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ABSTRACT
The relativistic Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) effect offers a method, independent of X-ray, for measuring the temperature of the intracluster medium (ICM) in the hottest systems. Here, using N-body/hydrodynamic simulations of three galaxy clusters, we compare the two quantities for a non-radiative ICM, and for one that is subject both to radiative cooling and to strong energy feedback from galaxies. Our study has yielded two interesting results. First, in all cases, the SZ temperature is hotter than the X-ray temperature and is within 10 per cent of the virial temperature of the cluster. Secondly, the mean SZ temperature is less affected by cooling and feedback than the X-ray temperature. Both these results can be explained by the SZ temperature being less sensitive to the distribution of cool gas associated with cluster substructure. A comparison of the SZ and X-ray temperatures (measured for a sample of hot clusters) would therefore yield interesting constraints on the thermodynamic structure of the intracluster gas.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Presently, nearly all of our knowledge of the intracluster medium (ICM) comes from X-ray observations, with the latest generation of X-ray satellites, XMM–Newton and Chandra, being capable of spatially resolving the density and temperature structure independently in low-redshift clusters out to tens of per cent of their virial radii (e.g. Arnaud, Pointecouteau & Pratt 2005; Vikhlinin et al. 2005; Pratt et al. 2007). Separate density and temperature information is vital for probing the effects of physical processes (such as galactic outflows) on the structure of the ICM (e.g. from the entropy and pressure profiles), as well as for constructing mass observable relationships for use in cosmological analyses. The latter is presently being driven by X-ray surveys such as the XMM Cluster Survey (Romer et al. 2001; Stanford et al. 2006), promising to find many new clusters out to redshifts $z > 1$.

The ICM is also detectable at centimetre and millimetre wavelengths through the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) effect (Sunyaev & Zel’dovich 1972), the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons off free electrons in the ICM. As the SZ effect is a scattering process, the observed SZ signal is independent of cluster redshift. Thus, thousands of new high-redshift clusters are promised from upcoming SZ surveys such as that to be performed by the South Pole Telescope (Ruhl et al. 2004).

For most clusters, the SZ effect will only measure the integrated pressure of the electrons along the line of sight, and so its use as a probe of ICM structure will be limited without additional X-ray data. The combination of SZ and X-ray surface brightness profiles yields a promising new way of obtaining cluster temperature information without having to resort to expensive X-ray spectroscopy; such a method yields a temperature that is closer to the mass-weighted temperature of the cluster (Ameglio et al. 2007).

It is possible, however, to measure the temperature of the ICM directly in the hottest clusters with multi-frequency SZ observations alone, due to significant relativistic effects in these systems (e.g. Rephaeli 1995; Stebbins 1997; Challinor & Lasenby 1998; Itoh, Kohyama & Nozawa 1998; Pointecouteau, Giard & Barret 1998). In contrast to the above, this estimate of the temperature (hereafter referred to as the SZ temperature) is weighted by the pressure of the electrons along the line of sight. How the SZ and X-ray temperature measurements differ systematically, from a theoretical perspective, is the subject of this short paper. Here, using N-body/hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy clusters, we quantify the difference and show that it can be as large as a factor of 2, primarily due to the clumpiness in the ICM, associated with incomplete thermal support. We also show that the temperature directly derived from SZ data is the more faithful tracer of the underlying cluster potential, being within 10 per cent of the virial temperature in all clusters studied.

2 MEASURING CLUSTER TEMPERATURES
The temperature of the ICM (or any portion of it) is most easily measured by fitting a single-temperature plasma model to the observed X-ray spectrum. As the emission is primarily due to thermal bremsstrahlung (free–free) in hot clusters ($kT > 2$ keV or...
so, the temperature is determined by the energy scale, $E \simeq kT$, above which the emission decays exponentially. Since a single-temperature model is used to fit a multi-temperature distribution, the result is known as a spectroscopically weighted average of the plasma temperature.

Recently, Mazzotta et al. (2004) calibrated this weighting using $N$-body/hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy clusters and proposed a simple estimator, known as the spectroscopic-like temperature

$$T_{\text{sl}} = \frac{\int \rho^2 T^{3/4} \, dV}{\int \rho^2 T^{-3/4} \, dV}.$$  

(1)

where $\rho$ is the mass density of a fluid element in volume, $dV$, with temperature, $T$. Since the weighting factor is $w = \rho^2 T^{-3/4}$, cooler dense (i.e. lower entropy) gas is weighted the most. Naturally, cooling gas increases its density to try and re-establish hydrostatic equilibrium, so regions where cooling is important (particularly the core) have a strong influence on the X-ray temperature of the ICM.

An alternative, but much harder, measurement of the ICM temperature comes from the spectral distortion induced by a cluster on the CMB, the SZ effect. The fractional change in CMB temperature is

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\text{CMB}}} = \frac{\int \left[ g(x) \frac{kgT}{m_e c^2} + f(x, T_e) \frac{kgT}{m_e c^2} + h(x) \frac{v_l}{c} \right] \, dr}{\int \frac{kgT}{m_e c^2} \, dr},$$  

(2)

where $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725$ K (Mather et al. 1999), $T_e$ is the electron temperature of the ICM, $n_e$ its density, $v_l$ the line-of-sight velocity, and $dr = \frac{n_e \sigma_T}{v_l} d\tau$ the change in optical depth to Compton scattering along the differential line element, $dl$. The three frequency-dependent prefactors, $g(x), f(x, T_e)$ and $h(x)$, where $x = \frac{h(kT_{\text{CMB}})}{4\pi}$, allow the separation of the SZ signal to be performed [with $f(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x$].

The first term in equation (2) dominates for reasonable assumptions of cluster properties, and is due to the thermal energy of the (mainly) non-relativistic electron population. The second term is the temperature-dependent correction due to relativistic electrons which can be significant for hot clusters; it is this term that we will make use of to estimate the ICM temperature. Finally, the third term (known as the kinetic SZ effect) is due to the bulk motion of the plasma.

The frequency-independent part of the first term is usually expressed as the Compton $y$ parameter

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{kT_e}{m_e c^2} \, dr.$$  

(3)

Following Hansen (2004), we can then define the Compton-average of any quantity $F$ as

$$\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{y} \int F \frac{kT_e}{m_e c^2} \, dr,$$  

(4)

so the Compton-averaged electron temperature is

$$T_e = \frac{1}{y} \int T_e \frac{kT_e}{m_e c^2} \, dr.$$  

(5)

The relativistic correction, $f(x, T_e)$, can be approximated as $f(x, T_e) = T_e \delta(x)$; higher order corrections due to ultrarelativistic electrons are subdominant in all but the very hottest clusters (Diego, Hansen & Silk 2003; Hansen 2004). In the Rayleigh–Jeans limit ($x \ll 1$), $g(x) = -2$ and $\delta(x) = 17k/5m_e c^2$ (Challinor & Lasenby 1998). Also ignoring the kinetic SZ effect, we can thus approximate equation (2) as

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\text{CMB}}} = y [g(x) + \delta(T_e)].$$  

(6)

One can potentially extract $(T_e)$ from multi-frequency SZ data, especially for hot $kT > 5$ keV clusters. This is a different average from the X-ray temperature, weighted instead by the gas pressure (so at a given density, the hottest, rather than coolest, gas is weighted highest). For simplicity, we will denote this temperature $T_{SZ}$ in subsequent discussion. A summary of the weights used to define the gas temperatures is given in Table 1.

### 3 CLUSTER SIMULATIONS

We analyse $N$-body/hydrodynamic simulations of three galaxy clusters, selected from a larger sample already studied by Kay et al. (2004; hereafter K2004), to which we refer the reader for further details. The clusters were extracted from a large cosmological $N$-body simulation run by the Virgo Consortium,1 and re-simulated at higher resolution and with gas using the gadget2 code (Springel 2006). The clusters have similar masses $[M_{\text{vir}} = (1.0, 0.6, 0.9) \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot}]$ and radii $[R_{\text{vir}} = (2.0, 1.7, 2.0) h^{-1}$ Mpc] at $z = 0$, but were chosen because they have significantly different merger histories (Powell et al., in preparation). While this sample is limited in size (primarily due to the amount of CPU time required), it nevertheless gives some indication of object-to-object variations on the scale of a typical rich cluster. Each cluster was re-simulated twice (see below), with 1–2 $10^{10}$ dark matter particles within $R_{\text{vir}}$ (the dark matter and gas particle masses were $m_{\text{dark}} = 4 \times 10^7 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and $m_{\text{gas}} = 8 \times 10^7 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$, respectively). The force resolution (equivalent Plummer softening length) was fixed at $\epsilon = 10 h^{-1}$ kpc in comoving coordinates until $z = 1$, after which it was fixed at $\epsilon = 5 h^{-1}$ kpc in physical coordinates.

#### 3.1 Cluster models

For each cluster, we considered two models for the gas physics, taking the total number of simulations performed to six. These models are very similar to those studied by K2004, so further details may be found there.

For the first model, labelled non-radiative, the gas was subjected to adiabatic forces and an artificial viscosity (to generate entropy in shocks) only. This was done using the standard smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) implementation in gadget2 (Springel 2006). This model contains the minimum amount of physics required to model the formation of the ICM, being driven solely by adiabatic forces and an artificial viscosity (to generate entropy in shocks) only. This was done using the standard smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) implementation in gadget2 (Springel 2006).

### Table 1. Weights used to define the hot ($T > 10^7$ K) gas mass-weighted temperature, $T_{\text{ion}}$, the X-ray spectroscopic-like temperature, $T_{\text{sl}}$, and the SZ temperature, $T_{\text{SZ}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{ion}}$</td>
<td>$w = \rho$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{sl}}$</td>
<td>$w = \rho^2 T^{-3/4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{SZ}}$</td>
<td>$w = \rho T$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 http://www.virgo.dur.ac.uk
below 1.2 × 10^4 K and reached hydrogen densities, n_H > 10^3 cm^{-3}, could either form stars (i.e. become collisionless) or be reheated to high temperature (kT = 25 keV), with equal probability (i.e. f_{heat} = 0.5 in the jargon of K2004). Tests revealed that the choice of density threshold and reheating temperature were necessary for the clusters to have sufficiently high core entropy to lie on the z = 0 X-ray luminosity–temperature relation, while the choice of f_{heat} was primarily to produce a sensible cooled fraction (on average, only 14 per cent of the baryons within R_{500}, had cooled by z = 0, similar to that observed by Lin, Mohr & Stanford 2003).

3.2 Estimating the temperatures from the simulations

The various 2D temperature distributions were computed from maps using the procedure outlined in Onuora, Kay & Thomas (2003). Briefly, the relevant weighting was computed for every hot (T > 10^5 K) gas particle within a cylinder of length 6R_{vir}, and projected radius R_{az}, centred on the cluster. These weightings were then smoothed, and projected along the length of the cylinder on to a 2D 800 × 800 pixel array using the projected version of the GADGET2 SPH kernel. Maps of the spectroscopic-like temperature, T_{sl}, were computed using the discrete version of equation (1) and the SZ temperature, T_{SZ}, using equation (5). For comparison, we also computed hot gas mass-weighted temperature maps [where the weight in equation (1) is replaced by w = ρ; see Table 1].

4 RESULTS

We first present various measurements of the mean temperature within the (projected) virial radius of each simulated cluster. Besides the X-ray and SZ temperatures, we also consider the projected hot gas mass-weighted temperature, T_{mw}, and the 3D virial temperature, T_{vir} (see Muanwong et al. 2002), the most suitable temperature for cosmological applications of clusters.

The measured temperatures\(^2\) are given in Table 2. The hot gas mass-weighted temperature, T_{mw}, and X-ray spectroscopic-like temperature, T_{sl}, are both lower than the virial temperature, with the exception of one of the feedback clusters (FB1), where T_{sl} ∼ T_{vir}.

\(^2\) In practical terms, the temperatures are at the lower end of what might be measurable through the SZ effect, but the overall results of this paper should not be significantly affected by using hotter clusters.

Table 2. Various temperature measurements (expressed as an energy, kT in keV units) within the virial radius.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>(kT_{\text{vir}})</th>
<th>(kT_{\text{mw}})</th>
<th>(kT_{\text{sl}})</th>
<th>(kT_{\text{SZ}})</th>
<th>(T_{\text{SZ}}/T_{\text{sl}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>6.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>6.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Column 1 gives the label for each simulation; column 2 gives the virial temperature; column 3 gives the hot gas mass-weighted temperature; column 4 gives the X-ray spectroscopic-like temperature; column 5 gives the SZ Compton-weighted temperature and column 6 gives the ratio of the SZ to X-ray temperatures. Values in brackets denote the ratio of each temperature to the virial temperature.

In general, the difference between these two temperatures and the virial temperature is larger for the non-radiative clusters than the feedback clusters, the most extreme case being NR3, where \(T_{\text{sl}} \sim T_{\text{vir}}/2\). Part of this difference can be attributed to projection effects, that is, including gas extending to 3 virial radii from the cluster centre, along the line of sight, when calculating \(T_{\text{mw}}\) and \(T_{\text{sl}}\). Cooler gas, associated with infalling substructure, is more prevalent on the outskirts of clusters, causing a significant downward shift in the measured temperatures. When the calculation of projected temperatures is restricted to gas within R_{vir} in all directions, the difference between these temperatures and \(T_{\text{vir}}\) is approximately halved.

We also show, in Fig. 1 (top panel), how \(T_{\text{sl}}\) compares to \(T_{\text{vir}}\) when the former is measured within a projected radius \(R < R_{\text{vir}}\) (as is the case with X-ray observations of clusters). Thus, the temperature at each value of \(R\) is a result of integrating over all gas within that radius. At R_{500} (∼R_{vir}/2), the typical outer radius where \(T_{\text{sl}}\) can be reliably measured with high-quality X-ray data, \(T_{\text{sl}}\) is only slightly larger (within 10 per cent) than its value at R_{vir}. On the other hand, the SZ temperature, T_{SZ}, is higher than both \(T_{\text{vir}}\) and \(T_{\text{sl}}\) in all clusters studied and is much closer to \(T_{\text{vir}}\) (within 10 per cent). All clusters show that T_{SZ} converges to within 20 per cent of T_{vir} at R > 0.6R_{vir} (∼R_{250}). Finally, the ratio, T_{SZ}/T_{sl}, exhibits very different behaviour between the two models, but at a small radius in particular, the SZ temperature can be significantly (tens of per cent) higher than the X-ray temperature. At the virial radius, one non-radiative cluster even has T_{SZ} > 2T_{sl}, a massive difference.

Fig. 2 illustrates how the two temperatures (\(T_{\text{sl}}\) and T_{SZ}) vary when measured locally, azimuthally averaged at each radius. Strikingly, the SZ temperature profiles are very similar for both models outside ∼0.4R_{vir}, suggesting that this estimator is insensitive to cluster physics away from the core. When the X-ray estimator is used, the feedback clusters are systematically hotter than the non-radiative clusters at all radii (except in the inner core, where a sharp drop occurs due to the presence of gas that has radiated a significant amount...
Figure 2. Azimuthally averaged temperature profiles for the non-radiative (dashed lines) and feedback (solid lines) clusters. The top panel shows the (X-ray) spectroscopic-like temperature profiles, the middle panel shows the SZ temperature profiles and the bottom panel shows the ratio of the two temperatures.

of its thermal energy). The bottom panel in the figure explicitly illustrates the ratio, $T_{SZ}/T_{sl}$, as a function of radius. In both models, the ratio increases with radius, although the effect is milder in the feedback clusters, with $T_{SZ}$ almost a factor of 2 higher than $T_{sl}$ at $R_{vir}$.

The reason why the SZ temperature is hotter than the X-ray temperature (and closer to the virial temperature) can be seen in Fig. 3, where we present maps of the two quantities (and their ratio) within $R_{vir}$ for the first cluster (NR1 and FB1). In the non-radiative cluster, the map contains a significant amount of dark features, associated with infalling cooler, denser gas. Since $T_{sl}$ weights this gas higher, they make a prominent contribution to the temperature of the cluster. Note that the nature of the cool gas changes in the feedback cluster. There it becomes more spot-like, associated with the cores of subhaloes; the combination of cooling and strong feedback has removed most of the cool, low-entropy gas in this model. The difference in the amount of cool gas in the X-ray and SZ temperature maps is shown clearly in Fig. 4, where we plot pixel temperature distribution functions within $R_{vir}$. For the SZ distributions, the differences at the lowest temperatures are also much less significant.

5 SUMMARY

Our results clearly show that the temperature of the ICM, as measured through the SZ effect, can differ significantly from the X-ray temperature of the cluster, with the SZ temperature always being the larger of the two. The X-ray temperature is weighted by cool dense gas the most, so differences between the amount of cool clumpy gas due to, for example, the level of radiative cooling and feedback, are most significant. On the other hand, the SZ temperature weights the hottest, densest gas (i.e. gas with the highest pressure) the most, so is generally a better estimator of the virial temperature of the cluster. Consequently, differences due to the amount of cooling and heating are less severe. The lower sensitivity of the SZ temperature to cluster physics (as compared to X-ray) is in line with previous comparisons between X-ray and SZ intensity (da Silva et al. 2001, 2004; Nagai 2006; Bonaldi et al. 2007).

In practice, the SZ temperature of a cluster is extremely difficult to measure, and its applicability limited to the hottest clusters, typically $kT > 5$ keV. It does seem feasible, however, to design
multi-wavelength experiments that are able to constrain SZ temperatures to $\sim 10$–20 per cent accuracy in the near future (Knox, Holder & Church 2004). Whether an instrument will ever be able to measure the SZ temperature in enough clusters, and to sufficient accuracy, to be useful for cosmological purposes (where the virial temperature is the desired quantity, cf. Evrard et al. 2008) remains to be seen, but a comparison with the X-ray temperature of a cluster ought to provide useful information on the structure of the ICM.
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