Development and optimization of ketoconazole oral strips by means of continuous hot-melt extrusion processing
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Abstract

Objectives The aim of this study was to develop mucoadhesive oral strips using hot-melt extrusion as a continuous manufacturing process.

Methods Powder blends of ketoconazole, a water-insoluble drug – either hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) or soluplus (SOL), sorbitol (SRB) and magnesium aluminometasilicate (MAS) were extruded to manufacture thin strips with 0.5-mm thickness. The presence of the inorganic metasilicate facilitated smooth processing of the extruded strips as it worked as an absorbent directly impacting on the extensive mixing of the drug/excipients inside the extruder barrel.

Key findings The use of MAS also favoured the rapid hydration, swelling and eventual disintegration of the strips. Differential scanning calorimetry and energy dispersive X-ray analysis revealed the existence of the amorphous drug within the extruded strips. Scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray undertaken on the formulations showed a homogeneous drug distribution within the extruded strips.

Conclusion The strips produced via continuous hot-melt extrusion processing showed significantly faster release of ketoconazole compared to the bulk drug substance.

Introduction

‘Strips’ (commonly referred to as films) can be defined as thin sheets containing one or more polymers with or without a plasticizer and can effectively be used as a drug delivery system. While, the solvent – casting approach – currently a pharmaceutically adopted process, allows better uniformity, flexibility and adjustable thickness, this approach is limited by low drug loading, high costs and time consumption for development.\cite{1-3} Furthermore, the excessive use of various solvents to dissolve insoluble polymer or drug makes the solvent casting method questionable from the regulatory viewpoint. Moreover, the hazardous nature of most organic solvents and the residual solvents left in the films may create significant environmental health concerns. Therefore, there is increasing current interest in alternative technologies in the pharmaceutical industry to overcome some or all of the challenges currently associated with solvent film casting.

Hot-melt extrusion (HME) has been successfully exploited for the manufacturing of oral thin films or strips due to its unique advantages.\cite{8} HME is a solvent-free green technology, easy to scale up and operates in a continuous mode.\cite{9-11} Another key advantage of HME is that robust extruded films/strips can be obtained in a single processing step making the process economically viable. In a HME process, melt extruded strips are produced via feeding the blends of appropriate amounts of the relevant polymer(s), drug, plasticiser and other excipients into the preheated extruder barrel and conveying them with rotating screws into homogenous sheets. Other excipients can be added to enhance functionally important properties of the strips such as bioadhesive agents to ensure that the film adheres to the oral mucosal surface for the appropriate time periods to allow drug absorption.\cite{8}

Various studies have been reported on the manufacture of oral strips using HME. Tumuluri et al. and Prodduturi et al. conducted extensive research on the use of HME for
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the manufacture of mucoadhesive buccal films with different matrix formers and additives.[12,13] In an early investigation, the group found that the addition of plasticizers, such as triethyl citrate, PEG 2000/8000, or acetyltributyl citrate, allowed the manufacture of thin, flexible and stable films when hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC) was used as film forming polymer.[13] It was shown that the increase in the molecular weight of HPC decreased the release of drugs from extruded films, which resulted in dissolution profiles exhibiting zero-order drug release.[14,15]

Ketoconazole (KTZ) is an antifungal agent mainly used for the treatment of local and systemic fungal infections in various forms (e.g. oral tablets, topical creams, gels, aerosols, suspensions and shampoos). Due to its very poor water solubility (17 µg/ml) and high permeability, KTZ is classified as biopharmaceutics classification system (BCS) class II drug. Increasing the solubility of KTZ is a challenging task as it significantly reduces the oral bioavailability of the drug and thus the therapeutic effectiveness.[15] We report, to the best of our knowledge, a new case to manufacture KTZ-based oral strips using inorganic excipients via a continuous HME processing and the physicochemical characterization of the developed strips where the novelty of this article lies. The distribution of the drug particles on the surface of the manufactured oral strips is also investigated as well as the strips’ hydration/swelling, mucoadhesion and mechanical properties.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Ketoconazole was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose K4 (HPMC) and magnesium aluminometasilicate (Neusilin, MAS) were kindly donated by Colorcon (Dartford, UK) and Fuji Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Japan), respectively. Soluplus (SOL) was kindly donated by BASF, Germany. Sorbitol and polyethylene glycol 2000 (PEG) were provided by Roquette (Lestrem, France) and Fluka (Gillingham, UK). Sodium CMC was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). The HPLC solvents were of analytical grade and purchased from Fisher Chemicals (Loughborough, UK). All materials were used as received.

Drug/polymer miscibility determination

The drug/polymer miscibility was determined by calculating Hansen solubility parameters (δ) of both the drug and polymers. By considering the chemical structure and the orientations of all drug/polymer, the solubility parameters were calculated by using the following equation, which is known as Hoftyzer and Van Krevelen method:[16,17]

\[
\delta = \sqrt{\delta_d^2 + \delta_p^2 + \delta_h^2}
\]

Here,

\[
\delta_d = \frac{\sum F_d}{V}, \quad \delta_p = \frac{\sqrt{\sum F_p^2}}{V}, \quad \delta_h = \sqrt{(\sum E_{hi}/V)}
\]

where δ is total solubility parameter calculated, \(F_d\) is the constant (molar attraction) due to molar dispersion forces, \(F_p\) is the constant (molar attraction) due to molar polarization forces, \(E_{hi}\) is the hydrogen bonding energy, and \(V\) is the group contribution to molar volume.

Preparation of formulation blends and continuous HME processing

Ketoconazole formulations with HPMC and SOL as polymeric matrices and a drug loading ranging from 5 to 20% (w/w) (Table 1) were thoroughly mixed in a Turbula TF2 mixer (Basel, Switzerland) mixer in 100 g batches for 10 min each, before the extrusion process. About 1 g of powdered samples from each batch (physical mixture) was preserved in an air-tight glass vial for further analyses. Appropriately weighted MAS, SRB and PEG were added to the Turbula mixtures before the extrusion process. Extrusion of all formulations was performed using a EuroLab 16 twin-screw extruder (Thermo Fisher, Germany) equipped with a specially designed die to produce strips of 0.5-mm thickness and temperature profile at 50 °C/130 °C/140 °C/140 °C/140 °C/140 °C/140 °C/140 °C (from feeding zone → Die) temperature profiles. The screw speed maintained for all extrusions was 100 rpm. The extrudates were fed continuously to a PFL-590 cutting machine (Kunshan Pingfenglong Trade Co., Ltd, China) and cut into strips of 1 cm × 5 cm (100 mg of KTZ in each strip).

Hydration and swelling studies

The hydration (swelling) profiles of the strips were determined using a modified method from that previously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excipients</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
<th>HPMC (Blank)</th>
<th>SOL (Blank)</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KTZ</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCMC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KTZ, Ketoconazole; MAS, magnesium aluminometasilicate; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SRB, sorbitol; HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose; SOL, soluplus.
described.\textsuperscript{[18]} Briefly, the strips were initially weighed and recorded as \(W_i\) and then placed in a Petri dish containing 10 ml of 0.1 M PBS, pH 6.8 ± 0.1, at 37 °C. At predetermined time intervals (20 min), the liquid medium was removed, the strips blotted carefully with tissue paper to remove the surface-adhered liquid droplets and reweighed on an electronic balance and recorded as \(W_r\). Fresh medium (10 ml) was then added to the already swollen strip, and the process continued till maximum swelling was obtained (up to 80 min). The percentage of water uptake was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Swelling Capacity (\%)} = 100 \times \frac{W_r - W_i}{W_i}
\]

where, \(W_i\) is the weight of the hydrated wafer at time \(t\), and \(W_r\) is the initial weight of wafer.

**In-vitro mucoadhesion studies**

Mucoadhesive measurements were performed on the strips using a previously reported method.\textsuperscript{[19]} The strips (1 cm x 2 cm dimensions) were attached to a 75-mm diameter-adhesive rig probe with a double-sided adhesive tape on a TA.HD.plus Texture Analyser (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) fitted with a 5-kg load cell in tension mode. The model mucosal surface was gelatin gel which was allowed to set in a Petri dish (diameter 88 mm). The gelatin gel was equilibrated with 2% mucin solution (adjusted to pH of 6.8 ± 0.1) for 1 min and placed on the instrument platform. The probe, lined with the strip was lowered towards the gelatin surface at pretest speed of 0.5 mm/s, test speed of 0.5 mm/s and post speed of 1.00 mm/s. The maximum force required to detach the strip on the upper probe from the mucosal surface, known as the peak adhesion force (PAF), was determined. The area under the curve (AUC) representing total work of adhesion (TWA) of the wafers was estimated from the force–distance plot while the cohesiveness of the samples was determined by the distance of travel.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)/energy dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis**

The spatial distribution of discreet chemical phases was evaluated using a cold cathode field emission gun scanning electron microscope (Hitachi SU8030 FEG-SEM, Japan) and Thermo Noran (USA) EDS system with 30 mm² window Ultra Dry detector and Noran 7 software. The samples were secured to aluminium stubs with Leit-C carbon cement and coated with carbon (Edwards 306 high vacuum carbon evaporation) before SEM/EDS analysis. The elemental distribution on the surface of the strips was investigated using EDS while surface analysis to characterize the morphology of strips was evaluated using SEM at nominal magnifications of x500 and x1000. The accelerating voltage of the incident electron beam was set at 10 kV to minimize beam damage to the sample while maintaining adequate excitation. The raw X-ray maps were processed for background removal to give net counts for each of the analytical X-ray lines. Chlorine (Cl) atoms were taken as a marker atom since it was only present in the structure of the drug.

**Thermal analysis**

The physical form of the pure drug, physical mixtures and extruded strips was examined using a Mettler-Toledo 823e (Greifensee, Switzerland) differential scanning calorimeter. Samples were prepared in sealed aluminium pans (2–5 mg) with a pierced lid. The samples were heated at 10 °C/min under nitrogen atmosphere in a temperature range between 0 and 250 °C.

**Transmission X-ray diffraction analysis**

A D8 Advantage X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) was employed to study the crystallinity of KTZ on the extruded strips. The transmission diffractograms were acquired with Diffrac Plus XRD Commander over a start to end diffraction 20 angle of 2–40°, scan speed of 0.2 s and step size of 0.02 and a counting time of 0.4 s per step; 176 channels active on the PSD making a total counting time of 70.4 s per step. The operating conditions were 40 kV and 40 mA with Cu Kα radiation. All acquired data were processed with EVA software for bulk substances and the extruded strips.

**In-vitro drug release studies**

In-vitro dissolution study of pure drug and extrudates was carried out by using a Varian 705 DS dissolution paddle apparatus (Varian Inc. North Carolina, US) at 100 rpm and 37 ± 0.5 °C. The dissolution medium pH was maintained at 6.8 using 900 ml of 0.2 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer (adjusted with 2.0 M NaOH solution) for 2 h. The temperature was equilibrated to 37 ± 0.5 °C. At predetermined time intervals, samples were withdrawn for HPLC assay and replaced with fresh dissolution medium. All dissolution studies were performed in triplicate.

**HPLC analysis**

The release of KTZ from the prepared extrudates (strips) was determined by HPLC. An Agilent Technologies system equipped with a Sperisorb S50DS1 (150 mm x 4.6 mm x 5 μm) column at 230 nm was used for the KTZ
HPLC assay. The mobile phase consisted of methanol/water/triethyl amine (80: 20: 0.2, v/v/v). The flow rate was 1.5 ml/min and the retention time of KTZ was about 2 min. The KTZ calibration curve ($R^2 = 0.999$), at concentrations varying from 5 to 100 µg/ml, was used to evaluate all the samples with 20 µl injection volume.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses of the data for swelling studies were conducted using Microsoft Excel 2013 (USA). Dissolution profiles were investigated for the significance of difference among the distribution of the independent variables using a nonparametric statistical test of significance – Kruskal–Wallis test (Vassarstats, Poughkeepsie, NY USA).

**Results and Discussion**

**Drug/polymer miscibility**

The prediction of drug/polymer miscibility prior to the selection of appropriate hydrophilic strips forming polymer in all extruded formulations was successfully determined by the solubility parameters ($\delta$) using the Van Krevelen/Hoftyzer Equation (1).\(^{[17,20]}\) Generally, the drug/polymer miscibility is achieved via the optimized stability between the energy released by intermolecular interactions and intramolecular interactions within the components.\(^{[21]}\) The theoretical approach derived from the solubility parameter suggests that compounds with similar $\delta$ values are likely to be miscible. The reason is that the energy of mixing from intramolecular interactions with particular components is then balanced with the energy of mixing from intermolecular interactions between the components (drug/polymer). Therefore, it has been demonstrated that compounds with $\Delta\delta < 7$ MPa\(^{1/2}\) are likely to be miscible and compounds with $\Delta\delta > 10$ MPa\(^{1/2}\) are likely to be immiscible.\(^{[21,22]}\)

The calculated solubility parameter of KTZ, HPMC and SOL was 20.60, 24.61 and 19.41 MPa\(^{1/2}\), respectively. The difference between the calculated solubility parameters of the polymers and the drug indicates that KTZ is likely to form solid dispersions with both polymers HPMC and SOL. Using the Van Krevelen/Hoftyzer equation, the $\Delta\delta$ values for KTZ/HPMC and KTZ/SOL were 4.01 and 1.19 MPa\(^{1/2}\), respectively.

**Continuous manufacturing of strips via HME**

As shown in Figure 1, extrusion processing followed by downstream equipment was optimized to obtain the extrudates in the form of whitish strips. For this study, a specially designed die was coupled with the extruder to produce strips with approximately 0.5-mm thickness. The extruded strips were directed onto a compressed air facilitated conveyor belt and accurately cut into small pieces to produce strips of 1 cm x 5 cm size. During the process optimization of KTZ-loaded strips, a range of temperature profiles varying from 120 to 140 °C feed rates and screw speeds were evaluated as they play a key role in the product development. The process was eventually optimized to provide a throughput of 1Kg/h. SOL was chosen due to its ability to enhance the dissolution rates of poorly water-soluble drugs in HME processing while HPMC possesses very good adhesive properties.\(^{[14]}\) In terms of the extrusion processibility, HPMC films appeared to be easier to handle compared to those of SOL due to the brittle nature of the latter. Therefore, the best two formulations (F1 and F2) with HPMC were taken for further characterizations. The presence of MAS in the strip formulations facilitated smooth extrusion and subsequently cutting of the strips.
Swelling capacity

The hydration (swelling) profiles of the extruded films are presented in Table 2. The various formulations showed differences in their swelling profiles with the blank (no drug) HPMC and SOL strips showing slow initial hydration and gradual swelling over the measurement period. SOL-containing strips generally showed significantly higher swelling (P < 0.05) capacity than HPMC. However, the KTZ-containing strips showed very rapid hydration and swelling in the first 20 min, significantly higher than blank strips followed by a drastic reduction after 40 min and eventually complete film disintegration occurred, suggesting it perhaps behaves as a semi-fast disintegrating system. This is due largely to the presence of MAS, which has high water affinity and hence causes rapid disintegration of the formulation. This is evidenced by the significantly very low swelling capacities recorded for the control strips in the absence of MAS. Further evaluation of the data shows that for blank formulations, peak swelling occurred at 60 min, while the drug-loaded strips (F1 and F2) showed peak swelling capacity in only 20 min after which the values decreased significantly until they eventually disintegrated within a 60-min time period. This indicates that in addition to MAS, the amorphous nature of the drug present within the extruded strips contributed towards their initial hydration characteristics and eventual disintegration. The differences observed are interesting and expected to affect the dissolution profiles significantly since initial hydration and swelling, to determine the rate of drug release by diffusion or eventual disintegration (erosion) of the polymer matrix.

In-vitro mucoadhesion studies

Figure 2 shows the mucoadhesion (PAF, TWA and cohesiveness) profiles of the tested formulations. The HPMC-based formulations showed higher PAF values than the SOL-containing strips. In contrast, the drug-loaded strips (F1 and F2) showed significantly (P < 0.05) higher

Table 2 Swelling profiles for the different formulations tested showing differences in hydration behaviour based on type of polymer and drug content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Swelling (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC (Blank)</td>
<td>203.53 ± 0.30</td>
<td>232.69 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOL (Blank)</td>
<td>292.73 ± 0.25</td>
<td>413.94 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.41 ± 0.31</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>509.58 ± 0.35</td>
<td>395.02 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>408.70 ± 0.30</td>
<td>263.35 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose; SOL, soluplus.
mucoadhesion (PAF and TWA) values compared to the blank strips, although the cohesiveness, which is the distance of travel before detachment, did not follow this trend, but it was still evident that the values were generally slightly higher for drug-loaded strips than the blank ones. In addition, there was a significant \( P < 0.05 \) difference in PAF and the cohesiveness between the higher KTZ (F1)- and lower KTZ (F2)-loaded strips while the TWA of the two formulations (F1 and F2) was almost identical. An interesting observation from the results is that F2 showed higher PAF and lower cohesiveness than F1 (opposite effect) even though both had similar TWA values. This is because the TWA is a function of both PAF and the distance travelled (area under the force–distance plot), and therefore, their (PAF and cohesiveness) combined effect (TWA) was similar for both F1 and F2.

The above observations could be explained by the swelling capacity (Table 2), which plays an important role during the initial stages of mucoadhesion. In a typical mucoadhesion process, the polymer hydrates initially, which increases chain mobility. This subsequently allows the chains to interpenetrate into mucin present on the model mucosal surface and eventually form strong interactions via either hydrogen or covalent bonding. Therefore, the drug-loaded strips, which showed rapid hydration and subsequent disintegration (Table 2), will have exhibited a stronger initial interaction with the model mucosal surface. Further, because the volume of moisture available was not high on the gelatine surface (compared to oral mucosa washed with saliva), it had a longer time to bond more strongly with the model mucosal surface. However, due to its high apparent water affinity at lower drug loading (F2), the initial strong bonds are disrupted as the strips disintegrated to form slippery mucilage and therefore the cohesiveness will be expected to decrease.

Figure 3 Scanning electron microscopy images of Ketoconazole-loaded oral strips (F1).
Further, the control formulation (absence of MAS) showed higher PAF and TWA values than all the other formulations but lower cohesiveness than F1 and F2. Again, this could relate to the swelling and hydration behaviour as noted above, where the control strips showed very minimal hydration. This minimal hydration is enough to enable interaction with mucin but because the control strips did not hydrate to the same extent as F1 and F2, it will not have had the chance to travel over a longer distance before final detachment from the model mucosal substrate. Overall, it appears that the main factors affecting the mucoadhesive properties were the drug content and the presence or absence of MAS in the formulations. Both the drug and MAS are not mucoadhesive and generally appear as brittle substances in formulations. The results also suggest that drug-loaded strips will hydrate rapidly enough to allow drug diffusion out of the swollen gel but remain on the oral mucosa long enough to allow some level of absorption across the permeable oral (buccal and sublingual) mucosa.

**SEM/EDS analysis**

The surface morphology was examined by SEM using both secondary and backscattered electron imaging for both the drug and extruded strips (HPMC-based). The strips containing HPMC polymer and excipients exhibited no drug crystals on the extrudate surface with KTZ (Figure 3). All extruded strips showed smooth surface. SEM/EDS was used to determine the elemental composition and distribution\(^{[23]}\) of KTZ strips. As can be seen in Figure 4a, C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si and Cl atoms were detected on the surface of extruded films, which are directly related to the materials used during the HME processing. For example, the elemental distribution of Mg, Si and Al indicates the presence of
Figure 5  Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of (a) bulk KTZ, MAS, PEG, SRB, HPMC, NaCMC and (b) extruded strips (EXT) and physical mixtures. KTZ, Ketoconazole; MAS, magnesium aluminometasilicate; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SRB, sorbitol; HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose.
the synthetic amorphous MAS (Mg.AlSiO3.2H2O) in the extruded strips. As expected, the most abundant element was carbon (which is present in a majority of the drugs and additives used in oral drug delivery) in both KTZ and all polymer/excipients used in this study. As none of the excipients/compounds, used during the extrusion process, contain Cl atoms in their chemical structures, it was treated as a marker atom. Therefore, any presence of Cl atoms in the extruded strips would have come from the drug itself. As can be seen in Figure 4b that pure KTZ exhibits a homogenous distribution of Cl atoms in EDS mapping indicated by green colour. Similarly, the distribution of KTZ on the surface of the strips was visualized by EDS elemental mapping of Cl atoms (Figure 4b). Similar to the pure KTZ, the distribution of Cl atoms on the surface of the continuously extruded strips was homogenous. Backscatter electron images (BEI) show the sample morphology, while the background-subtracted net-count Cl Kα X-ray maps and overlays of the X-ray maps onto the BEI show the homogenous distribution of Cl in the sample. From the EDS mapping analysis, it is obvious that KTZ is homogenously dispersed into the manufactured strips during the extrusion processing.

Thermal analysis

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to analyse the solid state of the pure API, polymers, their physical mixtures (PM) and active extruded strips (EXT). The overall findings from DSC results are summarized in Figure 5a and 5b. The thermograms of pure KTZ showed an endothermic transition corresponding to its melting at 150.32 °C (ΔH = 98.78 J/g) with an onset at 147.88 °C. Similarly, the pure polymer showed a thermal transition via a clear step change at 158.76 °C corresponding to the Tg of HPMC and 59.23 °C corresponding to Tg of NaCMC, respectively (Figure 5a). In addition, SRB and PEG exhibited sharp thermal transitions due to the melting at 95.92 and 50.37 °C, respectively (Figure 5a) while amorphous MAS showed a flat line. The physical mixtures exhibited endothermic peaks (Figure 5a) corresponding to the initial substances at slightly shifted temperatures indicating the drug existence in its crystalline form. In the physical mixtures of F1 and F2, three endothermic peaks were visible (Figure 5b), one at 51.30 °C corresponding to the melting peak of crystalline PEG present in the mixture followed by the transition at 98.52 °C due to the melting of KTZ. The endothermic peak at 150.25 °C corresponds to the melting of the crystalline KTZ. Furthermore, the extruded formulations presented only one endothermic peak between 47.36 and 47.97 °C that was attributed to SRB. The absence of melting endothermic KTZ peaks suggests the presence of the drug in amorphous state.[21,24,25]

Transmission X-ray diffraction analysis

The extruded strips, including pure drug and physical mixtures of the same composition as well as pure compounds, were studied by transmission X-ray diffraction analysis to examine the crystalline state of the drug. As depicted in Figure 6a, the diffractograms of pure KTZ presented distinct peaks at 6.75, 17.50, 19.08, 20.42, 23.79, 24.42 and 28.22 °2h. Figure 6 XRD transmission diffractograms of (a) pure substances (MAS, SRB, HPMC, KTZ and PEG) and (b) extruded strips (F1 and F2) and bulk KTZ. KTZ, Ketoconazole; MAS, magnesium aluminometasilicate; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SRB, sorbitol; HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose.
while PEG showed two distinct peaks with relatively high intensity at 19.25 and 22.31 20. Similarly, pure SRB showed its characteristic crystalline peaks at positions ranging from 11 to 35.20 20. Due to their amorphous nature, HPMC and MAS showed typical amorphous halos in the diffractograms.

The physical mixture of both formulations presented identical peaks (data not shown) at lower intensities suggesting that the drug retain its crystalline properties. In the extruded strip formulations (Figure 6b), there were two distinct peaks that correspond to the presence of PEG; however, no peaks due to the crystalline drug were observed. The absence of KTZ peaks strongly suggests the presence of amorphous API in the extruded solid dispersion containing oral strips.

**In-vitro dissolution study**

The main challenge for this study was to increase the dissolution rate of the poorly water-soluble KTZ (water solubility 17 µg/ml) [15] to provide a rapid release. In Figure 7, it can be seen that extruded strips containing 20% of KTZ (F1) provided rapid dissolution rates (cumulative release of 70% in 60 min at pH 6.8) compared to bulk KTZ that presented significantly slower dissolution. The result was complemented by previous studies reported elsewhere and is expected given the pKa values for KTZ (2.94, 6.15). [26] This enhancement of the dissolution can be attributed to the formation of amorphous solid dispersions of KTZ in the extruded strips. In addition to the hydrophilic polymers, the presence of the inorganic MAS contributed to the enhanced release of KTZ. It has been reported that MAS releases silicic acid, Mg2+ and Al3+ [27,28] ions in dissolution medium, which facilitate the dissolution rates of poorly water-soluble drugs. The extruded strips presented similar release patterns and the 20% KTZ-loaded formulations provided slightly faster release rates compared to those of 15% (w/w). Application of a single-factor nonparametric statistical test of significance – Kruskal–Wallis test (P > 0.05) to the results showed no significant differences between the release profiles. Nevertheless, the HME extruded strips showed excellent release patterns that could provide fast onset action in future clinical trials.

**Conclusions**

Hot-melt extrusion was successfully employed to manufacture oral KTZ-loaded strips in a continuous mode. EDS analysis revealed a homogeneous drug distribution on the surface of the extruded strips while thermal analysis and X-ray diffraction studies showed the presence of amorphous drug in the strips due to the intense mixing during the extrusion processing. The presence of KTZ in amorphous state facilitated enhanced in-vitro dissolution rates at five orders of magnitude compared to the bulk drug substance. The use of MAS also favoured smooth processing of the extruded strips followed by rapid hydration, swelling and eventually enhanced dissolution rates of the poorly water-soluble ketoconazole.
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