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Global patterns of terrestrial assemblage turnover within and among land uses


Land use has large effects on the diversity of ecological assemblages. Differences among land uses in the diversity of local assemblages (alpha diversity) have been quantified at a global scale. Effects on the turnover of species composition between locations (beta diversity) are less clear, with previous studies focusing on particular regions or groups of species. Using a global database on the composition of ecological assemblages in different land uses, we test for differences in the between-site turnover of species composition, within and among land-use types. Overall, we show a strong impact of land use on assemblage composition. While we find that compositional turnover within land uses does not differ strongly among land uses, human land uses and secondary vegetation in an early stage of recovery are poor at retaining the species that characterise primary vegetation. The dissimilarity of assemblages in human-impacted habitats compared with primary vegetation was more pronounced in the tropical than temperate realm. An exploratory analysis suggests that this geographic difference might be caused primarily by differences in climate seasonality and in the numbers of species sampled. Taken together the results suggest that, while small-scale beta diversity within land uses is not strongly impacted by land-use type, compositional turnover between land uses is substantial. Therefore, land-use change will lead to profound changes in the structure of ecological assemblages.

The widespread modification and conversion of natural habitats is profoundly affecting the world’s biodiversity (Leadley et al. 2014). Many studies have quantified the effects of land use on the diversity or composition of ecological assemblages in a particular location (alpha diversity; Gibson et al. 2011, Newbold et al. 2015). Evidence is also mounting that human activities cause ‘biotic homogenization’ (McKinney and Lockwood 1999, McGill et al. 2015) – reduced spatial turnover (beta diversity) of genes, species and functional groups (Olden et al. 2004). Declines in beta diversity can reduce the resilience of communities to environmental changes and alter food-web structure (Olden et al. 2004).

Land use can be a major driver of the spatial homogenization of assemblages. Studies from a wide range of countries (in Europe, North America and in tropical forest in South America) have shown that human-modified habitats tend to show lower turnover of species composition than do undisturbed habitats (Gabriel et al. 2006, Kühn and Klotz 2006, Tylianakis et al. 2006, Clough et al. 2007, Hendrickx et al. 2007, Vellend et al. 2007). Furthermore, human-modified habitats have been shown to benefit a few widespread species at the expense of many narrowly distributed species (McKinney 2006, Schwartz et al. 2006). On the other hand, differences in beta diversity among land uses are not always found, and beta diversity can even increase with human disturbance (Tylianakis et al. 2005, Hawkins et al. 2015, Mayor et al. 2015). The response of beta diversity to land use (both magnitude and direction) varies among taxonomic groups (Fleishman et al. 2003, Clough et al. 2007, Norfolk et al. 2015). As compositional similarity between two sites is likely to decline with the distance between them (distance-decay: Nekola and White 1999), analyses of beta diversity need to consider distance, both geographical and environmental (Ferrier et al. 2007).
However, it is not clear whether the distance decay of compositional similarity is the same in different land uses. One previous study (McKinney 2006) compared the distance decay of compositional similarity in natural vegetation and urban habitats, using spatial comparisons of sites from around the world. At short distances, distance-decay was similar in both land uses, but at longer distances (> 1000 km) it was shallower in urban environments (McKinney 2006).

Previous studies on compositional turnover have typically focused on particular geographic regions, or on single or few taxonomic groups. This narrow focus prevents an assessment of whether any patterns are general across different regions and taxa. In particular, tropical communities are structured differently from temperate ones (Holt et al. 2013) and face different climatic conditions, but it is not known whether land use affects beta diversity similarly in these two realms.

In a previous study (Newbold et al. 2015), we showed that assemblage composition differs strongly between sites in natural vegetation and nearby sites in more disturbed land uses. However, our analysis did not account for the influence of geographical or environmental distance between sites on compositional similarity. Furthermore, no previous broad-scale study of multiple land uses has tested whether geographic distance interacts with land use to shape turnover. A better understanding of land-use effects on beta diversity is needed, given its potential importance for ecosystem resilience (Olden et al. 2004).

In this study, controlling for the effects of geographic and environmental distance among sites, we tested for the first time at a global scale: 1) whether average compositional similarity differs among land uses on compositional similarity. Furthermore, no previous broad-scale study of multiple land uses has tested whether geographic distance interacts with land use to shape turnover. A better understanding of land-use effects on beta diversity is needed, given its potential importance for ecosystem resilience (Olden et al. 2004).

In this study, controlling for the effects of geographic and environmental distance among sites, we tested for the first time at a global scale: 1) whether average compositional similarity differs among land uses; 2) whether distance-decay relationships differ among land uses (i.e. is there an interaction between geographic distance and land use?); 3) whether the effect of land use on average compositional similarity and on distance decay differs between the tropical and temperate realms; and 4) which factors drive any differences in the relationships between realms. The effect of land use can be divided into two components. First, patterns of compositional similarity within each land use inform whether general community similarity is higher on average in human-disturbed land uses, as expected from biotic homogenization theory (McKinney 2006). Second, compositional similarity between a site in primary vegetation and a site in another land use provides evidence of the extent to which human-impacted land uses retain the species composition typical of primary vegetation.

**Methods**

**Assemblage composition data**

Data on the abundance (1 520 975 records) and occurrence (417 341 further records) of species within assemblages in different land uses were taken from the PREDICTS (Projecting Responses of Ecological Diversity in Changing Terrestrial Systems) database on 10th September 2014 (Hudson et al. 2014). These data came from 485 sources (published or in press papers, or unpublished datasets with published methods), which sampled 14 519 sites (Fig. 1) in 85 countries and all but one of the world’s terrestrial biomes (Table 1). The data represent major taxonomic groups (including invertebrates, vertebrates, plants and fungi) in approximate proportion to the number of described species (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A1a). We georeferenced all sites, using information from the source paper, the supplementary files, or by contacting the corresponding author. Studies where coordinate precision was insufficient to distinguish sites spatially were excluded. Where sampling effort differed among sites within a study and data had not already been corrected for this (< 20% of studies), we adjusted abundance values assuming that recorded abundance increases linearly with effort. Sampling effort was always recorded in the same units within each study. Where effort varied in more than one dimension (e.g. number of traps and number of days on which trapping occurred), sampling effort was recorded in the smallest possible units (in the above case, trap-days). This correction does not deal with the issue of failure to detect species, which was dealt with as far as possible by calculating a sampling-corrected measure of compositional similarity (see next section).

The data used suffer from incomplete sampling. The incompleteness of species lists will be compounded by the small spatial extent sampled in most of the studies (for 95% of sites, the maximum linear extent sampled was between 1 m and 1.6 km). Incomplete species lists will decrease recorded similarities on average, and increase variance in modelled relationships of similarity (Nekola and White 1999). The former is not a problem for this study because we were interested in differences in compositional similarity among land uses, not absolute values. However, results might be biased if sampling completeness varies among land uses.

**Calculating compositional similarity**

We calculated pairwise compositional similarity between all sites within each study in the dataset. Of the 485 studies, 444 compared sites within a single terrestrial biome. The hierarchical structure of the data (sampled sites nested within source studies) means that it is not appropriate to compare compositional similarity between sites sampled in different studies; therefore, we used a hierarchical model structure (see ‘Statistical analysis’ below). We selected measures to capture five different aspects of similarity (Table 2). Sørensen similarity captures the overlap between the sites’ lists of sampled species. Abundance-based Sørensen similarity considers whether shared species are abundant or rare. Endemicity-weighted similarity (an endemicity-weighted version of Sørensen’s index that we developed ourselves) places greater weight on species with smaller ranges. The weights were the reciprocal of the log_{10}-transformed estimates of each species’ total range size. We estimated range sizes as the total land area of one-degree grid cells with records in the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) database – queried on 2nd April 2014. We were able to obtain range-size estimates for 61% of species in the dataset, including vertebrates, invertebrates and plants. The incompleteness and biases (geographic and taxonomic) of the GBIF database will render this an imperfect measure of range size, but it captures broad trends within taxonomic groups (Newbold et al. unpubl.). Chao's
sampling-corrected similarity, which corrects for sampling incompleteness (Chao et al. 2005, but see Beck et al. 2013 for a critique of sampling-corrected measures), requires integer counts of individuals, and thus could not be calculated for studies where abundances had to be rescaled because of varying sampling effort. Finally, we calculated a modification of the incidence-based Sørensen index that removes the measured changes in compositional similarity that are attributable solely to differences in alpha diversity (Wolda 1981, Koleff et al. 2003). The ratio (r) of species richness in the two sites (expressed as less rich/more rich) constrains the maximum value of species-list similarity to $S_{\text{max}} = 2r/(2r + (1 - r))$, so we simply divided species-list similarity by $S_{\text{max}}$. This is numerically equivalent to Simpson’s (1943) measure of assemblage similarity (henceforth Simpson similarity; see Supplementary materials Appendix 2 for derivation). An alternative to this correction would be to employ randomization tests (Chase et al. 2011). However, these would have been computationally impracticable given the very large number of pairwise comparisons of sites that we considered. The values of all compositional similarity measures used vary between 0 (entirely distinct communities) and 1 (identical communities).

We focus on the results using Sørensen similarity, because it is the simplest measure, is widely used, and can be calculated for all of our sites. Simpson similarity could also be calculated for all sites, but is less widely used and understood than the Sørensen index, while the datasets for the other measures (which demand more detailed data) were 14–43% smaller, and were reduced even more for some land-use comparisons. The results for these other measures of compositional similarity are presented in the supplementary information, with notable differences highlighted in the main text.

**Explanatory variables**

Three explanatory variables were used to model similarity between paired sites: geographic distance, environmental distance and the combination of land uses in which sites were located (for example, primary vegetation versus cropland). Geographic distance was calculated from the sites’ coordinates using the ‘distm’ function (with the haversine method) in the ‘geosphere’ package ver. 1.3-8 (Hijmans 2015) in R ver. 3.1.2 (R Core Team). Distances between pairs of sites reached over 1000 km, but 90% ranged between 1 and 100 km (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A2). Environmental distance was based on elevation and four bioclimatic variables at 30-arc-second resolution (Hijmans et al. 2005): maximum temperature of the warmest month, minimum temperature of the coldest month, precipitation of the wettest month, and precipitation of the driest month. A single measure of the environmental distance between each pair of sites was calculated from these variables using Gower distances, as implemented in the ‘FD’ package, ver. 1.0–12 (Laliberté et al. 2014), in R. Land use was classified as in Hudson et al. (2014): primary vegetation (sites with no record of historical destruction of natural vegetation; n = 4451 sites), mature secondary vegetation (sites where natural vegetation has been destroyed in the past, now in a late stage of recovery, with the habitat description suggesting that vegetation architecture is approaching original complexity; n = 617), intermediate secondary vegetation (natural vegetation destroyed in the past, in an intermediate stage of recovery; n = 944), young secondary vegetation (natural vegetation destroyed in the past, in an early stage of recovery with simple vegetation architecture; n = 1187), plantation forest (tree or shrub crops; n = 1626), cropland (arable agriculture, with herbaceous crops; n = 2642),

---

**Table 1. Numbers of sampled sites in each terrestrial biome.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>Number of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boreal forests/taiga</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deserts and xeric shrublands</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded grasslands and savannas</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangroves</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean forests, woodlands</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and scrub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montane grasslands and shrublands</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate broadleaf and mixed</td>
<td>4657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate conifer forests</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate grasslands, savannas</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and shrublands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical and subtropical coniferous forests</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical and subtropical dry broadleaf forests</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas and shrublands</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests</td>
<td>4198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biomes were derived from the WWF terrestrial ecosystems of the world dataset (Olson et al. 2001).
Table 2. Metrics of compositional similarity used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Name, reference and description</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ( S_i = \frac{2a}{2a + b + c} )</td>
<td>Sørensen’s incidence-based index (Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg 1974). ( a ) is the number of species recorded at both sites, ( b ) and ( c ) are the numbers of species recorded at one site and the other, respectively.</td>
<td>Sørensen similarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ( S_s = \frac{2UV}{U + V} )</td>
<td>Abundance-corrected Sørensen index (Chao et al. 2005). ( U ) is the sum of the relative abundances at one of the sites of the species recorded at both sites, ( V ) is the sum of the relative abundances at the other of the sites of species recorded at both sites.</td>
<td>Abundance-based Sørensen similarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ( S_r = \frac{2w_a}{2w_a + w_b + w_c} )</td>
<td>( w_i ) is the sum of the weights of the species recorded at both sites, and ( w_a, w_b, ) and ( w_c ) are the sums of the weights of the species recorded only at one site and the other, respectively. The weights are the reciprocal of the ( \log_{10} ) transformed estimates of the sum of species’ geographic range sizes.</td>
<td>Endemicity-weighted similarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. ( S_{Chao} = \frac{2UV}{U + V} )</td>
<td>Sampling-corrected Sørensen index (Chao et al. 2005). ( n ) and ( m ) are the total numbers of individuals recorded at the first and second sites respectively; for each species ( i ) among all of those recorded at both sites ( (D_i), X_i ) and ( Y_i ) are the numbers of individuals recorded in the first and second sites respectively; ( f_{i1} ) is the number of species with 1 individual and ( f_{i2} ) the number of species with 2 individuals recorded at site 1, and ( f_{r1} ) and ( f_{r2} ) the number of species with 1 or 2 individuals, respectively, recorded at site 2.</td>
<td>Chao’s sampling-corrected similarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ( S_{corr} = \frac{S}{S_{max}} ) ( S_{max} = \frac{2r}{2r + (1 - r)} ) ( r = \frac{a + b}{a + b}, ) where ( b &lt; c ) [ \text{Therefore,} ] ( S_{corr} = 1 - \frac{b}{a + b}, ) [ \text{which is Simpson (Simpson 1943) similarity} ]</td>
<td>Simpson similarity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The metrics used were all derivatives of the Sørensen index: the original version based only on the incidence of species \( S_i \); one that accounts for abundance differences \( S_s \); one that we developed that weights by species range size \( S_r \); one that accounts for incompleteness of sampling \( S_{Chao} \); and one that corrects for differences caused only by the relative alpha diversities of the sampled sites \( S_{corr} \).

pasture (livestock grazing; \( n = 2350) \) and urban (\( n = 702) \). To explore further the results for urban environments, we additionally classified urban sites by the intensity of human use: minimally used, for large managed green spaces and villages; and substantially used, for suburban environments, small managed green spaces in cities, and fully urban environments (Hudson et al. 2014). The classification of land use and land-use intensity is necessarily subjective, but a repeatability test has suggested that different data entrants are reasonably consistent (Cohen’s kappa for land use = 0.662; Hudson et al. 2014).

We were particularly interested in two aspects of compositional turnover. First, whether the similarity of ecological communities was affected by land use (objective 1); specifically, how similar are assemblages between paired sites in the same land use (objective 1a) or between a site in primary vegetation and sites in other land uses (objective 1b)? Geographic and environmental distances between sites were considered as covariates in all analyses, allowing us also to test whether the distance-decay of similarity is related to land use (objective 2). We analysed pairs of sites within the same land-use class to test the prediction from biotic homogenization (McKinney 2006) that distance-decay is steeper in primary vegetation than in human land uses (objective 2a). We also modelled how compositional similarity between a site in a non-primary land use and a site in primary vegetation is related to the combination of distance between sites and land use (objective 2b).

We included realm (temperate or tropical) as a covariate in the analyses, to test whether the effects of land use on
beta diversity are similar (objective 3). Realm was classified based on the biome (Olson et al. 2001) in which the sites fell (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table A1). Sites in three of the 553 studies fell within biomes belonging to both the tropical and temperate realms; we classified these sites as temperate because they were all close to the edge of the sub-tropics (average absolute latitudes ranged from 39.4° to 44.0°) and mostly (> 80% in all three studies) within temperate biomes. Effects of land use on compositional turnover may also vary within the tropical and temperate realms, for example among continents, given the known variation in the structure of ecological assemblages (Parmentier et al. 2007). However, we did not have sufficient data to analyse these differences in more detail. We also had insufficient data to test for differences among taxonomic groups.

The tropical and temperate realms differ fundamentally in species composition (Hillebrand 2004, Holt et al. 2013), landscape history (Ellis et al. 2013), topography and climate. In order to understand any differences in patterns of compositional turnover between the tropics and temperate areas (objective 4), we also conducted exploratory post-hoc analyses considering eight variables describing community composition, landscape history of sites, spatial topographic heterogeneity, climate seasonality, and possible sampling artefacts.

First, the total number of species in the regional species pool from which communities are assembled. We expect average compositional similarity to be lower and the decay of similarity with geographic distance steeper in more species-rich areas, because the sampled communities are drawn from a larger regional species pool and thus should be less similar to one another on average. As a proxy we used the vertebrate species richness in the 0.5° grid cell in which the mean of a study’s coordinates was located (which as expected was higher in our tropical studies than in our temperate studies: Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A3a), estimated by overlaying extent of occurrence polygons from the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), BirdLife International (BirdLife International and NatureServe 2012, IUCN 2013), and separately compiled estimates of reptile species richness (provided by Shai Meiri, Tel Aviv Univ.). We assume that vertebrate richness is a useful proxy for invertebrate and plant species richness, but this may not be the case.

Second, the average endemism (1/log(range area) of species sampled in a study, weighted by species’ abundance. We expect lower average compositional similarity and steeper decay of compositional similarity with distance in areas with a higher proportion of small-ranged species, given that these species have lower dispersal ability (Lester et al. 2007, Laube et al. 2013). Species found in the tropics have, on average, smaller range sizes than those found in temperate regions (Rapport’s rule: Stevens 1989; Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A3b). Range area of species was estimated as above.

Third, landscape history, which we characterised as the number of years since the 0.5° grid cell containing the central location of sites in the study was first 30% converted to human land uses (cropland, pasture and urban). This year was estimated based on the HYDE model of historical land use (Klein Goldewijk et al. 2011). We expect areas with a longer history of human use to show more biotic homogenization (higher compositional similarity and slower distance-decay) because the most sensitive species have already been filtered from the regional species pool (Balmford 1996) while non-native species may have had longer to perfuse assemblages in secondary and primary vegetation. Conversion to human land uses took place earlier on average in temperate regions – especially in the north – than in tropical areas (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A3c).

Fourth, the number of species sampled by a study, which was nearly two-fold higher in the lowest than the highest latitudes (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A3d). This latitudinal variation reflects well-known differences in species richness, but is much less pronounced (cf. Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A3a), suggesting that temperate studies are able to sample assemblages more comprehensively. Variation in sampling completeness might cause artefactual differences in compositional similarity, with less complete sampling leading to lower estimates of compositional similarity on average. Therefore, we also used the ratio of the number of distinct species sampled by a study to vertebrate species richness, as a rough proxy for sampling completeness. Unsurprisingly, this measure of sampling completeness correlated strongly with the number of species sampled in a study; therefore, the two variables were never considered in the same model.

Finally, we included three variables describing variation in environmental heterogeneity: temperature seasonality and precipitation seasonality, downloaded from WorldClim (Hijmans et al. 2005) at 2.5 arc-minute resolution, and topographic heterogeneity. Topographic heterogeneity was calculated from elevation data, also from WorldClim at 2.5 arc-minute resolution (Hijmans et al. 2005), using the topographic ruggedness index (Wilson et al. 2007). We expect areas with lower climate seasonality and higher topographic heterogeneity to have less similar assemblages and a steeper decay of similarity with distance. Note the coarser resolution of the climate data used here to that of the climate variables used in the main models, because we were interested here in broad-scale differences among studies.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted in R ver. 3.1.2 (R Core Team). Owing to the heterogeneity of the collated datasets, the main analyses were done using hierarchical mixed-effects models (Pinheiro and Bates 2000) as implemented in the ‘lme4’ ver. 1.0-6 (Bates et al. 2014) package in R. These models are robust to unbalanced designs (Pinheiro and Bates 2000), but we cannot rule out entirely the possibility for some bias in the estimated model coefficients. Analysing compositional similarity for all pairwise comparisons of sites using standard statistical approaches would entail substantial pseudo-replication. For n sites, there are n² possible comparisons, but the number of independent comparisons is 2n – 1, given by the diagonal and off-diagonal of the matrix of all possible comparisons (Longacre et al. 2005). For pairwise comparisons of sites in the same study, the diagonal of this matrix gives the self-comparisons, so we used as independent comparisons only the first off-diagonal from a randomized
site-by-site matrix for each study (Supplementary material Appendix 3). This randomization was repeated to generate 100 datasets of independent comparisons, which were each used in all models.

To account for the variation among the source datasets in taxonomic groups sampled, sampling methods and level of sampling effort, the study from which the site comparisons were drawn was fitted as a random intercept in all models. There could also be a structure to the sampled sites within each study (for example where sites are sampled in a blocked design; Hudson et al. 2014). However, including such a complex random-effects structure was not possible with our pairwise comparison data. Modelling all combinations of geographic distance, environmental distance, temperate vs tropical realm, land use, and their interactions would have created an unmanageable number of models. Therefore, we first selected the best model from those with combinations of geographic distance, environmental distance realm and their interactions (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table A2; the model with the lowest average AIC value across the 100 randomized datasets was selected). Distance-decay curves may be best represented by either exponential or power-law functions (Nekola and White 1999, Nekola and McGill 2014). We explored both by log-transforming either similarity (exponential), or both distance and similarity (power-law); the latter was overwhelmingly supported by the data for both geographic distance (ΔAIC = -563.4) and environmental distance (ΔAIC = -232.6). Log-transformed distances were fitted as a linear term, because more complex functional forms were not supported by exploratory modelling.

We then fit models, all of which had the best-fitting combination of the two distance measures and realm, but with different combinations of land use, realm and their interaction (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table A2). The fits of these models to the data were compared using Akaike information criterion (AIC) values. To assess the support for each term in the models, we calculated the Akaike weight, \( w \), for each model and summed \( w \) for all models containing a given term (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

We compared the estimated distance-decay slopes for different combinations of land uses (objective 2) using t-tests. Specifically, we asked – for a given combination of land uses – what proportion of the 100 randomized datasets yielded slopes significantly steeper or shallower than the slope for pairs of sites both in primary vegetation.

We performed a post-hoc exploration of the observed temperate/tropical differences in how land use affects compositional similarity (objective 4). Specifically we analysed within-study compositional similarities (i.e. the study-level random intercepts) between primary vegetation and the human land uses (plantation forest, cropland pasture and urban), from models with only additive effects of geographic distance and land use. Average compositional similarities across human land uses and datasets were modelled as a function of eight study-level variables: the size of the regional species pool, average endemicity, land-use history, number of sampled species, the proportion of the regional species pool sampled, temperature seasonality, precipitation seasonality, and topographic heterogeneity. In these post-hoc analyses, we fitted all possible combinations of the eight explanatory variables, except that the two collinear variables (number of species sampled and the completeness of sampling) were never fitted in the same model. Latitude (degrees of northing, as a second-order polynomial) was included in all models to control for any residual latitudinal effects. As before, the support for each model and term was evaluated with AIC values and summed AIC weights.

The explanatory variables used in these analyses of tropical-temperate differences are only proxies for the factors hypothesized to influence the observed patterns. Furthermore, owing to the complexity of the main models, which renders the effective sample size relatively low, we were only able to model effects on study-level random effects in a post-hoc analysis rather than incorporating the explanatory variables in the full models. Therefore, the post-hoc analyses should be seen as exploratory pending more detailed study.

Results

Effect of land use on average similarity

Sørensen similarity declined strongly with increasing geographic distance between sites (objective 1; \( \Sigma \text{AIC}w = 1; \) Fig. 2a). This relationship differed between the tropical and temperate realms (objective 3; \( \Sigma \text{AIC}w = 0.78 \), with a steeper decay of similarity with distance in the tropics.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** Relationship between compositional similarity and: (a) geographic distance; and (b) environmental distance between sites. The effect of geographic distance differed between the tropical (solid line) and temperate (dashed line) realms. Shading indicates \( \pm 95\% \) confidence intervals. Model fit and uncertainty are AIC-weighted averages and ranges (respectively) across models fitting all possible combinations of explanatory variables.
(Fig. 2a). Sørensen similarity also declined with increasing environmental distance between sites (objective 1; \( \Sigma AICw = 1 \); Fig. 2b), but this relationship did not differ strongly between the temperate and tropical realms (\( \Sigma AICw = 0.25 \)).

When effects of distance were controlled for, land use (within and among land uses) had a strong overall effect on Sørensen similarity (objective 1; \( \Sigma AICw = 1 \)), and the effect of land use differed strongly between the temperate and tropical realms (\( \Sigma AICw = 0.93 \)). Similarity between pairs of sites within the same land use (objective 1a) did not depend strongly on which land use this was, although temperate urban sites showed a tendency toward greater self-similarity than pairs of sites in primary vegetation (Fig. 3). Other measures of compositional similarity showed similar patterns (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A4a, 5a, 7a), except for Chao's sampling-corrected similarity (which suggested that non-natural land uses had assemblages that are less self-similar than those in primary vegetation: Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A6a); we caution that confidence intervals were wide in this model, probably because fewer sites could be included. Assemblages in human land uses had very different composition compared with those in primary vegetation (i.e. between-land-use comparisons; objective 1b), especially in the tropics and especially in pasture (Fig. 3b). Even secondary vegetation – especially early-stage secondary vegetation – had markedly different composition from primary vegetation (Fig. 3b). The other similarity measures showed a similar pattern (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A4–7, panels b), but some differences are worth noting. First, for endemicity-weighted similarity, differences were generally as great in the temperate realm as in the tropics (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A5b). Second, Simpson similarity suggested little compositional turnover between primary vegetation and most land uses in the temperate realm, whereas significant – though reduced – differences remained in the tropics (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A7b).

**Effect of land use on distance-decay**

The decay of compositional similarity with geographic distance differed substantially among land uses (objective 2; \( \Sigma AICw = 0.88 \)). When comparing pairs of sites in the same land use (objective 2a; Fig. 4), compositional similarity was highest at short distances, but decayed fastest with distance, in primary vegetation. In other land uses – especially plantation forest and pasture, and especially in the tropics – similarity at short distances tended to be slightly lower, while the distance-decay tended to be shallower (Fig. 4; objective 3). All other measures of compositional similarity showed very similar patterns (results not shown). The interaction between environmental distance and land use was weaker (\( \Sigma AICw = 0.56 \)) and is not considered further.

When comparing a primary-vegetation site and a site in another land use (objective 2b; Fig. 5), compositional similarity at short distances was highest when both sites were in primary vegetation. Secondary vegetation, particularly more mature secondary vegetation, was most similar to primary vegetation, whereas human land uses had more distinct assemblages. The distance-decay was steepest when comparing primary vegetation with itself or with mature secondary vegetation, and shallower when primary sites are compared with more disturbed land uses, especially young secondary vegetation, cropland and pasture (for these land uses, the 95% confidence limits around the slope estimate crossed zero; grey shading in Fig. 5). Urban environments were unusual among the human-dominated land uses, showing a pattern much more like primary vegetation. However, there were wide confidence limits on this result, possibly because of the small number of site comparisons, or because of the variety of conditions encompassed by urban environments.

Urban environments are very heterogeneous, ranging from parks (but not remnants of primary vegetation within cities; Hudson et al. 2014) to fully built-up city centres. Sørensen similarity between urban sites and sites in primary vegetation decreased with distance very differently across this gradient, with a steep distance-decay (steeper even than primary-primary comparisons) for large green spaces and villages, but a much shallower relationship for suburban and fully urban sites (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Fig. A8).

**Causes of tropical-temperate differences**

In our exploratory post-hoc analyses, five variables made a substantial contribution (\( \Sigma AICw > 0.3 \)) to explaining why
assemblages in human-dominated land uses were more dissimilar to those in primary vegetation (on average) in the tropics compared with the temperate realm. Compositional dissimilarity between primary and human-dominated land uses was slightly greater where average species endemicity was higher (ΣAICw = 0.32; Fig. 6a). Compositional dissimilarity between primary and human-dominated land use was twice as high in areas of low temperature seasonality than in areas of high seasonality (ΣAICw = 0.81; Fig. 6b), and precipitation seasonality showed a weak relationship in the same direction (ΣAICw = 0.36; Fig. 6c). There was a weak tendency for compositional dissimilarities to be higher in studies located in areas of low regional species richness (ΣAICw = 0.37; Fig. 6d), but a strong increase in dissimilarity with an increase in the number of species sampled by a study (ΣAICw = 0.80; Fig. 6e). There was not a clear relationship between the strength of differences in compositional similarity and either land-use history (ΣAICw = 0.29) or the estimated completeness of species sampling (ΣAICw = 0.11).

Discussion

Overall, we show a strong impact of land use on the turnover of assemblage composition. This impact can be divided into two key patterns: differences among land uses in average
human-modified land uses will be more similar to one another than those in less modified land uses. Several studies have shown that, within land uses, more intensively used areas have lower beta diversity (Gabriel et al. 2006, Clough et al. 2007, Hendrickx et al. 2007), but comparisons among land uses have been rare and results mixed (Tylianakis et al. 2005, Vellend et al. 2007). Using data from around the world and for many taxonomic groups, we found few clear, consistent differences in the average (distance-corrected) similarity of assemblages within different land uses (Fig. 3a).

In addition to understanding beta diversity patterns within different land uses, it is also important to ask whether non-primary land uses retain an assemblage composition similar to that typical of primary vegetation.

**Effect of land use on average similarity**

The idea of human-driven biotic homogenisation (McKinney and Lockwood 1999) predicts that assemblages in more human-modified land uses will be more similar to one another than those in less modified land uses. Several studies have shown that, within land uses, more intensively used areas have lower beta diversity (Gabriel et al. 2006, Clough et al. 2007, Hendrickx et al. 2007), but comparisons among land uses have been rare and results mixed (Tylianakis et al. 2005, Vellend et al. 2007). Using data from around the world and for many taxonomic groups, we found few clear, consistent differences in the average (distance-corrected) similarity of assemblages within different land uses (Fig. 3a).

In addition to understanding beta diversity patterns within different land uses, it is also important to ask whether land more heavily used by humans retains the assemblages typical of primary vegetation. Typically, we find that they do not, but this answer depends to some extent on the land use in question, whether sites are in the tropics or...
caused by differences in alpha diversity (Simpson similarity metric), differences among temperate land uses were generally not significant. On the other hand, compositional turnover between natural vegetation and human-modified land uses in the temperate region were stronger for endemicity-weighted similarity, suggesting that land-use-driven turnover in the temperate region is stronger among narrow-ranged species.

Effect of land use on the distance-decay of similarity

In addition to differences in average compositional similarity among land uses, we also find that the form of the distance-decay of compositional similarity varies with land use. Distance-decay relationships were generally steepest in primary vegetation (Fig. 4). Our results are similar to a previous study that focused on urban environments, which showed a similar decay of bird assemblage similarity with distances up to 1000 km in natural habitat, suburbs and city centres (McKinney 2006). Although the ecological assemblages of city centres are becoming more similar to one another across large (continental or global) areas

Figure 6. Relationships between the study-level difference in compositional similarity between human land uses and primary vegetation, and: (a) the average endemicity of species sampled in the study; (b) temperature seasonality; (c) precipitation seasonality; (d) the richness of the landscape species pool; and (e) the number of species sampled by the study. Study-level compositional differences were calculated as the average study-level random slope for land-use comparisons of primary vegetation with a human land use (across studies these have a mean of zero) minus the average fixed effect for human land uses. More negative values indicate a greater decline in compositional similarity in human land uses compared with primary vegetation. The solid lines represent the model-fitted relationship, and the dashed lines 95% confidence intervals, both calculated as AIC-weighted averages and ranges (respectively) from across models fitting all possible combinations of explanatory variables.
analysing the distance from a site in a non-primary land use et al. 2014), which we did not have appropriate information to edge of the nearest patch of primary vegetation (Gilroy assemblage composition in pairs of sites is not the same as results support this hypothesis: controlling for the efect of environmental heterogeneity is lower might be more sensi-

The strongest correlates of the strength of compositional-similarity differences among studies were temperature sea-

Ecosystems and the Environment Initiative.

As expected, assemblage composition differed more between primary and human-dominated land uses in studies from areas with lower temperature seasonality. It has long been suggested that species in tropical landscapes where environmental heterogeneity is lower might be more sensitive to environmental change than temperate species because they have lower tolerance of climatic variation (Janzen 1967) and a higher degree of specialization (Dyer et al. 2007). Our results support this hypothesis: controlling for the effect of latitude, assemblages in climatically (temperature and to a lesser extent precipitation) stable areas were more sensitive to land use.

Also in line with our hypotheses, differences in assemblage composition were much stronger when a larger number of species were sampled. By chance, one expects a lower similarity of assemblage composition when the sampled species are drawn from a bigger pool. It was surprising therefore to find a tendency toward larger compositional differences between primary and human-dominated land uses in areas where the species pool is smaller. However, this relationship was weak, and the estimate of the size of the regional species pool was based only on vertebrates, which might not be representative of the other taxa considered.

Conclusions

We found little evidence for substantial differences in the spatial turnover of community composition within different land uses. Although the differences in beta diversity within land uses were small, we show that non-primary habitats are poor at retaining the species characteristic of primary vegetation. We showed a similar pattern previously (Newbold et al. 2015), but without controlling for the potentially confounding influences of either geographic or environmental distance between sites. Here we show an important influence of land use on assemblage composition even after accounting for distance efects; we also find that distance-decay curves are not the same in different land uses.

In the temperate realm, compositional turnover among land uses was smaller than in the tropical realm, and could be explained mostly by loss of local (alpha) diversity. Our exploratory analyses suggest that the smaller changes in the temperate realm may be because of differences in species diversity, and in climate seasonality.

Our results suggest a mixed outcome for biodiversity under ongoing land-use change. On the one hand, within-
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