Counterpropagating frequency mixing with terahertz waves in diamond
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Terahertz radiation covers the spectral range between 0.1 and 10 THz (3 mm to 30 μm) and is gathering an increasing interest both for spectroscopic applications and as a playground for fundamental studies, for instance on nonlinear and extreme-nonlinear optical effects [1–5]. Furthermore, terahertz radiation is also attracting attention for its possible application, for example, as a control field for integrated nonlinear optics [6].

Although several studies have already investigated the wave mixing of terahertz and optical fields via the Kerr nonlinear effect, especially in gases (see, e.g., [7–8]), only a few have addressed the possibility of performing nonlinear wave mixing in bulk samples, typically exploiting electric-field-induced second harmonic generation [9–11] and, more recently, four-wave mixing for terahertz wave generation [12,13].

In this Letter, we report on the wave mixing of terahertz and near-infrared radiation in a (100)-cut diamond bulk sample. We show that two processes, namely sum-frequency (SF) and difference-frequency (DF) generation, coexist, and that counterpropagating DF generation, taking place for an optical pulse interacting with a counterpropagating terahertz field, appears to be the most efficient process thanks to the longer coherence length.

We start by considering the SF and DF interactions:

\[ \text{SF: } 2\omega_p + \omega_T = \omega_{SF}:DF \quad \text{and} \quad 2\omega_p + \omega_T = \omega_{DF}. \]

where \( \omega_p \) is the optical pump frequency (in our case corresponding to a 792 nm wavelength), \( \omega_T \) is the seed terahertz field carrier frequency, and \( \omega_{SF}/\omega_{DF} \) is the frequency of the idler wave resulting from the SF/DF process (from here on we shall refer to SF/DF for both the effect and the generated field). Considering the case of a collinear interaction of plane, monochromatic waves, the phase-matching condition reduces to a scalar equation for the involved wavevectors. In this case, for both processes two different configurations are possible:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{SF-P: } k_{SF} &= 2k_p + k_T \rightarrow \Delta k_{SF-P} = k_{SF} - 2k_p - k_T \\
\text{SF-C: } k_{SF} &= 2k_p - k_T \rightarrow \Delta k_{SF-C} = k_{SF} - 2k_p + k_T \\
\text{DF-P: } k_{DF} &= 2k_p + k_T \rightarrow \Delta k_{DF-P} = k_{DF} - 2k_p + k_T \\
\text{DF-C: } k_{DF} &= 2k_p - k_T \rightarrow \Delta k_{DF-C} = k_{DF} - 2k_p - k_T,
\end{align*} \]

where \( k_i \) denotes the \( i \)th field wavevector (\( i \) being \( p \) for the pump and \( T \) for the terahertz field, with SF and DF as stated above) whereas \( P \) and \( C \) indicate the co- and counterpropagating configurations, respectively, and \( \Delta k \) is the phase mismatch.

It is worth noting that in a dispersive medium the phase mismatch \( \Delta k \) for the four interactions in Eq. (2) is different because \( k_{SF} \neq k_{DF} \), as the two frequencies \( \omega_{SF} \) and \( \omega_{DF} \) are different.

In our experimental configuration, the terahertz pulse is generated by laser-induced plasma and shows peak electric fields in the order of few megavolts per centimeter with a duration of 90 fs (full width at half-maximum). The details of the source are reported elsewhere [14]. The instantaneous electric field and bandwidth, measured by air-biased coherent detection [15] are shown in Fig. 1. The optical pump, delivered by a Ti:sapphire amplifier, has a duration of 60 fs (full width at half-maximum) and carrier wavelength of 792 nm. The frequencies of the SF and DF fields [\( \omega_{SF} \) and \( \omega_{DF} \) in Eq. (1)] corresponding to the 0–25 THz seed bandwidth are overlaid in Fig. 1(b), right scale.

In order to observe the nonlinear wave mixing between a terahertz and an optical pulse, a suitable material featuring low absorption at all the wavelengths involved in the process is essential. Diamond is the perfect candidate, showing negligible absorption (<1 cm\(^{-1}\)) both in the terahertz and in the far-infrared bandwidth, as well as at 800 nm and at the \( \lambda_{SF}/\lambda_{DF} \) wavelength (≈400 nm) [16]. Furthermore, the high nonlinear coefficient guarantees reasonable frequency conversion efficiencies [17–19].
In our experiment, we have employed four different diamond samples. Two were single-crystal chemical vapor deposition slabs (Element Six TM) of 500 and 300 μm thickness (4.5 mm × 4.5 mm and 3 mm × 3 mm aperture, respectively). The others were two thinner polycrystalline films (Diamond Materials GmbH) of 100 and 50 μm thickness (5 mm clear aperture). In the first measurement, we investigated the copropagating geometry by overlapping, in the different diamond samples, the focused terahertz beam (∼90 μm Gaussian beam waist) and a collimated 792 nm pump beam (1.5 mm beam waist). We hence recorded the SF/DF spectrum at different pump-to-terahertz time delays. A sketch of this configuration is shown in Fig. 2(a). Figure 2(b) shows the delay-resolved spectrum of the DF/SF for the 500 μm thick sample. For the collinear, copropagating wave mixing between a 60 fs (792 nm) and a 90 fs (terahertz) pulse, we would expect a delay-dependent signal lasting around 110 fs. On the contrary, the experimental results clearly show a trace far more extended, with a duration of nearly 8 ps [Fig. 2(b)], a value that is not consistent with the assumption of a purely copropagating geometry.

Considering only the initial region (close to the zero-delay), we clearly observe that the recorded signal is composed of two contributions. In Fig. 2(c) we highlight this by showing the zoomed spectrum up to a 1 ps delay, normalized to unit at each delay (for signals above 0.1 of the maximum recorded one, that is, where the signal-to-noise ratio is acceptable).

The first contribution, delimited by the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 2(c), originates from the copropagating process. For longer delays, a redshifted signal is observed lasting for much longer times. In order to understand the origin of this signal in this case, we show the coherence length $L_c \equiv \pi / \Delta k$ for the four different possible interaction geometries considered in Eq. (2) [Fig. 3(a)]. The solid blue and red curves are for the copropagating DF and SF, respectively. We note that the coherence lengths of these two processes are extremely small and comparable. The SF and DF frequencies can be extracted from the dotted and dashed lines in Fig. 1(b). Their temporal phases are determined by $2\phi_p + \phi_T$ and $2\phi_p - \phi_T$, respectively, and the beating of these two signals has a component at twice the terahertz carrier frequency, which appears indeed at the shorter wavelengths in Fig. 2(c), as a function of the delay. The recorded SF component is, however, weaker with respect to the DF component (see also [20]).

The long-lasting, redshifted signal can thus be interpreted as the result of a more efficient backward phase-matched interaction—DF-C, seeded by the terahertz signal (16.6%) reflected from the output face of the diamond sample. From a simple analysis of the coherence lengths for the counterpropagating geometries, we note that the DF-C is perfectly phase-matched for a 10 THz seed [dashed blue curve in Fig. 3(a)] while the SF-C is phase-mismatched (dashed red curve). The redshift is simply a consequence of the frequency matching shown by the blue dashed line in Fig. 1(b) for the phase-matched terahertz bandwidth (around 9.9 THz, which corresponds to 401.2 nm). On the other hand, the asymmetry in the spectrum stems from the competing trends of the spectral power density peaked at $\approx 5$ THz and of the phase matching fulfilled at $\approx 10$ THz.

The counterpropagating phase-matching hypothesis is confirmed by the analysis of the delay-dependent DF

![Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) Instantaneous electric field and (b) power spectral density for the terahertz pulse employed in our experiments. In (b), the dashed (blue) and dotted (red) lines show the DF and SF wavelengths corresponding to the terahertz seed frequency, respectively (right scale).](image1)

![Fig. 2. (Color online) (a) Sketch of the experiment investigating the copropagating wave-mixing geometry. (b) Logarithmic representation of the wave-mixing spectrogram (normalized) in the violet spectral region. (c) Zoom of (b) on the delay region where copropagating wave mixing takes place. Note that (c) is normalized in a different way than (b); see text for details.](image2)

![Fig. 3. (Color online) (a) Coherence lengths as a function of the frequency for the co- (solid) and counter- (dashed) propagating SF (red) and DF (blue) processes. The gray horizontal line is the estimated DF-C interaction length (IL). The wavelength on top shows the DF-C bandwidth. (b) The dots show the experimentally recorded duration ($\Delta t$) of the DF signal for different crystal thicknesses $L$. The dashed line is the duration calculated from the pulses’ group velocities (see text for details).](image3)
signals recorded for the four different sample thicknesses. In a counterpropagating geometry the delay-dependent signal is expected to extend along the delay coordinate $r$, for $\Delta \tau = \frac{L(1/v_{g,T} + 1/v_{g,p})}{n}$, where $v_{g,T}$ and $v_{g,p}$ are the terahertz and optical pulse group velocities, respectively. In our experiments, the recorded $\Delta \tau$ values for the four samples [blue dots in Fig. 3(b)] match what is predicted analytically (red dashed line). Noteworthy, no difference in the signal duration is expected between single-crystal and polycrystalline samples of equal thicknesses. This was verified by comparing measurements performed on either polycrystalline or single-crystal 500 µm thick diamond samples.

In order to further confirm our conclusions and to characterize the DF-C signal in the phase-matched geometry interacting with the whole input seed rather than just a reflection, we performed a second measurement, directly injecting the terahertz pulse counterpropagating with respect to the 792 nm pump pulse in the 500 µm diamond sample [see sketch in Fig. 4(a)]. The DF-C signal is spectrally resolved for different pump-terahertz delays, resulting in a spectrogram [Fig. 4(b)] similar to the one measured in the previous configuration [Fig. 3(b)], except for the absence of the initial blueshifted part, further confirming the counterpropagating phase-matching hypothesis.

Finally, we recorded the generated DF-signal power in the counterpropagating geometry for different pump pulse energies, reported in Fig. 4(c). A power fit confirms the expected quadratic dependence. The recorded low values for the DF-signal power are mainly a consequence of the short interaction length (IL), limited by the reduced pulse overlap (~5.5 µm) due to the counterpropagating geometry: $IL = \frac{1}{\tau_T(1/v_{g,p} - 1/v_{g,T})}$ (solid gray line in Fig. 2).

In conclusion, we have shown, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, a wavelength-shifting mechanism (see, e. g., [21,22]) relying on a naturally phase-matched DF generation process occurring in a Kerr medium (diamond) between counterpropagating waves.

Several intriguing applications can be envisaged, such as the detection and imaging of terahertz fields in a counterpropagating geometry. Furthermore, our results hint toward further investigations of counterpropagating wave-mixing [23].
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