was reacted with HOBT (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol), and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ solution (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (3:7) mixture and was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 23 mg, 47% (yellow solid). Crystallization by diffusion between acetone and hexane provided orange crystals.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 11.46 (1H, s, NH), 8.84 (1H, s, CH), 8.60 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 1.5, CH), 7.79–7.76 (1H, m, CH), 7.58–7.54 (2H, m, Ar), 4.82–4.79 (1H, m, CH), 4.39 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 4.20 (5H, s, Cp), 4.13–4.11 (1H, m, CH), 4.08–4.05 (1H, m, CH), 3.70–3.68 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.85–2.83 (1H, m, CH), 2.53 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.05 (1H, s, CH), 1.29–1.26 (3H, m).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 176.6, 162.9, 148.2, 139.0, 133.0, 128.0, 127.6, 125.2, 115.6, 111.8, 94.3, 69.2, 66.8, 64.6, 62.0, 56.5, 53.8, 51.4.

HRMS-ESI (m/z): found 486.1471, calcd for [C₂₆H₂₇FeN₃O₃ + H⁺]⁺ 486.1475.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₆H₂₇FeN₃O₃: C, 64.34; H, 5.61; N, 8.66.
Found (%): C, 64.27; H, 5.54; N, 8.63.
**N-Ferrocenyl-6-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide, 81.**

6-Methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (22.3 mg, 0.1 mmol) was combined with HOBt (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol), and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (2:3) mixture and was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 21 mg, 52% (orange oil).

$^1$H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 11.72 (1H, s, NH), 8.45 (1H, s, CH), 6.46 (1H, s, CH), 4.88–4.84 (2H, m, CH₂), 4.24 (5H, s, Cp), 4.15–4.12 (2H, m, 2CH), 3.91 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 2.39 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.80–1.76 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.38–1.32 (4H, m, 2CH₂), 0.94–0.90 (3H, m, CH₃).

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 177.4, 162.4, 147.8, 144.9, 121.4, 118.9, 69.6, 65.0, 62.0, 53.9, 30.6, 29.7, 28.5, 22.2, 19.0, 13.8.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 407.1414, calcd for [C₂₂H₂₆FeN₂O₂ + H]$^+$ 407.1416.

LCMS purity (UV) = 100%, $t_R$ 19.13 min. LCMS purity (positive ion, TIC) = 93.5%, $t_R$ 19.20 min.
6-tert-Butyl-N-ferrocenyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide, 82.

6-(tert-Butyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (26.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) was combined with HOBr (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol), and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 22 mg, 49% (orange oil).

1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 11.70 (1H, s, NH), 8.48 (1H, s, CH), 6.66 (1H, s, CH), 4.78–4.76 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.16 (5H, s, Cp), 4.13–4.10 (2H, m, 2CH) 4.04–4.02 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.19–2.15 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.46 (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.42–1.38 (4H, m, 2CH₂), 0.98–0.92 (3H, m, CH₃).

13C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): 177.7, 162.4, 158.5, 147.0, 119.0, 118.2, 110.0, 94.0, 69.2, 64.6, 62.1, 59.5, 54.9, 35.8, 32.0, 30.4, 28.7, 22.2, 13.9.

HRMS-ESI(m/z) found 449.1886, calcd for [C₂₅H₃₂FeN₂O₂ + H]+ 449.1886.

LCMS purity (UV) = 100%, tᵣ 23.68 min. LCMS purity (positive ion, TIC) = 96.8%, tᵣ 23.76 min.
**N-Ferrocenyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-6-phenyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide, 83.**

4-Oxo-1-pentyl-6-phenyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (28.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) was reacted with HOBt (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol), and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min, and then aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 24 h. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 29 mg, 62% (orange solid).

1H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 11.80 (1H, s, NH), 8.61 (1H, s, CH), 7.56–7.52 (3H, m, Ar), 7.39–7.35 (2H, m, Ar), 6.54 (1H, s, CH), 4.80 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 4.20 (5H, s, Cp), 4.05 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 3.85–3.83 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.65–1.61 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.18–1.16 (4H, m, 2 CH₂), 0.81 (3H, t, J = 7.1, CH₃).

13C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 177.1, 162.4, 151.8, 144.8, 133.2, 130.1, 129.0, 128.5, 122.4, 119.4, 93.9, 69.2, 64.7, 62.1, 54.4, 30.6, 28.2, 21.9, 13.7.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 469.1576, calcd for [C₂₇H₂₈FeN₂O₂ + H]⁺ 469.1573.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₇H₂₈FeN₂O₂: C, 69.24; H, 6.03; N, 5.98.

Found (%): C, 68.89; H, 6.13; N, 5.81.
5-Methyl-N-ferrocenylisoxazole-3-carboxamide, 84.

The title compound was prepared by a coupling reaction. 5-Methylisoxazole-3-carboxylic acid (127 mg, 1 mmol) was reacted with HOBr (68 mg, 0.5 mmol), HBTU (570 mg, 1.5 mmol) and DIPEA (0.35 mL) in CHCl₃ (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min. and then aminoferrocene (241 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 hr. Workup was as above. During flash chromatography, the orange band was eluted with a hexane: ethyl acetate (6:4) mixture, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 160 mg, 45% (orange solid). Crystallization by diffusion between CH₂Cl₂ and hexane provided the orange crystals.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 7.90 (1H, s, NH), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar), 4.72-4.69 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.20 (5H, s, Cp), 4.07-3.97 (2H, m, 2CH), 2.52 (3H, s, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 171.5, 158.8, 156.9, 101.4, 93.3, 76.7, 69.3, 64.9, 61.6, 12.4.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 311.0471, calcd. for [ C₁₅H₁₄FeN₂O₂ + H]⁺ 311.0477.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₅H₁₄FeN₂O₂: C, 58.09; H, 4.55; N, 9.03.
Found (%): C, 58.20; H, 4.63; N, 9.13.
5-(2-(Pentyloxy)phenyl)-N-ferrocenylisoxazole-3-carboxamide, 85.

The title compound was prepared by a coupling reaction. 5-(2-(Pentyloxy)phenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid (27.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) was reacted with HOBt (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CHCl₃ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min. and then added aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) stirred for 24 hr. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane: ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 34 mg, 70% (orange solid). Crystallization by diffusion between CH₂Cl₂ and hexane provided the orange crystals.

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 8.00-7.80 (2H, m, Ar), 7.44-7.39 (1H, m, Ar), 7.27 (1H, s, Ar), 7.07-6.93 (2H, m, Ar), 4.76 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 4.23 (5H, s, Cp), 4.15 (2H, d, J = 1.9, 2CH), 4.09-3.92 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.96-1.91 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.48-1.42 (4H, m, 2CH₂), 0.97 (3H, t, J = 7.1, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 169.5, 165.2, 159.1, 156.0, 139.8, 131.8, 127.7, 120.6, 112.2, 110.0, 102.8, 69.4, 68.7, 64.9, 61.7, 28.8, 28.2, 22.4, 14.0.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 481.1184, calcd. for [ C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₃ + Na]⁺ 481.1185.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₃: C, 65.51; H, 5.72; N, 6.11.

Found (%): C, 65.41; H, 5.80; N, 6.12.
5-(4-(Pentyloxy)phenyl)-N-ferroenylisoxazole-3-carboxamide, 86.

The title compound was prepared by a coupling reaction. 5-(4-(Pentyloxy)phenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid (27.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) was reacted with HOBt (6.8 mg, 0.05 mmol), HBTU (57 mg, 0.15 mmol) and DIPEA (0.035 mL) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 45 min. and then added aminoferrocene (24.1 mg, 0.12 mmol) stirred for 24 hr. Workup was as above. The orange band was eluted with a hexane: ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture, which was collected and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 35 mg, 72% (orange solid).

H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 7.94 (1H, s, Ar), 7.75-7.70 (2H, m, Ar), 7.27 (2H, s, Ar), 7.00-6.93 (2H, m, 2CH₂), 6.89 (1H, s, Ar), 4.75-4.71 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.22 (5H, s, Cp), 4.06-4.01 (2H, m, 2CH) 1.83-1.76 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.45-1.42 (4H, m, 2CH₂), 0.96 (3H, s, CH₃).

C NMR (CDCl₃, 126 MHz): δ = 172.0, 161.2, 159.1, 156.9, 127.6, 119.2, 115.1, 97.5, 93.2, 69.3, 68.3, 64.9, 61.7, 28.8, 28.1, 22.4, 14.0.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 459.1333 , calc. for [ C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₃ + H]⁺ 459.1366.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₅H₂₆FeN₂O₃: C, 65.51; H, 5.72; N, 6.11.

Found (%): C, 65.51; H, 5.82; N, 6.18.
1-Cyclohexyl-N-ferrocenyl-N-methyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide, 87.

The title compound was prepared by reacting 1-cyclohexyl-N-ferrocenyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (110 mg, 0.2 mmol) with NaH (10 mg, 0.4 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 20 min, and then iodomethane (45 μL, 0.7 mmol) was added. After stirring at RT overnight the mixture was extracted with EtOAc, then washed with brine and H₂O, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated. A yellow band was eluted, using chromatography, with a hexane/ethyl acetate (2:3) mixture, collected, and evaporated to dryness. The yield was 38 mg, 41% (yellow solid). Crystallization by diffusion between CH₂Cl₂ and hexane provided yellow crystals.

HRMS-ESI(m/z): found 469.1576, calcd for [C₂₇H₂₈FeN₂O₂ + H]⁺ 469.1573.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₇H₂₈FeN₂O₂: C, 69.24; H, 6.03; N, 5.98.
Found (%): C, 69.19; H, 6.10; N, 6.03.

LCMS purity (UV) = 100%, tᵣ 18.20 min. LCMS purity (positive ion, TIC) = 91.6%, tᵣ 18.27 min.
Competition Binding Assay.

Stock solutions of the compounds were prepared in DMSO and further diluted with the binding buffer to the desired concentration. Briefly, [3H]-CP-55,940 (0.5 nM), nonselective human CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptor, were added to 6 μg of membranes from CB1- or CB2-overexpressing CHO cells in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mg/mL BSA, pH 7.4). After 90 min at 30 °C, the incubation was stopped and the solutions were rapidly filtered over a UniFilter-96 GF/C glass fiber plate, presoaked in PEI (0.05%) on a Filtermate UniFilter 96-Harvester (PerkinElmer), and washed 10 times with ice-cold 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4. The radioactivity on the filters was measured using a TopCount NXT microplate scintillation counter (PerkinElmer) using 30 μL of MicroScint 40 (PerkinElmer). Assays were performed at least in triplicate in three independent experiments. The nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 5 μM (R)-(+-)WIN 55,212-2 (Sigma).

Cell-Based HTRF cAMP Assay.

Cellular cAMP levels were measured using reagents supplied by Cisbio International (HTRF dynamic 2 cAMP kit). Briefly, CHO-CB2 cells were harvested and were collected by centrifugation for 5 min at 1200 rpm. The cells were then resuspended in an appropriate final volume of culture medium and incubated with the phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX (0.5 mM). Cells were incubated for 15 min with the compounds at room temperature in a 384-well plate (2000 cells per well) before the addition of forskolin (3 μM) for 30 min at room temperature. The dye d2-conjugated cAMP and Europium cryptate-conjugated anti-cAMP antibodies were added to the assay plate, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 1 h incubation at room temperature, the plate was read on a Spectramax microplate reader (Molecular Devices) with excitation wavelength at 340 nm and emission wavelengths at 665 and 620 nm.
Cell Culture and Proliferation Assay.

CHO-WT, CHO-CB₂, and HT29 cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂, in DMEM-GlutaMAX medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 IU/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL). In the cell proliferation assay, cells were plated in triplicate on 96-well plates (3 x 10³ cells per well) and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then incubated in culture medium that contained 10 μM test compounds. After 72 h, cell growth was estimated by the colorimetric MTS test.

X-ray Crystallography.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses were performed using a Rigaku FRE+ equipped with either VHF (78-80) or HF Varimax confocal mirrors (79, 87), an AFC10 goniometer, and an HG Saturn 724+ detector equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature apparatus operating at T = 100(2) K. CrystalClear-SM Expert 3.1 b27 was used to record images, process data, and apply empirical absorption corrections, and unit cell parameters were refined against all data. The structures were solved by charge flipping using SUPERFLIP and refined on F₀² by full-matrix least-squares refinements using SHELXL-2012 as implemented within OLEX2. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were added at calculated positions except those attached to heteroatoms, which were located from the difference map and restrained to a reasonable geometry. All hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model with isotropic displacement parameters based on the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter (Ueq) of the parent atom. Figures were produced using OLEX2. The CIF files for the crystal structures of 78-80 and 87 have been deposited with the CCDC and have been given the deposition numbers 1479553–1479556, respectively. Those for 84, 85 will be deposited once we publish this work.
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Chapter 3

Synthesis of HDAC inhibitors containing a ferrocene unit.

3.1 Overview

Benzamide compounds containing ferrocene have been synthesized and their application as HDAC inhibitors has been studied. The products were characterized by elemental analyses, NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, LC-MS, IR spectroscopy and some were further analysed by X-ray crystallographic studies. One of them, N\textsuperscript{1}-(2-aminophenyl)-N\textsuperscript{8}-ferrocenyloctanediamide, (Pojamide) 111 displayed nanomolar potency vs. HDAC3 compared to RGFP966, a potent and selective HDAC3 inhibitor. Pojamide had better activity in HCT116 colorectal cancer cell invasion assays; however, TCH106 and Romidepsin, potent HDAC1 inhibitors, are more effective than Pojamide in cellular proliferation and colony formation assays. These latter’s data propose that HDAC 1 & 3 polypharmacology (acting on multiple targets) is amenable to achieving maximum anti-cancer advantages. Pojamide has also been investigated in redox-pharmacology and its activity rationalised by molecular modelling. Indeed, treating HCT116 cells (Human colon carcinoma) with Pojamide and SNP (sodium nitroprusside) led to greatly enhanced cytotoxicity and DNA damage attributed to activation to an Fe(III) species.

3.2 Introduction

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are a class of enzymes which remove acetyl groups (H\textsubscript{3}C-C=O) from histones, which are proteins that DNA wraps around in the nucleus. HDACs have been classified into three classical HDAC classes which contain 11 HDACs according to their subcellular location, homology to yeast proteins, and enzymatic activities, there are Class I (HDAC 1, 2, 3, 8), Class IIa (HDAC 4, 5, 7, 9), Class IIb (HDAC6, 10) and Class IV (HDAC11) as well as sirtuins.\textsuperscript{1} HDACs are promising therapeutic targets for cancer treatment. HDAC inhibitors (HDACis) are a class of therapeutics with potential as anticancer drugs and can be classified into several groups.
by their functional groups that can bind to the zinc ion of the HDAC such as hydroxamic acids (or hydroxamates), thiols, benzamides, electrophilic ketones and aliphatic acid compounds.\(^2\) Their pharmacophore contains a cap-linker-ZBG (zinc binding group) (Figure 3.2). Well known HDACis include suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA or Vorinastat) and Romidepsin\(^3\) which are clinically useful anticancer agents that have received Food and Drug Administration approval for treating patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^5\) Selective inhibitors of HDAC3 such as TCH106 and RGFP966\(^6\) usually contain a benzamide (or ortho-anilide) ZBG and are found in CNS applications\(^2\) and cancer.\(^7\) Recent studies using HDAC3-overexpressing HCT116 cells showed that silencing individual HDACs (1-3) through RNA interference (RNAi) is insufficient to achieve similar levels of growth arrest and apoptosis induced by unselective HDACis e.g. **Trichostatin A**\(^8\) (Figure 3.2).

![Romidepsin and Trichostatin A](image)

**Figure 3.1.** Romidepsin and Trichostatin A.

![Hydroxamic acid based SAHA, JAHA and known selective benzamide HDAC3is](image)

**Figure 3.2.** Hydroxamic acid based SAHA, JAHA and known selective benzamide HDAC3is.
3.2.1 Mechanism of HATs and HDACs

The acetylation and deacetylation of histones play an important role in eukaryotic cells (mammalian cells, that contain a nucleus and other organelles with membranes). The acetylation status is defined by histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone acetyltransferases (HATs) where HDACs remove the acetyl groups and HATs add the acetyl groups. Chromosomes are a genetic material of the cells and are made up from chromatin, which contains histone protein/non-histone protein (positively charged) and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA, negatively charged). Within the chromatin, the repeating units are called nucleosomes, which are made up from DNA wrapped around the core of 8 histones (histone octamer is two copies of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 in compact form). Acetylation of histones by HAT will loosen the wrapping of DNA to histones (open chromatin structure), then gene expression will be allowed and the opposite direction is deacetylation of histones by HDACs, which will tighten DNA around the histone core (condensed chromatin structure) (Figure 3.3). The overexpression of HDAC can lead to cancer, hence a solution of this problem is to design HDAC inhibitors for blocking HDAC enzymes.

Figure 3.3. Acetylation and deacetylation of histones.
The binding mode of SAHA in HDAC3 (PDB code: 1T69) is shown in Figure 3.4.11

**Figure 3.4.** Cocrystal structure of SAHA in HDAC8.

The role of zinc in HDACs (Scheme 3.1) is to activate water, which attacks an acetyl group leading to a deacetylated lysine. Designing an inhibitor to bind to zinc will block this deacetylation and leave an acetylated lysine.
Scheme 3.1. Mechanism of deacetylation of histones by HDACs.
Transition metal-based anticancer agents are increasing rapidly because of the ability of a metal atom to help solve protein x-ray crystal structures, undergo redox and ligand exchange reactions and the variety of coordination numbers to provide different geometries and oxidation states that cannot be achieved with organic therapeutics. Many metal complexes have been synthesized and their applications have been studied such as platinum, ruthenium, rhodium, iridium, iron and so on. Polyoxometalate-based HDAC inhibitors have also been synthesized and studied.15

A novel anti-cancer platinum complex, cis-[PtII(NH3)2(malSAHAH2)], 90 has been published as a bifunctional drug candidate (DNA binding, (PtII) and HDACi, (malSAHA)) and the results showed that the cytotoxicity of 90 (IC50 9 ± 3 µM) is comparable to cisplatin (IC50 2.9 ± 0.1 µM) against ovarian cancer cell lines A2780P and they found the presence of the HDACi, malSAHA (89) in 90, is thus enhancing its cytotoxicity.

Figure 3.5. malSAHA 89, Pt(II) complex 90 and cisplatin.

RuII, 91 and RhIII, 92 complexes have been synthesized and their applications as HDAC inhibitors have been studied, which provided antiproliferative activity against H460 non-small cell lung carcinoma cells that is comparable to the clinically used HDAC inhibitor suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA).17
A series of fluorescent ruthenium(II) complexes (94, 95 and 96) have also been synthesized as potent anticancer agents containing $N^1$-hydroxy-$N^8$-(1,10-phenanthroline-5-yl)octanediamide, 93. The results showed the most effective compound is 96, which provided almost the same activity to that of SAHA and 96 is highly active against a panel of human cancer cell lines and shows lower toxicity to normal cells (Figure 3.7).  

![Figure 3.6. Ru(II) 91, Rh(III) 92 complexes and SAHA.](image)

![Figure 3.7. Fluorescent ruthenium(II) complexes 94, 95 and 96.](image)
A series of cyclometalated Ir(III) complexes as HDACis and photodynamic therapeutic agents have been studied. Compounds 97, 98, 99 and 100 showed potent cytotoxicity towards the cancer cell lines screened and show much lower phototoxicity against human normal cells. 97 induces apoptotic cell death and under UV light irradiation, the biological effects of 97 are significantly enhanced (Figure 3.8).19

![Chemical structures of compounds 97, 98, 99, and 100.](image)

**Figure 3.8.** Cyclometalated Ir(III) complexes 97, 98, 99 and 100.

Earlier work from Spencer and co-workers described $N^8$-ferrocenyl-$N^4$-hydroxy-octanediamine (JAHA; “Jay Amin Hydroxamic Acid”), an analogue of SAHA, containing a ferrocenyl group as a phenyl bioisostere. JAHA analogues 35 displayed anticancer activity and inhibition of several HDACs.20 “Click JAHAs” 36 also had good HDAC inhibition, (Figure 3.9).21

![Chemical structures of SAHA, JAHA 35, and click JAHAs 36.](image)

**Figure 3.9.** SAHA, JAHA 35 and click JAHAs 36.
Gasser’s group has shown the synthesis of light activated organometallic HDAC inhibitors, p-Fc-SAHA (41), that release a JAHA-type HDAC inhibitor,\(^{22}\) as shown in the cleavable linker (Figure 3.10).

![Figure 3.10. Light activatable organometallic HDAC inhibitor, p-Fc-SAHA.](image)

A hydroxamic acid compound has been synthesized by replaced the phenyl ring in SAHA with a [Re(CO)\(_3\)(Cp)] bioisostere (Figure 3.11) and its cytotoxicity is in the same range as SAHA.\(^{23}\)

![Figure 3.11. Re-HDACi 101.](image)

A gold (III) complex has been synthesized and its cytotoxicity was studied in a panel of human breast cancer cell lines, which was 100-fold to 3,000-fold higher than that of cisplatin (Figure 3.12).\(^{24}\)
Hence, metal based HDACis show much promise in cancer therapy. Our studies on the design of a HDAC3i are now described.

3.3 Results and Discussion

We wished to extend our JAHA chemistry to ortho-anilide (benzamide) analogues, hoping that this may lead to HDAC3-specificity and lower toxicity issues documented for hydroxamate ZBGs; for example, a Lossen rearrangement will transform an activated hydroxamate into isocyanate and lead to the known toxicity of hydroxamic acids (Scheme 3.2).\textsuperscript{25}
Scheme 3.2. Lossen rearrangement of hydroxamate.

The synthesis of the benzamides 110 and 111 (Pojamide) is shown in Scheme 3.3. Hence, the amide coupling of ferrocenyl amine with an acid chloride led to the amides 104 and 105. Hydrolysis of the terminal ester groups led to the carboxylic acids 106 and 107 which were coupled to give the Boc-protected benzamides 108 and 109. Acid deprotection gave the final benzamides 110 and 111.

Scheme 3.3. Synthesis of 110 and Pojamide, 111.
Compounds 108 and 109 were characterised in the solid state by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3.13).\textsuperscript{26}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{108_109 Structures.png}
\caption{X-ray structures of 108 and 109.}
\end{figure}
We confirmed Pojamide’s HDAC3-specificity on a panel of HDACs vs. 110, SAHA and known HDAC3s (Table 3.1). Also both 110 and Pojamide displayed ca. 14- and 20-fold selectivity respectively towards HDAC3 over HDACs 1/2 and significantly greater selectivity over HDACs 4-8. Indeed, Pojamide showed an impressive IC\textsubscript{50} of 82 nM vs HDAC3. Pojamide’s profile was similar to TCH106, but it was less active than RGFP966 in its HDAC3-selectivity. Only SAHA displayed activity vs. HDAC8.

### Table 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDAC</th>
<th>SAHA\textsuperscript{[a]}</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>Po\textsuperscript{[a]}</th>
<th>RGFP966</th>
<th>TCH106\textsuperscript{[b]}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>1.262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{[a]} Profiling done in duplicate, n=8. All others n=4; \textsuperscript{[b]} Slow, tight-binding inhibitor, with inverted IC\textsubscript{50} and \(K_i\) values, causes IC\textsubscript{50} value to drop over longer pre-incubation periods.\textsuperscript{29}

Biochemical evaluation vs HDAC isoforms 1 – 8.
3.3.1 Modelling. (Contributed with help by Rhiannon Jones)

To explore the binding modes of 110 and 111 (Pojamide) in HDAC3 we performed docking studies using the recently published structure of HDAC3.\textsuperscript{27} Docking was performed using molecular mechanics GLIDE 6.5 in Schrodinger Suite 2014-4.\textsuperscript{28} The docking protocol uses molecular mechanics to predict the strength of non-covalent interactions and provides a scoring function. This was used to consider possible binding modes and the associated conformational changes within receptor active sites. We found that Pojamide bound the zinc active-site forming hydrogen bonds between the N-H of the amide and the carbonyl of Asp93, the (ortho-anilide) benzamide amide N-H and the carbonyl of Gly143, the aniline NH\textsubscript{2} and the nitrogen of His134 and the carbonyl of Asp170 as well as the characteristic benzamide-zinc interaction. 110 is docked slightly shifted from Pojamide. It forms hydrogen bonds with Asp93 and Gly143. However, the substitution of the 6-carbon aliphatic chain for a 4-carbon chain results in a rotation of the benzamide group leading to a loss of key interactions, namely, hydrogen bonding with His134 and Asp170 as well as forcing zinc coordination from the benzamide aniline to the amide carbonyl. Docking poses of 111 (Pojamide) and 110 in HDAC3 (PDB code: 4A69). Top docking poses of 111 (slate, A) and 110 (teal, B) in HDAC3. C) Superposition of the active site of HDAC3 (grey) and HDAC8\textsuperscript{6,29} (PDB code: 1T69, green) showing key residues overlaid with 111 top docking pose in HDAC3. Colour Scheme: Hydrogen bonds shown in black dashed lines, π-π interactions shown in green dashed lines.
3.4 Biological Results (contributed by Dr Cory A. Ocasio)

We attempted to explore the inhibitory activity of the benzamides in HDAC3 overexpressing cervical and colorectal cancer cell lines. HeLa, HT-29 and HCT116 cells are tumour forming cell lines that have been used previously as model systems to characterise HDACis\(^8\)\(^{,\,30}\). We confirmed in this study the malignant cancer cell lines HeLa, HT-29 and HCT116 had high HDAC3 expression levels (Figure 3.15A). However, immortalised Retinal Pigment Epithelium (RPE) cells, which do not form tumours and have a longer lifespan, and cannot form tumours, had low HDAC3 levels.

Based on these data, this small panel of cell lines was treated with increasing concentrations of 110, 111 (Pojamide) and the control HDAC3i RGFP966 and TCH106. RGFP966 shows a 5.5-fold greater potency than Pojamide in blocking HDAC3 activity (Table 3.1), yet it was found that RGFP966 and Pojamide are comparable at inhibiting HCT116 cellular proliferation, displaying GC\(_{50}\) values of 8.9 and 8.6 μM respectively (Figure 3.15B). RPE cells were as sensitive to Pojamide as HCT116 cells, but were not inhibited by RGFP966. TCH106 displayed superior potency against all cell lines with
GC_{50} values ranging from 1 – 2 μM and completely blocked colony formation at 10 μM in HCT116 colony formation assays compared to 75 and 60% inhibition of colony formation by Pojamide and **RGFP966** respectively (Figures 3.15B-F). Interestingly, **TCH106** is 1.5- and 3-fold more potent toward HDAC1 inhibition over **RGFP966** and Pojamide respectively and proliferating RPE cells have been shown to overexpress HDACs 1, 2 and 5,\textsuperscript{31} suggesting that HDAC1 inhibition is in part responsible for the anti-proliferative effects of Pojamide and **TCH106** in these cell lines. In fact, cellular proliferation and colony formation assays using Romidepsin, a very potent and selective HDAC1/2 inhibitor (reported IC_{50} values of 36 and 47 nM respectively),\textsuperscript{32} revealed a GC_{50} value of 0.52 ± 0.02 nM and near complete inhibition of colony formation at 0.5 nM; clearly the most potent anti-proliferative HDACi tested in this study. HeLa and HT-29 cells were only mildly inhibited by Pojamide and **RGFP966** showing maximal growth inhibition of ~40 and 30% at 10 μM respectively, and in all cases compound 110 was ineffective at inhibiting cellular proliferation.

Next, to establish the anti-invasive properties of Pojamide against known HDACis, we investigated the effects of **RGFP966**, **TCH106** and Pojamide on HCT116 cellular invasion. In this assay, we decided to test compounds at 1x and 0.1x of their GC_{50} value determined using the HCT116 cellular proliferation assay. At the lowest concentrations tested, only Pojamide demonstrated robust inhibition of invasion, with about 70% inhibitory activity; however, at the 1x concentrations both **RGFP966** and Pojamide, exhibiting ca. 40 and 15-fold selectivity for HDAC3 inhibition compared to HDAC1, inhibited invasion by about 90% compared to 70% for **TCH106**, which showed only 7-fold selectivity for HDAC3 vs. HDAC1 inhibition (Figures 3.15H-J).
Figure 3.15. A) Western blot analysis of HDAC3 and α-Tubulin in Retinal Pigment Epithelium (RPE) cells and HeLa, HT-29 and HCT116 cells. B-E) HCT116 (B), RPE (C), HeLa (D) and HT-29 (E) CellTiter-Blue proliferation assays. F) HCT116 colony formation assays; % Colony formation (normalised to DMSO control) was quantitated manually and the average ± S.D. was plotted. G) Inhibitory activity of Romidepsin on HCT116 cellular proliferation. H-J) HCT116 cellular invasion assays in the presence of RGFP966 (H), TCH106 (I) and Pojamide (J).
Pojamide appears more efficacious in preventing HCT116 cellular invasion, particularly at lower concentrations (i.e. 1 µM). In addition, we wanted to look to oxidise the iron atom in the ferrocene unit to offer a unique advantage at targeting cancer cells. In Ehrlich ascites tumour (EAT) cells and HPB (human leukemic T lymphocytes) it was shown that treatment with ferrocenium salts (e.g. Fe(III)Cp2PF6) inhibited tumour growth, whereas their ferrocene (II) derivatives were inactive. Indeed, ferrocenium’s toxicity involves the generation of OH• radicals and the rapid induction of DNA-damage. Pojamide was also studied by cyclic voltammetry and its voltammogram is shown in Figure 3.17 (contributed with help by Nikolaos Tsoureas). It shows a reversible process at 0.39V vs Fc*/0 (Fc = Ferrocene, Fc* = Fe(Cp*)2), which is attributed to the reversible oxidation of the ferrocenyl moiety. We used Fc* as the internal reference standard as attempts to use Fc hampered proper referencing of the observed process. Nevertheless, given that the Fc* redox couple is found -0.59V vs. ferrocene (Fc),8 one can extrapolate an approximate value of the depicted in Figure 3.16; an observed process of -0.20V vs Fc. CV scans with different scan rates were also recorded (200, 100, 150, 50 mV.s⁻¹) with no observed change in the reversibility of the process or the ia/ic ratio. Given the observed value for the oxidation of Pojamide and calculating approximately its value at 280 mV vs. the Ag/AgCl.KCl(sat.) reference electrode, we find that the neutral Pojamide undergoes facile oxidation by SNP ([Fe(II)(CN)5NO]/[Fe(III)(CN)5NO] 760 mV vs. Ag/AgCl.KCl(sat.,)).
**Figure 3.16.** Overlaid CV scans (6) of Pojamide in DMSO (0.05M \([N(n-Bu)_4]PF_6\) electrolyte) in the anodic direction. Scan rate: 300 mV.s^{-1}; ia/ic = 1 (Working electrode: Glassy C; Reference Electrode: Ag; Counter Electrode: Pt). The voltamogram was referenced by adding a CH₂Cl₂ solution (ca. 0.5 ml) of Fc* (1-2 crystals) in the DMSO analyte solution, resulting in no change in the characteristics of the observed voltamogram.

In order to take advantage of ferrocenium cytotoxicity, we generated the ferrocenium species 113 (Fe(III)-Poj) by reacting Pojamide with nitrosonium (NO⁺) tetrafluoroborate and this was confirmed by cyclic voltammetry\(^3\) (Scheme 3.3). Hence, we hypothesised that intracellular generation of NO⁺ in the presence of Pojamide might also lead to Fe(III)-Poj in cells.

**Scheme 3.3.** Synthetic ferrocenium Pojamides.
It has previously been reported that sodium nitroprusside (SNP) leads to intracellular NO\(^+\) release,\(^{35}\) and neuronal PC12 cells have shown that SNP alone triggers apoptosis at very high concentrations > 30 µM.\(^{36}\) To develop a cell-based assay utilising SNP as an NO\(^+\) donor, we conducted cytotoxicity studies using the colony formation assay. At 25 µM SNP, HCT116 colony formation is reduced by about 30%; however, addition of 500 µM GSH completely eliminated SNP cytotoxicity. GSH is a free radical scavenger and its cytoprotective effects were demonstrated in our assay at concentrations of 50 and 500 µM, whereby GSH treatments enhanced colony formation by about 4 and 10% respectively. Reacting with GSH to form innocuous NO may further reduce SNP cytotoxicity.\(^{36}\)

After identifying the optimal conditions for SNP/GSH treatment, we treated HCT116 cells with increasing concentrations of inhibitor in the presence and absence of SNP/GSH. The generation of Fe(III)-Poj was monitored by blotting for the DNA-damage marker phospho-\(\gamma\)H2AX (pH2AX) (Figure 3.17A-D). Without SNP/GSH, increasing concentrations of RGFP966 and Pojamide reduced pH2AX levels, but addition of SNP/GSH to cells treated with Pojamide led to an initial decrease in pH2AX, with appearance of the DNA-damage marker at concentrations greater than 2 µM (Figure 3.17A-D). In a longer time-course (6-d) with 4 µM inhibitor (± SNP/GSH), only the Pojamide/SNP/GSH combination led to significant DNA-damage in comparison to the DNA-damage caused by cisplatin (4 µM) (Figure 3.17E).
Figure 3.17. A-C) Western analysis of pH2AX and α-tubulin (Tub) in HCT116 cells treated for 3-d with (A) RGFP966, (B) Poj and (C) Poj + SNP/GSH. D) The pH2AX/Tub ratio was determined via densitometry and the average ratio normalised to DMSO control was plotted as the mean ± S.D. E) Western analysis of pH2AX and α-tubulin (Tub) in HCT116 cells treated for 6-d as indicated above. F) NAD+ and total NAD+/NADH levels normalised to the DMSO control; the average (n=10) was plotted ± S.D. G,H) HCT116 colony formation assays; % Colony formation (H) (normalised to DMSO control) was quantitated manually and the average ± S.D. was plotted. The t-test statistical module of Prism 6.0 was used to determine p-values (ns (not statistically significant): P > 0.05; *: P ≤ 0.05; **: P ≤ 0.01; ***: P ≤ 0.001).
To further support that our SNP/GSH treatment in the presence of Pojamide leads to production of Fe(III)-Poj, we assessed the levels of NAD+ in cells using the NAD/NADH-Glo Assay since the one one-electron transfer from NADH to ferrocenium oxidants such as Fe(III)Cp2PF6 shows that ferrocenium salts can successfully oxidise NADH to NAD+ in vitro. To show this in cells, we treated HCT116 cells with DMSO/SNP/GSH and 2.5 µM Pojamide with and without SNP/GSH. For each condition, total NAD+/NADH levels remained the same, but Pojamide in the presence of SNP/GSH led to an increase in NAD+ levels; similar to those obtained with synthetic Fe(III)-Poj. In contrast, Pojamide treatment alone decreased NAD+ levels (Figure 3.18F). With cellular validation of Fe(III)-Poj generation, we tested our system in the HCT116 colony formation assay (Figures 3.18G,H). Pojamide alone caused a significant decrease in colony formation, which was enhanced by the addition of SNP/GSH; 27 and 53% inhibition respectively. At 4 µM, RGFP966, with and without SNP/GSH, displayed a 10 – 15% reduction in colony formation; however, like Pojamide, both ferrocenium salts were less effective without SNP/GSH. In combination with SNP/GSH, Fe(III)-Poj and Fe(III)Cp2PF6 caused a slight, about 10%, reduction in colony formation (Figure 3.18G,H). Interestingly, colonies resulting from treatment with ferrocenium salts and SNP/GSH were larger, but overall fewer colonies formed; a result similar to RGFP966 treatment. Although the cytotoxicity of ferrocenium salts is well documented, their activity is ~100-fold reduced compared to Pojamide, perhaps due to limited membrane permeability, and explains their weak inhibition at the 4 and 20 µM concentrations tested.

3.5 Conclusion

In summary, the SNP/GSH combination treatment is an ideal system for increasing the intracellular ferrocenium concentration from a Pojamide precursor. Also, when Fe(III)-Poj is reduced back to the Fe(II) species, it would be available to act upon HDACs. In this way, Pojamide is advantageous compared to other similarly potent HDACis: it is doubly toxic to cancer cells due to its facile conversion to the cytotoxic Fe(III) species in cells, whilst the reduced species inhibits cellular invasion through potently targeting HDAC3 and proliferation, to a lesser extent, due to its low micromolar HDAC1 inhibitory activity (Scheme 3.4).
Scheme 3.4. Model for the enhanced redox-triggered cytotoxicity of Pojamide.

Lastly, co-treatments of intravenous SNP injection along with Pojamide administration might have application when used with pharmacologically distinct Fe(II)Cp2-containing drugs (e.g. ferrocifens, hypoxia-induced prodrugs such as the prodrug of 114 (Schemes 3.5, 3.6)).

Scheme 3.5. The activation process of ferrocifen.
Scheme 3.6. Other Fe(II)Cp₂ – containing drugs and their activation process.
3.6 Experimental

Solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without purification. Ferrocenylamine was purchased from TCI, UK, and used as such. All reactions were performed in a fume hood. NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 500 MHz or 400 MHz spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in ppm, usually referenced to TMS as an internal standard. LCMS was performed using a Shimadzu LCMS-2020 equipped with a Gemini® 5µm C18 110Å column and percentage purities were ran over 30 minutes in water/acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (5 min at 5%, 5%-95% over 20 min, 5 min at 95%) with the UV detector at 254 nm. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed by the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of Swansea. Elemental analyses were conducted by Stephen Boyer (London Metropolitan University). FT-IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum Version 10.03.06.

N-Boc-o-phenylenediamine, 103.
The title compound was prepared by using o-phenylenediamine (1.18 g, 10.95 mmol) in anhydrous dioxane (12 mL) and added 1M sodium hydroxide (5.90 mL), followed by tert-butyldicarbonate (1.1 equiv., 12.05 mmol) as a solution in dioxane (6 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight (18-h). Extraction was performed with dichloromethane. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and then purification by column chromatography (ethyl acetate : hexane, 7:3) yielded a beige solid (1.371 g, 60%).

^1H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ = 8.23 (1H, s, NH), 7.17 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz, CH), 6.84-6.81 (1H, m, CH), 6.67 (1H, d, J=8.0 Hz, CH), 6.53-6.50 (1H, m, CH ), 4.79 (2H, s, NH₂), 1.45 (9H, s, 3CH₃).

^13C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz). δ = 154.1, 141.7, 125.4, 125.0, 124.2, 116.7, 116.2, 79.1, 28.7.
**Methyl-6-oxo-6-(ferrocenylamino)hexanoate, 104**

The title compound was prepared by using a microwave tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer and ferrocenylamine (0.3g, 1.5 mmol, 1 equiv.), methyl-6-chloro-6-oxohexanoate (0.30 mL, 1.94 mmol, 1.3 equiv, d=1.144) and anhydrous THF (5 mL). Dry triethylamine (0.83 mL, 6.00 mmol, 4 equiv., d=0.73) was added dropwise at rt. The reaction mixture was placed in a (CEM Explorer) microwave and the temperature was ramped to 150 °C with an initial power of 150 W for 10 mins. The cooled crude reaction mixture was diluted with distilled water (20 mL), extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (2 x 10 mL, sat.). The separated organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate, filtered through a cotton wool plug. The dry organic extract was concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified by column chromatography (hexane : EtOAc = 1:1) to give an orange solid (0.369g, 72% yield).

$^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$, 500 MHz): $\delta = 9.20$ (1H, s, NH), 4.58-4.55 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.94-3.90 (2H, m, 2CH), 3.58 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 2.38-2.32 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 2.17-2.14 (2H, m, CH$_2$), 1.57-1.54 (4H, m, 2CH$_2$).

$^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$, 126 MHz): $\delta = 173.6$, 170.9, 96.1, 69.2, 64.1, 61.1, 51.6, 39.7, 36.0, 33.5, 25.2, 24.5.
Methyl-8-oxo-8-(ferrocenylamino)octanoate, 105.\textsuperscript{20}

To a microwave tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer was added ferrocenylamine (0.3 g, 1.5 mmol, 1 equiv.), methyl-8-chloro-8-oxooctanoate (0.28 mL, 1.94 mmol, 1.3 equiv, d=1.156) and anhydrous THF (5 mL). Reagent grade triethylamine (0.83 mL, 6.00 mmol, 4 equiv., d=0.73) was added drop wise at room temperature. The reaction mixture was placed in a CEM Explorer microwave and the temperature was ramped to 150 °C at an initial power of 150 W for 10 mins. The cooled crude reaction mixture was diluted first with distilled water (20 mL), then extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) and the organic layer was washed with brine (2 x 10 mL, sat.). The separated organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate and then filtered through a cotton wool plug. The dry organic extract was concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified by column chromatography (hexane : EtOAc = 1:1) to give an orange solid (0.360g, 65% yield).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 500 MHz): \(\delta = 9.17 \) (1H, s, NH), 4.58-4.55 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.93-3.91 (2H, m, 2CH), 3.57 (3H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.29 (2H, t, J=7.3 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.13 (2H, t, J=7.3 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.58-1.52 (4H, m, 2CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.32-1.28 (4H, m, 2CH\textsubscript{2}).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 126 MHz): \(\delta = 173.7, 171.2, 96.2, 69.1, 64.1, 61.0, 51.6, 36.4, 33.7, 28.7, 28.6, 25.5, 24.8\).
6-Oxo-6-(ferrocenylamino)hexanoic acid. 106

The methyl ester precursor (344 mg, 1.00 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in THF (10 mL). To this was added a solution of sodium hydroxide (2 equiv., 80 mg) in water (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. After that, the organic volatiles were removed \textit{in vacuo}, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and acidified with conc. HCl to pH ~ 4. A precipitate formed which was filtered under vacuum, washed with water and air-dried to give the expected product as an orange solid (220 mg, 67%).

$^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$, 500 MHz): $\delta$ = 11.95 (1H, s, OH), 9.20 (1H, s, NH), 4.57-4.55 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.95-3.90 (2H, m, 2CH), 2.23 (2H, t, J=6.9 Hz, CH$_2$), 2.15 (2H, t, J=6.9 Hz, CH$_2$), 1.57-1.50 (4H, m, 2CH$_2$).

$^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$, 126 MHz): $\delta$ = 174.7, 171.0, 96.1, 69.4, 69.2, 64.1, 61.1, 39.6, 36.1, 33.9, 25.3, 24.6.
8-Oxo-8-(ferrocenylamino)octanoic acid, 107.\textsuperscript{20}

The methyl ester precursor (371 mg, 1.00 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in THF (10 mL). A solution of sodium hydroxide (2 equiv., 80 mg) in water (1 mL) was next added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, after which, the organic volatiles were removed \textit{in vacuo}. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and acidified with conc. HCl to pH ~ 4. A precipitate formed which was filtered under vacuum, washed with water and air-dried to give the expected product as an orange solid (236 mg, 66%).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}, 500 MHz): \(\delta = 11.93 (1\text{H}, \text{s, OH}), 9.18 (1\text{H}, \text{s, NH}), 4.56 (2\text{H}, \text{s, 2CH}), 4.08 (5\text{H}, \text{s, Cp}), 3.92 (2\text{H}, \text{s, 2CH}), 2.20-2.14 (4\text{H}, \text{m, 2CH}_2), 1.58-1.52 (4\text{H}, \text{m, 2CH}_2), 1.36-1.24 (4\text{H}, \text{m, 2CH}_2)\).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}, 126 MHz): \(\delta = 173.7, 171.2, 96.2, 69.1, 64.1, 61.0, 51.6, 36.4, 33.7, 28.7, 28.6, 25.5, 24.8\).
**Tert-butyl-2-(6-oxo-6-(phenylamino)hexanamido)ferrocenylcarbamate, 108.**

6-Oxo-6-(ferrocenylamino)hexanoic acid (493.6 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and N-Boc-o-phenylenediamine (343.4 mg, 1.65 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) were dissolved in dichloromethane (18 mL). To this triethylamine (1.17 mL, 9 mmol) was added and the mixture was cooled in an ice bath. Next, propane phosphonic acid anhydride T3P (50% solution in DMF, 1.38 mL, 1.1 mmol)\(^2\) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight. Then the mixture was poured into a saturated solution of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), stirred for 30 min. and extracted into CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (DCM). The organic layer was dried (MgSO\(_4\)), filtered and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by trituration with DCM to give an orange solid (576.3 mg, 74%). Crystallization by solvent evaporation of DCM provided yellow crystals.

\(^{1}\)H NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\), 500 MHz): \(\delta = 9.44\) (1H, s, NH), 9.22 (1H, s, NH), 8.31 (1H, s, NH), 7.53 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, CH), 7.41 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, CH), 7.12-7.04 (1H, m, CH), 7.06 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, CH), 4.57 (2H, s, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.93 (2H, s, 2CH), 2.37 (2H, d, J=8.3 Hz, CH\(_2\)), 2.19 (2H, t, J=6.1 Hz, CH\(_2\)), 1.65-1.56 (4H, m, 2CH\(_2\)), 1.45 (9H, s, 3CH\(_3\)).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\), 126 MHz): \(\delta = 171.0, 153.5, 130.1, 125.4, 125.3, 124.3, 124.1, 96.1, 79.8, 69.2, 64.1, 61.1, 36.2, 28.7, 28.5, 25.3.\)
**Tert-butyl-2-(8-oxo-8-(phenylamino)octanamido)ferrocenylcarbamate, 109.**

Methyl-8-oxo-8-(ferrocenylamino)octanoic acid (215 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1 equiv.) and N-Boc-o-phenylenediamine (137.4 mg, 0.66 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) were dissolved in dichloromethane (7.7 mL). To this triethylamine (0.5 mL, 3.6 mmol) was added and the mixture was cooled in an ice bath. Next, propane phosphonic acid anhydride T3P (50% solution in DMF, 0.59 mL, 0.66 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight. Then the mixture was poured into saturated solution of K$_2$CO$_3$, stirred for 30 min. and extracted into CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic layer was dried (MgSO$_4$), filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by trituration with DCM to give the orange solid (233.1 mg, 71%). Crystallization by solvent evaporation of DCM provided yellow crystals.

$^1$H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$): 9.40 (1H, s, NH), 9.15 (1H, s, NH), 8.26 (1H, s, NH), 7.54-7.48 (1H, m, CH), 7.42-7.35 (1H, m, CH), 7.13-7.09 (1H, m, CH), 7.08-7.03 (1H, m, CH), 4.55 (2H, s, 2CH), 4.06 (5H, s, Cp), 3.91 (2H, s, 2CH), 2.33 (2H, t, J=7.4 Hz, CH$_2$), 2.14 (2H, t, J=7.4 Hz, CH$_2$), 1.62-1.54 (4H, m, 2CH$_2$), 1.44 (9H, s, 3CH$_3$), 1.38-1.26 (4H, m, 2CH$_2$).

$^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 126 MHz): $\delta = 171.6, 171.2, 142.3, 126.1, 125.7, 124.1, 116.6, 116.3, 96.1, 69.1, 64.1, 61.0, 39.7, 39.5, 36.4, 36.2, 29.0, 28.9, 25.7, 25.6.
N¹-(2-Aminophenyl)-N⁶-ferrocenyladipamide, 110.

_Tert_-butyl-2-(6-oxo-6-(phenylamino)hexanamido)ferrocenylcarbamate (520 mg, 1.00 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dichloromethane (40 mL) and MeOH (4 mL). To this mixture 4N HCl/dioxane (8 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The volatiles were removed _in vacuo_, then sat. Na₂CO₃ (aq.) was added to the residue and the mixture was sonicated. The precipitate was collected by suction and washed on the frit with water, dried, triturated with CH₂Cl₂ to give the title compound as a brown solid (318 mg, 76%).

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): 9.21 (1H, s, NH), 9.09 (1H, s, NH), 7.15 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, CH), 6.78–6.73 (1H, m, CH), 6.70 (1H, dd, J=7.8, 1.4 Hz, CH), 6.53 (1H, dd, J=7.8, 1.4 Hz, CH), 4.80 (2H, s, NH₂), 4.56 (2H, s, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.92 (2H, s, 2CH), 2.36-2.32 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.25-2.10 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.68-1.54 (4H, m, 2CH₂).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ = 171.4, 171.1, 142.3, 126.1, 125.7, 124.1, 116.6, 116.3, 96.1, 69.2, 64.1, 61.1, 36.3, 36.1, 25.5.

HRMS-ESI (m/z): found 420.1366, calc. for [C₂₂H₂₆FeN₃O₂]⁺ 420.1369.

Anal. calcd (%) for C₂₂H₂₅FeN₃O₂: C, 63.02; H, 6.01; N, 10.02.

Found (%): C, 62.85; H, 6.05; N, 9.84.
N¹-(2-Aminophenyl)-N⁸-ferrocenyloctanediamide, 111.
Tert-butyl-2-(8-oxo-8-(phenylamino)octanamido)ferroceny carbamate (136.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dichloromethane (10 mL) and MeOH (1 mL). To this mixture 4N HCl/dioxane (2 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, then sat. Na₂CO₃ (aq.) was added to the residue and the mixture was sonicated. The precipitate was collected by suction and washed on the frit with water, dried, triturated with CH₂Cl₂ to give the title compound as a brown solid (82 mg, 73%).

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): 9.18 (1H, s, NH), 9.05 (1H, s, NH), 7.14 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, CH), 6.91-6.84 (1H, m, CH), 6.70 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, CH), 6.54-6.48 (1H, m, CH), 4.78 (2H, s, NH₂), 4.57 (2H, t, J=1.9 Hz, 2CH), 4.08 (5H, s, Cp), 3.92 (2H, t, J=1.9 Hz, 2CH), 2.31 (2H, t, J=7.4 Hz, CH₂), 2.15 (2H, t, J=7.4 Hz, CH₂), 1.68-1.53 (4H, m, 2CH₂), 1.35-1.29 (4H, m, 2CH₂).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ = 171.6, 171.2, 142.3, 126.1, 125.7, 124.1, 116.6, 116.3, 96.1, 69.1, 64.1, 61.0, 39.7, 39.5, 36.4, 36.2, 29.0, 28.9, 25.7, 25.6.

HRMS-ESI (m/z): found 448.1675, calc. for [C₂₄H₃₀FeN₃O₂]⁺ 448.1682.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₄H₂₉FeN₃O₂: C, 64.44; H, 6.53; N, 9.39.
Found (%): C, 64.23; H, 6.60; N, 9.29.
Tert-butyl-2-(8-oxo-8-(phenylamino)octanamido)ferroceniumcarbamate tetrafluoroborate, 112.

Tert-butyl-2-(8-oxo-8-(phenylamino)octanamido)ferrocenylcarbamate (109.5 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dry DCM (5 mL). To this NOBF₄ (37.4 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) was added. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to dark brown. Filtration afforded the title compound as a dark brown solid (89 mg, 70%).

¹⁹F NMR (DMSO-d₆): -29.53.

¹¹B NMR (DMSO-d₆): -2.56.

C₇₉H₃₇BF₄FeN₃O₄: C, 54.92; H, 5.88; N, 6.62.
Found (%): C, 55.08; H, 5.84; N, 6.57.

FTIR (cm⁻¹): 992 (BF₄⁻).

N¹-(2-Aminophenyl)-N⁸-ferrocenioctanediamide tetrafluoroborate, 113.

N¹-(2-Aminophenyl)-N⁸-ferrocenyloctanediamide (100 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dry DCM (5 mL). To this NOBF₄ (37.4 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) was added. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to dark brown. Filtration afforded the title compound as a dark brown solid (78 mg, 73%).

¹⁹F NMR (DMSO-d₆): -29.53.

¹¹B NMR (DMSO-d₆): -1.34.

C₇₉H₇₉BF₄FeN₃O₂: C, 67.12; H, 5.80; N, 9.49.
Found (%): C, 66.97; H, 5.84; N, 9.31.

FTIR (cm⁻¹): 1038 (BF₄⁻).
HDAC isoform inhibition assay/profiling.
(contributed by colleges from Harvard University)

The inhibitory effect of compounds on the function of HDACs 1–9 was determined in vitro using an optimised homogenous assay performed in 384-well plate format as previously described.\textsuperscript{42}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pojamide</th>
<th>SAHA</th>
<th>TC-H106</th>
<th>RGFP966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDAC IC50 (uM)</td>
<td>HDAC IC50 (uM)</td>
<td>HDAC IC50 (uM)</td>
<td>HDAC IC50 (uM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7 References


Chapter 4

Synthesis of ferrocene-based kinase inhibitors containing a pentafluorosulfanyl moiety.

4.1 Overview

A series of SF₅-oxindole analogues has been synthesized by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction and were tested for biological activity testing versus a panel of cell lines and protein kinases. The resulting compounds were characterized by elemental analyses, NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, LC-MS and X-ray crystallography studies.

4.2 Introduction

Kinases are a group of enzymes responsible for phosphorylation of proteins, which are key steps in a number of biological processes. There are over 500 in humans. The phosphorylation step uses ATP to transfer the phosphate group (Scheme 4.1) usually to a serine, threonine or tyrosine residue, leading to a structural change in the protein and an intracellular signal. In disease, this step is uncontrolled and is responsible for a number of inflammatory disorders and cancers. Phosphorylation can modify the function of a protein in many ways. The structure of ATP is shown in Figure 4.1 and the phosphorylation diagram is shown in Scheme 4.1.

Figure 4.1. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP).
Many human cancers are related to the disregulation of protein kinases because of mutations. Protein kinases are an important target class for developing treatments. Phosphorylation is often a required step in the growth of some cancers and inflammatory disorders; hence the enzyme inhibitors that block the action of protein kinases will provide the treatment of diseases. Scheme 4.2 shows the receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylation mechanism. In the first step an extracellular signalling molecule will attach to the signal binding site then each monomer will bind together and form a dimer, then the phosphate group from the ATP will attach to the tyrosine forming a “phosphorylated dimer”. In the next step, inactive protein will come to the molecule and attach to a phosphorylated tyrosine and this change will lead to the signal transduction pathway which leads to the cellular response.
Scheme 4.2. Receptor tyrosine kinase mechanism.
The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) belongs to the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK). ErbB is a gene of a protein family containing 4 receptor tyrosine kinases; ErbB-1 or EGFR, ErbB-2, ErbB-3 and ErbB-4. In the early 1990s, chloroanilinoquinazoline or CAQ (121) was studied and confirmed to be a potent inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase by ICI Pharmaceuticals\textsuperscript{2-3} and a further structural study showed that the anilinoquinazoline acts as a mimic of ATP. The replacement of the methoxy groups at positions 6 and 7 on the quinazoline ring provided an increase in potency, 4-(3-chloro-benzyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-quinazoline (122).\textsuperscript{2}

![Image of CAQ and methoxy-CAQ molecules](image1.png)

**Figure 4.2.** CAQ, 121 and methoxy-CAQ, 122.

The discovery of 121 and 122 led to the drug gefitinib (or Iressa), which is an EGFR inhibitor used for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC)\textsuperscript{4} but had limited effects on patients.\textsuperscript{5}

![Image of Gefitinib molecule](image2.png)

**Figure 4.3.** Gefitinib or Iressa.
Erlotinib or Tarceva is also a drug used to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which acts on the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).\(^6\)

![Figure 4.4. Erlotinib or Tarceva.](image)

There are a number of quinazoline-based inhibitors, which are now in active clinical development such as AZD0530 (123), AZD0424 (124), AZD3759 (125), AZD1522 (126) and AZD2811 (127).\(^2\)

![Figure 4.5. Some quinazoline-based inhibitors.](image)
Kinase drugs for example, Imatinib (Gleevec, 128), Nilotinib (129), Bosutinib (130) and Ponatinib (131) have been approved for the treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML).  

Figure 4.6. Some kinase drugs for CML.

Whereas sunitinib (132), sorafenib (133), axitinib (134) and pazapanib (135) are used for treating various stages of renal cell cancer.  

Figure 4.7. Some kinase drugs for renal cancer cell.
Staurosporine\(^8\) is an alkaloid compound that exhibits activity against a wide range of protein kinases by the prevention of ATP binding with IC\(_{50}\)’s in the nanomolar range but it is a non-specific protein kinase inhibitor and has high toxicity.\(^9\) Meggers’s group were interested in synthesizing Ru and Pt complexes (136, 137 and 138) where the sugar unit was replaced by square planar and octahedral complexes. These complexes made use of “hypervalent” carbon mimics (e.g. up to 6-valent Ru) compared to the staurosporine structure and their biological activity has been studied. These complexes are potent, selective inhibitors of protein kinases, attributed to the geometry enabled by the metal complexes compared with a tetrahedral carbon in the sugar unit.\(^10-11\)

**Figure 4.8.** Staurosporine, Ru and Pt complexes (136, 137 and 138).
Moreover, Meggers’s group has synthesized the Ir complex, 139 based on staurosporine’s structure and the result showed that 139 proved to be potent and selective towards the Flt4 kinase (protein encoded with gene Flt4 related to lymphangiogenesis and maintenance of the lymphatic endothelium).

![Ir complex 139](image.png)

**Figure 4.9.** Ir complex 139.

3-Substituted oxindole analogues, 140, 141 and 142 (oxindole or indolin-2-one is an aromatic heterocyclic compound) have been investigated for their inhibitory properties against various RTKs (receptor tyrosine kinases). The results showed 140 and 142 to be potent and selective inhibitors of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Flk-1 while 141 was shown to be unselective for RTK inhibition. In this study, it was shown that the 3-[(substituted pyrrolyl-, thienyl- and pyrazolyl)methylidenyl]indolin-2-ones (for example 143, 144 and 145 in Figure 4.11) exhibiting a Z configuration had high selectivity to VEGF (Flk-1) and/or PDGF (platelet-derived growth factor receptors) RTK activity, among them 143 showed the highest potency towards VEGF (Flk-1) and PDGF RTK.

![Oxindole and 3-substituted oxindole analogues 140, 141 and 142](image.png)

**Figure 4.10.** Oxindole and 3-substituted oxindole analogues 140, 141 and 142.
The reaction between oxindole and ferrocene carboxaldehyde has been studied and the biological activities of the resulting compounds were tested against a number of kinases. The resulting products \((E)\)- and \((Z)\)-3-ferrocenymethylidene-1,3-dihydro-2\(H\)-indol-2-one 146 \((E,\) major product and \(Z,\) minor product) displayed similar and significant activity against VEGFR and PDGFR-RTKs.\(^{14}\)

Later work showed the synthesis of similar derivatives and biological evaluation, versus kinases, of oxindole and ferrocene/ruthenocene analogues (compounds 146-156, including the previous \(E\) and \(Z\)-146 compounds) by using the Knoevenagel condensation reaction. The inhibition data showed some derivatives to have activity against different targets such as CLK1, DYRK3, VEGFR2 and DYRK4 but not on DYRK1 and DYRK2 (DYRK is dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase).\(^{15}\)
Figure 4.13. Oxindole analogues $E$ and $Z$-146-151.

A number of small molecule kinase inhibitors have been approved by the FDA, also structural and binding information have been presented, which is extremely useful for studying new kinase inhibitors in the future. Figure 4.14 shows the structural binding mode of some small molecule kinase inhibitors (erlotinib, gefitinib and sunitinib).\textsuperscript{16}
Figure 4.14. Structures and binding mode of some kinase inhibitors.
In this work, we aimed to synthesize kinase inhibitors based on an oxindole scaffold with a SF$_5$ group to occupy the hydrophobic pocket.

SF$_5$, or pentafluorosulfanyl, is a stable group and it has led to multiple applications in material sciences and medicinal chemistry. A SF$_5$ group is interesting as it has strong polarity, good physicochemical and biological activities, high density and high lipophilicity. SF$_5$ and CF$_3$ (trifluoromethyl) share many features for example; high electronegativity, lipophilicity, chemical and thermal stability, high stability under physiological conditions. The SF$_5$ group has been shown to behave often like a CF$_3$ group.$^{17-18}$ Although the two groups share similarities they are not always biologically equivalent.$^{19-20}$

Altomonte’s group have synthesized two series of –CF$_3$ and –SF$_5$ aniline-pyrazoles compounds and their CB$_1$ receptor affinities (K$_i$) were studied. *In vitro* assay results showed the –SF$_5$ compound (152, K$_i$ = 11.2 nM) had the lower K$_i$ value than the –CF$_3$ ones (153, K$_i$ = 26 nM).$^{19}$

![Figure 4.15. –CF$_3$ and –SF$_5$ aniline-pyrazoles compounds 152 and 153.](image)
The pentafluorosulfanyl analogues of fluoxetine (154), fenfluramine (155) and nonfenfluramine (156) have been synthesized by replacing the CF₃ group (157, 158 and 159, respectively) with a SF₅ group, then the potency against the 5-HT₂b, 5-HT₂c and 5-HT₆ receptors was studied (5-HT receptors or 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors are a group of G protein-coupled receptors). The literature results showed 159 had enhanced potency against 5-HT₂b, 5-HT₂c and 5-HT₆ receptors.²⁰

![Figure 4.16. SF₅ group of fluoxetine 154, fenfluramine 155 and nonfenfluramine 156.](image1)

Other work from Wipf’s group published the synthesis of SF₅ analogues of the antimalarial agent mefloquine, 160 and 161 then evaluated their biological activities against malaria parasites (mefloquine, 162 is medication used to prevent and treat malaria²¹ but there are many side effects of mefloquine such as anxiety, depression, hallucinations and seizures, etc.).²² The results showed 160 and 161 had improved activities compared to the parent CF₃ - mefloquine compound.²³

![Figure 4.17. SF₅ group of mefloquine 160, 161 and mefloquine 162.](image2)
4.3 Results and Discussion

We synthesized pyrrole and ferrocene compounds by using a Knoevenagel condensation reaction according to the published literature\textsuperscript{15} and explored SAR against a wide range of kinases. The previous oxindole compounds (Z)-\textsuperscript{146} and (E)-\textsuperscript{146} showed biological activity against PDGFR and VEGFR isoforms (Table 4.1)

Scheme 4.3. Synthesis of SF\textsubscript{5}-oxindole analogues.
Table. 4.1. % Inhibition of (Z)-146 and (E)-146 vs VEGFR and PDGFR. – denotes inactive.\textsuperscript{14}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% Inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hVEGFR-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-146</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-146</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structures of the pyrrole compounds 162 and 163 were confirmed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography. The yields of the products were between 65-74%. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra showed the most downfield signals were assigned to the 2–NH groups (δ 11.10-13.40 ppm (the latter being an intramolecular NH-O=C hydrogen bond)). The results from elemental analysis and mass spectrometry corresponded to the calculated values and the solid state molecular structures of the two compounds were determined by X-ray crystallography and showed, as with \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, an intramolecular H- bond between the –NH of the pyrrole ring and the C=O of the indole-2-one moiety.

\textbf{Figure 4.18.} X-ray structure of 162.
Figure 4.19. X-ray structure of 163.

The structures of ferrocenyl compounds 164 and 165 were confirmed by $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, elemental analysis, mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography. The total yields were between 49-59%. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the products showed the most downfield signal was assigned to –NH ($\delta$ 10.84-10.94 ppm). The solid state molecular structures of two compounds were determined by X-ray crystallography and the isomers could be determined in solution by NOESY NMR, for example Figure 4.20 shows the NOESY NMR of (Z)-164 which is confirmed as the Z-isomer because as there is a proton at $\delta$=6.92 ppm in proximity to another proton at $\delta$=7.67 ppm.
Figure 4.20. NOESY NMR of (Z)-164
Figure 4.21. X-ray structure of (Z)-164.

Figure 4.22. X-ray structure of (E)-164.
4.4 Biological Results

4.4.1 Biochemical assays

We tested all the above 7 compounds against a number of kinases that were inhibited by related ferrocene-based oxindoles (Figures 4.12, 4.13) in a biochemical assay (CEREP, commercial screen) i.e. VEGFR, PDGFR and DYRK family kinases. Any compounds that showed appreciable (approx. 50%) inhibition at 1 μM were tested over a dose range for IC₅₀ values since these assays are relatively expensive.

Table 4.2 shows the IC₅₀ values against VEGFR3 (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3), PDGFRα (platelet-derived growth factor receptor), DYRK3 (dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase 3), which were found to be hits from the initial screen.

These compounds were also tested, in biochemical assays vs. novel kinases including AAK1 (adaptor-associated protein kinase1), BMP2K (bone morphogenic protein-2-inducible kinase), GAK (cyclin G-associated kinase) and STK16 (serine/threonine-protein kinase16) by the Structural Genomics Consortium (SGC, Oxford) and results are found in the same table. Fortunately, whether active or not, IC₅₀ results were generated by our collaborators.
Table 4.2. IC\textsubscript{50} values of 7 compounds vs VEGFR3, PDGFR\textalpha, DYRK, AAK1, BMP2K, GAK and STK16. – denotes inactive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50} (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) (VEGFR1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-164</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-164</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-165</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staurosporine</td>
<td>2.8 × 10\textsuperscript{+}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The position of the SF$_3$ group is important for activity; (Z)-162 is inactive whereas (Z)-163 shows sub micromolar activity vs. VEGFR3 (230 nM) and PDGFRα (98 nM, IC$_{50}$). Both (E)- and (Z)-164 have low µM activity vs. DRYK3 whereas (Z)-165 is inactive.

Again, the position of the SF$_3$ group appears to be important; 166 has an IC$_{50}$ of 530 nM whereas 167 has an IC$_{50}$ of 18 nM vs. VEGFR3 and a very impressive 3.1 nM activity vs. PDGFRα. While both compounds of (Z)-162 and (E)-163 showed the activity vs. protein kinases; AAK1, BMP2K, GAK and STK16 but not on the remaining 5 compounds.

**Figure 4.23.** Activity of a) 162, b) 163 and c) staurosporine in AAK1.
Figure 4.24. Activity of a) 162, b) 163 and c) staurosporine in BMP2K.

Figure 4.25. Activity of a) 162, b) 163 and c) staurosporine in GAK.
4.4.2 Cell-based assays

Compounds 162-167 were next tested in breast cancer and normal healthy cells. The cell lines chosen were: MCF10A, which are breast cells, which are non-transformed, does not form tumours and are considered to be benign. These, as well as RPE cells (retinal pigment epithelium), would allow us to test the effects of our compounds on healthy cells, i.e. toxicity. MCF7, which are Luminal A, ER + and PR + (responsive to estrogen and progesterone, hence, estrogen and progesterone receptor positive) yet Her2 negative (unresponsive to human epidermal growth factor receptor 2). Such cell lines could normally respond to e.g. tamoxifen, 16. T47D (Luminal A, ER +, PR +, Her2 -), MDA-MB-436 (abbreviated as MM36) and MDA-MB-231 (abbreviated as MM231) cell lines, both of which are triple negative (---) and cannot be treated with hormones or EGFR (HER2) inhibitors. These can be considered to be the most challenging to treat in the clinic.
Figure 4.27. Toxicity of compounds on MCF7 cells.
Figure 4.28. Toxicity of compounds on MM231 cells.
Figure 4.29. Toxicity of compounds on MM436 cells.
Figure 4.30. Toxicity of compounds on T47D cells.
Figure 4.31. Toxicity of compounds on non-cancerous MCF10A cells.
Figure 4.32. Toxicity of compounds on non-cancerous RPE cells.
Table 4.3 shows the GC\(_{50}\) values of compounds 162 and 163 in MCF7 and T47D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>GC(_{50}) (µM)</th>
<th>MCF7 ±standard deviation</th>
<th>T47D ±standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.33.** Activity of 162 (red) and 163 (blue) in different cell lines.
Figure 4.33 displays MCF7 and T47D, which are very similar breast cancer cell lines and it is encouraging that the 162 and 163 have similar potency against both, with GC_{50}s in the low micromolar range. While MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436 are not affected (these are usually the most difficult to treat). The normal cell lines MCF10 are not affected.

4.4.3 Modelling

To explore the binding modes of 162 and 163 in PDGFRα (PDB: 5K5X) we performed docking studies and it showed both compounds bind to the ATP pocket. Docking was performed using molecular mechanics (AutoDock 4.2.6. using the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm and empirical free energy scoring function). PDB format files for the ligand and kinase domain were pre-processed using AutoDock Tools 1.5.6.24 We found 163 binds deeply in a predominantly hydrophobic pocket where 162 is shown in blue and 163 is shown in green colour. The carbonyl group of 163 binds to the Asp backbone as shown in Figure 4.34. Also in Figure 4.35 are shown the zoom-in docking poses where the carbonyl group of 162 binds to the Cys backbone. The oxindole binds to the hinge region. 163 fits nicely deep into the hydrophobic pocket. 163 has a higher potency compared to 162 and Figure 4.36 shows the clash of 162 in PDGFRα.

Figure 4.34. Docking poses of 162 (blue) and 163 (green) in PDGFRα.
**Figure 4.35.** Docking poses of 162 (blue) and 163 (green) in PDGFRα (zoom-in).

**Figure 4.36.** Docking poses of SF3 group clashing in 162 (blue) in PDGFRα.
4.5 Conclusion

SF₅-oxindole compound analogues have been synthesized, characterized by a number of spectroscopic techniques in solution and by X-ray crystallography in the solid state. They were tested for biological activity testing versus a panel of kinases and in cancer cell lines. Compounds 163 displayed good activity and by docking studies we showed that 163 nicely fits in the protein PDGFRα and more effectively than 162. Preliminary studies show these to have good activity in breast cancer cell lines. However, we need to further explore these inhibitors in order to gain an understanding of their mechanism of action. More kinase screens are needed to explore their selectivity and promiscuity as well as more cancer cell line work is needed. Are the cell line effects due to kinase inhibition, what are the expression levels of such kinases in cells, or are the cell effects due to the inhibition of other targets in the cell for example?

4.6 Experimental

5-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one and 6-(pentafluorosulfanyl)-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one were obtained from SpiroChem. Ferrocene carboxaldehyde, pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde, piperidine and sodium chloride were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Magnesium sulfate was obtained from Fisher Scientific. Preparative TLC was obtained from Analtech. Solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without purification. All reactions were performed in a fume hood. NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 500 MHz or 400 MHz spectrometers and chemical shifts are reported in ppm, usually referenced to TMS as an internal standard. LCMS were performed by Shimadzu LCMS-2020 equipped with a Gemini® 5µm C18 110Å column and percentage purities were ran over 30 minutes in water/acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (5 min at 5%, 5%-95% over 20 min, 5 min at 95%) with the UV detector at 254 nm. Mass spectrometry: ESI mass spectra were obtained using a Bruker Daltonics Apex III, using Apollo ESI as the ESI source. For EI mass spectra, a Fissions VG Autospec instrument was used at 70 eV. All analyses were run by Dr. Alla K. Adbul-Sada. Analyses are for the molecular ion peak [M]+ and are given in m/z, mass to charge ratio. Elemental analyses were conducted by Stephen Boyer (London Metropolitan University). The reactions for synthesis and purity of product were monitored by thin layer chromatography using TLC plates.
(Z)-3-((1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene-5-pentafluorosulfanylindoline-2-one, 162.

The title compound was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 5-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)-1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (129.6 mg, 0.5 mmol), pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde (57.06 mg, 0.6 mmol), ethanol (5 mL) and piperidine 3 drops were subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×10 cm³) and washed with deionised water (10 mL) and brine (2×10 mL), the organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate then filtered through a cotton wool plug. The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified using silica gel column chromatography using 3:7 hexane/diethyl ether to give an orange solid. The yield was 105 mg, 65%. Crystallization by mixed solvents, CH₂Cl₂ and hexane, provided orange crystals.

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 MHz): δ = 13.22 (1H, s, NH), 11.30 (1H, s, NH), 8.24 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, CH), 8.11 (1H, s, CH), 7.65 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, CH), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, CH), 7.02 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 6.92 (1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, CH), 6.41 (1H, dd, J = 3.6, 2.2 Hz, CH).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ = 169.9, 147.5, 141.5, 130.0, 129.5, 127.6, 125.9, 124.7, 122.5, 116.7, 115.2, 112.3, 109.6.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 337.0431, calc. for [C₁₃H₉F₅N₂OS + H]^+ 337.0429.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₃H₉F₅N₂OS: C, 46.43; H, 2.70; N, 8.33.
Found (%): C, 46.55; H, 2.61; N, 8.21.
(Z)-3-((1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene-6-pentafluorosulfanylindoline-2-one, 163.
The title compound was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 6-
(Pentafluorosulfanyl)1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (129.6 mg, 0.5 mmol), pyrrole-2-
carboxaldehyde (57.06 mg, 0.6 mmol), ethanol (5 mL) and piperidine 3 drops were
subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that
temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored
consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl
acetate (2×10 mL) and washed with deionised water (10 mL) and brine (2×10 mL), the
organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate then filtered through a cotton wool
plug. The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified using silica gel column
chromatography using 3:7 hexane/ethyl acetate and trituration with hexane to give brown-
orange solid. The yield was 142 mg, 74%. Crystallization by DCM provided orange
crystals.

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500MHz): δ = 13.31 (1H, s, NH), 11.14 (1H, s, NH), 7.99 (1H, s,
CH), 7.81 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 7.53 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 2.0 Hz, CH), 7.48 (1H, s, CH),
7.26 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, CH), 6.93 (1H, m, CH), 6.43 (1H, dd, J = 3.7, 2.1 Hz, CH).

13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz): δ = 169.5, 138.8, 130.2, 130.0, 129.6, 128.3, 123.1,
119.1, 118.7, 114.7, 112.7, 107.0.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 337.0432, calc. for [C13H9F5N2OS + H]+ 337.0429.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C13H9F5N2OS: C, 46.43; H, 2.70; N, 8.33.
Found (%): C, 46.59; H, 2.61; N, 8.17.
5-Pentafluorosulfanyl-3-ferrocenylindolin-2-one, 164.

5-Pentafluorosulfanyl-3-ferrocenylindolin-2-one was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 5-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (259.2 mg, 1.0 mmol), ferrocenecarboxaldehyde (256.8 mg, 1.2 mmol), ethanol (10 mL) and piperidine 6 drops were subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×10 mL) and washed with deionised water (10 mL) and brine (2×10 mL), the organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate then filtered through a cotton wool plug. The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified using preparative TLC using 3:7 hexane/ethyl acetate to give fraction 1 (purple solid 160 mg, 35%) and fraction 2 (red solid 109 mg, 24%). Crystallization of fraction 1 was by mixed solvents CH2Cl2 and hexane and fraction 2 was by CH2Cl2.

(Z)-164: (Z)-5-pentafluorosulfanyl-3-ferrocenylindolin-2-one.

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500MHz): δ = 10.84 (1H, s, NH), 8.23 (1H, s, CH), 7.98 (1H, s, CH), 7.68 (1H, d, J=8.6, CH), 6.92 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 5.37 (2H, s, 2CH), 4.69 (2H, s, 2CH), 4.22 (5H, s, Cp)

13C NMR (CDCl3-d, 126 MHz): δ = 167.7, 141.9, 125.1, 119.3, 116.0, 110.0, 108.4, 74.0, 73.3, 70.0, 60.3, 14.2.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 455.0065, calc. for [C19H14F5FeNOS]⁺ 455.0060.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C19H14F5FeNOS: C, 50.13; H, 3.10; N, 3.08.

Found (%): C, 50.22; H, 3.03; N, 3.07.
{(E)-164: (E)-5-Pentafluorosulfanyl-3-ferrocenylindolin-2-one.}

\(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500MHz): \(\delta = 10.94\) (1H, s, NH), 8.30 (1H, s, CH), 7.76(1H, d, J=8.4, CH), 7.65-7.71 (1H, m, CH), 7.01 (1H, d, J=8.4, CH), 4.79-7.81 (4H, m, 4CH), 4.29 (5H, m, Cp).

\(^13\)C NMR (CDCl3-d, 126 MHz): \(\delta = 171.1, 141.8, 109.0, 88.2, 72.6, 71.7, 70.2, 60.3, 31.5, 29.6, 22.6, 20.9, 19.0, 14.1, 14.0.\)

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 455.0064, calc. for \([C_{19}H_{14}F_5FeNOS]^+\) 455.0060.

Anal. Calcd (%) for \(C_{19}H_{14}F_5FeNOS\): C, 50.13; H, 3.10; N, 3.08.
Found (%): C, 50.27; H, 3.23; N, 3.10.
(Z)-6-Pentafluorosulfanyl-3-ferrocenyldolin-2-one, 165.

The title compound was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 6-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (129.6 mg, 0.5 mmol), ferrocencarboxaldehyde (128.4 mg, 0.6 mmol), ethanol (5 mL) and piperidine 3 drops were subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (2×10 mL) and washed with deionised water (10 mL) and brine (2×10 mL), the organic layer was dried using magnesium sulphate then filtered through a cotton wool plug. The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified using preparative TLC using 3:7 hexane/diethyl ether to give a purple solid. The yield was 111.53 mg, 49%.

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$-d, 500MHz): $\delta = 8.02$ (1H, d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, CH), 7.84 (1H, s, NH), 7.72 (1H, s, CH), 7.54 (1H, m, CH), 7.40 (1H, dd, $J = 8.6, 2.2$ Hz, CH), 4.80 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.70 (2H, m, 2CH), 4.28 (5H, s, Cp).

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$-d, 126 MHz): $\delta = 199.5, 163.1, 136.1, 130.8, 128.8, 121.9, 72.5, 71.8, 70.2, 29.3$.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 455.0064, calc. for [C$_{19}$H$_{14}$F$_3$FeNOS]$^+$ 455.0060.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C$_{19}$H$_{14}$F$_3$FeNOS: C, 50.13; H, 3.10; N, 3.08.

Found (%): C, 50.21; H, 2.99; N, 3.12.
(Z)-3-(2,4-Dimethyl-5-((5-pentafluorosulfanyl-2-oxindolin-3-ylidene)methyl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)propanoic acid, 166.

The title compound was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 5-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (106 mg, 0.41 mmol), 3-(5-formyl-1H-pyrrole-3-yl)propanoic acid (97.6 mg, 0.5 mmol), ethanol (6 mL) and piperidine 5 drops were subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was dried, washed with hexane and CH₂Cl₂ to give a brown solid. The yield was 141 mg, 79%.

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500MHz): δ = 13.46 (1H, s, OH), 8.40 (1H, s, NH), 7.86 (1H, s, NH ), 7.55 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 6.98 (1H, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 2.77-2.72 (2H, m, 2CH), 2.62 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, CH₂), 2.31 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.28-2.22 (2H, s, CH₂), 1.48 (3H, s).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ = 186.1, 174.6, 170.0, 140.1, 136.8, 132.7, 126.7, 126.3, 123.6, 116.2, 110.4, 109.0, 88.3, 88.2, 35.2, 20.0, 12.5, 10.1.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 459.0772, calc. for [C₁₈H₁₇F₅N₂O₃S]+ 459.0772.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₈H₁₇F₅N₂O₃S: C, 49.54; H, 3.93; N, 6.42.

Found (%): C, 49.63; H, 4.04; N, 6.48.
(Z)-3-(2,4-Dimethyl-5-((6-pentafluorosulfanyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)methyl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)propanoic acid, 167.

The title compound was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation reaction. 6-(Pentafluorosulfanyl)1,3-dihydro-indol-2-one (106 mg, 0.41 mmol), 3-(5-formyl-1H-pyrrole-3-yl)propanoic acid (97.6 mg, 0.5 mmol), ethanol (6 mL) and piperidine 5 drops were subjected to the microwave irradiation by ramping to 150°C and were held at that temperature for 30 minutes. TLC analysis of the cooled reaction mixture monitored consumption of starting materials. The crude reaction mixture was dried, washed with hexane and CH₂Cl₂ to give a brown solid. The yield was 136 mg, 76%.

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500MHz): δ = 13.50 (1H, s, OH), 10.87 (1H, s, NH), 7.90 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, CH), 7.74 (1H, s, NH), 7.46 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, CH), 7.24 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, CH), 2.78-7.69 (1H, m, CH), 2.66-2.61 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.34-2.27 (6H, m, 2CH₃), 2.25 (1H, s, CH), 1.5 (1H, s, CH).

¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz): δ = 174.5, 169.7, 137.8, 133.2, 130.4, 126.9, 123.9, 117.9, 109.8, 88.3, 88.2, 44.4, 35.1, 23.1, 22.5, 20.0, 12.5, 9.96.

HRMS-ESI (m/z) found: 459.0776, calc. for [C₁₈H₁₇F₅N₂O₃S]⁺ 459.0772.

Anal. Calcd (%) for C₁₈H₁₇F₅N₂O₃S: C, 49.54; H, 3.93; N, 6.42.
Found (%): C, 49.70; H, 4.09; N, 6.56.
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Chapter 5

Conclusion, future directions and thesis outcomes.

5.1 Conclusion

Ferrocene in medicinal chemistry has attracted attention as a benefit of its properties (mentioned in Chapter 1). Ferrocene complexes have been increasingly made and their activities have been studied. In this thesis, we aimed to synthesize the novel compounds by using the ferrocene molecule as a bioisostere and testing for different applications/targets (Scheme 5.1).

Scheme 5.1. Project objectives.
In Chapter 1 a review of ferrocenes and similar metal-based drugs is presented.

In Chapter 2, 10 novel compounds have been made by replacement of a 1-adamantyl group with ferrocene. Biological in vitro binding assay data show the new ferrocene compounds display good CB$_2$ affinity (cannabinoid receptor). Also, the CB$_2$ affinity of ferrocene derivatives is still maintained when compared with the 1-adamantyl compounds (Figure 5.1). The results showed many of our compounds displayed nM potency vs. the CB$_1$ and CB$_2$ receptors and that the intramolecular hydrogen bond is very important for their affinity.

![Figure 5.1. The replacement of a 1-adamantyl group by ferrocene.](image)

In Chapter 3, benzamide compounds were made and biological data showed $N^1$-(2-aminophenyl)-$N^8$-ferrocenyloctanediamide, (Pojamide) 111 to display nanomolar potency vs. HDAC3 compared to RGFP966. Pojamide and SNP (sodium nitroprusside) combinations led to greatly enhanced cytotoxicity and DNA damage attributed to activation to an Fe(III)-Poj species.
In Chapter 4, a series of oxindole compound have been synthesized, by the Knoevenagel condensation reaction, for biological activity testing versus a panel of cell lines and protein kinases. Further studies are in progress to look at their effects on kinases in biochemical and cell assays.

Figure 5.2. Pojamide, 111 and Fe(III)-Poj, 113.

Figure 5.3. A series of oxindole compounds.
5.2 Future directions

FAAH (fatty acid amide hydrolase) inhibitors based on an aminoferrocene backbone compounds have been synthesized by using ferrocene as a bioisostere of 1-adamantyl group and we are awaiting biological data.

Figure 5.3. Compound structures of 84, 85 and 86.
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