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INTRODUCTION

G quadruplexes (G4s) can present potent blocks to DNA replication. Accurate and timely replication of G4s in vertebrates requires multiple specialized DNA helicases and polymerases to prevent genetic and epigenetic instability. Here we report that PrimPol, a recently described primase-polymerase (PrimPol), plays a crucial role in the bypass of leading strand G4 structures. While PrimPol is unable to directly replicate G4s, it can bind and reprime downstream of these structures. Disruption of either the catalytic activity or zinc-finger of PrimPol results in extreme G4-dependent epigenetic instability at the BU-1 locus in avian DT40 cells, indicative of extensive uncoupling of the replicative helicase and polymerase. Together, these observations implicate PrimPol in promoting restart of DNA synthesis downstream of, but closely coupled to, G4 replication impediments.

SUMMARY

G quadruplexes (G4s) can present potent blocks to DNA replication. Accurate and timely replication of G4s in vertebrates requires multiple specialized DNA helicases and polymerases to prevent genetic and epigenetic instability. Here we report that PrimPol, a recently described primase-polymerase (PrimPol), plays a crucial role in the bypass of leading strand G4 structures. While PrimPol is unable to directly replicate G4s, it can bind and reprime downstream of these structures. Disruption of either the catalytic activity or zinc-finger of PrimPol results in extreme G4-dependent epigenetic instability at the BU-1 locus in avian DT40 cells, indicative of extensive uncoupling of the replicative helicase and polymerase. Together, these observations implicate PrimPol in promoting restart of DNA synthesis downstream of, but closely coupled to, G4 replication impediments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To examine the possibility that PrimPol contributes to G4 replication in vivo, we took advantage of a recently described assay that monitors G4 replication through the stochastic downregulation of transcription of the BU-1A locus in chicken DT40 cells (Schiavone et al., 2014; Sarkies et al., 2012). The six exons of the BU-1 locus encode a surface glycoprotein whose expression can be monitored with specific antibodies. The locus contains a G4
Figure 1. PrimPol Is Required to Maintain Epigenetic Stability of the BU-1 Locus of DT40 Cells

(A) The BU-1 locus. The leading strand of a replication fork entering the locus from the right stalls at the +3.5 G4 (lollipop). This leads to the formation of a putative post-replicative gap and loss of key histone modifications in a zone of interrupted histone recycling extending up to 4.5 kb from the +3.5 G4 motif (Schiavone et al., 2014).

(B) Instability of Bu-1a expression in primpol cells. Top: FACS plots of wild-type and primpol DT40 cells stained with anti-Bu-1a conjugated to phycoerythrin. Each line represents the Bu-1a expression profile of an individual clonal population. Bu-1a high and unstained controls are shown in red and blue, respectively, in the primpol panel. Subclones expanded from each population of primpol cells (Bu-1a high, red; Bu-1a high, green; Bu-1a high, blue) are shown in the bottom panel. For reference, a Bu-1a high wild-type profile is shown in red.

(C) H3K4me3 upstream (dark green) and downstream (light green) of the TSS of BU1A in the three populations of primpol cells. The specific ChIP signal was normalized first to H3 and then to the level in Bu-1a high cells. p values relative to Bu-1a high **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001 (Welch’s t test).

(D) H3K9me3 upstream (dark blue) and downstream (light blue) of the TSS of BU1A in the three populations of primpol cells. The specific ChIP signal was normalized first to H3 and then to the level in Bu-1a high cells. Statistics are as in (C).

(E) ChIP controls. Enrichment of H3K4me3 at the promoter of the transcriptionally active GAS41 locus. Enrichment of H3K9me3 at the repressed β-globin locus. The ChIP signals are normalized to total H3.

(F-G) Methylation of CpG sites at the primpol transgene and endogenous Bu-1a locus respectively in three clonal populations of DT40 cells expressing increasing levels of Bu-1a.

(H) Probability of Bu-1a loss per division (G4 on both alleles).
motif within the second intron, 3.5 kb downstream of the transcription start site (TSS) (Figure 1A). In cells lacking enzymes needed for effective G4 replication, such as the REV1 polymerase or FANCJ helicase, replication stalling at the +3.5 G4 results in stochastic loss of wild-type levels of Bu-1a expression as cells divide (Sarkies et al., 2012; Schiavone et al., 2014). We previously provided evidence that this transcriptional instability results from localized loss of coupling between DNA synthesis and histone recycling and failure to accurately propagate the parental pattern of histone modifications. In the BU-1A locus, this results in loss of H3K4me3 and H3K9ac around the TSS and hence loss of high level Bu-1a expression (Schiavone et al., 2014). The rate at which Bu-1a loss variants are generated can be measured by fluctuation analysis, allowing a per division probability of losing Bu-1a<sup>high</sup> expression to be calculated (Schiavone et al., 2014).

To establish whether loss of PrimPol gives rise to transcriptional instability of BU-1A, we monitored surface Bu-1a expression in primpol cells (Bianchi et al., 2013). As expected, wild-type DT40 cells are uniformly Bu-1a<sup>high</sup> (Figure 1B). Strikingly, however, PrimPol-deficient cells exhibited three discrete levels of Bu-1a expression (Figure 1B), Bu-1a<sup>high</sup>, Bu-1a<sup>medium</sup>, and Bu-1a<sup>low</sup>, the last of which has essentially lost Bu-1a expression. Through sorting these populations, we found that Bu-1a<sup>medium</sup> and Bu-1a<sup>low</sup> cells arise spontaneously, sequentially, and irreversibly from Bu-1a<sup>high</sup> populations (Figure 1B). Sequencing around the +3.5 G4 and restriction mapping of the whole BU-1A locus in Bu-1a<sup>low</sup> cells revealed no evidence of mutation or gross genetic instability (Figure S1). However, chromatin immunoprecipitation from each population revealed that the Bu-1a<sup>medium</sup> population exhibits reduction in the “active” mark H3K4me3 at the BU-1 promoter, but no increase in “repressive” H3K9me3 or DNA methylation (Figures 1C–1F and S2). The Bu-1a<sup>low</sup> population had not only lost H3K4me3 but also exhibited significant enrichment of H3K9me3 and DNA methylation (Figures 1C–1F and S2). Thus, lack of PrimPol results in spontaneous and stochastic silencing of the BU-1A locus, first with loss of parental H3K4me3 followed by heterochromatinization.

To estimate the frequency with which Bu-1a<sup>high</sup> expression is lost in primpol cells, we performed a fluctuation analysis (Schiavone et al., 2014). Bu-1a<sup>high</sup> primpol cells were isolated and expanded from c. two to c. 10<sup>5</sup> cells, approximating to an average of 20 divisions, after which the proportion of cells that had lost wild-type levels of Bu-1a expression was monitored over the course of 20 divisions, after which the proportion of cells that had lost wild-type levels of Bu-1a expression was monitored over the course of 20 divisions, after which the proportion of cells that had lost wild-type levels of Bu-1a expression was monitored. Compared with wild-type cells, the two independent primpol mutants tested exhibited extremely high-frequency generation of Bu-1a loss variants (Figure 1G). This instability of BU-1A expression was fully complemented by expression of human PrimPol (Figure 1G). Using our previously described Monte Carlo simulation (Schiavone et al., 2014), we estimated that the rate of loss of full BU-1A expression in primpol equated to a per division probability of 0.067. This loss was nearly 5-fold higher than observed in the rev1 mutant but comparable to the instability observed in fancj cells grown under the same conditions (Figure 1H).

To test whether the instability of Bu-1a expression was due to the +3.5 G4, we deleted the motif on both alleles of BU-1 by homologous gene targeting. This resulted in complete stabilization of expression of Bu-1a (Figure 2A). Reintroduction of the +3.5 G4 motif back into its original position in the BU-1A allele resulted once again in unstable expression of the gene (Figure 2B), confirming that the structure is necessary and sufficient to cause the epigenetic instability of the locus. To assess whether the instability of the BU-1A locus was dependent on the orientation of the G4 motif, we reintroduced an inverted version of the +3.5 G4. In this orientation, the G4 motif did not induce instability (Figure 2C). This is consistent with arrest of lagging strand synthesis in the body of the gene not resulting in gaps of sufficient length to affect histone propagation around the promoter, as we have previously reported in REV1-deficient cells (Schiavone et al., 2014).

We next asked whether PrimPol is required at particular types of G4. The BU-1 +3.5 G4 motif forms hybrid parallel/anti-parallel structures in vitro but has a long (9 base pair) non-G loop and intermediate T<sub>m</sub> of 65.5°C (Schiavone et al., 2014). We therefore also assessed the impact of two model G4 motifs with very different in vitro properties. G4#1 (Schiavone et al., 2014) (5′-TTGGTTTTGTTTGGTTTTGGT-3′) forms a predominantly anti-parallel structure in K<sup>+</sup> ions but has a low in vitro melting temperature of under 30°C (Figures 2D–2F). In contrast, G4#4 (Schiavone et al., 2014) (5′-TTTTGGTTGGTTGGTTGGTTTT-3′) forms a very thermally stable (~95°C), predominantly parallel structure. In REV1-deficient cells, we previously reported that Bu-1a instability correlated with non-G loop length but surprisingly not with in vitro T<sub>m</sub> (Schiavone et al., 2014). Strikingly, in the primpol mutant, this relationship was reversed (Figures 2D–2F) with the greatest instability being observed with G4#4, which also has the highest in vitro T<sub>m</sub>.

To understand the basis for these in vivo observations, we next examined the biochemical activity of PrimPol at G4 structures. Replicative polymerases are unable to synthesize effectively through G4s, which thus present a profound replication block in vitro (Woodford et al., 1994). We therefore presented purified PrimPol (Figure S3A) with a variety of G4 secondary structures (the BU-1, or model G4s). PrimPol had some limited capacity to synthesize through G4s formed in the presence of sodium ions (data not shown), which result in structures that
are less stable than those formed in K+ (Hardin et al., 1992). However, it was incapable of synthesizing through template strands containing G4 structures formed in the presence of 50 mM potassium ions, exhibiting significant stalling at these “roadblocks” (Figure S3B). We also repeated the assays in the presence of auxiliary factors (RPA and PCNA). However, no significant increase in G4 bypass was observed (data not shown). Together, these data establish that human PrimPol, on its own or in the presence of additional replication factors, stalls at G4s and is unable to effectively perform template-dependent synthesis through these secondary structures in vitro.

Figure 2. Determinants of Bu-1a Instability in primpol Cells
Fluctuation analyses for Bu-1a loss in clones of the indicated BU-1A genotype. All experiments were carried out in cells in which the +3.5 G4 motif had been deleted on both the BU-1A and BU-1B alleles to avoid any influence of the previously described transvection-like effect between the two alleles (Schiavone et al., 2014).

(A) Bu-1a loss in primpol cells in which the +3.5 G4 motif has been deleted on both the BU-1A and BU-1B alleles.

(B) Bu-1a loss in primpol cells in which the +3.5 G4 motif has been reintroduced into the BU-1A allele. Note the level of instability is approximately 50% of that seen in primpol cells (Figure 1G) due to a transvection-like effect between the two alleles in which loss of expression in one leads to loss of expression in the other (Schiavone et al., 2014).

(C) Bu-1a loss following reintroduction of the +3.5 G4 motif inverted so that the G-rich strand is now on the lagging strand template for a fork entering the locus from the right.

(D) Bu-1a loss following replacement of the +3.5 G4 motif with a thermodynamically weak G4 motif, which in vitro forms a predominantly anti-parallel structure with a Tm of 27°C (Schiavone et al., 2014).

(E) Bu-1a loss following replacement of the +3.5 G4 motif with a thermodynamically strong G4 motif, which in vitro forms a predominantly parallel structure with a Tm of >95°C (Schiavone et al., 2014).

(F) Per-division probability of loss of Bu-1a high expression with different G4s. The indicated G4s were knocked into the BU-1A allele of wild-type and primpol BU-1A G4+/BU-1B G4− cells in the position of the +3.5 G4. Biophysical data is taken from (Schiavone et al., 2014). The Monte Carlo simulation was modified to account for a G4 being present only on the BU-1A allele.
Although full-length PrimPol has limited binding affinity for DNA (Keen et al., 2014), the catalytic domain (PrimPol1-354) binds proficiently to both ss and ds DNA. To investigate the affinity of PrimPol1-354 for specific G4 structures, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) with a +3.5 BU-1A G4 DNA substrate formed in the presence of 50 mM potassium ions. Specific shifted species were observed upon addition of increasing concentrations of PrimPol1-354 to the BU-1A G4 structure (Figure 3A), indicating that this enzyme can also bind to folded G4 structures. To investigate whether G4 loop size plays a role in PrimPol binding, we repeated the EMSAs with G4 substrates possessing loops of decreasing length from 4 to 1 (G4#1–4). PrimPol1-354 formed shifted intermediates with G4#1 and G4#2 (Figures 3A and S3C), which contain non-G loops of 4 and 3, respectively. However, more prominent species were observed with G4#3 and, particularly, with G4#4 that contain loops of 2 and 1, respectively, and that form more thermodynamically stable structures. Together, these findings demonstrate that PrimPol can bind to template DNA containing G4 structures, with greater affinity for quadruplexes with short loops and higher thermal stability.

Homopolymeric dexoxyguanosine can form inter- and intramolecular G4 structures in solution (Sengar et al., 2014). To investigate whether PrimPol also exhibits binding preferences...
for homopolymeric DNA, we performed EMSAs on a set of single-stranded homopolymeric DNA sequences (50-mer poly dCT, dA, dC, dT, or dG). Although full-length PrimPol exhibited little affinity for poly dA or poly dC, it bound with significant affinity to poly dT, forming two major intermediates (Figure 3B). This interaction is consistent with PrimPol’s preference to catalyze primer synthesis on homopolymeric dT templates (Bianchi et al., 2013). Strikingly, PrimPol formed prominent super-shifted intermediates with poly dG, suggesting that it possesses sequence or structural specificity for dG homopolymers. We repeated the EMSAs assays with the catalytic core of the enzyme (PrimPol1,354) to determine whether the C-terminal UL52 zinc finger domain, shown previously to bind ssDNA, is required for this preferential homopolymer binding. PrimPol1,354 showed a similar profile as the full-length enzyme (Figure S3D), appearing to bind more tightly, suggesting that the AEP catalytic core of the enzyme mainly provides this sequence specificity. Next, to determine whether PrimPol can differentially bind homopolymeric dG sequences flanked by random sequences, and also determine the minimal length of poly dG that can be favorably bound by PrimPol, we repeated the EMSAs with shorter homopolymers located in the middle of random sequence ssDNA. Notably, PrimPol1,354 formed stable shifted complexes with short Poly dG (12, 9, and 6 mers), while showing limited affinity for other short 12 nt homopolymers (dA, dC, or dT) (Figure 3C).

Previously, we implicated the repriming activity of PrimPol in maintaining replication fork progression following UV damage in avian cells (Keen et al., 2014). Given the inability of PrimPol to extend through G4 intermediates, we next tested its capacity to reprim on the distal side of these structural barriers, G4#4, which forms a highly stable G4 (Schiavone et al., 2014) and potent block to PrimPol (Figure S3B), was incorporated into a mixed sequence template strand. In order to analyze repriming downstream of the G4, and better represent a situation where replication has stalled at the structure, a primer containing a 3'-dideoxynucleotide (3'-dd) was annealed upstream of the G4 motif (Figure 3D). Additionally, templates containing only a short sequence (5 bases) upstream of the G4, and no 3'-dd primer, were used to eliminate any artifactual results caused by the primer. Although PrimPol was unable to synthesize through this G4 (Figure S3B), it catalyzed de novo synthesis of primer strands on the G4 templates (Figure 3D). The size of the extended products, both on templates with and without a 3'-dd primer, were consistent with repriming ~6 nt downstream of the G4 structure. When tested on the equivalent templates containing no G4 structure, PrimPol synthesized longer and more variable products, suggesting priming in multiple locations further upstream. Based on template configurations and the lengths of fully extended primers, it is apparent that repriming on the G4 templates is occurring almost immediately after the structure, leaving only a minimal sized gap before the restart of replication is resumed. Although PrimPol has preference for primer synthesis on pyrimidine tracts (Bianchi et al., 2013; Rudd et al., 2013), repriming is initiated on these mixed sequence templates. This mechanism is consistent with its proposed role in reinitiating DNA synthesis immediately after G4 and other impediments during replication.

Thus, PrimPol plays a prominent role in preventing fork stalling at G4s in vivo. Although it is unable to directly replicate G4s in vitro, it can bind to the structures and reprim after them. These observations suggested that the repriming activity of PrimPol, dependent on its C-terminal zinc finger, may be its most important contribution to G4 replication in vivo. To test whether both the catalytic and zinc finger domains are needed for the efficient in vivo replication of the +3.5 G4 motif, we complemented primpol cells with catalytically inactive human PrimPol (D114A/E116A; AxA) or PrimPol carrying a mutated zinc finger (C419A/H426A; ZfKO). All were fused to YFP to allow expression to be monitored. We then cloned individual YFP-positive Bu-1 high cells, expanded them, and performed a fluctuation analysis for loss of Bu-1a expression. Interestingly, while expression of wild-type PrimPol was stably maintained, expression of both the AxA and ZfKO mutants appeared to be deleterious and was unstable. However, in those cells that retained expression of YFP, which reflected retention of full-length mutant PrimPol (Figure S4), neither mutant was able to prevent instability of Bu-1a expression, in contrast to wild-type PrimPol (Figure 4A). These findings strongly suggest that repriming is the essential mechanism used by PrimPol to ensure stable fork progression at these structural impediments, preventing epigenetic instability caused by formation of long, post-replicative leading strand gaps.

Localization of PrimPol to G4s and its ability to reprim close to them may be facilitated by a combination of the C-terminal domains binding single-stranded DNA and RPA (Guilliam et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2013) and the innate capacity of its catalytic domain to bind homopolymeric sequences and G4 structures (Figure 4B). An interesting outstanding question is whether the G4 binding of PrimPol in vitro also contributes more directly to their bypass in vivo. The avidity of PrimPol for homopolymeric sequences suggests that it could act as a DNA chaperone preventing refolding of G4 motifs into structures during replication fork progression. It is also possible that in vivo PrimPol may act in concert with other factors to melt and stabilize these G4s, in a manner similar to that proposed for RPA and REV1 (Qureshi et al., 2012; Eddy et al., 2014). However, unlike REV1, which appears to be required most at G4s with long loops (Schiavone et al., 2014), the absence of PrimPol is most noticeable at structures of high thermodynamic stability. While this may reflect the stronger binding of PrimPol to more thermodynamically stable G4s, it may also, more simply, result from the intrinsically greater potency of such structures as replication blocks and therefore correlate with the need for repriming. Together with our previous data (Schiavone et al., 2014), the observations presented here provide clear evidence of differential in vivo genetic requirements at G4s with distinct in vitro properties.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**DT40 Protocols**

DT40 cells were cultured at 37°C in RPMI 1640 with Glutamax supplemented with 7% fetal calf serum and 3% chicken serum. primpol BU-1504 was generated by deleting the +3.5 G4 motif from both alleles of BU-1 focus, as described...
BU-1 DG4 cells were targeted with PrimPol targeting constructs, as described (Bianchi et al., 2013). G4 knockins in the BU-1 locus performed as described (Schiavone et al., 2014). Complemented cells were obtained by transfecting DT40 PrimPol cells with vectors expressing YFP-tagged PrimPol, the catalytic (AXA) or zinc finger (ZnF) mutants, and selecting puromycin-resistant clones. PrimPol expression was confirmed by flow cytometry (YFP) and western blots (Supplemental Information).

**Bu-1a Staining and Fluctuation Analysis for Bu-1a Loss**

Confluent cells (0.4 \( \times 10^6 \) to 2 \( \times 10^6 \)/ml) were directly stained for 10 min with anti-Bu-1a conjugated with phycoerythrin (Santa Cruz clone 5K98) at a 1:10 dilution. Cells analyzed by flow cytometry using an LSRII cytometer. To carry out fluctuation analysis, single cells staining positive for Bu-1a were sorted using a MOFLO sorting cytometer and grown for 20 generations before staining and analysis using flow cytometry as above.

**Analysis of Bu1a Locus in PrimPol Bu1a\textsubscript{low} Cells**

A population of primpol Bu1a\textsubscript{low} cells was isolated from a bulk primpol population by sorting, see above. Genomic DNA from this population and WT cells was extracted and used for PCR amplification of fragments A, B, and C (Figure S1) using Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (primers are in Supplemental Information). Products cloned into pBlueScript, and digested with ApaLI (Fragment A) and ScaI (Fragments B and C). PCR and digestion products run on 0.8% agarose gels. Fragment B cloned from primpol Bu1a\textsubscript{low} cells was also used to make a library in E. coli, and the region around the G4 sequenced using primer G4Seq (Supplemental Information) and aligned against the WT sequence.

**Figure 4. Mechanism of G4 Bypass by PrimPol**

(A) Complementation of primpol cells with human PrimPol. PrimPol, or mutants, tagged with YFP were expressed in primpol cells and single YFP +ve/Bu-1a +ve clones were expanded for 3 weeks. In order to exclude cells that had lost expression of PrimPol during expansion, FACS analysis for Bu-1a expression was performed after gating for YFP expression. The fluctuation analyses are presented separately for YFP +ve (filled circles) and YFP –ve (open circles) cells. Wild-type PrimPol was expressed stably so YFP–ve cells were not generated.

(B) A G4 blocks the leading strand polymerase resulting in exposure initially of a short tract of single-stranded DNA ahead of the G4, to which RPA binds. If PrimPol is present, it can be recruited to the RPA and adjacent ssDNA through its C-terminal RBD and ZnF domains. Binding of the catalytic domain to the G4 may also help localize the enzyme. PrimPol synthesizes a short primer adjacent to the G4 and this allows replication to continue, maintaining coupling of DNA synthesis with the advancing helicase and with histone recycling and thus the parental epigenetic state of the locus is maintained as the cells divide. If PrimPol is absent, there is no repriming resulting in a much longer tract of ssDNA being exposed at the G4. This results in significant displacement of parental histones. The resulting gap may be replicated by a fork arriving from the other direction or by eventual release of the blocked polymerase as the structure is unwound. In either case, if the gap is replicated without the supply of parental histones, the pre-existing epigenetic state is lost. See also Figure S4.
**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation was performed as described (Schiavone et al., 2014) using these antibodies: Histone H3 (Abcam Cat# ab1791 RRID: AB_302613), H3K4me3 (Cell Signalling Technology Cat# 9727L RRID: AB_561097), H3K9me3 (Abcam Cat# ab8988 RRID: AB_306848), and Normal rabbit IgG (Millipore Cat# N01-100UG RRID: AB_490574). Supplemental Information contains PCR primers for ChIP-qPCR.

**Bisulphite Treatment and DNA Methylation Mapping**

PrimPol Bu1a<sup>tert</sup>, Bu1a<sup>mut</sup>, and Bu1a<sup>tert</sup> pure populations were isolated and genomic DNA extracted. DNA was bisulphite treated using EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit. Fragments ~0.5 kb and >0.5 kb from the TSS were amplified with ZymoTaq and resulting fragments cloned into pBluescript and sequenced.

**Primer Extension Reactions**

DNA templates containing G4s were annealed to 5′ hexachlorofluorescein (Hex) labeled primers in annealing buffer plus 50 mM KCl. Primer extension assays were performed using DNA substrates (Supplemental Information). Primer extensions were carried out at 37°C in buffer containing 10 mM Bis-Tris-Propane-HCl (pH 7.0), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM DNA, 200 μM dNTPs, and 100 nM PrimPol. Primer extensions were monitored over time (1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 min) and quenched with 200 nM competitor oligo and stop buffer (95% formamide with 0.25% bromophenol blue and xylene cyanol dyes). Products of the reactions were boiled and resolved on a 12.5% (v/v) polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel. Gels scanned using an FLA-5100 image reader (Fujifilm).

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed in reactions containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 30 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 100 nM Hex-labeled ssDNA, and PrimPol (0.7, 2.5, 5, 10 μM). All ssDNA probes are listed in Supplemental Information. PrimPol and ssDNA were incubated at 25°C for 30 min and then Ficoll was added (2.5% (v/v) final conc.) and resolved on 6% (v/v) native polyacrylamide gels in 0.5 M TBE buffer. Samples were heated to 95°C and resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel. Gels scanned using an FLA-5100 image reader.

**Primase Assays**

5′ biotin-labeled DNA was incubated at 95°C in annealing buffer with 50 mM KCl and cooled to allow G4 structure formation and primer annealing. PrimPol (2 μM) was incubated with templates (1 μM) for 30 min at 37°C in buffer (10 mM Bis-Tris-Propane-HCl [pH 7.0], 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, 250 μM dNTPs or rNTPs, and 2.5 μM FAM-labeled oligonucleotide). Reactions were quenched with 6 M urea and 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA and incubated with streptavidin beads for 1 hr at 4°C. Beads were washed with 35% buffer and suspended in stop buffer (see above). Samples were heated to 95°C and resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel for 90 min. Products were visualized on an FLA-5100 imager.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedure and four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.10.038.
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