Shunt peaking in neural membranes
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Abstract
Capacitance limits the bandwidth of engineered and biological electrical circuits because it determines the gain-bandwidth product (GBWP). With a fixed GBWP, bandwidth can only be improved by decreasing gain. In engineered circuits, an inductance reduces this limitation through shunt peaking but no equivalent mechanism has been reported for biological circuits. We show that in blowfly photoreceptors, a voltage-dependent K⁺ conductance, the fast delayed rectifier (FDR), produces shunt peaking thereby increasing bandwidth without reducing gain. Furthermore, the FDR’s time constant is close to the value that maximizes the photoreceptor GBWP whilst reducing distortion associated with the creation of a wide-band filter. Using a model of the honeybee drone photoreceptor, we also show that a voltage-dependent Na⁺ conductance can produce shunt peaking if the inactivation time constant is increased. We argue that shunt peaking may be widespread in graded neurons and dendrites.

1 Introduction
Like their engineered counterparts, neurons must achieve sufficient bandwidth to transmit fast signals and sufficient gain to prevent noise from corrupting signals. However, a neuron’s membrane has the passive electrical properties of an RC parallel circuit [1] with gain \( R \), and 3dB bandwidth \( 1/2\pi RC \). Thus, the gain-bandwidth product (GBWP) is \( 1/2\pi C \), making capacitance the sole constraint. So, when ion channels change membrane resistance, they trade bandwidth for gain. A membrane’s specific capacitance is relatively invariant [2] and there are limits to reducing membrane area [3]. Consequently, membrane capacitance constrains bandwidth at a given gain, and gain at a given bandwidth.

The GBWP of electronic amplifiers is similarly constrained by unavoidable capacitances [4]. The usual means of improving bandwidth without sacrificing gain is miniaturization [5] but there are others. One is shunt peaking; the improvement of the GBWP through the addition of inductive elements [6].

Neural membranes cannot produce sufficiently large electromagnetic inductive effects [7] but they do contain voltage-dependent ion channels that act like inductances by changing their conductance with membrane potential after a delay [8, 9, 10]. These inductive-like effects interact with membrane capacitance to produce resonant neural membranes [1] but their effects on the GBWP of broadly tuned membranes have not been investigated.

2 Shunt peaking by a voltage-dependent K⁺ conductance
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To demonstrate that a conductance’s inductive-like effects increases the GBPW by shunt peaking, thereby increasing bandwidth without sacrificing gain, we modelled blowfly photoreceptors (Figure 1a-c). These neurons transmit the signals produced by the fastest known G-protein biochemical cascade [11], but their membrane capacitance is inflated by thousands of microvilli that capture and transduce photons. Reducing the number and size of these microvilli to decrease capacitance would, however, impair performance by reducing quantum catch and increasing noise [12, 13]. The microvilli contain the components of the phototransduction cascade, including the visual pigment and the depolarising light-gated channels [11]. The resulting inward light-induced current produces graded changes in photoreceptor membrane potential, shaped by voltage-dependent channels in the light-insensitive membrane [15]. Blowfly photoreceptors express two non-inactivating voltage-dependent K⁺ conductances, the fast and the slow delayed rectifiers.
rectifier (FDR and SDR, Figure 1a,b). We model the conductance of both FDR and SDR with the formula \( g = \bar{g}_m m \), where \( \bar{g}_m \) is the maximum conductance, \( m \) is a variable with steady state \( m_\infty(V) \), time constant \( \tau \) and that changes with time and voltage according to the Hodgkin-Huxley equation [9]:

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{m_\infty(V) - m}{\tau}
\]  

Both DRs increase their steady-state conductance as the dark-adapted photoreceptor depolarises from its dark-adapted membrane potential of \(-60\text{mV}\) [14]. We simulated a photoreceptor membrane adapted to bright light by increasing the light conductance to produce membrane potential of \(-40\text{mV}\) (Supplementary Material).

To determine the blowfly photoreceptor membrane GBWP, we obtained a closed formula for its impedance using small signal equivalent circuits for both FDR and SDR, each composed of a resistance \( R \) in parallel with a branch of a resistance \( r \) and an inductance \( L \) in series [1]

\[
R = \frac{1}{\bar{g}_m m_\infty}, \quad (2a) \\
r = \frac{1}{(V - E_K) \frac{d}{dV} (1/R)} \quad (2b) \\
L = \tau r
\]  

Together with the voltage-independent leak conductance and the capacitance, \( C \), they form the equivalent RrLC circuit of the photoreceptor membrane (Figure 1c). This circuit represents the linearisation around a steady state of the (non-linear) photoreceptor membrane, and gives a closed formula for the membrane impedance (Supplementary Material).

As expected [1], the linearised model exactly reproduces the impedance estimated using the literal Hodgkin-Huxley model (Supplementary Material; Figure 1).

The impedance gain functions thus obtained are band-pass (Figure 1d). Calculating \( Q \), the ratio between maximum and DC impedances (e.g. [16]), shows that the band-pass is less pronounced in the dark-adapted membrane (\( Q = 1.24 \)) than in the light-adapted membrane (\( Q = 1.34\sqrt{ } \)). At such low \( Q \) values, the GBWP is the maximum (peak) gain times the frequency where gain falls to \( 1/2 \) (\(~ 1 \text{dB} \)) of the maximum (Figure 1d). The GBWP of the membrane is 1846MΩHz when dark-adapted and 1538MΩHz when light-adapted. By comparison, the GBWP of a passive membrane with the same capacitance but whose conductances are voltage-independent is 1224MΩHz. Thus, the inductive elements introduced by the DRs increase the membrane’s GBWP by 51% when dark-adapted, and 26% when light-adapted, thereby demonstrating shunt peaking.

The relative GBWP, the GBWP of the active membrane divided by that of a passive membrane with the same capacitance, changes with the DR activation time constants, \( \tau_F \) and \( \tau_S \) (Figure 1e,f), because the inductive elements producing shunt peaking are proportional to these time constants (Equation 2). For both dark-adapted and light-adapted membranes there is a time constant that optimizes the GBWP. For a dark-adapted membrane, the optimal relative GBWP is 1.56 (i.e. an improvement of 56% compared to the passive membrane), obtained when the FDR and SDR activation time constants are both 4.1 ms (Figure 1e), though a broad range of time constants have relative GBWP above 1.5 (Figure 1e). For a light-adapted membrane, the optimal relative GBWP is 1.41, obtained when both time constants are 0.89 ms (Figure 1f). The optimal time constants are remarkably similar to the measured activation time constant of the FDR (4.1 vs 3.9 ms and 0.89 vs 1.7 ms), but are substantially faster than those of the
4 SDR (4.1 vs 27 ms and 0.89 vs 31 ms) [14]. This suggests that FDR activation times may be optimised for shunt peaking. Furthermore, most of the shunt-peaking is produced by the FDR (see Supplementary Material). The SDR’s slower activation is more suited to decrease gain at low frequencies, contributing to the optimal filtering of natural images in the presence of noise [17].

3 An inactivating voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance can produce shunt peaking

Many neural compartments supporting graded signalling express inward voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductances, as well as outward voltage-dependent K\(^+\) conductances like those of blowfly photoreceptors. Voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductances can produce membrane band passing through a resonance at specific frequencies, and are widespread in spiking neuron dendrites [1]. In honeybee drone photoreceptors voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductances selectively amplify the punctiform image of a queen moving across the sky [18]. We used the Hodgkin-Huxley type model fitted by [18], which includes a voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance and voltage-independent K\(^+\) and light-induced conductances (Figure 2a). Using the corresponding RrLC circuit (Figure 2b), we find a resonance, a prominent peak in the impedance (Q=3.19), in the light-adapted membrane (Figure 2c). This extreme band-passing –caused by the interaction of inductive elements representing inactivation and the membrane capacitance– depends upon the inactivation time constant \(\tau_h\) of the voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance. A 10-fold increase in \(\tau_h\), which is within biological limits [9], abolishes the resonance (Figure 2c). This leaves a low-passing (Q=1.04) membrane in which the voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance improves GBWP by \(\approx\) 50%. By plotting relative GBWP as a function of the activation and inactivation time constants \(\tau_m\) and \(\tau_h\), we find a region where shunt peaking is produced (i.e., relative GBWP > 1), and a smaller region where that increase is produced while keeping a strictly low-pass membrane. Thus, voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductances can also produce shunt peaking, thereby improving a membrane’s GBWP.

4 Discussion

Shunt peaking produced by the FDR increases GBWP of the dark and light-adapted blowfly photoreceptor membrane, increasing membrane bandwidth whilst still permitting a high gain that reduces the effect of noise at the photoreceptor outputs. In addition, the FDR reduces signal distortion by the photoreceptor membrane (Supplementary Materials), which is important for a wide-pass filter [6]. Shunt peaking achieves this without incurring the deleterious effects of reducing the microvillar membrane area [13, 12], or compromising membrane stability and minimum-phase (Supplementary Materials).

Our approach it to linearise membrane responses around dark or light-adapted membrane potentials, but when a blowfly photoreceptor scans a natural scene it frequently responds non-linearly to large fluctuations in light intensity. However, DRs do not respond to light, they sense voltage and, because a photoreceptor’s mechanisms rapidly adjust gain, the DR’s experience voltage fluctuations of standard deviation 2-7 mV [19, Figure 5]. The linearized model of the light-adapted photoreceptor reproduces responses of even larger amplitudes of the Hodgkin-Huxley model to white noise current (data not shown) and should, therefore, account for the DR’s ability to improve the temporal resolution of the majority of signals. Improvements are unlikely to cease when the membrane voltage responses exceed the limits of linearisation.
Biological systems can escape the GWBP trap in other ways. As in electronic amplifiers [4], concatenating several biochemical amplifiers multiplies gain but bandwidth remains close to the lowest bandwidth [20]. However, the cost of such a strategy is energy and noise, produced and transmitted at every stage. Both shunt-peaking and amplifier concatenation improve GBWP by increasing the number or the order of poles. By increasing GBWP without distorting the signal, shunt peaking may be particularly beneficial for graded electrical signal transmission in neurons. Generally, an activating voltage-dependent conductance with a reversal potential below the resting potential or an inactivating voltage-dependent conductance with a reversal potential above the resting potential can produce the inductive element needed to produce shunt peaking, provided that they have the correct time constant.

Shunt peaking is unlikely to be restricted to fly photoreceptors. Hyperpolarisation-activated HCN channels shape the graded voltage responses of rods and cones and, similar to blowfly photoreceptor, their effect on small signals is well-described by a phenomenological inductance [21, 22]. HCN channels also act as inductances in dendrites, and HCN channel blockers increases the apparent capacitance of hippocampal neurons [16], suggesting that HCN channels implement shunt peaking. Dendritic membranes also contain voltage-dependent K⁺ channels similar to blowfly photoreceptors, and voltage-dependent Na⁺ conductances capable of producing sub-threshold resonances that selectively amplify particular frequencies [1]. Our analysis of Na⁺ conductances in drone photoreceptors shows how resonance and shunt peaking can be generated by the same mechanisms. Given that dendritic processing must achieve a minimum bandwidth without reducing gain, shunt peaking could be widely employed by neurons.
Figure 2: Inactivation of a Na\(^+\) conductance can produce shunt peaking. (a) Literal circuit model of drone bee photoreceptor. Membrane capacitance, \(C\), light conductance, \(g_{\text{light}}\), voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance \(g_{\text{Na}}\), and voltage-independent K\(^+\) conductance, \(g_{\text{K}}\). Light current, Na\(^+\) current and K\(^+\) current reversal potentials are \(E_{L}\), \(E_{Na}\) and \(E_{K}\), respectively. Leak conductance, \(g_{\text{leak}}\), with reversal potential of light current, sets dark-adapted resting potential of photoreceptor. (b) Phenomenological RrLC circuit of the photoreceptor describing small signal behaviour of membrane to current injected around steady-state voltage, \(V_0\). The voltage-dependent conductance \(g_{\text{Na}}\) is modelled as a resistance, \(R\), in parallel with two phenomenological branches, representing the effect of activation (\(L_m\), \(r_m\)) and inactivation (\(L_i\), \(r_i\)), respectively. (c) Impedance of the model drone photoreceptor membrane (blue) and a modified membrane model with 10 times faster inactivation (red). (d) Relative GBWP of drone photoreceptor as function of activation and inactivation time constants of the voltage-dependent Na\(^+\) conductance. Thick black line marks the border between band-pass membranes and low-pass membranes.
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