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We study the interaction between a neutral atom or molecule and a conductor-patched dielectric surface. We model this system by a perfectly reflecting disk lying atop a nondispersive dielectric half-space, both interacting with the neutral atom or molecule. We assume the interaction to be nonretarded and at zero temperature. We find an exact solution to this problem. In addition, we generate a number of other useful results. For the case of no substrate, we obtain the exact formula for the van der Waals interaction energy of an atom near a perfectly conducting disk. We show that the force acting on an atom that is polarized in the direction normal to the surface of the disk displays intricate behavior. This part of our results is directly relevant to recent matter-wave experiments in which cold molecules are scattered by a radially symmetric object in order to study interference patterns and the so-called Poisson spot. Furthermore, we give an exact expression for the nonretarded limit of the dispersion force potential between an atom and a perfectly conducting bowl.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The importance of electromagnetic dispersion forces [1] in cold-atom physics is by now a well-established fact and the theory of atom-surface interactions is well developed. There are theoretical tools available, both numerical [3] and analytical [4,5], for calculating dispersion forces between atoms and polarizable objects for arbitrary geometries and a variety of optical properties of the surfaces. However, practical calculations of such dispersion forces tend to be complicated because, in one way or another, they require solutions to complex electromagnetic scattering problems. In order to make calculations manageable and render final results to be of practical use, simplifying assumptions of some sort need to be introduced. One possibility is to focus attention on the so-called nonretarded regime of the dispersion interaction, also called the van der Waals regime. In this regime, one assumes the speed of light to be infinite and therefore any scattering problems whose solutions are required for the computation of the force become essentially electrostatic problems. This regime is applicable to atoms or molecules whose distance to the surface is much smaller than the wavelength of their dominant dipole transition. Although going to the nonretarded regime somewhat restricts the applicability of the final results, the benefits are enormous; electrostatics is considerably simpler than electrodynamics plus there are numerous scenarios where the nonretarded calculations are adequate and provide accurate estimates of the dispersion forces. For example, the spacings between molecular energy levels are relatively close, so that the wavelengths of dominant dipole transitions in molecules tend to be relatively large. Thus, the interaction between cold molecules and surfaces often falls into the nonretarded regime. Since the mathematical framework of electrostatics is very well investigated, one is able to obtain exact analytic solutions for some nontrivial geometries that bear more resemblance to realistic experimental setups than the oversimplified geometries often studied in the literature.

In this paper, we respond to the growing need of experimental physicists for simple and easy-to-use expressions enabling efficient calculations of the dispersion forces in realistic geometries that tend to arise in modern experiments.

The question that we think requires urgent attention is this: What is the interaction of an atom with a dielectric surface onto which some conducting structures have been deposited? This is a very common experimental scenario, e.g., with atom chips. Inspired by recent progress in graphene technologies [6], one might even imagine an atom interacting with graphene flake or ribbon supported by a dielectric substrate. Such structures are now routinely made and it is just a matter of time until they find their way into cold-atom physics.

With this motivation in mind, we model a conductor-patched dielectric surface interacting with a neutral atom or molecule by a perfectly reflecting disk supported by a nondispersive dielectric half-space interacting with a neutral localized quantum system, represented by a fluctuating electric dipole moment. We assume the interaction to be nonretarded and at zero temperature.

In the following, we shall find an exact solution to the problem of a perfectly reflecting disk atop a nondispersive dielectric, which we think is a quite remarkable result. In addition, we generate a number of other useful results. Most notably, we obtain an exact formula for the van der Waals interaction energy of an atom near a perfectly conducting disk. We anticipate that this result will prove useful for current matter-wave experiments where cold molecules are scattered on radially symmetric objects in order to study the interference patterns and in particular the so-called Poisson spot, which is a phenomenon that is well known from standard optics. We also derive an expression for the dispersion force acting on an atom close to the edge of a conducting half-plane deposited on a dielectric substrate. This formula can be applied to the case of an atom near the edge of a conducting ribbon. Furthermore, in the Appendix, we give an exact expression for the dispersion interaction between an atom and a perfectly conducting bowl.

II. NONRETARDED DISPERSION-FORCE POTENTIAL

At close range, the dispersion interaction between a neutral atom and a perfectly reflecting material structure is nonretarded and can be worked out by methods of electrostatics. Taking the atom to be a pointlike electric dipole located at $\mathbf{r}_0$, one can show that the interaction energy with a nearby surface...
can, in rectangular coordinates, be written as [7]

\[
\Delta E = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_0} \lim_{r \to r_0} \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\mu_i|^2 \nabla_i \nabla_i G_H(r, r'),
\]

(1)

where \( G_H(r, r') \) is the homogeneous part of the Green’s function, to be explained further below. The sum runs over the three components of the electric dipole moment operator \( \mu_i \). The complete Green’s function \( G(r, r') \) is the electrostatic potential at \( r \) due to a unit point charge at \( r' \) and satisfies

\[
-\nabla^2 G(r, r') = \delta^{(3)}(r - r'),
\]

(2)

with appropriate boundary conditions. For a perfect reflector, the boundary conditions on \( G(r, r') \) are for it to vanish for any \( r \) on the surface of the reflector. For dielectric surfaces, Maxwell’s equations imply continuity conditions on the gradient of \( G(r, r') \). The component of \( \nabla G(r, r') \) that is parallel to the surface of the dielectric is continuous when multiplied by the respective dielectric constant \( \varepsilon(r) \) on either side of the interface. The homogeneous part of the potential is the difference between the Green’s function \( G(r, r') \) and its free-space equivalent, i.e., the potential of the same point charge in free space,

\[
G_H(r, r') = G(r, r') - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|r - r'|},
\]

(3)

So far, the problem is entirely classical and does not involve quantum electrodynamics. The quantum properties of the atom are accounted for in the expectation values of the electric dipole moment operator \( \mu_i^2 \equiv \langle j | \mu_i^2 | j \rangle \), where \( | j \rangle \) denotes the state of the atom, not necessarily its ground state. The difficulty of determining the energy shift (1) lies in calculating the Green’s function \( G(r, r') \) for the geometry of interest.

III. CONDUCTING HALF-PLANE ON A DIELECTRIC SUBSTRATE

A. Green’s function

In this section, we derive the Green’s function of the Poisson equation for a semi-infinite conducting half-plane lying on top of a dielectric substrate, as depicted in Fig. 1. We work in cylindrical coordinates \( r = (\rho, \phi, z) \) and place the origin of the coordinate system on the edge of the conducting half-plane, which is described by \( \phi = 0 \). The plane \( \phi = \pi \) describes the surface of the polarizable body that is not coated by the conductor. We require the electrostatic potential \( G(r, r') \) to vanish on the surface of the conducting half-plane, that is,

\[
G(r, r') = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \phi = (0, 2\pi).
\]

(4)

Across the half-plane described by \( \phi = \pi \), we impose standard dielectric continuity conditions,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} G(r, r'), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} G(r, r'), \quad \varepsilon(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} G(r, r') \quad \text{continuous}.
\]

(5)

To find the solution of Eq. (2) that satisfies the boundary and continuity conditions described above, we work with the eigenfunctions \( \Psi_n(\rho, \phi, z) \) of the Laplace operator in cylindrical coordinates and construct the Green’s function in terms of an eigenfunction expansion (cf. Sec. III of Ref. [7]). In the region \( 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi \), eigenfunctions that are regular for small \( \rho \) and that vanish on the half-plane \( \phi = 0 \) are

\[
\Psi_n(\rho, \phi, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikz} J_2(\rho k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin \left( \frac{m\phi}{2} \right).
\]

(6)

In the region \( \pi \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \), those that are regular for small \( \rho \) and vanish on the half-plane \( \phi = 2\pi \) are

\[
\Psi_n(\rho, \phi, z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikz} J_2(\rho k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin \left( 2\pi - \frac{m\phi}{2} \right).
\]

(7)

The parameters \( k \), \( m \) in Eqs. (6) and (7) would not \textit{a priori} need to be the same, but the requirement of continuity of the \( \rho \) and \( z \) derivatives, according to Eq. (5), forces them to be the same. In order to satisfy the simultaneous continuity condition on \( \varepsilon(\phi) \partial \Psi_n(\rho, \phi, z) / \partial \phi \), one needs to have either

\[
\sin \left( \frac{m\phi}{2} \right) \bigg|_{\phi=\pi} = 0
\]

or

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \sin \left( \frac{m\phi}{2} \right) \bigg|_{\phi=\pi} = \frac{m}{2} \cos \left( \frac{m\phi}{2} \right) \bigg|_{\phi=\pi} = 0.
\]

In the first case, \( m \) must therefore be an even integer, and in the second, an odd integer. Putting the parts below and above \( \phi = \pi \) together with the appropriate relative factors so as to satisfy the continuity conditions at \( \phi = \pi \), and then normalizing each eigenfunction, one can proceed to assembling the Green’s function from these eigenfunctions.
and calculate the result and plug the result into formula (10) of Ref. [48].

\[ G(r, r') = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \frac{k}{k^2 + k^2} e^{ik(z' - z)} J_m(k\rho) J_m(k\rho') \frac{2n^2}{n^2 + 1} \sin m\phi \sin m\phi' \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k}{k^2 + k^2} e^{ik(z' - z)} J_{m+1/2}(k\rho) J_{m+1/2}(k\rho') \frac{2n^2}{n^2 + 1} \sin \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \phi \sin \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \phi'. \]  (8)

and in the region \( \pi \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \) and \( 0 \leq \phi' \leq \pi \),

\[ G(r, r') = \frac{1}{4\pi(n^2 + 1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{D_-} \left[ \epsilon(\phi) + \frac{2n^2}{n^2 \pi} \arctan \left( \frac{F_-}{D_-} \right) \right] \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{1}{D_+} \left[ \frac{n^2}{\epsilon(\phi)} + \frac{2n^2}{n^2 + 1} \arctan \left( \frac{F_+}{D_+} \right) \right] \right\}, \]  (10)

with

\[ \epsilon(\phi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} \quad \phi \in (0, \pi), \\ n^2 & \text{for} \quad \phi \in (\pi, 2\pi), \end{cases} \]  (11)

and

\[ F_{\pm} = \sqrt{2\rho\rho'[(1 + \cos(\phi \pm \phi')], \quad D_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos(\phi \pm \phi') + (z - z')^2}, \quad \eta_{\pm} = \text{sgn} \left( \cos \left( \phi \pm \phi' \right) \right). \]  (12)

B. Energy shift

With the Green’s function (10) determined, the calculation of the energy shift is now straightforward. We subtract the free-space Green’s function from Eq. (10) and plug the result into formula (1). For an atom located at \((\rho, \phi, z)\) (cf. Fig. 1), we find that the nonretarded energy shift in the atom may be expressed as

\[ \Delta E = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2(n^2 + 1)e_0} \left[ \Xi_\rho(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_\phi(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_z(\mu_z^2) \right], \]  (13)

with the abbreviations

\[ \Xi_\rho = \frac{5}{48\rho^3} + \frac{\cos \phi}{16\rho^3 \sin^2 \phi} + \frac{(n^2 + 1)\pi - 2\phi}[1 + \sin^2 \phi], \]

\[ \Xi_\phi = -\frac{1}{48\rho^3} + \frac{\cos \phi}{8\rho^3 \sin^2 \phi} + \frac{(n^2 + 1)\pi - 2\phi}[1 + \cos^2 \phi], \]

\[ \Xi_z = \frac{1}{24\rho^3} + \frac{\cos \phi}{16\rho^3 \sin^2 \phi} + \frac{(n^2 + 1)\pi - 2\phi}{32\rho^3 \sin^3 \phi}. \]

In this form, the expression for the energy shift facilitates a clear-cut comparison with the result obtained in Ref. [7] for the case of the atom interacting with a half-plane alone, i.e., without any substrate present. However, for practical purposes, it is much more convenient to express the shift in terms of Cartesian coordinates where the directions of the unit vectors, in terms of which the dipole matrix elements \( \langle \mu_z^2 \rangle \) are expressed, are position independent. We set up the Cartesian coordinate system in such a way that the \( z \) direction is perpendicular to the surface and the \( x \) axis runs along the edge of the conducting sheet. Mathematically, we set \( \rho \cos \phi = y, \rho \sin \phi = z, z = x \) in Eq. (10) and calculate the energy shift again by using (1). We obtain

\[ \Delta E = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2(n^2 + 1)e_0} \left[ \Xi_x(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_y(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_z(\mu_z^2) \right], \]  (14)

with the abbreviations

\[ \Xi_x = \frac{1}{24(y^2 + z^2)\beta^3} + \frac{y}{16z^3(y^2 + z^2)} + \frac{n^2\pi - 2\arctan(y/z)}{32z^3}, \]  (15)

\[ \Xi_y = \frac{5y^2 - z^2}{48(y^2 + z^2)\beta^3} + \frac{y^3}{16\beta^2(y^2 + z^2)^2} + \frac{n^2\pi - 2\arctan(y/z)}{32z^3}, \]  (16)

\[ \Xi_z = \frac{5z^2 - y^2}{48(y^2 + z^2)\beta^3} + \frac{2y^3 + 3yz^3}{16\beta^2(y^2 + z^2)^2} + \frac{n^2\pi - 2\arctan(y/z)}{16z^3}. \]  (17)

For an atom with isotropic polarizability, which is the most common case, we get \( \Xi_x(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_y(\mu_z^2) + \Xi_z(\mu_z^2) = \Xi_{\text{iso}}(\mu_z^2) \), with

\[ \Xi_{\text{iso}} = \frac{1}{8(y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{y}{4z^3(y^2 + z^2)} + \frac{n^2\pi - 2\arctan(y/z)}{8z^3}. \]  (18)

which is a remarkably simple end result. It is straightforward to visualize the result by plotting the direction of the dispersion force, which is done in Fig. 2. As one would expect from physical intuition, there is a lateral component of the force due to the presence of the conducting coating. One can easily convince oneself, analytically or numerically, that the lateral component of the force pulling the atom towards the edge of the half-plane is dominated by the normal component of the force, even for relatively low values of the index of refraction \( n \).
IV. KELVIN INVERSION

The Kelvin inversion [8] is a nonlinear coordinate transformation, i.e., a reflection of space in a sphere of radius S and centered at s. It is defined as follows:

$$T(r) = \frac{S^2}{|r - s|^2}(r - s) + s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Reference [9] gives a very clear overview of the geometrical properties of this transformation. It is of interest here because it preserves solutions of boundary-value problems of the Poisson equation, as we shall explain further below. The Green’s function of the Poisson equation \(G(r, r')\) is a function of two variables: the observation point \(r\) and the source point \(r'\). To apply the transformation (19) to the Green’s function \(G(r, r')\), one applies it to both of its arguments. The so-transformed Green’s function is, when multiplied by an appropriate prefactor, a solution to the Poisson equation in the transformed geometry because one has [10,11]

$$-\nabla^2 \left[ \frac{S^2}{|r - s||r' - s|} G(T[r], T[r']) \right] = \delta^{(3)}(r - r').$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Therefore, the transformation

$$G(r, r') \Rightarrow \frac{S^2}{|r - s||r' - s|} G(T[r], T[r']) \equiv \tilde{G}(r, r')$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

generates a new Green’s function of the Poisson equation \(\tilde{G}(r, r')\) in a new geometry from an already known Green’s function \(G(r, r')\) in a geometry that is related to the new one by a Kelvin transformation. It generates a new solution to a boundary-value problem in a new geometry from the known solution in the original geometry because if the Green’s function \(G(r, r')\) vanishes on some surface \(\sigma\), then the transformed Green’s function \(\tilde{G}(r, r')\) vanishes on the transformed surface \(\tilde{\sigma}\). In this way, Green’s functions for perfect reflectors of various shapes can be obtained from known Green’s functions by various Kelvin transformations, i.e., by adjusting the position and the radius of the inversion sphere [12,13].

For the present purposes, it is important to note that, in general, the Kelvin transformation does not preserve dielectric boundary conditions, which is why it is normally thought to be useful only for problems involving just perfect reflectors. The most obvious example of a case in which the Kelvin inversion does not preserve the solution of the Poisson equation is that of a dielectric sphere, in contrast to the potential of a point charge near a perfectly conducting sphere, which may be obtained by applying the Kelvin inversion to the potential near the perfectly reflecting flat mirror. The potential near a flat mirror, perfectly reflecting or dielectric, is a sum of a free-space potential and its image in the mirror. The potential near a perfectly reflecting sphere also has the same structure, and the image charge and its location can be obtained from the flat-mirror solution by a Kelvin transformation. However, the potential of a point charge near a dielectric sphere cannot be written down as a sum of a free-space potential plus an image potential from just a single point image charge. The exact solution in this geometry is more complicated and involves a point image charge and an additional continuous line of image charges inside the sphere [14]. Therefore, the solutions in those two geometries, for the dielectric half-space and for the dielectric sphere, cannot be connected by the Kelvin transformation (19).

It is easy to understand why this is the case: a dielectric surface enforces different continuity conditions on the gradients of the potential normal and parallel to it, but in general Kelvin transformations distort geometries and hence do not preserve normal and parallel directions. However, there are some special circumstances under which the Kelvin inversion does, in fact, preserve normal and parallel directions at a surface, for example, for a cone whose tip is the center of the inversion. This transformation is trivial as it maps a dielectric cone into itself but, as we shall see in the next section, it is nevertheless very useful as it can be applied to cones with conducting coatings. Note that a plane is a cone with 180° opening angle, and thus the geometry of Fig. 2, for which the Green’s function is given by Eq. (10), is a special case of such a cone.

V. CONDUCTING DISK ON A DIELECTRIC SURFACE

A. Green’s function

In this section, we are going to derive the Green’s function of the Poisson equation for the geometry of the conducting disk lying on top of a dielectric substrate; cf. Fig 3. We take the perfectly reflecting disk of diameter \(d\) to lie in the \(z = 0\) plane, centered at the origin. The electrostatic potential is required to vanish on the surface of the disk, i.e.,

$$G(r, r') = 0 \text{ for } z = 0 \cap x^2 + y^2 \leq \frac{d^2}{4},$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where \(x, y\) are the coordinates in the plane of the disk. In the plane \(z = 0\) outside the disk, we require that \(G(r, r')\) satisfies dielectric boundary conditions, that is, we require that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(r, r'), \frac{\partial}{\partial y} G(r, r'), \epsilon(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} G(r, r')$$

are continuous for \(z = 0 \cap x^2 + y^2 \geq d^2/4\). Obtaining the Green’s function with the above boundary and continuity
the correct boundary and continuity conditions across the whole surface, $y = 0$.

To simplify the notation, we wish to center the coordinate system on the disk and orient the $z$ axis perpendicular to the sheet, as shown in Fig. 3. Thus we shift and rotate the axes according to $x \rightarrow y - d/2$, $y \rightarrow z$, $z \rightarrow x$. We are interested in the disk-geometry Green’s function for the case $z, z’ > 0$, so that we need the Green’s function (10) for the case $\phi, \phi’ \in (0, \pi)$. In that case, Eq. (12) reads

$$
\eta_- = 1, \quad \eta_+ = \text{sgn}[\sin(\phi + \phi’)].
$$

(23)

It is advantageous to further rewrite $\eta_+$ in terms of $\sin(\phi + \phi’) = \sin(\phi) \cos(\phi’) + \cos(\phi) \sin(\phi’)$, as this facilitates the straightforward application of the Kelvin inversion. The procedure described above then yields the transformed Green’s function in the same form as in Eq. (10), but

$$
F_\pm = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d} \left\{ \left( \rho^2 + z^2 - \frac{d^2}{4} \right) \right\}^{1/2}
$$

$$
\pm d^2 z z’ + \sqrt{\left[ z^2 + \left( \rho - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right] \left[ z^2 + \left( \rho + \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right]} \times \sqrt{\left[ z^2 + \left( \rho’ - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right] \left[ z^2 + \left( \rho’ + \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right]}^{1/2},
$$

(24)

$$
D_\pm = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \rho’^2 - 2 \rho \rho’ \cos(\phi - \phi’) + (z \mp z’)^2},
$$

(25)

and, of course, $\eta_- = 1$. We emphasize that this result, i.e., Eq. (10) with the insertions (24)–(26), is valid for $z, z’ > 0$ when the source and observation points are both in the empty space above the substrate; cf. Fig. 3. The Green’s function for the case of the source in vacuum but the observation point inside the material can also be obtained quite easily by considering $\eta_\pm$ in Eq. (12) and making appropriate amendments.

**B. Energy shift**

Knowing the Green’s function, we can now calculate the energy shift. We subtract the free-space potential from the result for the Green’s function that we obtained in Sec. VII B and substitute it into formula (1). For an atom located at ($\rho, \phi, z$) (cf. Fig. 3), we find that the nonretarded energy shift may be expressed as

$$
\Delta E = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2(n^2 + 1)\varepsilon_0} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_\rho(\mu_\rho^2) + \mathbb{E}_\phi(\mu_\phi^2) + \mathbb{E}_z(\mu_z^2) \right\},
$$

(27)

with the abbreviations

$$
\mathbb{E}_\rho = \frac{d}{R_1^2 R_3^3} \left\{ \frac{d^2}{6} - \rho^2 \right\} + \frac{2d \rho^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} \left( \rho^2 + z^2 - \frac{d^2}{4} \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{2d \rho^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} \left( \rho^2 + z^2 - \frac{d^2}{4} \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_3} \left\{ \arctan \left( \frac{d^2/4 - \rho^2 - z^2}{dz} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} n^2 \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{dz}{R_1^4 R_3^4} \left( \rho^2 + z^2 - \frac{d^2}{4} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left[ \left( \frac{d^2}{4} + z^2 - \rho^2 \right)^2 + 8z^2 \rho^2 \right],
$$

(28)

$$
\mathbb{E}_\phi = \frac{d^3/6}{R_1^2 R_3^2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_3} \left\{ \arctan \left( \frac{d^2/4 - \rho^2 - z^2}{dz} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} n^2 \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{dz}{R_1^2 R_3^2} \left( \rho^2 + z^2 - \frac{d^2}{4} \right)
$$

(29)
together with Eqs. (28) and Eqs. (30) we obtain the exact solution for this complicated but practically relevant geometry, we first solved the Poisson equation for the geometry of a conducting half-plane that is supported by a dielectric half-space; cf. Sec III A. Then, in Sec. V A, we applied a Kelvin inversion to obtain the Green’s function for a conducting circular disk on a dielectric substrate. The result for this Green’s function is exact. It can be used to calculate static electric fields near dielectric surfaces with conducting coatings or patches; cf. Fig 7. The main goal of this paper was to determine the energy-level shift (van der Waals energy) for an atom in close proximity to such a structure. The remarkably simple result for the shift given by Eq. (27) and Eqs. (28)–(30) allows one to estimate the dispersion interaction for atoms near dielectric substrates with conducting structures on top. One could imagine an electroplated and partially etched surface or a graphene flake on a SiO$_2$ substrate or a graphene quantum dot. In the limit of no substrate, our result reduces to that for a flat disk. Detailed analysis of the atom-disk interaction reveals the intricate behavior of the dispersion force acting on atoms or molecules polarized in the direction normal to an impact on matter-wave experiments, which are sensitive to van der Waals interactions. For example, Ref. [16] studies the interference patterns (Poisson spot) from molecules scattered by a disk. The theoretical calculations used in conjunction with such matter-wave experiments tend to rely on rather oversimplified expressions for the atom-obstacle dispersive interactions [17]. As we can see in Fig. 6, the dispersion interaction for a polarized molecule near a disk exhibits a complicated pattern, so that theoretical predictions using simplistic models may potentially differ appreciably from the true values that can be calculated on the basis of the exact results derived here, especially for beams of polarized molecules. Although Eqs. (28)–(30) may seem complicated at first glance, they are expressed in terms of only elementary functions and can thus be evaluated numerically without much effort.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have fulfilled our aim of calculating the exact dispersion-force potential for a neutral atom interacting with a conducting disk supported by a dielectric half-space. To obtain the exact solution for this complicated but practically very relevant geometry, we first solved the Poisson equation for the geometry of a conducting half-plane that is supported by a dielectric half-space; cf. Sec III A. Then, in Sec. V A, we applied a Kelvin inversion to obtain the Green’s function for a conducting circular disk on a dielectric substrate. The result for this Green’s function is exact. It can be used to calculate static electric fields near dielectric surfaces with conducting coatings or patches; cf. Fig 7. The main goal of this paper was to determine the energy-level shift (van der Waals energy) for an atom in close proximity to such a structure. The remarkably simple result for the shift given by Eq. (27) and Eqs. (28)–(30) allows one to estimate the dispersion interaction for atoms near dielectric substrates with conducting structures on top. One could imagine an electroplated and partially etched surface or a graphene flake on a SiO$_2$ substrate or a graphene quantum dot. In the limit of no substrate, our result reduces to that for a flat disk. Detailed analysis of the atom-disk interaction reveals the intricate behavior of the dispersion force acting on atoms or molecules polarized in the direction normal to...
FIG. 7. (Color online) Contours of constant electrostatic potential \( \phi(r) \) for a point charge above a dielectric half-space with a perfectly conducting disk on top. The index of refraction of the substrate is \( n = 2 \).

In giving the energy-level shift for an atom interacting with a conducting spherical bowl, we take the center of the bowl to be at the center of the Cartesian coordinate system so that its surface is described by

\[
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \frac{d^2}{4} \quad \cap \quad z \leq 0.
\]  

(A1)

Then the energy shift can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates as

\[
\Delta E = \frac{1}{16\pi^2\epsilon_0} \left( \Sigma_x \langle \mu_x^2 \rangle + \Sigma_y \langle \mu_y^2 \rangle + \Sigma_z \langle \mu_z^2 \rangle \right),
\]  

(A2)

FIG. 9. (Color online) Direction of the dispersion force acting on an atom with isotropic polarizability placed near a conducting spherical bowl (blue arrows). The black circular lines are contours of constant van der Waals energy, and the red semicircle is the conducting bowl.

FIG. 8. Applying a suitable Kelvin inversion (19) to the Green’s function for a disk generates the Green’s function for a conducting spherical bowl. Note that dielectric continuity conditions are not preserved by this transformation, so that the procedure works only in the absence of the substrate, i.e., in the limit \( n \to 1 \).
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APPENDIX: CONDUCTING SPHERICAL SHELL: GREEN’S FUNCTION AND THE ENERGY SHIFT

The Green’s function for a conducting disk without a substrate facilitates the derivation of yet another interesting exact solution in electrostatics, namely, the potential due to a point charge in the presence of a conducting spherical bowl. In order to derive the Green’s function in this geometry, we apply a Kelvin inversion to the Green’s function for a conducting disk, which is obtained by taking the limit \( n \to 1 \) in the results of Sec. V A. We place the disk of radius \( d \) in the \( z = 0 \) plane and choose \( s = (0,0,d) \) as the center of the Kelvin inversion and \( \hat{S} = d \) as the radius of the inversion sphere; cf. Eq. (19) and Fig. 8. We dispense with the details of the calculation and explicit formulas for the Green’s function, which have already been reported in Ref. [12]. Here we are interested only

in giving the energy-level shift for an atom interacting with a conducting spherical bowl. We take the center of the bowl to be at the center of the Cartesian coordinate system so that its surface is described by

\[
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \frac{d^2}{4} \quad \cap \quad z \leq 0.
\]  

(A1)

Then the energy shift can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates as

\[
\Delta E = \frac{1}{16\pi^2\epsilon_0} \left( \Sigma_x \langle \mu_x^2 \rangle + \Sigma_y \langle \mu_y^2 \rangle + \Sigma_z \langle \mu_z^2 \rangle \right),
\]  

(A2)
with the abbreviations

$$
\Xi_x = \frac{d^2 z}{s^4 + d^2 z^2} \left( \frac{x^2}{s^4 + d^2 z^2} + \frac{x^2 + d^2/4}{s^4} \right)
- \frac{2d x^2 s^4}{(s^4 + d^2 z^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{d}{(s^4 + d^2 z^2)^{3/2}} \left( \frac{d^2}{6} - x^2 \right)
+ \frac{d (x^2 + d^2/4)}{s^6} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{d z}{|s|} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \tag{A3}
$$

$$
\Xi_z = \frac{d^2 z}{s^4 + d^2 z^2} \left[ \frac{z^2 - d^2/4}{s^4 + d^2 z^2} + \frac{d^2/2 - x^2 - y^2}{s^4} \right]
+ \frac{2 d z^2 (s^2 + d^2/4)^2}{(s^4 + d^2 z^2)^{3/2}}
$$

Here $s^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - d^2/4$, and the result for $\Xi_y$ is obtained by the replacement $x \leftrightarrow y$, that is, $\Xi_y(x,y,z,d) = \Xi_x(y,x,z,d)$. It may at first sight seem awkward to work in Cartesian coordinates in this geometry, but this in fact avoids mathematical difficulties which arise at the origin of the spherical coordinate system where the azimuthal angle is not well defined. Besides, it is useful to have dipole matrix elements expressed in terms of unit vectors whose orientation does not depend on their position. We plot the direction of the dispersion force acting on an atom with isotropic polarizability in Fig. 9.

[1] There are a number of different names in use for such dispersion forces. By their nature, they are van der Waals forces, but this name is often used to refer just to the force between polarizable point particles. Casimir and Polder [2] considered the dispersion interaction between a point particle and a macroscopic object, a perfectly reflecting plane in their case, as a stepping stone in their derivation of the retarded van der Waals interaction between two point particles. As their Eq. (22) for the dispersion force between an atom and a plate is valid at any range, this force is now often called the Casimir-Polder force. At short range, this force is nonretarded and entirely electrostatic in nature, and in the long-range limit, it is retarded by nature but depends only on the static polarizability, which has led to the use of the terminology of nonretarded and retarded Casimir-Polder forces for these two limits. The calculations presented in the present paper all pertain to nonretarded Casimir-Polder forces. However, some researchers object to this name and associate with the term Casimir-Polder force only the fully retarded expression for the dispersion force between an atom and a plane or other macroscopic object; therefore this paper uses the term dispersion force instead.


[10] The right-hand side of Eq. (20) contains an additional term proportional to $\delta^3(r - s) G(T[r], T[r'])$, which vanishes provided that $G(T[r], T[r'])$ vanishes for $T[r] \to \infty$.


