Constraining the Lyα escape fraction with far-infrared observations of Lyα emitters
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ABSTRACT

We study the far-infrared properties of 498 Lyα emitters (LAEs) at z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5 in the Extended Chandra Deep Field-South, using 250, 350, and 500 µm data from the Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey and 870 µm data from the LABOCA ECDFS Submillimeter Survey. None of the 126, 280, or 92 LAEs at z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5, respectively, are individually detected in the far-infrared data. We use stacking to probe the average emission to deeper flux limits, reaching 1σ depths of ~0.1 to 0.4 mJy. The LAEs are also undetected at ≥3σ in the stacks, although a 2σ signal is observed at 870 µm for the z = 2.8 sources. We consider a wide range of far-infrared spectral energy distributions (SEDs), including an M82 and an Sd galaxy template, to determine upper limits on the far-infrared luminosities and far-infrared-derived star formation rates of the LAEs. These star formation rates are then combined with those inferred from the Lyα and UV emission to determine lower limits on the LAEs’ Lyα escape fraction (fesc(Lyα)). For the Sd SED template, the inferred LAEs fesc(Lyα) are ≥30% (1σ) at z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5, which are all significantly higher than the global fesc(Lyα) at these redshifts. Thus, if the LAEs fesc(Lyα) follows the global evolution, then they have warmer far-infrared SEDs than the Sd galaxy template. The average and M82 SEDs produce lower limits on the LAE fesc(Lyα) of ~10%–20% (1σ), all of which are slightly higher than the global evolution of fesc(Lyα), but consistent with it at the 2σ–3σ level.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The 1216 Å Lyα emission line is a tracer of the ionizing photons radiated by young stars. The spectral line originates from the n = 2 → 1 transition of hydrogen and can contain up to ~6% of the bolometric luminosity of a star-forming galaxy (Partridge & Peebles 1967). It reliably identifies star-forming galaxies at redshifts z > 2, with Lyα line searches now well established as a robust method for selecting samples of high-redshift galaxies, using both narrowband images (e.g., Cowie & Hu 1998; Rhoads et al. 2000, 2003; Gronwall et al. 2007; Gawiser et al. 2007; Ouchi et al. 2008; Finkelstein et al. 2008, 2009d; Guaita et al. 2010) and spectroscopic surveys (e.g., Steidel et al. 1999; Deharveng et al. 2008; Blanc et al. 2011). Thousands of photometrically selected Lyα emitters (LAEs) have been identified, hundreds of which have been spectroscopically confirmed (e.g., Hu et al. 2004; Dawson et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009) at z ≈ 0.3 (Deharveng et al. 2008; Finkelstein et al. 2009a; Cowie et al. 2010) to z ≈ 7 (Iye et al. 2006; Ouchi et al. 2009, 2010; Rhoads et al. 2012; Shibuya et al. 2012).

However, the interpretation of Lyα observations is challenging because Lyα photons interact with the neutral hydrogen in the interstellar medium (ISM) and are resonantly scattered. Furthermore, due to their short wavelength, they are also susceptible to absorption by dust, which further complicates analyses (Neufeld 1991; Hansen & Oh 2006; Finkelstein et al. 2009c). Radiative transfer in the dusty, multiphase and dynamic ISM is complex, and thus observations of the escape fraction of Lyα photons (fesc(Lyα)), defined as the ratio of observed to intrinsic Lyα emission, are also useful for probing the clumpiness and distribution of dust and gas in the ISM, which is typically spatially unresolved at high redshift.
Various methods have been applied to estimate the intrinsic Lyα emission, which is required for calculating $f_{\text{esc}}(\text{Ly}\alpha)$. For example, under the case B recombination theory (Baker & Menzel 1938), the intrinsic Lyα line flux can be estimated using the Hα line flux, corrected for dust extinction; but measuring both the Lyα and Hα lines is possible only over narrow redshift ranges (e.g., Atek et al. 2009; Finkelstein et al. 2011a for $z \sim 0.3$ LAEs, and Hayes et al. 2010; Finkelstein et al. 2011b for $z \sim 2.3$ LAEs). Other methods of estimating the intrinsic Lyα flux rely on Lyα photon’s ability to trace young stars. Thus, intrinsic Lyα emission is connected to the intrinsic star formation rate (SFR), which can be estimated from the UV continuum (subject to dust extinction), or X-ray emission (Zheng et al. 2012), which is extinction free, but relies on an empirically calibrated relation between X-ray emission and SFR (Nandra et al. 1994). Measurements of dust absorption from the UV spectral slopes of LAEs also suggest that the Lyα escape fraction can be estimated from the integrated SFR derived from the apparent Lyα and rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) luminosities, the Lyα escape fraction is calculated.

Altogether, the SFR can be estimated from measurements of the far-infrared continuum emission, which in young galaxies is emitted by dust heated by young stars (e.g., Kennicutt 1989; Egami et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2006; Rieke et al. 2009; Calzetti et al. 2010; Murphy et al. 2011). The Lyα and far-infrared measurements provide complementary views of the non-dusty and dusty regions of a galaxy, respectively. In this paper we use continuum 250, 350, 500, and 870 μm observations to probe the dust emission of three samples of LAEs at $z = 2.8$ (Z.-Y. Zheng et al., in preparation), 3.1 (Gronwall et al. 2007; Ciardullo et al. 2012), and 4.5 (Finkelstein et al. 2009d; Zheng et al. 2013). As the LAEs are too faint to be individually detected in the far-infrared data, we use a stacking analysis to reach deeper flux limits and investigate the average emission from the sources (e.g., Davies et al. 2013 stacking of $z \sim 4.5$ LAEs at 870 μm). Then, by comparing the integrated SFR derived from the far-infrared luminosity with the integrated SFR derived from the apparent Lyα and rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) luminosities, the Lyα escape fraction is calculated.

In Section 2 we present the Lyα samples and the far-infrared data used in the analysis. The stacking procedure is described in Section 3, and the far-infrared spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of LAEs and the Lyα escape fraction are presented and discussed in Section 4. Our conclusions are presented in Section 5. Throughout this paper we use ACDM cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$, and $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. DATA

Lyα emission is easily absorbed by dust; therefore, LAE selections may preferentially bias against galaxies with bright far-infrared (dust) emission, although this supposition depends on the distribution of dust in the ISM. Indeed, we note the large fraction of Lyα detections among submillimeter galaxies (SMGs; e.g., Chapman et al. 2005) and their occasional association with Lyα blobs (Ivison et al. 1998). Measurements of dust absorption from the UV spectral slopes of LAEs also suggest that LAEs will be faint at far-infrared wavelengths (Finkelstein et al. 2009c). Therefore, in this paper we consider LAEs in the Extended Chandra Deep Field South (ECDFS) survey region, where extensive deep far-infrared data are available.

2.1. Sample Selection

We examine a total of 498 LAEs in the ECDFS in three redshift bins: $z = 2.8$, $z = 3.1$, and $z = 4.5$.

The $z = 2.8$ sample consists of 126 photometrically selected LAEs identified in narrowband NB466, NB470, and NB475 (all with FWHM $\sim 50$ Å), with VLT/VIMOS $U$-band (Nonino et al. 2009) and MUSYC $B$-band (Gawiser et al. 2006) coverage. The selection criteria are $U - B > 0.8$, NB $> 5\sigma$, and $B - NB > 1$ (Z.-Y. Zheng et al., in preparation).

At $z = 3.1$ we examine the 252 and 188 LAEs presented in Gronwall et al. (2007) and Ciardullo et al. (2012), respectively. The samples are photometrically selected using narrowband imaging with slightly different filters (for a comparison see Ciardullo et al. 2012). There is some overlap between the two catalogs; we remove duplicates using a matching radius of 1′, which results in a final sample of 280 unique $z = 3.1$ LAEs, of which $\sim 70$ have so far been spectroscopically confirmed.

For the highest redshift sample, at $z = 4.5$, we consider LAEs that were identified in narrowband imaging by Finkelstein et al. (2009d). We consider the 92 of these LAEs that were confirmed with spectroscopic follow-up observations (46 LAEs; Zheng et al. 2013) or that have not been spectroscopically targeted (44 LAEs). Our conclusions do not change if we only consider the 46 spectroscopically confirmed $z = 4.5$ LAEs, although, due to the larger sample size, the stacked flux and SFR limits are deeper when the photometric LAEs are included.

2.2. Far-infrared Data

In the far-infrared we consider deep 250, 350, 500, and 870 μm continuum imaging. The 870 μm data are from the LABOCA ECDFS Submillimeter Survey (LESS; Weiß et al. 2009), and the 250, 350, and 500 μm data were taken with SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) on the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) as part of the Herschel Multi-Tiered Extragalactic Survey (Herschel: Oliver et al. 2012).

The LESS 870 μm maps and catalogs are presented in Weiß et al. (2009). The data cover 30′ × 30′, including all target LAEs, to a roughly uniform depth of $\sigma \sim 1.2$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. These data were taken with the LABOCA instrument on the 12 m APEX telescope resulting in a 19″ beam (FWHM). The catalog contains 126 sources down to 3.7σ, corresponding to $\sim 4.4$ mJy beam$^{-1}$.

The HerMES 250, 350, and 500 μm data in the ECDFS are nested, with coverage extending over a 204′ × 170′ area. All the target LAEs are located in the central 30′ × 30′ of these data. The central 20′ × 20′ region (enclosing $\sim 35\%$ of the LAEs) has the deepest data, reaching down to $\sigma \sim 0.9, 0.8$, and 1.1 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 250, 350, and 500 μm, respectively (excluding confusion; Oliver et al. 2012). The remainder of the central 30′ × 30′ reaches $\sigma \sim 1.6, 1.3$, and 1.9 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 250, 350, and 500 μm (Oliver et al. 2012). For our analyses all the nested data sets are included and thus the maps and catalogs have non-uniform coverage. The Herschel beam is 18″, 25″ and 36″ (FWHM) at 250, 350, and 500 μm, respectively. Details of the data reduction and map and catalog production are available in Levenson et al. (2010), Viero et al. (2013b), Smith et al. (2012), and Wang et al. (2013).

3. ANALYSIS

We begin by cross-matching the LAEs with the HerMES and LESS catalogs to determine whether any are individually detected in the far-infrared. The positional uncertainty of the LAEs is typically $\ll 1″$, which is significantly smaller than

21 Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.
22 http://hermes.sussex.ac.uk
that of the far-infrared catalogs due to the large beam sizes of single-dish submillimeter telescopes. Therefore, the LAE positional uncertainty can be disregarded when choosing the cross-matching radius and when stacking the far-infrared data (Section 3.1).

For the 19′ LESS 870 μm beam the 1σ positional uncertainty on the cataloged sources is \(\sim 1′−3′\) (Biggs et al. 2011; Hodge et al. 2013), depending on the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N; see Ivison et al. 2007). For cross-matching the LAEs and the LESS sources we choose a liberal search radius of 9′—corresponding to \(\sim 3σ_{\text{pos}}\) for the most uncertain positions. Three of the LAEs—two at \(z = 2.8\) and one at \(z = 3.1\)—are positionally matched to a source in the LESS catalog, with separations of 6′5 to 8′3. None of the \(z = 4.5\) LAEs are matched in the LESS catalog within the 9′ radius.

Assuming that the 498 LAEs and 126 LESS sources are randomly distributed in the 30 × 30′ area, we expect to find \(\sim 1−2\) chance superpositions of LAEs and LESS sources, which is consistent with all three of LAE–LESS pairs being chance associations. This interpretation is supported by high-resolution 870 μm ALMA continuum observations of the 870 μm LABOCA sources (Hodge et al. 2013), which in two of the cases pinpoints non-LAEs as the source of the 870 μm emission. In the third case, no 870 μm sources are detected in the ALMA observations (σ = 0.33 mJy beam\(^{-1}\); Hodge et al. 2013), which may be the result of blending of several faint far-infrared sources in the LABOCA beam. In this case the association between the LAE and the LABOCA source is either a chance superposition, or the LAE does contribute to the LABOCA source but only a fraction of the detected 870 μm flux can be from this galaxy. We conclude that none of the LAEs are robustly individually detected at 870 μm.

The 250, 350, and 500 μm HerMES catalog is created by blindly extracting sources at 250 μm, where the beam is smallest (18′ FWHM), using those source positions as priors for the longer wavelength data and then identifying any additional 350 and 500 μm sources in the residual maps. Therefore, the positional error in the HerMES catalogues is dominated by the 18′ beam at 250 μm. The positional error is also typically \(\sim 1′−3′\), depending on the S/N. Therefore, we use the same liberal 9′ search radius when cross-matching the LAEs with the HerMES catalog. We only consider cataloged sources that are detected at \(\geq 3σ_{\text{pos}}\), where \(σ_{\text{pos}}\) includes confusion noise, in at least one of the three HerMES bands. Within the 9′ search radius there are two, one, and two matches to the \(z = 2.8, 3.1,\) and 4.5 LAEs, respectively. The five LAE-to-HerMES positional matches have separations of 3′4 to 7′9. Within the 9′ search radius 4–5 chance superpositions between the \(\sim 450\) HerMES sources and the 498 LAEs are expected—which is consistent with the observed matching rate. Therefore, it is unlikely that any of the matches between the LAEs and 250, 350, and 500 μm catalog are physical associations between the LAEs and the far-infrared flux.

3.1. Stacking

We next stack the far-infrared data at the position of the LAEs, to explore their average emission at 250, 350, 500, and 870 μm. Stacking probes below the nominal detection limit by reducing the background noise so that a measure of the average flux density of the stacked sample can be made (e.g., Peacock et al. 2000; Serjeant et al. 2004; Marsden et al. 2009; Pascale et al. 2009; Ivison et al. 2010; Béthermin et al. 2010; Viero et al. 2012, 2013a; Heinis et al. 2013; Calanog et al. 2013). For a sample of \(N\) sources, and in the absence of clustering, stacking decreases the background noise by a factor of \(\sqrt{N}\). We include all the LAEs in the stacks because none are definitively individually detected, although our conclusions do not change if LAEs with far-IR sources within 9′ are excluded.

We use the public IDL code\(^{23}\) from Béthermin et al. (2010) to perform separate 250, 350, 500, and 870 μm stacks. In each case a weighted mean stack is performed, with the weighting equal to the inverse of the error map, which accounts for the non-uniform depth of the data. Prior to stacking, the maps are resampled to properly centroid on each of the LAEs, and we calibrate them so that the median background level is zero. This increases the flux levels by 1.22, 1.28, and 0.93 mJy at 250, 350, and 500 μm, respectively, and decreases the 870 μm fluxes by 0.04 mJy. Figure 1 shows 180′ × 180′ regions of the stacked maps.

To robustly measure the flux density and associated detection limit in the stacked maps, we perform bootstrapping with replacement, repeating each stack 10,000 times with a random sampling of the LAEs each time. The stacked flux density in each realization is extracted from point-spread function fitting to the centers of the stacks. Figure 2 shows histograms of these flux density values for each of the 10,000 bootstrap samples. Each histogram is fitted with a Gaussian, and the stacked flux density and 1σ detection limit are determined from the center and standard deviation of the Gaussian fit, respectively, as shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table 1.

The average flux densities of the LAEs measured from stacking are presented in Table 1. None of the LAEs are detected at \(\geq 3σ\) in any of the far-infrared data. The most significant flux is from the \(z = 2.8\) LAEs, which are observed at 2.6σ in the 870 μm stack. All the other stacks are \(<2σ\). The detection limits presented are measured using the method above and are consistent with the pixel-to-pixel variance in the stacked images (Figure 1). For the \(z = 4.5\) LAEs the 870 μm limit is also consistent with the result from Davies et al. (2013), who recently stacked the same LAEs on a source-subtracted LESS map and also found a non-detection.

The measured flux densities from stacking low-resolution data, such as those considered here, can be boosted by clustering, due to multiple sources occupying the far-infrared beam (e.g., Fernandez-Conde et al. 2010; Serjeant et al. 2008, 2010; Béthermin et al. 2010; Greve et al. 2010; Kurczynski & Gawiser 2010; Penner et al. 2011; Viero et al. 2013a). Accounting for such an effect would decrease the limits quoted above; therefore, we disregard this effect as we have only constrained upper limits on the flux densities. Furthermore, we note that LAEs are only weakly clustered (e.g., Ouchi et al. 2010), with \(r_0 \sim 2.5\) Mpc, \(r_0 \sim 4.6\) Mpc, and \(r_0 \sim 5.7\) Mpc, corresponding to \(M_B \sim 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot\), \(M_B \sim 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\), and \(M_B \sim 5 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\), at \(z = 3.1, z = 4.5,\) and \(z = 5.7\), respectively (Gawiser et al. 2007; Kovač et al. 2007; Ouchi et al. 2010), and their surface density is low (for instance, 0.24 beam\(^{-1}\) for the \(z = 3.1\) sample and the 250 and 870 μm beam), meaning that any boosting to the stacked fluxes from clustering is expected to be small.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. The Far-infrared SEDs of LAEs

In Figure 3 we show the 1σ far-infrared flux limits derived from the stacking in Section 3.1, compared to Chary & Elbaz...
Sd galaxy template. We conclude that LAEs at $z \sim 3$ may not be dominated by the warmest or the coolest dust SEDs, but we cannot constrain the shape of the LAEs’ far-infrared SEDs beyond reasonable templates with the current data.

It has been suggested that LAEs have dust properties similar to local Sd galaxies with cooler dust emission than average (Finkelstein et al. 2009c), and thus the SWIRE Sd template is highlighted in Figure 3. However, recent measurements indicate that the LAEs are typically 1–1.2 kpc in size (Malhotra et al. 2012), which, using the local correlation between star formation intensity and dust temperature (Lehner & Heckman 1996), suggests that LAEs may contain warmer dust (rest-frame $S_{250}/S_{100} \sim 1$) than Sd galaxies. The M82 template in the SWIRE library has $S_{250}/S_{100} \sim 1$, and therefore M82 is also highlighted in Figure 3. The hypothesis that LAEs contain warmer dust than previously anticipated is consistent with recent evidence that LAEs have lower metallicities and higher ionization parameters than Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) of the same mass (Finkelstein et al. 2011b; McLinden et al. 2011; Nakajima et al. 2013; Richardson et al. 2013; Song et al. 2014).

Due to the uncertainty in the shape of the typical LAE far-infrared SED, we calculate the 1σ upper limit on the far-infrared (8–1000 µm) luminosity using both the Sd and M82 templates, as well as the average luminosity and the range of luminosities from all the templates in Figure 3. These values are listed in Table 1 and further illustrate that for a significant majority of the templates the 870 µm limit is the most constraining of the four wavebands examined. In Table 1 we also list the 1σ limits on the SFRs, calculated from the far-infrared luminosities of the four wavebands and four SED types, using Kennicutt (1998), which assumes a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF); divide these values by a factor of 1.7 to convert to a Chabrier (2003) IMF.

We do not adjust the SFRs for potential active galactic nucleus (AGN) contribution to the far-infrared emission, because the AGN fraction in LAEs is small (e.g., Malhotra et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2004; Gawiser et al. 2007), although the fraction rises in the lower redshift $z \sim 2$ (Nilsson et al. 2009) and $z \sim 0.3$ (Finkelstein et al. 2009b; Cowie et al. 2009; Scarlata et al. 2009) populations. Furthermore, any adjustment for potential AGN contamination to the far-infrared fluxes would decrease the stacked flux density limits, and thus not adjusting these values is the conservative approach.

The Sd template is cooler than the majority of the SEDs, and M82 is warmer than most of the SEDs. Therefore, using the Sd template and the 870 µm limit provides lower constraints on the far-infrared luminosity and the SFR than the M82 template (see

![Figure 1. 180 × 180° cutouts of the $z = 2.8$, 3.1, and 4.5 (top to bottom) stacked LAEs at 250, 350, and 870 µm (left to right). The LAEs are positioned at the centers of the stacks—marked with crosses—and are not detected at $\geq 3\sigma$ in any of the far-infrared data. The shaded circles show the size of the beam at each wavelength.](image-url)
also Figure 3). The mean of all the SEDs lies between the values provided by these two templates, and thus by considering the Sd, M82, and mean limits, we bracket a wide range of possible LAE far-infrared SFRs. At $z = 2.8$ we measure $1\sigma$ SFR upper limits of 4, 23, and $15 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for the Sd, M82, and mean SEDs, respectively. For the $z = 3.1$ LAEs the values are 3, 15, and $9 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and at $z = 4.5$ we measure limits of 6, 22, and $14 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively. We note that our results for the $z = 4.5$ LAEs are consistent with Davies et al. (2013), who, for the same sample, calculated SFR $< 31 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ ($1\sigma$), although they only considered the $870 \mu$m data and assumed a modified blackbody far-infrared SED with $T_D = 35 K$ and $\beta = 2.0$.

4.2. Lyα Escape Fraction

The luminosity of the Lyα line ($L_{Ly\alpha}$) can be used to calculate an Lyα-derived SFR, SFR$_{Ly\alpha}$, as

$$SFR_{Ly\alpha}(M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}) = 9.1 \times 10^{-43} L_{Ly\alpha}(\text{erg s}^{-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

for a Salpeter IMF (Kennicutt 1998; Hu et al. 1998). The total SFR is given by the sum of the unobscured and the dust-obscured (i.e., far-infrared derived) SFRs. The Lyα line is affected by both dust obscuration and resonant scattering by neutral hydrogen, so we use the apparent (i.e., dust-uncorrected) UV luminosity to trace the unobscured SFR (SFR$_{UV}$). Since the intrinsic Lyα luminosity is also driven by the total SFR, these values can be used to calculate the Lyα escape fraction ($f_{esc}(Ly\alpha)$) as

$$f_{esc}(Ly\alpha) = \frac{SFR_{Ly\alpha}}{SFR_{UV} + SFR_{FIR}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where SFR$_{FIR}$ is the far-infrared derived (i.e., obscured) SFR for a Salpeter IMF.$^{24}$

For the LAEs in our sample the Lyα luminosity, and hence SFR$_{Ly\alpha}$, is derived either from flux-calibrated spectroscopy (e.g., Zheng et al. 2013) or from the magnitudes of the systems in narrowband compared to continuum imaging (e.g., Gronwall et al. 2007; Ciardullo et al. 2012). For the $z = 2.8, 3.1,$ and $4.5$ LAEs in our analyses the average SFR$_{Ly\alpha} = 2.5, 1.5,$ and $7.0 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, and the values for SFR$_{UV}$ are $6.0, 1.9, \text{ and } 17 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively. SFR$_{UV}$ is calculated using Kennicutt (1998) and rest-frame UV luminosities from the observed broadband emission minus the effect of the Lyα line (e.g., Ciardullo et al. 2012; Zheng et al. 2014). Since the UV continuum emission is derived from broadband data, we also apply a correction for attenuation by the intergalactic medium (IGM) of factors of 1.29, 1.17, and 1.63 to the SFR$_{UV}$ at $z = 2.8, 3.1,$ and $4.5$, respectively. The IGM correction factors are calculated using Madau (1995) and the transmission curves of the observed frame $B$ ($z = 2.8$ sample), $V$ and $B$ ($z = 3.1$ sample).
### Table 1
Summary of the Stacking Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waveband (µm)</th>
<th>Flux Density a (mJy)</th>
<th>Noise b (mJy)</th>
<th>1σ^1 LIR (10^{11} L_☉) SDd</th>
<th>1σ^1 SFR (M_☉ yr⁻¹) SDd</th>
<th>1σ^1 fesc(α) (Lya) SDd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Y, upper limits; A, lower limits. Bold values highlight the results from the 870 µm data, which provides the most constraining limits, and is therefore considered in the discussion and presented in Figure 4.

a Observed flux density in the stack; all are insignificant (<3σ; Section 3.1).
b 1σ noise (Section 3.1).

The 870 µm data provide the tightest limits of fesc(α)(Lya) and the 1σ limits from these data are shown in Figure 4 and compared with measurements of fesc(α)(Lya) from LAEs at z = 0–8 made using optical spectroscopy and photometry (Attek et al. 2009; Blanc et al. 2011) and X-ray stacking (Zheng et al. 2012). We also compare with the global evolution of fesc(α)(Lya) measured by Hayes et al. (2011).

The limits on fesc(α)(Lya) at z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5, calculated using an Sd galaxy template, are all >3σ away from the Hayes et al. (2011) global, optically derived measurement. This is an indication that either LAEs have a higher Lyα fesc(α)(Lya) than globally observed, or they contain warmer dust than typical local Sd galaxies. For the LAEs in all the redshift bins our far-infrared determinations of fesc(α)(Lya) using the M82 template are consistent, at the 1σ−2σ level, with the X-ray results (Zheng et al. 2012) and the optical determination of the global fesc(α)(Lya) from Hayes et al. (2011). For the z = 2.8 and z = 4.5 LAEs the 3σ limit on the fesc(α)(Lya) measured using the average far-infrared SED is at the threshold of being consistent with the global evolution.

If we consider the 2.6σ significance detection of the stacked z = 2.8 LAEs at 870 µm (Section 3.1) as real, then the inferred SFR_{IR} = 10 ± 4 M_☉ yr⁻¹, 58 ± 23 M_☉ yr⁻¹, 37 ± 15 M_☉ yr⁻¹ (where the errors represent the 870 µm photometric uncertainty) for the Sd, M82, and average of the SEDs, respectively. In this case the inferred fesc(α)(Lya) are 0.16 ± 0.04, 0.04 ± 0.01, and 0.08 ± 0.02, respectively. For the M82 and average SED these values are consistent with the global fesc(α)(Lya), but for the Sd galaxy template the inferred LAE fesc(α)(Lya) is significantly higher than the global fesc(α)(Lya) evolution (Hayes et al. 2011). Note also that the 1σ−2σ significance detections of the z = 2.8 stacks at 250 and 350 µm disfavor the Sd SED (see Section 3.1).

### 4.3. Comparison with Previous Results

Oteo et al. (2012) cross-matched 56 UV-bright z = 2–3.5 LAEs with Herschel-PACS 70, 100, and 160 µm catalogs. Of their 56 LAEs, 4 were detected at 160 µm (3σ; S_{160} ≥ 2 mJy), indicating L_{IR} ≥ 10^{12} L_☉ for Chary & Elbaz (2001) SEDs—significantly brighter than the averages of our samples. However, Oteo et al. (2012) did not perform far-infrared analyses (such as stacking) of their individually undetected population; therefore, it is unclear whether the apparent difference between the samples is due to the UV-bright nature of their LAEs, cosmic variance, the assumed SEDs, or potentially mismatching between the PACS source and the LAEs.

At higher redshift, Ouchi et al. (2013) recently failed to detect both 1.2 mm continuum and the [C ii] 158 µm emission line from the extended z = 6.6 LAE “Himiko” with ALMA. Using their limit on L_{IR} yields fesc(α)(Lya) > 0.80 (1σ) — significantly...
A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.

Figure 3. Observed 250, 350, 500, and 870 μm 1σ stacked flux density limits for z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5 (top to bottom) LAEs, compared to the SEDs of starburst and late-type galaxies from the SWIRE library (Polletta et al. 2007) and Chary & Elbaz (2001) templates. The SEDs are scaled to the 1σ flux density limit at 870 μm and we highlight the Sd and M82 templates for the reasons described in Section 4.1. At z = 4.5 all templates are consistent with the observed limits. At z = 2.8 and z = 3.1 the 250 and 350 μm 1σ detection limits marginally disfavor the warmest SEDs, although none are excluded at the >3σ level, and only a handful at 2σ.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. Lyα escape fraction of LAEs as a function of redshift. The large symbols are our 1σ limits derived for three different SED templates from the 870 μm stacking of LAEs (with the result for the Sd and M82 templates offset slightly in z for clarity); the 2σ and 3σ limits are shown by the lines and tickmarks below each symbol. We compare with X-ray stacking results (Zheng et al. 2012) and spectroscopic and optical photometric measurements (Atek et al. 2009; Blanc et al. 2011). The gray points and line show the redshift evolution of the global Lyα escape fraction (Hayes et al. 2011). At all redshifts the LAE Lyα escape fractions that we measure using far-infrared emission and the average template or that of M82 are consistent with the global evolution at the ~2σ–3σ level. However, the result using the Sd galaxy templates points to a higher Lyα escape fraction for LAEs than is globally observed.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

detected in Lyα (Coppin et al. 2009) with SFR$_{Lyα}$ = 4 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, compared to SFR$_{FR}$ ≈ 1000 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Swinbank et al. 2014)—indicating f$_{esc}$($Lyα$) ∼ 0.003. The apparent difference between the f$_{esc}$($Lyα$) measured for high-redshift submillimeter galaxies and LAEs is likely a selection effect—submillimeter galaxies are selected on the basis of their dust emission and extreme SFRs, whereas LAEs are identified via the (unobscured) Lyα emission.

4.4. Future Prospects

Having used the deepest available data to probe the far-infrared SEDs of z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5 LAEs, we can place tight limits on the required depths for future surveys that aim to detect LAEs at far-infrared wavelengths. Using higher resolution observations, which have lower confusion limits and can provide deeper data (e.g., the 450 and 850 μm SCUBA-2 Cosmology Legacy Survey25), or stacking on a larger number of LAEs is required. Alternatively, interferometric observations targeting individual sources can be used as their small resolutions can probe below the confusion limit of single-dish surveys.

At ~870 μm surveys aiming to detect individual LAEs will need to probe below our observed 1σ limits of 0.09, 0.06, and 0.09 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at z = 2.8, 3.1, and 4.5, respectively. For example, continuum mapping with ALMA could reach 0.05 mJy rms (∼twice as deep as our stacks) in band 7 (850 μm) in just 15 minutes of integration per source. It is clear from Figure 3 that data shorter than the far-infrared peak at restframe ~60–100 μm are also required to properly characterize the SEDs and derive accurate measurements of the far-infrared luminosities, SFRs, and hence the Lyα escape fraction of LAEs. Ground-based observations are more challenging at these wavelengths—for instance, ALMA will take 1.5 hr per source to reach 0.2 mJy beam$^{-1}$ in band 9 (500 μm)—meaning that

25 www.jach.hawaii.edu/JCMT/surveys/Cosmology.html
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