Gallardo et al, Figure 1

A. Microscope image showing nanotubules.
B. Magnified image of nanotubules with fiber axis indicated.
C. Control sample with similar appearance.
D. Comparison of control and experimental samples under different conditions.

E. Bar graph showing fluorescence intensity for ANS, THT, dye7002, and TF-32.

F. X-ray fiber axis analysis indicating 10 Å and 4.7 Å distances.

G. Heat map with drift time analysis showing distinct peaks.

H. Absorbance analysis with mass and wavelength data.

I. Absorbance spectra with peaks at 1692 and 1622 cm⁻¹.

J. Graph showing absorbance over time with linear trend.
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A. n = 10/group

B. HUVEC

C. HEK293

D. U2OS

E. HEK293 + VEGFR2

F. U2OS + VEGFR2

G. mouse cortical neurons

H. Neuronal differentiated iPSC
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