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ABSTRACT

Small temperature anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) can be sourced by density perturbations via the late-time integrated Sachs–Wolfe (ISW) effect. Large voids and superclusters are excellent environments to make a localized measurement of this tiny imprint. In some cases excess signals have been reported. We probed these claims with an independent data set, using the first year data of the Dark Energy Survey (DES) in a different footprint, and using a different superstructure finding strategy. We identified 52 large voids and 102 superclusters at redshifts 0.2 < z < 0.65. We used the Jubilee simulation to a priori evaluate the optimal ISW measurement configuration for our compensated top-hat filtering technique, and then performed a stacking measurement of the CMB temperature field based on the DES data. For optimal configurations, we detected a cumulative cold imprint of voids with \( \Delta T_f \approx -5.0 \pm 3.7 \mu K \) and a hot imprint of superclusters \( \Delta T_f \approx 5.1 \pm 3.2 \mu K \); this is \( \sim 1.2\sigma \) higher than the expected \( |\Delta T_f| \approx 0.6 \mu K \) imprint of such superstructures in \( \Lambda \) cold dark matter (\( \Lambda \)CDM). If we instead use an a posteriori selected filter size (\( R/R_v = 0.6 \)), we can find a temperature decrement as large as \( \Delta T_f \approx -9.8 \pm 4.7 \mu K \) for voids, which is \( \sim 2\sigma \) above \( \Lambda \)CDM expectations and is comparable to previous measurements made using Sloan Digital Sky Survey superstructure data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The largest observable structures in the low-redshift Universe leave their mark on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation, directly probing the physics of Dark Energy. The physical mechanism by which large voids and superclusters induce secondary anisotropies in the CMB to the primary fluctuations of...
the CMB is called the integrated Sachs–Wolfe (ISW) effect (Sachs & Wolfe 1967) in the linear regime, and the Rees–Sciama effect (Rees & Sciama 1968, RS) on smaller scales.

In the concordance Λ cold dark matter (ACDM) framework, the maximum unfiltered ISW imprint in the centre of typical (and thus numerous) voids and superclusters is of the order of $|\Delta T| \lesssim 1$ μK, and can grow to $|\Delta T| \approx 20$ μK for the largest and rarest observable superstructures (Szapudi et al. 2015; Nadathur et al. 2014; Sahlen, Zubeldia & Silk 2016). Using a compensated top-hat (CTH) filter reduces the signal, with $|\Delta T| \sim |\Delta T_0|/2$ at best. The non-linear RS effects remain subdominant, contributing at most $\sim 10$ per cent of the linear ISW signal on small scales and higher redshifts (Cai et al. 2010); however their magnitude and relative strength may be different in alternative cosmological models (Cai et al. 2014a). Measuring the ISW and RS imprints of typical superstructures is a challenging task in the presence of the strong primordial CMB temperature fluctuations that are effectively noise in this case (e.g. Boughn & Crittenden 2004).

Traditionally, the weak ISW signal is measured in the angular cross-correlation of galaxy density maps and the CMB temperature field, leading to marginally and moderately significant detections (e.g. Fosalba, Gaztañaga & Castander 2003; Fosalba & Gaztañaga 2004; Giannantonio et al. 2008; Ho et al. 2008; Francis & Peacock 2010; Giannantonio et al. 2012; Kovács et al. 2013; Planck Collaboration XIX 2014; Planck Collaboration XXI 2015). However, Granett, Neyrinck & Szapudi (2008) (Gr08, hereafter) concentrated instead on mapping large-scale peaks and troughs in the galaxy density field, where the ISW effect is expected to be the strongest; they used the ZOBOW algorithm (Neyrinck 2008) to obtain a catalogue of significant supervoids and superclusters using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 4 (DR4) Mega-z photometric luminous red galaxy (LRG) catalogue (Collister et al. 2007) with some additional data based on DR6 photometric redshifts. The superstructure locations were then used for stacking the CMB temperature maps, using a CTH filter. This simple filtering statistic averages the $\Delta T$ CMB temperatures centred on the structures within a circular aperture $r < R$ for filter size $R$, from which the background temperature is subtracted over a concentric equal-area annulus, $R < r < \sqrt{2}R$.

Using those SDSS supervoids and superclusters seen to be the most probable (i.e. least likely to occur in random catalogues), Gr08 found $\Delta T_0 = -11.3 \pm 3.1$ μK and $\Delta T_i = 7.9 \pm 3.1$ μK, respectively, using a fixed aperture size of $R = 4'$. The combined $|\Delta T_i| = 9.6 \pm 2.2$ μK signal appears to be $\gtrsim 3\sigma$ higher than aCDM expectations, according to theoretical and simulated follow-up studies (Pápai, Szapudi & Granett 2011; Pápai & Szapudi 2010; Nadathur, Hotchkiss & Sarkar 2012; Flender, Hotchkiss & Nadathur 2013; Cai et al. 2014a; Hotchkiss et al. 2015; Aiola, Kosowsky & Wang 2015). Notably, Hernández-Monteagudo & Smith (2013) found that varying the number of the objects in the stacking, or using different filter sizes typically lowers the overall significance. Otherwise the original Gr08 signal has survived new CMB data releases and tests against CMB and galactic systematics and remains a puzzle.

Recently, several CMB stacking analyses based on the same filtering technique have been performed using other void and supercluster catalogues drawn from galaxy samples with spectroscopic redshifts (Ilić, Langer & Douspis 2013; Cai et al. 2014a, 2016; Planck Collaboration XIX 2014; Hotchkiss et al. 2015). No high-significance detection comparable to that of Gr08 has been observed, although Cai et al. (2014a, 2016) report marginally significant (at $\lesssim 2\sigma$) detections of a correlation, with amplitude still exceeding aCDM expectations. Using a different technique based on optimal matched filters, Nadathur & Crittenden (2016) reported a significant detection of the ISW signal from voids and superclusters, but in this case with amplitude consistent with aCDM.

The Mega-z LRG tracer catalogue used by Gr08 used photometric redshifts which smear the galaxy distribution along the line of sight (LOS, hereafter); this could potentially lead to biases that have not been studied in detail using simulations or accounted for in modelling the ISW effect of voids. Granett, Kovács & Hawken (2015) recently reconstructed the average shape of the Gr08 supervoids using a Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) DR12 galaxy catalogue, and found that the supervoids are significantly elongated in the LOS with an axial ratio $R_1/R_\perp \approx 2.6 \pm 0.4$ based on estimates of the stacked LOS ($R_i$) and the transverse ($R_\perp$) radii of the supervoids. No evidence for a significant LOS elongation was found for the Gr08 supercluster sample.

This elongation of structures or considerations of multiple voids in alignment (Naidoo, Benoit-Lévy & Lahav 2016) might shed new light on the Gr08 measurement, as ISW–RS expectations for prolate supervoids should be higher than in the spherical case (Marcos-Caballero et al. 2016). The significant LOS elongation of the Eridanus supervoid (Szapudi et al. 2015), reported by Kovács & García-Bellido (2016), also suggests stronger contributions to the Cold Spot via ISW–RS effects than expected previously. These findings motivate further studies of the ISW imprints of large voids and superclusters, especially using photometric redshift surveys that densely sample large physical volumes.

In this paper, we used novel algorithms developed by Sánchez et al. (2017) based on the void finder presented in Clampitt & Jain (2015). Identifying voids in photometric data is non-trivial and requires special techniques. However, Sánchez et al. (2017) measured the weak lensing effects of voids identified the Science Verification data of the Dark Energy Survey (DES, The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration 2005) and proved that their voids are truly underdense in the matter field. We now extended this void finding procedure to a larger DES data set using the first year of observations. We also inverted this void finder algorithm to detect extended overdensities (superclusters), and tested the possible elongation of our superstructures in DES mock galaxy catalogues. We then measured the expected ISW imprint of voids and superclusters using the Jubilee simulation and its corresponding ISW map (Watson et al. 2014). This analysis serves as a test case where we know that superstructures leave an imprint in the projected ISW-only map. Our goal was to characterize the shape and amplitude of the imprints in the simulation and then perform the measurements with DES data using a priori selected measurement parameters.

The paper is organized as follows. Data sets, algorithms, and superstructure properties are introduced in Section 2. Our simulation analyses are presented in Section 3, while Section 4 introduces our observational results. The final section contains a summary, discussion, and interpretation of our findings.

2 DATA SETS FOR THE ISW ANALYSIS

2.1 CMB data

We used Planck’ s Spectral Matching Independent Component Analysis (SMICA) map (Planck Collaboration XI 2016) downgraded to $N_{side} = 512$ resolution with HEALPix pixelization (Gorski et al. 2005).

\[1\] http://jubilee.ft.uam.es
SMICA produces CMB maps by linearly combining all Planck input channels with multipole-dependent weights, including multipoles up to $\ell < 4000$. Potentially contaminated CMB pixels with high Galactic dust or at locations of known point sources were masked out based on the $N_{\text{side}} = 512$ WMAP 9-yr extended temperature analysis mask (Hinshaw et al. 2013) to avoid repixelization effects of the $N_{\text{side}} = 2048$ CMB masks provided by Planck. It has already been pointed out by Granett et al. (2008), and later confirmed by Ilić et al. (2013), Planck Collaboration XIX (2014), and Cai et al. (2014b) that the ISW-like cross-correlation signal detected at void locations is independent of the CMB data set when looking at WMAP Q, V, W, or Planck temperature maps. We thus limited our analysis to the latest Planck SMICA sky map.

2.2 The DES redMaGiC catalogue

The DES is a photometric redshift survey that will cover about one-eighth of the sky (5000 deg$^2$) to a depth of $i_{SB} < 24$, imaging about 300 million galaxies in five broad-band filters (grizY) up to redshift $z = 1.4$ (Flaugher et al. 2015; Dark Energy Survey Collaboration 2016).

In this paper, we used a luminous red galaxy sample from the first year of observations (Y1A1). This red-sequence Matched-filter Galaxy Catalogue (redMaGiC, Rozo et al. 2016) is a catalogue of photometrically selected luminous red galaxies, based on the red-sequence matched-filter Probabalistic Percolation (redMaPPer) cluster finder algorithm (Rykoff et al. 2014). Specifically, redMaGiC uses the redMaPPer-calibrated model for the colour of red-sequence galaxies as a function of magnitude and redshift. This model is used to find the best-fitting photometric redshift for all galaxies irrespective of type, and the $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit of the model is computed. For each redshift slice, all galaxies fainter than some minimum luminosity threshold $L_{\text{min}}$ are rejected. In addition, redMaGiC applies a $\chi^2$ cut $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{\text{max}}$, where the cut $\chi^2_{\text{max}}$ as a function of redshift is chosen to ensure that the resulting galaxy sample has a constant comoving space density in two versions; $n \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} h^3 \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (high-luminosity sample) and $n \approx 10^{-5} h^3 \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (high-density sample).

The luminosity cut is $L \geq L_\gamma(z)$ and $L \geq L_\kappa(z)/2$ for the high-luminosity and high-density samples, respectively, where the value of $L_\gamma(z)$ at $z = 0.1$ is set to match the redMaPPer definition for SDSS, and the redshift evolution for $L_\gamma(z)$ is that predicted using a simple passive evolution starburst model at $z = 3$.

We utilized the redMaGiC sample because of the exquisite photometric redshifts of the redMaGiC galaxy catalogue, namely $\sigma_z/(1 + z) \approx 0.02$, and a 4σ redshift outlier rate of $r_{\text{out}} \approx 1.41$ per cent. For DES main galaxies, a significantly larger $\sigma_z/(1 + z) \approx 0.1$ typical photo-$z$ error has been estimated by Sánchez et al. (2014), corresponding to $\sim 220 h^{-1}$ Mpc at $z \approx 0.6$. Also, since void properties depend on the tracer sample used, the constant density of redMaGiC tracers helps in assuring the resulting voids have similar properties (Sánchez et al. 2017). A redshift-independent linear galaxy bias of $b = 1.6$ was assumed by Gruen et al. (2016) for this data set in a similar DES analysis.

We restricted our analysis to a rectangular area at $5^\circ < \text{RA} < 100^\circ$ and $-58^\circ < \text{Dec} < -42^\circ$ inside the largest contiguous patch of the Y1 footprint, as shown in Fig. 1.

We also relied on Y1A1 Buzzard redMaGiC simulations for validating our superstructure catalogues. Photo-$z$ characteristics, sample density, and the sky coverage are identical to those of the real data set for this realistic mock galaxy catalogue. We used the official DES Y1A1 redMaGiC mask.

Figure 1. DES Y1 survey footprint in purple and the rectangular area used for void finding in green. We focused on inner areas in the Y1 footprint without significant holes and complicated mask features to ensure the accuracy of the void finder. This is the same for the real data and mock DES data.

2.3 A catalogue of superstructures in DES

We identified voids in Y1A1 redMaGiC galaxy data and simulations using the void finder tool described in Sánchez et al. (2017). The heart of the method is a restriction to 2D slices of galaxy data, and measurements of the projected density field around centres defined by minima in the corresponding smoothed density field.

Analyses of realistic DES redMaGiC simulations confirm that significant real underdensities can be identified in slices of width roughly twice the typical photo-$z$ uncertainty. In the case of DES redMaGiC galaxies, the LOS slicing was found to be appropriate for slices of thickness $2s \approx 100 h^{-1}$ Mpc for photo-$z$ errors at the level of $\sigma_z/(1 + z) \approx 0.02$ or $\sim 50 h^{-1}$ Mpc at $z \approx 0.5$. The determination of void parameters then includes a process of circle-growing around void centre candidates, and assignment of void radii where the mean density is reached. The last step in the production of void catalogues includes a pruning which is designed to remove multiple detections of a single physical undervdensity in neighbouring slices. For further details, see Sánchez et al. (2017) who empirically found that $\sim 50$ per cent of the voids are subject to multiple detections.

We then inverted the void finder algorithm by Sánchez et al. (2017) to find superclusters. We adopted the smoothed density field that we used for void finding, but this time selected the most overdense pixels as supercluster centre candidates, and drew circles around them until the mean density is reached. This is a rather crude and simplified definition and technique because superclusters typically have non-spherical shape often with multispiider morphology (Einasto et al. 2011, e.g.), but for completeness we analysed the resulting catalogues.

We created superstructure catalogues using shifted ‘slicings’ of the galaxy catalogue for both data and simulations, as explained in Sánchez et al. (2017). We then tested for consistency among the different resulting catalogues in terms of general catalogue properties and measurement characteristics.

A free parameter in our method is the scale of the initial smoothing applied to the galaxy density field. Sánchez et al. (2017) used $\sigma = 10 h^{-1}$ Mpc for their void lensing measurement without testing this parameter value in their analysis. We optimized this choice for an ISW measurement using simulations, given the stacked imprint of DES-like catalogues based on different smoothing levels.
2.4 The Jubilee simulation

We analysed data from the Jubilee ISW project (Watson et al. 2014) to estimate the ΛCDM expectation for the stacked ISW signal of superstructures, following Hotchkiss et al. (2015). The Jubilee ISW project is built upon the Jubilee simulation, a ΛCDM (WMAP-5 cosmology) N-body simulation with 60003 particles in a volume of (6 h−1 Mpc)3. We note that the abundance of voids does depend on $z$ that is lower than the corresponding redMaGiC Gpc) particles in a volume of $Mpc$ value considered by Sánchez et al. (2017). We tested different values for the initial Gaussian smoothing of $N_{side} = 512$.

A full modelling of the stacking analysis with Jubilee requires realistic mock galaxy catalogues similar to those in which real voids and superclusters are identified. The individual particle masses of $7.5 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ and a minimum resolved halo mass (with $\approx 20$ particles) of $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{13} h^{-1} M_\odot$ is suitable to perform halo occupation distribution (HOD) modelling of LRG tracers, as discussed by Watson et al. (2014). The redshifts of the LRGs we considered include Doppler terms, and we also modelled the effect of photo- $z$ uncertainties.

This LRG mock was first designed to model the properties of SDSS LRGs studied in Eisenstein et al. (2005), and then Hotchkiss et al. (2015) modelled SDSS DR7 LRG data and mocks by Kazin et al. (2010) with a subset of the Jubilee LRGs.

While both the Jubilee LRG mock and the DES redMaGiC galaxy catalogues are approximately volume limited, there are differences in the number density. The Jubilee mock provides a sample with $\bar{n} \approx 8 \times 10^{-3} h^3$ Mpc$^{-3}$ that is lower than the corresponding redMaGiC values. We chose the high-luminosity data for our measurement with $\bar{n} \approx 2 \times 10^{-4} h^3$ Mpc$^{-3}$ because it offers more realistic modelling using Jubilee. As a further advantage, the high-luminosity sample also traces a larger volume with a fairly homogeneous sampling compared to its high density alternative.

The lower number density of galaxies in Jubilee means that this simulation does not precisely model the DES redMaGiC population, which could affect our conclusions about the optimal stacking strategy. In sparser galaxy tracers, the number of voids identified decreases, but the average reported void size increases (Sutter et al. 2014; Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2015). More importantly, voids resolved by sparse galaxy samples also on average trace shallower but larger dark matter underdensities (Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2015), which should have a longer photon traveltime and therefore correspond to larger ISW temperature shifts. This conclusion is corroborated by the findings of Hotchkiss et al. (2015), who examined the ISW effects for voids in two mock LRG catalogues with differing brightness and sparsity in the Jubilee simulation, and found that the sparser sample gave consistently larger $|\Delta T|$. They also found a similar effect for superclusters. We concluded that the expected stacked ISW signal we determine from Jubilee will be an overestimate of that observable from superstructures in the DES redMaGiC data. However, for the given galaxy number densities the difference in expected ISW signals is expected to be relatively small and certainly below the level of noise in the measurement.

3 MODELLING THE ISW IMPRINT OF SUPERSTRUCTURES

There are a large number of open choices in how the stacking technique is performed, including how voids and superclusters are defined, catalogue pruning, aggressiveness of masks, and methodology details such as filter size and the total number of objects considered for drawing conclusions (see e.g. Hotchkiss et al. 2015). Prior to looking at the DES data, we first used simulations to minimize the effects of the posterior selection of such parameter values without formally carrying out a blinded analysis. We optimized the signal to noise of the ISW measurements by varying the exact methodology of the void finder phase and the stacking procedure.

3.1 Optimizing the initial smoothing scale

We tested different values for the initial Gaussian smoothing of the galaxy density field to define void and supercluster centres. We expect that the best possible number is larger than the $\sigma = 10 h^{-1}$ Mpc value considered by Sánchez et al. (2017). The ISW detection is sensitive to tracing the full extent of large underdensities, and larger smoothings automatically merge smaller sub-voids into larger voids, albeit with some uncertainty in the centre and size estimates simply due to the void finding algorithm and limitations of the data (Sánchez et al. 2017). Also, a large smoothing removes smaller void candidates often residing in overdense environments (Cai et al. 2014a), which however are not expected to significantly contribute to the ISW signal. On the other hand, too coarse smoothing can increase the uncertainties in the position and size estimates because in reality (super)voids are not always spherical and some information about their sub-structure might be informative.

To optimize the smoothing, we defined void catalogues for the full-sky Jubilee LRG mock catalogue by considering $\sigma = 15 h^{-1}$ Mpc, $\sigma = 20 h^{-1}$ Mpc, and $\sigma = 30 h^{-1}$ Mpc initial smoothings, and created stacked images of the mean ISW imprint of the structures, as shown in Fig. 2. We added Gaussian photometric redshift noise with $\sigma_r/(1+z) \approx 0.02$ to the Jubilee redshift coordinates in order to model the redMaGiC photo-$z$ properties. Additionally, we applied the $0.2 < z < 0.65$ redMaGiC survey window cut to the Jubilee LRGs to better represent the observational conditions.

We also removed superstructures that exceed the size of the objects that could be detected in the DES data. The full-sky analysis of the Jubilee mock catalogue allowed the finder to identify more extended structures that are practically undetectable with a rather narrow DES Y1-like survey footprint. We excluded $\sim 10$ per cent of the voids in all simulated cases. In Section 4, we will further analyse the importance of the DES Y1-like survey footprint in terms of cosmic variance.

In the example shown in Fig. 2, we compared the ISW signals of mock voids and found that the choice of $\sigma = 20 h^{-1}$ Mpc provides the best contrast and highest absolute value for an ISW imprint. We note that this is an estimate of the signal, not the signal-to-noise ratio. In principle, a more densely populated catalogue of superstructures might be more efficient in reducing the CMB noise. However, we have found that the low absolute ISW signal detected
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Figure 2. Stacked ISW imprint of full-sky mock Jubilee voids as a function of the initial smoothing. We used 2133 voids which is the total number of objects for $\sigma = 30h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ smoothing. We ordered the voids in the other catalogues by void radius, and considered only the largest 2133 objects in the stacking for this comparison.

Figure 3. Map of the void catalogue (top panels) and the supercluster catalogue (bottom panels) in Y1A1 redMaGiC data and in the Buzzard Y1A1 redMaGiC mock. We applied $\sigma = 20h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ initial Gaussian smoothing to the density field as discussed in the main text. The actual area used for the analysis is marked by the dashed rectangles. Coloured discs mark the full angular size of the objects, while coloured points in the disc centres indicate the redshift assigned to each void’s centre. The intensity bar shows the redshifts.

using $\sigma = 15h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ is not balanced by the reduced noise levels, and the $\sigma = 20h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ choice gives $\sim 30\%$ higher S/N.

We then applied these findings to the DES Y1 data and mock catalogues. We smoothed the sliced DES Y1 galaxy density fields with $\sigma = 20h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ in data and in the mock, and found that the total number of voids is $52 < N_v < 61$ for five different slicings using shifted $z$-bin edges, while supercluster detections are in the range $102 < N_{sc} < 111$.

Sizes, locations, and a comparison of important superstructure parameters transverse radius $R_{v/\text{sc}}$, central underdensity $\delta_c$, and central
redshift $z$ for data and the mock catalogue are presented in Figs 3 and 4. See descriptions in Sánchez et al. (2017) for further details about the estimation of these void and supercluster properties.

3.2 Analyses of line-of-sight elongation

Superstructures elongated in our LOS have a longer photon traveltime compared to the spherical case and therefore correspond to larger ISW temperature shifts (Marcos-Caballero et al. 2016). It is crucial to understand any biases in the void identification in order to correctly measure and interpret localized ISW imprints of large and elongated underdensities. However, it is worth noting that Flender et al. (2013) concluded that the assumption of sphericity does not lead to a significant underestimate of the ISW signal.

In principle, even spherical voids can appear elongated in the LOS in the presence of any photo-$z$ uncertainty for the tracer galaxies. The smearing effect of photometric redshift uncertainties can be reduced when considering LRG tracer catalogues with more accurate photometric redshifts, e.g. the DES redMaGiC sample. Most of the significant voids are expected to be detected but corrections are required to obtain their true shape parameters. In more extreme cases, Bremer et al. (2010) showed that a photo-$z$ smearing at the $\sigma_z = 0.05(1 + z)$ level can easily result in non-detections of typical voids in average environments.

On the other hand, void finders run on photo-$z$ data appear to be more sensitive to systems of multiple voids lined up in our LOS, or underdensities elongated in this preferred direction (Granett et al. 2015). In any case, the analysis of DES-like superstructures in Jubilee provides a realistic and accurate estimate of the $\Lambda CDM$ expectation for the ISW imprint of these elongated objects.

We reconstructed the mean shapes of DES voids and superclusters using both their photo-$z$ and spec-$z$ coordinates available in the Buzzard redMaGiC mock catalogue. The analysis of spec-$z$ coordinates reveals the real shape of the objects defined using photo-$z$ data. Superstructures were selected in the simulation with the same criteria as in the data. We introduced our comparisons of transverse and LOS profiles in Fig. 5.

In practice, we measured galaxy densities in $0.15 R_{\parallel}, h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \times 0.15 R_{\perp}, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ cells around superstructure centres. We then created a stacked profile in the units of the superstructure radii. We found that the density fields, shown in Fig. 5, are inconsistent with the spherical void hypothesis. The measurements, are instead consistent with elongated objects for both photo-$z$ and spec-$z$ counts. For the supercluster sample, we similarly found that the density map is consistent with structures elongated in the LOS. The similarity of superstructure catalogue properties for data and for the mock catalogue allows us to conclude that our superstructures in observational data are also elongated in the LOS.

In both cases, we found that the elongation is more pronounced when using photo-$z$ coordinates (especially for voids). We note that the elongation is partially the consequence of the void finder algorithm because we consider cylindrical structures by definition. However, Granett et al. (2015) showed that ZOBOV voids also show elongation in the presence of photo-$z$ errors thus we assume that the main cause is the latter.

Analyses of the more informative spec-$z$ coordinates revealed an approximate mean elongation $R_{\parallel}/R_{\perp} \approx 2.2$ for voids and $R_{\parallel}/R_{\perp} \approx 2.6$ for superclusters. We note that the stacked supercluster density profile becomes more compact when using spec-$z$ coordinates. Voids, however, are very similar in angular size using either photo-$z$ or spec-$z$ coordinates but they appear to be $\sim 10$ per cent less elongated when spec-$z$ coordinates are used.

We note that the shape analysis of Gr08 superstructures by Granett et al. (2015) revealed qualitatively similar properties with $R_{\parallel}/R_{\perp} \approx 2.6 \pm 0.4$ mean elongation in the LOS. In contrast, for voids in the BOSS spectroscopic data, Nadathur (2016) found smaller average ellipticities, and with a random orientation of void major axes relative to the LOS.

The level of the bias towards elongated objects might depend on the value of the photometric redshift uncertainties, on the initial smoothing applied to the density field, and/or on the void finder algorithm itself. Gr08 used an SDSS photo-$z$ catalogue with $\sigma_z/(1 + z) \approx 0.05$ uncertainties while in our case the photo-$z$ scatter for the redMaGiC sample is $\sigma_z/(1 + z) \approx 0.02$. This better LOS resolution...
of DES data implies sensitivity to objects with less elongated shape that are otherwise smeared out by larger photo-z errors.

For completeness, we mention galaxy ‘troughs’ that represent the most extreme case in this comparison of elongated underdensities. They are defined as the most underdense regions of thick projected density fields, for instance $0.2 < z < 0.5$ in Gruen et al. (2016). By construction, the minimal use of photo-z information results in detections of underdensities biased towards very elongated LOS shapes or superpositions of various underdensities along the LOS.

### 3.3 Most significant superstructures

The ISW signal expected in $\Lambda$CDM is so small that it is dominated by the primary anisotropies even with stacking applied to these individually noisy measurements. However, the voids and superclusters identified in the DES footprint are excellent candidates for a follow-up analysis using independent data, even if the expectation for the signal to noise appears to be low.

In principle, since the expected ISW imprint is smaller for the smallest objects, it is possible that using all of these voids is not optimal for an ISW detection. Furthermore, smaller voids have the highest noise level in the sample because they come with small filter size where the filtered CMB variance is larger, even if the intrinsic CMB variance is actually smaller at smaller scales. Differential binning of the superstructures and special weighting techniques based on inverse variance or signal to noise are possible, but in our case such corrections are difficult because of the small sample size. Instead, we advanced the existing stacking and pruning methodologies (Cai et al. 2014a; Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2015) by adding a S/N analysis to our measurement pipeline to a priori decide, based on simulations, what is the most useful part of the data to include.

While environmental effects, differences in density profiles and redshifts, and different shapes can be important for reliable estimates of the ISW imprint of superstructures, the signal is expected to correlate with the radius. We therefore ordered the Jubilee voids and superclusters in our full-sky catalogues by their radii, and cumulatively measured the stacked imprint of their subsets in 10 per cent bins.

We first measured the ISW imprint of mock superstructures, as shown in Fig. 6. We re-scaled the images based on the angular size of the objects in order to test the total extent of the ISW imprints compared to the angular size of the superstructures. We compared the imprint of the 10 per cent largest fraction of the voids and superclusters to the imprint of the larger 50 per cent of the samples, and then to the imprint detected by stacking all objects.

We observed that the absolute values are similar for voids and
superclusters in all cases. However, as expected, there is a clear trend of more significant imprints with larger $|\Delta T|$ for larger objects. The imprint of the largest superstructures, on the other hand, is more noisy due to the low number of objects in the stacking, even considering the ISW-only map without CMB noise.

These findings are comparable to the results by Hotchkiss et al. (2015) who analysed two different void populations using the Jubilee mock and the ISW map.

### 3.4 Cosmic variance and large-scale modes

The previous estimates that we have obtained are based on analyses of full-sky Jubilee mock catalogues. While this approach is quite helpful to find the correct $\Lambda$CDM expectations, the small size of the DES Y1 survey area is important because the ISW imprints, even when stacked, can vary significantly in small patches across the sky. Therefore, we measured the stacked ISW imprints of 100 randomly placed DES Y1-like patches in order to test the fluctuations of the signal. Note that these patches are not totally uncorrelated because only $\approx 40$ independent DES Y1-like patches could be placed on a full-sky map.

Another important ingredient in this analysis is the role of the large-scale modes in the ISW map. These long wavelength fluctuations can bias and distort the ISW measurements in relatively small survey windows, thus some previous studies have already considered the effects of their removal (Cai et al. 2014a; Hotchkiss et al. 2015). Another motivation to study these modes is the reduced CMB noise level in real data without e.g. the $2 < \ell < 10$ modes with essentially unchanged signal through a CTH filter (Ilić et al. 2013).

In Fig. 7, we show three examples of the highly variable ISW imprints measured in these relatively small $\sim 1000$ deg$^2$ areas in Jubilee, considering only $\ell > 10$ modes. In some cases, hardly any ISW imprint is detectable, but in other cases the central imprints reach the $\Delta T \approx -3 \mu$K level. These are $\sim 100$ per cent fluctuations compared to the full-sky result with all voids included in the stacking (top-right panel of Fig. 6).

We then quantified the variability of the stacked ISW signal using 100 randomly placed DES Y1-like patches in Jubilee instead of only three dissimilar and extreme examples. In Fig. 8, we compare the stacked and CTH-filtered ISW signals for Jubilee voids with and without $2 < \ell < 10$ modes in the ISW map considering different filter sizes in the units of the void radius. We found that $\sim 20$ per cent of the accessible full-sky ISW signal is lost if these modes are removed. However, the filtered signals show significantly less variation around the full-sky estimate without $2 < \ell < 10$ modes, thus we concluded that it is reasonable to remove these large-scale modes for DES Y1-like patches for a well-controlled measurement. Note that real-world measurements with peculiar shapes for the filtered signal or high ISW-like amplitude should be compared to extreme cases in this distribution. Supported by these findings, we only considered $\ell > 10$ modes in our stacking measurements later in the paper.

### 3.5 Importance of filter size

In what follows, we closely relied on the methods by Hotchkiss et al. (2015). We tested for variations in the filtered ISW signal using different filter sizes and by stacking different fractions of the
Figure 7. Examples of stacked ISW imprints of a posteriori selected DES Y1-like void catalogues in Jubilee. We stacked 100 per cent of the voids in this example; thus one can compare these results to the top-right panel of Fig. 6 that shows the full-sky estimate. Note the high variability of the shape and amplitude of the signal when measured at different parts of the sky. Inner circles mark the superstructure radius \( R/R_v = 1 \), while the outer circles mark the boundary of the corresponding CTH filter \( R/R_v = \sqrt{2} \).

Figure 8. Stacked measurements of ISW signals are compared in 100 DES Y1-like Jubilee patches (thin solid curves) to the full-sky Jubilee estimate (single thick dashed curve). The curves show the individual filtered ISW imprint as a function of the filter size for the small DES Y1-like patches and for the full-sky estimate. The higher variability of the orange curves (with \( \ell > 2 \) modes in the ISW map instead of only \( \ell > 10 \)) highlights the effect of the removal of large-scale modes from the ISW map to reduce the field-to-field fluctuations.

radius-ordered superstructure catalogues. We introduced our findings in Fig. 9. Consistently with the findings by Hotchkiss et al. (2015), we found \( |\Delta T| \leq 1 \mu K \) imprints both for voids and superclusters. The imprints are quite symmetrical, except perhaps the difference in the location of the strongest ISW signals that is observed at \( R/R_v \approx 0.8 \) for the largest voids and at \( R/R_v \approx 1.2 \) for the largest superclusters. For the whole sample, the best re-scaling factor approaches \( R/R_v \approx 1.0 \) both for voids and superclusters. We note that the imprint of Jubilee superstructures is in good agreement with analytical models presented in Nadathur et al. (2012) and Flender et al. (2013).

We then obtained the signal-to-noise expectation for the ISW-only \( |\Delta T| \leq 1 \mu K \) imprints of given DES Y1 superstructure catalogue properties. The most relevant parameters for this test are the number of voids and superclusters and their angular sizes. We estimated statistical uncertainties by repeating the stacking measurements using 1000 Gaussian CMB simulations generated with the HEALPix (Gorski et al. 2005) synfast routine using the Planck 2015 data release best-fit CMB power spectrum (Planck Collaboration XI 2016). Gaussian simulations without considering instrument noise suffice because the CMB error is dominated by cosmic variance on the scales we consider (see Hotchkiss et al. 2015). We decided to follow the strategy of keeping the voids fixed and varying the CMB realization because in this case the overlap-effects for superstructures are accounted for more efficiently. Potential large-scale CMB features in the DES footprint are not expected to affect our CTH-filtered results at few-degree scales.

The maximal signal to noise remains at the \( S/N \approx 0.2 \) level even for the more numerous population of DES Y1 superclusters, as indicated in Fig. 9. Such a modest signal is not surprising in the light of the similar findings by Flender et al. (2013) who considered Gr08-like catalogues with variations in the filter radius and in the number of objects. While the low \( S/N \) expectations make any detections of ISW(-like) effects unlikely, the anomalously high signals found by Gr08 motivate a follow-up measurement with similar conditions but independent sky coverage.

4 STacking MEASurement With DES Superstructures

In the previous section, we demonstrated the sensitivity of the ISW imprint of Jubilee superstructures to details in the CTH filtering methodology including catalogue construction and the measurement itself. The knowledge of the behaviour of the estimated ISW imprints now allows a quantitative comparison of \( \Lambda \)CDM predictions and real-world results using the DES data.

4.1 ISW imprint in data versus simulation

We used the DES Y1 void and supercluster catalogues introduced in Figs 3 and 4. We followed the same procedures to order the objects. We then performed the CTH filtering measurement in the data fraction versus filter size parameter space that we investigated using Jubilee mocks.

In Fig. 10, we directly compared our Jubilee results to the real-world DES findings. The first immediate observation is the presence of higher fluctuations in the signals compared to the ISW-only Jubilee stacking results. As in the case of Jubilee, we considered the errors described in Section 3.5. \( S/N \approx 2 \) is observed when
Figure 9. Top panels show the stacked ISW-only signals using data fraction and filter size indicated by the axes. We considered the full-sky Jubilee signals for this test. We estimated the noise levels by considering the angular sizes and positions of $N_v = 52$ voids and $N_{sc} = 102$ superclusters that are detectable in a DES Y1-like volume with our methods. The bottom panels show the signal-to-noise ratios given the properties of real-world DES Y1 superstructures. The contours mark pixels with 95, 90, and 80 per cent of the S/N maxima. At 60 per cent for voids and 40 per cent for superclusters, the dashed lines indicate the data fraction with highest (but still very low) S/N.

Figure 10. Top panels show stacked Planck CMB signals considering different data fractions and filter sizes, as for Jubilee in fig. 10. The top panels shows the stacked CMB imprint of DES Y1 voids and superclusters, while the bottom panels show the signal-to-noise ratios given the noise properties of the superstructures. We over plot contours obtained in the Jubilee analysis in order to show where the maximum S/N is expected. The dashed lines indicate the data fraction with highest S/N based on Jubilee, i.e. the one that we should a priori consider.

90 per cent of the radius-ordered data is stacked, although our Jubilee calibrations predict that the best chance to detect a signal is to stack 60 per cent of the data and to consider filters $R/R_v \approx 1.0$.

The combination of DES voids and Planck data showed $\Delta T_f < 0$ μK imprint everywhere in the parameter space for the measurement we have explored. With the optimal configuration we found $\Delta T_f \approx -5.0 \pm 3.7$ μK. Moreover, a coherent $\Delta T_i \approx -10$ μK imprint emerged close to $R/R_v \approx 0.6$ using all data fractions, in particular $\Delta T_i \approx -9.8 \pm 4.7$ μK was observed for the optimal 60 per cent data fraction.

The stacking analysis of DES Y1 superclusters (see the right-hand panel of Fig. 10) shows similar features when compared to Jubilee.
results. The highest signal of $\Delta T \approx 5.1 \pm 3.2 \ \mu \text{K}$ is observed for the largest 40 per cent of the sample as predicted in the Jubilee analysis. Furthermore, the location of the observed peak in the S/N is close to the $R/R_c \approx 1.0$ Jubilee-based prediction.

Although the $R/R_c = 0.6$ re-scaling parameter resulted in the most significant imprint, we had no a priori reason to choose it for our conclusions and posterior choices reduce the significance of anomalous features. However, the magnitude of these posteriori selected imprints is similar to the imprint of superstructures found by Granett et al. (2008) thus worth further investigation, especially because other void catalogues based on spec-z tracers have not shown such higher-than-expected signals. Gr08 supervoids also show the most anomalous CMB imprint considering $R/R_c \approx 0.6^2$ when a stacking analysis with re-scaling is performed instead of the original constant $R = 4' \beta$ filtering. Cai et al. (2014a), Kovács & Granett (2015), and recently Cai et al. (2016) also reported that re-scalings $R/R_c \approx 0.6$ or $R/R_c \approx 0.7$ result in excess signals using SDSS DR7, BOSS DR10, BOSS DR12 void catalogues, respectively. However, Hotchkiss et al. (2015) pointed out that this empirical relation does not necessarily hold for all void definitions and it depends on void parameters; thus the importance of these findings is unclear.

Somewhat similarly, the Eridanus supervoid was found to be significantly elongated in the LOS (Kovács & García-Bellido 2016) and it appears to be aligned with the CMB Cold Spot. However, the predicted ISW imprint profile disagrees with the observed profile of the Cold Spot (Nadathur et al. 2014).

### 4.2 Stacked images for DES data

We continued our analysis by creating a stacked CMB image of the largest 60 per cent of the DES voids and 40 per cent of the DES superclusters. These data fractions correspond to the dashed lines in Figs 9 and 10 that mark the peak location in the Jubilee S/N map.

\[ \text{Figure 11.} \text{ Stacked CMB imprint of DES Y1 voids (left) and superclusters (right). Images are re-scaled to superstructure radii. We applied a smoothing to the individual raw CMB images only for this illustration using } \sigma = 3' \text{ symmetrical Gaussian beam in HEALPIX. Inner circles mark the superstructure radius } (R/R_c = 1), \text{ while the outer circles mark the boundary of the corresponding CTH filter } (R/R_c = \sqrt{2}). \text{ We discussed the observable trends on the images in the main text. Note the different colour scale compared to that of Fig. 6.} \]

Therefore, we were guided to make our main conclusions based on this subset of the data.

\[ \text{Fig. 11 illustrates the cold imprint of DES voids and a more modest hot imprint of DES superclusters. We observed some level of compensation around the central regions. For voids, the central cold region is the most significant feature in the image, and its shape and compactness suggests a real feature in the CMB data.} \]

\[ \text{For superclusters, the rather cold ring-like area around the } R/R_c > 1.0 \text{ zone contributes to the } \Delta T \approx 5 \ \mu \text{K CTH-filtered signal coming from this image because the central temperatures only reach } \Delta T \approx 3 \ \mu \text{K. Such ISW features are in fact not unexpected because superclusters are typically surrounded by large underdensities that leave their own negative ISW imprint (see Fig. 6).} \]

\[ \text{These findings highlight the advantage of using Jubilee for modelling the signals, because analytical models typically only predict the ISW imprint of isolated structures, creating a situation that is clearly unrealistic (e.g. Finelli et al. 2015).} \]

### 4.3 Consistency of data and simulations

We next made a detailed consistency test of CTH-filtered signals as a function of the filter radius in simulation and in real-world data. We show our findings in Fig. 12. Separately for voids and superclusters, we compared the DES and Jubilee imprints for data fractions selected a priori based on the S/N analyses (see also Figs 9 and 11). Fig. 12 essentially shows the same information on signal and noise as presented at the image level, but this way the actual consistency of DES data and $\Lambda$CDM predictions becomes directly visible.

\[ \text{The error bars in Fig. 12 represent statistical uncertainties obtained by repeating the stacking measurements using 1000 Gaussian CMB simulations, as explained in Section 3.5. As an error on the model ISW signal, we also show } 1\sigma \text{ fluctuations in filtered ISW signals of individual DES Y1-like patches in Jubilee. This illustrates the possible effects due to the relatively small DES Y1 survey footprint and the corresponding cosmic variance limitation (see also Fig. 8). We concluded that fluctuations due to small sky coverage} \]

---

\[ \text{2 Initially, a wrong value of } R/R_c \approx 1.2 \text{ appeared in Ilić et al. (2013) but it later has been corrected to } R/R_c \approx 0.6 \text{ in the journal paper Ilić, Langer & Douspis (2014).} \]
are significant, but too small to explain the high $\Delta T_1$ values found in DES measurements.

We inferred that for the optimized $R/R_c \approx 1.0$ re-scaling value, the DES measurements are consistent with the imprints of the most extreme DES-like patches in Jubilee at the $\sim 1.2\sigma$ level. The imprints of superclusters behave similarly. However, we observed a curious negative signal beyond $R/R_c \approx 1.5$ that indicated the possible role of extended underdensities around DES superclusters in the measurable ISW-like imprints.

Therefore, we cannot report a detection of highly significant anomalies in the DES data. However, Gr08 and DES superstructure catalogues both show elongation along the LOS and for both sam-

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The higher-than-expected ISW(-like) imprints of SDSS superstructures detected by Granett et al. (2008), if confirmed, represent a great challenge for standard $\Lambda$CDM cosmology. The evidence for significant LOS elongation of the Gr08 voids also motivates further studies to better understand how void finders perform using photo-$\text{z}$ tracer data.

The void finder algorithm developed by Sánchez et al. (2017) represents such an effort, and demonstrates the potential in void science with photometric redshift survey data. The analysis of the DES data provided a great chance to probe the claims by Gr08 with an independent data set. To simplify the interpretation of the measurement, we used the Jubilee simulation to decide, independently of the actual data, which voids and superclusters to stack in our analysis given the catalogue properties and its noise characteristics.

As a CDM prediction, we found $|\Delta T_f| \leq 1$ $\mu$K stacked imprint for all data fractions and re-scaled filter sizes for both Jubilee voids and superclusters. This is consistent with previous analyses that estimated the ISW imprint of Gr08-like superstructures (e.g. Flender et al. 2013; Hotchkiss et al. 2015). In DES Y1 data, we found $\Delta T_f \approx -5.0 \pm 3.7$ $\mu$K for voids using the best configuration motivated by the Jubilee analysis. The most significant $\Delta T_f \approx 5.1 \pm 3.2$ $\mu$K stacked imprint for superclusters was detected close to the best filter size and data fraction predicted using Jubilee. The other potentially interesting feature was the $R/R_c \approx 0.6$ result for DES voids that represents a rather noisy and a posteriori selected $\Delta T_f \approx -9.8 \pm 4.7$ $\mu$K imprint that is $\sim 2\sigma$ away from the $\Lambda$CDM predictions.

Expressed in terms of an ISW ‘amplitude’, we note that our main results are consistent with the $A_{\text{ISW}} = 1.64 \pm 0.53$ value (i.e. $\sim 1.2\sigma$ higher than the $A_{\text{ISW}} = 1$ $\Lambda$CDM prediction) reported by Nadathur & Crittenden (2016). Our $|\Delta T_f|$ results can be turned to a $A_{\text{ISW}} \approx 8 \pm 6$ constraint for voids, while for superclusters we found $A_{\text{ISW}} \approx 8 \pm 5$. The DES findings are also consistent with the $A_{\text{ISW}} \approx 6$ detected by Cai et al. (2016) at the modest $\sim 1.6\sigma$ significance level.

While these filtered detections have low statistical significance, they do have an amplitude higher than expected in $\Lambda$CDM models. It is interesting to ask whether changes to the cosmological model could explain such differences in the ISW imprint of voids. However, Nadathur et al. (2012) concluded that the freedom to vary the $\Lambda$CDM model parameters, given other constraints, is not enough to overcome the discrepancy with observation; Cai et al. (2014a) further found that the Gr08 observation cannot be explained in $f(R)$ models either.

Any excess signal, combined with the anomalous findings of Granett et al. (2008), could be more than a chance noise fluctuation and may instead indicate some residual systematic in the reconstructed CMB temperature maps. While tremendous effort has been focused on the removal of all known CMB foregrounds (see e.g. Sureau et al. 2014), residual contamination coming from unresolved extragalactic point sources might still contaminate the ISW measurements and cosmological parameter estimation (Serra et al. 2008; Millea et al. 2012). Ho et al. (2008) discussed how dust from galaxies at all redshifts contributes to the CMB temperature fluctuations, which, in turn, would result in a positive correlation between CMB temperatures and galaxy density. Yershov, Orlov & Raikov (2012) also detected unexpected correlations between supernova redshifts and CMB temperatures. The same authors also analysed Planck data and concluded that SN Ia measurements especially show this curious correlation (Yershov, Orlov & Raikov 2014). Therefore, it is possible that the CMB data currently used for cosmological constraints might be affected by some remnant contamination that can affect our ISW measurements as well.

On the other hand, the excess ISW-like signals might indicate new physical effects at the largest scales. Nadathur et al. (2012) raised the possibility that non-Gaussianities in the primordial perturba-
tions might be related to the excess ISW signals but this possibility appears to be excluded by recent Planck constraints (Planck Collaboration XVII 2016). Modified gravity theories with alternative growth rates, however, might provide some ground to discuss such excess signals.

Further analyses of redMaGiC galaxies in the full DES footprint, and synergy with the analysis of galaxy ‘troughs’ (Gruen et al. 2016) and mass maps (Vikram et al. 2015) will provide even more numerous catalogues of voids and superclusters to look for similar signals. In the near future, a factor of $\sim 1/\sqrt{3}$ smaller error bars are expected for the full 5000 deg$^2$ DES Y5 footprint. We will impose more precise constraints on the ISW-like imprint of

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure12}
\caption{Filtered signals are compared as a function of filter size for voids and superclusters. Data points and error bars show DES results, while the solid lines are the corresponding Jubilee predictions. Shaded regions indicate 1$\sigma$ fluctuations around the full-sky estimate in the ISW-only signals if only DES Y1-like patches are considered for the measurement in Jubilee. The inset shows the relation of these models in greater details. The dotted line corresponds to an imprint $15 \times$ stronger than the actual $\Lambda$CDM prediction for voids based on Jubilee (no fit to data points).}
\end{figure}
superstructures, including extra tests of the largest voids in the catalogue that might be responsible for any excess signal.

Advanced matched-filtering techniques introduced by Nadathur 
& Crittenden (2016) and upcoming spectroscopic surveys (e.g.,
DESI, Levi et al. 2013) will also increase the sensitivity of stacking methods to decide if there is a real excess ISW(-like) signal, or if the patterns found in the SDSS and DES superstructure data sets are chance fluctuations.
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