Information flow in a kinetic ising model peaks in the disordered phase

Article (Published Version)


This version is available from Sussex Research Online: http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/49877/

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher’s version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:
Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

http://sro.sussex.ac.uk
Information Flow in a Kinetic Ising Model Peaks in the Disordered Phase
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There is growing evidence that for a range of dynamical systems featuring complex interactions between large ensembles of interacting elements, mutual information peaks at order-disorder phase transitions. We conjecture that, by contrast, information flow in such systems will generally peak strictly on the disordered side of a phase transition. This conjecture is verified for a ferromagnetic 2D lattice Ising model with Glauber dynamics and a transfer entropy-based measure of systemwide information flow. Implications of the conjecture are considered, in particular, that for a complex dynamical system in the process of transitioning from disordered to ordered dynamics (a mechanism implicated, for example, in financial market crashes and the onset of some types of epileptic seizures); information dynamics may be able to predict an imminent transition.
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In a system comprising a large number of interacting elements with an order-disorder phase transition, it is easy to argue that mutual information between elements must peak at an intermediate order: for a highly ordered system, there is little indeterminacy about the state of individual elements and hence mutual information between elements will be small, while for a highly disordered system, elements will behave near independently and again mutual information between elements will be small. It also seems reasonable (particularly if long-range interactions are considered) to expect that the peak will occur at the phase transition—i.e., where susceptibility peaks—and indeed this has been evidenced for a variety of complex systems, including the 2D lattice Ising model [1,2], Vicsek’s particle swarm model [3,4], random Boolean networks [5,6], and financial markets [7]. For a complex dynamical system, it is again easy to argue that information flow between elements must peak in an intermediate order regime; here, however, it is less clear that the peak should coincide with the phase transition. We show that for a 2D lattice Ising model with Glauber dynamics [8], information flow, as quantified by a global transfer entropy measure [9], attains a maximum strictly in the disordered (paramagnetic) phase. We conjecture that this phenomenon is universal for a class of complex dynamical systems and discuss the implications.

We consider an isotropic ferromagnetic 2D lattice Ising model of size \(N = L \times L\) with no external field. If the system is in state \(s = s_1 \ldots s_N\), \(s_j \in \{+1, -1\}\), then the Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\mathcal{H}(s) = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} s_i s_j,
\]

where \(\langle i, j \rangle\) denotes a sum over the \(2N\) unique pairs of lattice neighbors. We impose periodic boundary conditions so that the system is homogeneous: for any sites \(i, j\) there is a symmetry \(\pi\)—i.e., a permutation of sites leaving the Hamiltonian invariant—with \(\pi(i) = j\). At thermodynamic equilibrium, the Boltzmann-Gibbs probability to find the system in state \(s\) is

\[
\Pi(s) \equiv P(S = s) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(s)},
\]

where \(S\) denotes a random equilibrium state, \(\beta \equiv 1/T\) is the inverse temperature (units are considered normalized so that Boltzmann’s constant is \(1\)), and \(Z = \sum_s e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(s)}\) is the partition function. The magnetization per site is \(\mathcal{M} = \langle 1/N \sum_i s_i\rangle\), the free energy per site \(\mathcal{F} = -(1/\beta N) \log Z\), and the internal energy per site \(\mathcal{U} = -(1/N) \langle \delta/\delta \beta \rangle \log Z = -1/\beta \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})\), where \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) denotes an ensemble average. The model is largely solved in the thermodynamic limit \(N \rightarrow \infty\) [11,12]; known results pertinent to our study are displayed in Table I.

For the kinetic model, we consider discrete-time Glauber spin-flip dynamics [8]: at each time step, a site \(i\) is selected uniformly at random, and its spin flipped with probability

\[
P_i(s) = \left[1 + e^{\beta \Delta \mathcal{H}(s)}\right]^{-1},
\]

where \(\Delta \mathcal{H}(s) = \mathcal{H}(s^i) - \mathcal{H}(s) = 2s_i \sum_{\pi(i) = j} s_j\) is the energy difference between the spin-flipped and original state. Here a superscript \(i\) denotes flipping the \(i\)th spin, and \(\pi(i)\) denotes the indices of the lattice neighbors of site \(i\). This (Markov chain Monte Carlo) scheme satisfies detailed balance [13] and thus yields the Boltzmann equilibrium probabilities (2) at stationarity.

Information-theoretic quantities may be framed in terms of statistical dependency. The basic measure of statistical dependency considered in this Letter is the mutual
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information \( I(X;Y|Z) \) between random variables \( X, Y \), optionally conditional on a third variable \( Z \) [14]; it vanishes iff \( X, Y \) are independent, conditional on \( Z \). Given stationary stochastic processes \( X(t), Y(t), t = \ldots, 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), we take as a measure of past-conditional dependence—sometimes (albeit controversially [15]) interpreted as information flow from process \( Y \) to process \( X \)—the transfer entropy \([9,16]\) \( T_{X \rightarrow Y} = I(X(t);Y(t)|X(t-1),Y(t-1)) \). Here \( X(t) \) denotes the \( \ell \)-length history of the process \( X \) at time \( t \) for \( \ell = 1, 2, \ldots \); \( T_{X \rightarrow Y} \) is zero iff process \( X \), conditional on its own past, is independent of the past of \( Y \). Our interest here is the behavior of “global” statistical dependencies for the entire system of interacting spins. Below we define averaged pairwise (bivariate) as well as gross global measures for both static (mutual information-based) and dynamic (transfer entropy-based) dependencies.

We define the pairwise mutual information measure

\[
I_{pw} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{(i,j)} I(S_i;S_j),
\]

i.e., the lattice average mutual information between pairs of neighboring sites. This is essentially the quantity previously considered in Refs. [1,2]; Ref. [17] also considers the mutual information between two halves of a cylindrical 2D lattice. In Ref. [18] Sec. 1, we calculate that in the thermodynamic limit

\[
I_{pw} \rightarrow - \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \log p_{\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} p_{\sigma \sigma'} \log p_{\sigma \sigma'},
\]

where the sums are over \( \sigma, \sigma' = \pm 1 \), with (cf. Ref. [1])

\[
p_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma \mathcal{M}), \quad p_{\sigma \sigma'} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + (\sigma + \sigma') \mathcal{M} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma' \mathcal{U} \right].
\]

Note that for \( T < T_c \), the sign of \( \mathcal{M} \) does not affect this (and any subsequent) result; i.e., our information measures are invariant under symmetry breaking. Our global measure of mutual information is the multi-information [19]

\[
I_{gl} = \sum_i H(S_i) - H(S),
\]

where \( H(\cdots) \) denotes entropy, which may be considered a measure of gross statistical dependency among the \( S_i \); it vanishes iff the \( S_i \) are all independent. It is known [12] that in the thermodynamic limit, \( \frac{1}{N} H(S) \rightarrow \beta(U - F) \), so that

\[
\frac{1}{N} I_{gl} \rightarrow - \sum_\sigma p_\sigma \log p_\sigma - \beta(U - F).
\]

Next we consider the \( (\ell = 1) \) history pairwise transfer entropy measure defined by

\[
T_{pw} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{(i,j)} T_{S_i \rightarrow S_j}.
\]

In Ref. [17] Sec. 2, we calculate that in the thermodynamic limit

\[
N T_{pw} \rightarrow - q \sum_\sigma \log \frac{q_{\sigma}}{p_{\sigma}} + \sum_\sigma q_\sigma \sum_\sigma' q_{\sigma'} \log \frac{q_{\sigma'}}{p_{\sigma'}},
\]

where

\[
q = \frac{1}{4} \langle P_i(S) \rangle, \quad q_{\sigma'} = \frac{1}{4} (\langle P_i(S) \rangle + \sigma' \langle S_j P_j(S) \rangle),
\]

for \( i, j \) arbitrary lattice neighbors. A symmetry argument (Ref. [17] Sec. 2) shows that \( \langle S_j P_j(S) \rangle = 0 \) for \( T \geq T_c \), i.e., when symmetry is unbroken. Finally, we define the \( (\ell = 1) \) history global transfer entropy measure (cf. collective transfer entropy [20])

\[
T_{gl} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i T_{S_i \rightarrow S_i},
\]

i.e., the average information flow from the entire spin system to individual sites. This may be considered a measure of gross past-conditional statistical dependence of the \( S_i \), insofar as it vanishes iff each \( S_i \), conditional on its own past, does not depend on the past of spins at any other site. It may alternately be thought of as a measure of “information flow density,” closely related to causal density [21]. In Ref. [17] Sec. 3, we show that in the thermodynamic limit

\[
N T_{gl} \rightarrow - q \sum_\sigma \log \frac{q_{\sigma}}{p_{\sigma}} + \langle P_i(S) \log P_i(S) \rangle.
\]

In contrast to the mutual information measures, we do not have analytic expressions for \( T_{pw} \) and \( T_{gl} \).

It may be argued that the entire (i.e., \( \ell \rightarrow \infty \)) history of the process ought to be taken into account for a valid measure of information flow. Trivially, however, Eqs. (10) and (13) will hold for any fixed finite history length \( \ell \), since in the thermodynamic limit there is only one spin update in
the neighborhood of the considered spin. In this sense, the measures $T_{pw}$, $T_{gl}$ are essentially short range in time and space. Corresponding long-range measures (where the order of limits $N \to \infty$, $\ell \to \infty$ is reversed) are likely to be far more difficult to calculate.

Experimentally, the measures $I_{pw}$ and $I_{gl}$ at the thermodynamic limit were computed in accordance with their analytic expressions (5) and (8), respectively, while $T_{pw}$ and $T_{gl}$ were estimated in simulation. We simulated a kinetic Ising model of size $N = L \times L$ for $L = 512$. Each update comprised $N$ (potential) spin flips according to the Glauber transition probabilities (3). Initial spin configurations were uniform random $\pm 1$ for $T \geq T_c$ and all $+1$ for $T < T_c$, where $T_c = 1/\beta_c \approx 2.269$ was the critical temperature. Simulations were initially run for a relaxation time of $10^4$ updates and statistics then collated over a further $10^5$ updates. Taking advantage of the ergodicity of the Glauber dynamics, ensemble averages were calculated as means, over the $10^5$ sample equilibrium states, of lattice averages. Estimates for $T_{pw}$ and $T_{gl}$ were then obtained according to Eqs. (10) and (13), respectively. This procedure was performed for 200 runs at 100 temperature points enclosing the phase transition. In addition, at each temperature, aggregate statistics based on a total sample size of $2 \times 10^7$ stationary states were calculated.

Figure 1 displays $I_{pw}$, $I_{gl}$, $T_{pw}$, and $T_{gl}$ plotted against temperature. For $I_{pw}$ and $I_{gl}$, solid lines plot analytic values at the thermodynamic limit, while for $T_{pw}$ and $T_{gl}$ they plot aggregate statistics. The gradients of all measures appear to approach $+\infty$ as $T \to T_c$ (vertical dashed line) from below, consistent with critical behavior of correlation statistics at a second-order phase transition. In Ref. [17] Sec. 4, we show that the gradients of $I_{pw}$ and $I_{gl}$ approach $-\infty$ logarithmically as $T \to T_c$ from above. Although not clear from the figures, we conjecture that the limiting gradient of $T_{pw}$ is negative and that of $T_{gl}$ is positive as $T \to T_c$ from above. Indeed, we see that all measures peak at $T_c$, except for $T_{gl}$, which has a maximum at $T = 2.354 \pm 0.003 > T_c$. Figure 2 displays the detailed behavior of $T_{pw}$ and $T_{gl}$ beyond $T_c$. The vertical arrow marks the $T_{gl}$ maximum. Because of critical slowing down [12], the statistical error (indicated by 99% confidence intervals) is greatest around $T_c$. To establish that the postcritical maximum is not merely a finite-system size artifact, we repeated our simulations for smaller lattices of sizes $L = 64$, 128, and 256. At the temperature resolution deployed, it was observed that the position of the maximum does not change as the system size is increased; i.e., it does not “creep” towards the critical temperature.

It has been established previously that pairwise mutual information peaks at the phase transition for the 2D lattice Ising model [1,2]. Our results show that so too does pairwise transfer entropy. Note that both pairwise measures incorporate putative statistical dependencies intermediated by the joint distribution of the remaining system elements with the spin pair in question. Our global measures do not suffer from this effect; nonetheless, the static global measure $I_{gl}$ also peaks at the phase transition, while the dynamic measure $T_{gl}$ peaks strictly in the disordered regime. We conclude that a postcritical maximum is not simply a consequence of accounting for common influences, nor is it a consequence alone of incorporating past-conditional dependencies; both factors are required.

As to an intuitive explanation for the postcritical peak in $T_{gl}$, preliminary research implicates a subtle interplay between differing contributions to $T_{gl}$ from sites within

![FIG. 1. Dependency measures (scaled by system size) plotted against temperature for $L = 512$. See main text for details.](image)

![FIG. 2. $T_{pw}$ and $T_{gl}$ plotted against temperature for $L = 512$ (detail). The shaded regions indicate 99% confidence intervals based on the 200 trials, while the arrow marks the $T_{gl}$ maximum at $T \approx 2.354$.](image)
and on the boundaries of same-spin domains, and the change in distribution of domain sizes as the temperature increases and domains disintegrate [22]. A complementary perspective is offered in Ref. [20], where $T_{gl}$ is regarded as a measure of collective information transfer, capturing both pairwise ($T_{pw}$) and higher-order (multivariate) correlations to a site. Its peak is interpreted in terms of conflicting tendencies amongst these components as the level of disorder in the system increases away from the phase transition point (as empirically observed for random Boolean networks [6]), that is, for pairwise correlations to decay, while higher-order multivariate effects become more prevalent.

If our conjecture holds that a postcritical peak in $T_{gl}$ (and a critical peak in $I_{gl}$) is a universal phenomenon—at least, perhaps, for some class of second-order phase transitions—it raises the intriguing possibility of anticipation of an imminent phase transition in a system moving slowly towards criticality from the disordered regime. Specifically: if $T_{gl}$ is seen to peak (when estimated over time windows short in comparison to the time scale of change in a notional order parameter), whilst $I_{gl}$ continues to increase, we might suspect that the system is approaching criticality. We suggest possible application to complex systems such as financial markets and neural systems where disorder-order phase transitions are associated with pathological dynamics. Further research is required to test universality, both in complex dynamical models and on real-world data.

Finally, we remark that, of the measures presented, only $I_{gl}$ is truly long range, whereas critical phenomena typically manifest at all spatiotemporal scales. One might therefore question the relevance of our (short-range) measure $T_{gl}$ for understanding information flow near criticality. However, since long-range interactions emerge from the cumulative effect of short-range interactions, our result establishes a crucial foothold for the understanding of the mechanism of this emergence. Secondly—in contrast to our measures—long-range information flow is unfeasible to estimate empirically from limited data and thus, while of theoretical interest, is of limited practical utility.
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