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APLF is a novel protein of unknown function that accumulates at sites of chromosomal DNA strand breakage via forkhead-associated (FHA) domain-mediated interactions with XRCC1 and XRCC4. APLF can also accumulate at sites of chromosomal DNA strand breaks independently of the FHA domain via an unidentified mechanism that requires a highly conserved C-terminal tandem zinc finger domain. Here, we show that the zinc finger domain binds tightly to poly(ADP-ribose), a polymeric posttranslational modification synthesized transiently at sites of chromosomal damage to accelerate DNA strand break repair reactions. Protein poly(ADP-ribosylation) is tightly regulated and defects in either its synthesis or degradation slow global rates of chromosomal single-strand break repair. Interestingly, APLF negatively affects poly(ADP-ribosylation) in vitro, and this activity is dependent on its capacity to bind the polymer. In addition, transient overexpression in human A549 cells of full-length APLF or a C-terminal fragment encoding the tandem zinc finger domain greatly suppresses the appearance of poly(ADP-ribose), in a zinc finger-dependent manner. We conclude that APLF can accumulate at sites of chromosomal damage via zinc finger-mediated binding to poly(ADP-ribose) and is a novel component of poly(ADP-ribose) signaling in mammalian cells.

The rapid repair of chromosomal DNA single- and double-strand breaks is critical for genome integrity, and defects in this process result in a variety of hereditary genetic diseases (21). Recently, we and others identified the human protein APLF (aka C2orf13, PALF, and XIP1) as a novel component of the DNA single-strand break repair (SSBR) and double-strand break repair (DSBR) machinery (4, 14, 15, 19). The amino terminus of APLF contains a highly conserved forkhead-associated (FHA) domain that mediates interaction with the SSBR and DSBR factors XRCC1 and XRCC4, respectively. In addition, APLF interacts with Ku80 in an FHA domain-independent manner. The C terminus of APLF contains a second highly conserved region that encodes two tandem zinc fingers (designated ZNF1 and ZNF2) and a highly acidic tail. Both the FHA domain and the tandem ZNFs can facilitate, by independent mechanisms, the accumulation of APLF at sites of DNA strand breakage (4, 14, 15). Whereas the FHA domain facilitates APLF accumulation via interaction with CK2-phosphorylated XRCC1, the mechanism by which the ZNFs achieve this is unclear.

The ZNFs in APLF most closely resemble the tandem zinc fingers present in tristetraprolin. Tristetraprolin binds specific mRNA species, with each of two ZNFs targeting a separate fingers present in tristetraprolin. Tristetraprolin binds specific is unclear.

The ZNFs in APLF most closely resemble the tandem zinc fingers present in tristetraprolin. Tristetraprolin binds specific mRNA species, with each of two ZNFs targeting a separate subsystem located within a larger, AU-rich recognition sequence (5, 18). Although APLF does not bind this mRNA species (unpublished observations), it is possible that the APLF ZNFs might interact with some other type of adenine-rich structure. One such structure that arises during DNA strand break repair is poly(ADP-ribose) (pADPr), a branched nucleic acid-like polymer synthesized rapidly at DNA strand breaks by pADPr polymerase-1 (PARP-1) and linked covalently to specific protein acceptors to signal the presence of chromosome damage (reviewed in references 6 and 17). Protein poly(ADP-ribosylation) can affect protein function in a variety of ways, such as by regulating the enzymatic activity of its protein acceptor and/or by facilitating ionic interactions with other proteins. The major targets of poly(ADP-ribosylation) at chromosomal DNA strand breaks are histone H1, histone H2B, and PARP-1 itself. The poly(ADP-ribosylation) of these proteins at chromosomal DNA breaks appears to regulate chromatin structure and compaction and, also, the accumulation of DNA repair protein complexes at sites of chromosome damage (30–32). Importantly, both pADPr synthesis and its subsequent catabolism by pADPr glycohydrolase (PARG) (reviewed in reference 11) are critical for rapid rates of chromosomal SSBR, indicating that the control of pADPr levels at sites of DNA strand breakage is a dynamic and regulated process (10, 12). Here, we show that APLF binds tightly to pADPr via its tandem zinc finger domain and that this binding can suppress protein poly(ADP-ribosylation) in vitro and in cells. These data provide a mechanism for the zinc finger-dependent recruitment of APLF to chromosome damage and suggest that APLF is a novel component of pADPr signaling/metabolism in mammalian cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Expression constructs. pET16b-APLF encoding full-length His-APLF has been described previously (14). pET16b encoding His-APLFL1–469, His-APLFL360–511zfm1 (harboring a mutated ZNF1 domain), and APLFL360–511zfm2 (harboring a mutated ZNF2 domain) were created by subcloning the 0.4-kb SphI/BamHI fragment from the construct pEYFP-C1-APLF, pEYFP-C1-APLFzfm1, or pEYFP-C1-APLFzfm2 (14), respectively, into Ndel (blunted)/BamHI sites of pET16b. The mutations introduced into the ZNF1 and ZNF2 domains were C379A/C385A (ZNF1) and C421A/C427A (ZNF2). pET16b-APLF4620 was created by subcloning an Ndel/XbaI (blunted) restriction fragment from pET16b-APLF into the Ndel/BamHI (blunted) sites of pET16b. The
small interfering RNA targeting-resistant (TR) His-Myc-APLF expression construct pcD2-His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR</sup> was generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Quickchange; Stratagene) of pcD2-His-Myc-APLF (14) using appropriate oligonucleotides (5'-GAAAGGAAGAATGCTCAGGAAATCCCGACGTAAC-3' and 5'-GTTTAGGTTTGAATTCTCGTATAGATTCTTTCG-3') to introduce three silent mutations (underlined). The targeting-resistant open reading frame was then subcloned from pcD2-His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR</sup> into the EcoRI site of pcI-puro, creating the puroycin-selectable construct cpcI-puro-His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR</sup>. C379/C385 and C421/C427 were each then changed to alanine in pI-puro-His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR</sup> by site-directed mutagenesis, creating targeting-resistant expression constructs for His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR216</sup> and His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR499</sup> respectively. A targeting-resistant expression construct for His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR460</sup> was generated by subcloning a 1.4-kb EcoRI/XbaI fragment restriction from pCpI-puro-His-Myc-APLF<sup>TR</sup> into the EcoRI/XbaI sites of pcI-puro. The truncation in pCpI-puro-APLF<sup>TR300-511</sup> was generated by subcloning an NcoI (blunted)/BamHI (blunted) fragment from pET1b-His-APLF<sup>501-511</sup> (14) into the NheI (blunted) site of pcI-puro. The truncation in APLF<sup>TR300-511</sup> renders this protein targeting resistant.

Proteins. Histidine-tagged XRCC1 and APLF proteins were expressed from pET1b constructs in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells and purified by immobilized-metal chelate chromatography and, for some experiments, gel filtration. Recombinant human PARP-1 and PARP were purchased from Trevigen or, where indicated in the case of PARP-1, expressed in insect cells (13) and purified on ice for 20 min, rinsed in PBS, and incubated for 1 min in drug-free medium at 37°C. Following DNA damage and repair incubation, all cells were rinsed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline; Trevigen) at 30°C or 15 min. The wells were then washed and incubated with 10 ng/ml human PARP (Trevigen) for 15 min, after which released material was collected. Released and residual bound material was incubated with 50 μg/ml trypsin for 30 min at room temperature to cleave the ester linkage between PARP protein and receptor. A similar reaction was conducted with Ehrlich bodies (Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) tumor cells), which were incubated with 1 μg/ml recombinant human PARP-1 (Trevigen) overnight at 4°C. Bound histone was then paver (APDPr)-ribosylated in the presence of 4 μg/ml activated DNA (Trevigen). 4 U/ml recombinant human PARP-1 (Trevigen), and 100 nM NAD<sup>1–469</sup> (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at room temperature. The wells containing pAIP<sup>1–469</sup> were then rinsed and incubated in the absence or presence of the indicated amounts of APLF (see Fig. 3) in 20 m potassium phosphate (pH 7.2), 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100 (PARG buffer; Trevigen) at 30°C or 15 min. The wells were then washed and incubated with 10 ng/ml human PARG (Trevigen) for 15 min, after which released material was collected. Released and residual bound material was incubated with 50 μg/ml trypsin for 30 min at room temperature to cleave the ester linkage between PARP protein and receptor, and equivalent aliquots of each fraction separated on polyacrylamide sequencing gels (20% in Tris-borate-EDTA) and detected by phosphorimaging.

Analysis of His-APLF activity on ribosylated histone H1.2. Histone-coated polystyrene plates were prepared as described above. The histones were ribosylated for 30 min at room temperature in the presence of 6 μM cold NAD<sup>1–469</sup>, 40 nM [32P]-NAD<sup>1–469</sup>, 2 μg/ml activated DNA, and 4 U/ml recombinant human PARP-1 (Trevigen). After being rinsed, the ribosylated histones were incubated in 2 μg/ml recombinant His-APLF or mutated His-APLF at 30°C for the times indicated in the figure, after which the released material was collected. After trypsinization of the bound fraction, bound and released materials were quantified by phosphorimaging.

Analysis of PARP-1 autoribosylation. All reactions were carried out in PARP buffer containing 20 U/ml (0.6 μg/ml) recombinant human PARP-1 in the absence of 68 μM cold NAD<sup>1–469</sup>, 40 nM [32P]-NAD<sup>1–469</sup>, and 4 μg/ml activated DNA. The His-APLF protein indicated in the figure (88 μM), His-XRCC1 (88 μM), or 5 μg/ml BSA (negative control) was added to the reaction mixture. After incubation at 30°C for the indicated time, the reaction was stopped by 1 h incubation on ice in acid loading buffer (30 mM phosphoric acid-Tris, pH 6, 4.5 M urea, 0.2% SDS, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1% bromophenol blue) to stabilize the pADPr-protein ester link. Samples were then subjected to PAGE analysis (7.5% in 30 mM phosphoric acid-Tris, pH 6, 0.1% SDS) and quantification by phosphorimaging.

RESULTS

Yellow fluorescent protein- or green fluorescent protein-tagged APLF can accumulate at sites of chromosomal breakage by a mechanism that is dependent on a highly conserved C-terminal tandem zinc finger motif (4, 14, 15). Given the similarity between the tandem zinc finger domain of APLF and that of tristetraprolin, which binds an adenine-rich RNA motif, we examined the relationship between endogenous APLF and sites of pADPr synthesis following laser-induced UVA damage. Both APLF and its interacting protein XRCC1 rapidly accumulated at sites of UVA damage in human A549 cells and did so in a manner that was dependent on the presence and activity of PARP-1 (Fig. 1A). Moreover, the sites of UVA laser damage and APLF accumulation were associated with high levels of PARP-1-dependent pADPr synthesis (Fig. 1B). These data confirm that endogenous APLF accumulates at sites of...
pADPr synthesis, in a PARP-1 dependent manner, and are consistent with a functional relationship between APLF and pADPr.

Next, we employed slot blot analyses to determine whether APLF interacts with pADPr. Full-length recombinant human His-APLF bound to nitrocellulose filter in a manner that was dependent on the amount of slot-blotted polymer (Fig. 1C). Because cellular pADPr is a protein posttranslational modification, we also examined whether His-APLF interacted with poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated proteins.

FIG. 1. APLF colocalizes with and binds pADPr. (A) Human A549 cells, A549 cells stably depleted of PARP-1 (A549-PARP-1KD) (10), or A549 cells incubated with PARP inhibitor (A549 + Parp Inhib.) were damaged by UVA laser in the indicated area (dashed rectangle) and, 4 min later, fixed and immunostained with anti-APLF or anti-XRCC1 MAb. Nuclear DNA was counterstained with 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Representative images are shown. (B) Human A549 cells or A549 cells stably depleted of PARP-1 (A549-PARP-1KD) (10) were damaged by UVA laser, and 4 min later, fixed and immunostained with anti-APLF and anti-pADPr MAb. Other details are as described for panel A. (C) The indicated amounts of pADPr were slot blotted, and the filters incubated in the presence or absence of His-APLF (88 nM). Washed filters were then incubated with anti-His-tag or anti-pADPr MAb antibodies as indicated. (D) Multiwell dishes coated with calf thymus histone H1 (mixed isoforms) were mock ribosylated (h1 and −) or poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated (pADPr-h1) and, after being washed, quantified for levels of immobilized pADPr (right) or incubated with the indicated amounts of recombinant full-length His-APLF for 30 min on ice (left). Immobilized His-APLF or pADPr was detected with anti-His-tag (left) or anti-pADPr primary antibody (right), followed by anti-HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, and quantified colorimetrically (450 nm). Where indicated (−), histone-coated wells were pretreated with trypsin to remove all protein prior to poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation. Error bars show standard errors of the mean. α, anti; +, present; −, absent.
Indeed, His-APLF bound much more efficiently to dishes coated with poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated histone H1 (pADPr-H1) than to unmodified histone H1-coated dishes (Fig. 1D). To identify the region(s) of APLF responsible for pADPr binding, we employed a number of mutated derivatives lacking or mutated in specific domains (Fig. 2A). A C-terminal fragment of His-APLF (His-APLF<sup>360–511</sup>) containing the highly conserved tandem ZNFs and acidic tail bound efficiently to pADPr-H1, but an amino-terminal fragment encoding the FHA domain (His-APLF<sup>1–166</sup>) did not (Fig. 2B).

Whereas deletion of the acidic C-terminal tail (His-APLF<sup>1–469</sup>) did not affect binding to pADPr-H1, mutation of the ZNF1 domain (His-APLF<sup>360–511Zfm1</sup>) greatly reduced or ablated it (Fig. 2B). Mutation of ZNF2 (His-APLF<sup>360–511Zfm2</sup>) did not reduce pADPr-H1 binding under the same conditions, but did reduce binding by approximately half at physiological temperature (Fig. 2C, left panel). Binding by His-APLF<sup>360–511Zfm2</sup> may thus be temperature sensitive. His-APLF also bound poly-(ADP-ribosyl)ated histone H2B and PARP-1, indicating that binding is not restricted to poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated histone H1.
FIG. 3. APLF binding protects pADPr from degradation by PARG. (A) Multiwell dishes coated with recombinant human histone H1.2 were poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated in the presence of $^{32}$P-NAD$^+$ and incubated with 0 nM (lanes 1, 4, and 7), 1.75 nM (lanes 2, 5, and 8), or 17.5 nM (lanes 3, 6, and 9) of His-APLF for 15 min. The histone H1.2-coated dishes were then incubated in the presence (+) or absence (−) of PARG protein for 15 min. Released and bound materials were analyzed by native PAGE and detected by phosphorimager. The position of mADPr ("n"), pADPr of two or more ADPr units (2n, 3n, and 4n, etc.), and branched pADPr molecules remaining at the origin (16, 27) are indicated. (B) Multiwell dishes were coated with recombinant human PARP-1 (purified from insect cells). Other details are as described for panel (A).

We conclude that APLF binds to pADPr and to poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated proteins and that this activity is mediated by the tandem ZNFs.

To examine His-APLF binding to pADPr-H1 in more detail, we examined the impact of this protein on the sensitivity of pADPr to PARG, the cellular enzyme that catabolizes pADPr (7, 33). For this purpose, we employed multiwell dishes coated with $^{32}$P-poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated recombinant human histone H1.2 (pADPr-H1.2). Incubation with PARG released almost all pADPr from histone H1 as mono(ADP-ribose) (mADPr) within 30 min, consistent with the robust exoglycosidic activity of this protein (Fig. 3A, lanes 1 and 4). In contrast, preincubation of pADPr-H1.2 with 17.5 nM His-APLF resulted in the appearance of a PARG-resistant “footprint” of −1 to 20 ADPr units in the residual histone H1-bound material (Fig. 3A, lane 5). This suggests that His-APLF binds tightly to pADPr-H1.2, close to the junction between pADPr and histone. Similar results were observed with 10-fold-higher concentrations of His-APLF, although in this case the footprint was larger and thus extended further from the polymer-histone junction (Fig. 3A, lane 6). A small amount of protected pADPr was also present in the released material (see Fig. 3A, lanes 2 and 3), which contains polymer released “spontaneously” due to the intrinsic instability of some of the ester bonds linking pADPr to the protein acceptor (22–24, 26). Similar results were observed for poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated PARP-1, suggesting that His-APLF also binds tightly to pADPr-PARP-1 (Fig. 3B).

The ability to bind tightly to pADPr provides a mechanism for the ZNF-dependent accumulation of APLF into subnuclear foci at sites of chromosomal DNA strand breakage (4, 14, 15). However, we wondered whether interaction with pADPr may also impact on pADPr metabolism, especially since we noticed in experiments similar to those described above, but in described above which we omitted PARG, that incubation with His-APLF increased the amount of pADPr released from histone H1.2 (data not shown). Quantitative analysis revealed that both His-APLF and His-APLF$^{360–511}$ increased pADPr release ~threefold above the amount released spontaneously and that this was dependent on an intact ZNF1 domain (Fig. 4A and B). Separation of the reaction products on a sequencing gel revealed that the pADPr released by APLF was comprised of branched and linear polymers of all sizes, similar to that released “spontaneously,” suggesting that APLF binding decreased the stability of some of the labile ester linkages between pADPr and histone H1.2 (Fig. 4C). In contrast to histone H1.2, His-APLF did not measurably promote the release of pADPr from autoribosylated PARP-1 (data not shown).

We also considered the possibility that APLF binding might affect pADPr synthesis, since negative regulation of pADPr synthesis by PARP-1 has been reported for XRCC1, which also binds to pADPr (20, 29). Notably, full-length His-APLF slowed the rate of PARP-1 autoribosylation in vitro to an extent similar to that observed with the protein XRCC1 (Fig. 4D, left panel). The His-APLF$^{360–511}$ protein, containing the highly conserved tandem ZNFs and acidic tail, also slowed the rate of PARP-1 autoribosylation, whereas His-APLF$^{1–168}$, encoding just the FHA domain, did not. As observed for pADPr binding, deletion of the acidic C-terminal tail (His-APLF$^{1–469}$) did not affect the impact of APLF on pADPr synthesis (Fig. 4D, left panel), whereas mutation of the ZNF1 domain (His-APLF$^{360–511}$ZNF1) greatly reduced or ablated it (Fig. 4D, right panel). Mutation of the ZNF2 domain, which under the conditions employed does not measurably affect pADPr binding, had little or no impact on the ability of APLF to inhibit pADPr synthesis. We thus conclude that APLF binding can affect the rate of pADPr synthesis in vitro.

We next examined whether His-APLF might affect cellular pADPr metabolism by examining the effect of transiently expressing targeting-resistant derivatives of His-Myc-APLF protein in A549 cells stably depleted of APLF (A549-APLF$^{KD}$) (14). Surprisingly, transient overexpression of targeting-resistant full-length protein (His-Myc-APLF$^{TR}$) in A549-APLF$^{KD}$ cells greatly reduced or ablated the appearance of pADPr, even at very early times (1 min) after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (Fig. 5A,
top panels, and B). To examine whether the suppression of pADPr signaling by APLF involved polymer binding, we examined whether the ZNFs were sufficient and/or required for this effect. Indeed, the overexpression of APLF(360-511), encoding just the C-terminal tandem ZNFs and acidic tail, was sufficient for the suppression of pADPr following H₂O₂ treatment (Fig. 5A, bottom panels, and B). Moreover, the overexpression of His-Myc-APLF harboring a mutant of either ZNF1 (“zfm1”) or ZNF2 (“zfm2”) failed to suppress pADPr efficiently, confirming the importance of the tandem ZNF domain for this activity (Fig. 5A and B). We noted that deletion of the acidic C-terminal tail (APLF(1-469)), which is dispensable for

FIG. 4. Impact of APLF on pADPr metabolism in vitro. (A) Release of [³²P]pADPr from ribosylated histone H1.2 by APLF. Multiwell dishes coated with recombinant human histone H1.2 were poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated in the presence of NAD⁺ that was spiked with ³²P-NAD⁺ and then washed and incubated with His-APLF (+ APLF) or buffer alone (-APLF) for the times indicated at 30°C. Released material and bound material were detected by phosphorimager, and the released material plotted as a percentage of total ³²P signal (released plus bound) in each reaction mixture. Inset shows a representative phosphorimage. (B) Release of [³²P]pADPr from ribosylated histone H1.2 by the C-terminal domain of APLF. Ribosylated histone H1.2 was incubated as described above with buffer alone, His-APLF(360-511), or His-APLF(360-511)zfm1, for the times indicated. Other details are as described for panel A. (C) Analysis by native PAGE of material generated in one of the experiment whose results are shown in panel B. –, buffer alone. (D) The results in the left panel show the impact of APLF on PARP-1 autoribosylation. PARP-1 autoribosylation was conducted in the presence of NAD⁺ that was spiked with ³²P-NAD⁺, and ³²P-labeled PARP-1 was quantified on acid PAGE gels by phosphorimager. Reaction mixtures included BSA, His-APLF, His-APLF(360-511), His-APLF(1-166), His-APLF(1-469), or His-XRCC1. A representative phosphorimage showing PARP-1 ribosylation is included (inset). Data are plotted relative to the signal obtained after 15 min of incubation in the presence of BSA (negative control). The results in the right panel show the impact of the C-terminal domain of APLF on PARP-1 autoribosylation. Reactions were conducted as described for the left panel, and the reaction mixtures included BSA, His-APLF(360-511), His-APLF(360-511)zfm1, or His-APLF(360-511)zfm2. Error bars show standard errors of the mean.
pADPr binding in vitro, also suppressed the effect of APLF on pADPr accumulation after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, suggesting that while polymer binding is required for this purpose, it is not sufficient (Fig. 5A and B).

In summary, we show that APLF binds tightly to pADPr via its tandem zinc finger domain and that this binding can suppress protein poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation in vitro and in cells. These data provide a mechanism for the zinc finger-dependent recruitment of APLF to chromosome damage and suggest that APLF is a novel component of pADPr signaling/metabolism in mammalian cells.

**DISCUSSION**

APLF is comprised of highly conserved amino- and carboxyl-terminal domains separated by a relatively nonconserved central region and was recently identified as a novel component of DNA SSBR and DSBR (4, 14, 15, 19). The amino-terminal domain encodes an FHA domain that interacts with CK2-phosphorylated XRCC1 and XRCC4 and facilitates the accumulation of APLF at sites of chromosomal DNA damage in an XRCC1-dependent manner. In addition, the accumulation of APLF can occur independently of the FHA domain via a mechanism that utilizes the C-terminal tandem zinc finger domain. The finding reported here that the tandem ZNFs interact with pADPr provides a likely mechanism for the ZNF-dependent accumulation of APLF at chromosome breaks. Consistent with this idea, mutation of the ZNF1 domain ablated both pADPr binding and APLF accumulation, whereas mutation of the ZNF2 domain allowed residual levels of both (Fig. 2C) (13). These data are in agreement with the results in

**FIG. 5.** Suppression of pADPr signaling by APLF overexpression. (A) A549-APLF$^{KD}$ cells were transiently transfected with expression constructs encoding targeting-resistant His-Myc-APLF, His-Myc-APLF$^{1–469}$, His-Myc-APLF$^{zfm1}$, and APLF$^{360–511}$, and 48 h later, treated with H$_2$O$_2$ (20 min on ice) followed by 1 min in drug-free medium. Cells were then immunostained with anti-APLF (red) and anti-pADPr (green) antibodies and analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy. Transfected cells expressing detectable levels of recombinant APLF are highlighted by white arrows. Cell nuclei were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; blue). Images are representative of multiple experiments and were captured at ×40 magnification. (B) The fraction of A549-APLF$^{KD}$ cells with detectable pADPr signal was quantified from the APLF-expressing (red) subset of transiently transfected cells in panel A or from total populations of untransfected cells (−). Data are the means (± 1 standard error of the mean) of the results from three independent experiments.
a recent report suggesting that the ability to bind free pADPr polymer is a common function of the type of zinc finger present in APLF and in several other proteins (3). In the current experiments, APLF also bound polymer that was conjugated to histone H1, histone H2B, and PARP-1 (Fig. 2D). This is important because cellular pADPr arises at sites of chromosomal damage largely as a posttranslational modification of these proteins rather than as free polymer.

It is possible that pADPr binding by APLF fulfills another role in addition to targeting this protein to chromosomal DNA strand breaks. Consistent with this idea, APLF overexpression in APLF-depleted A549 cells reduced the steady-state level of pADPr at early times following H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, in a zinc finger-dependent manner, raising the possibility that APLF might play a role in regulating cellular pADPr levels. Interestingly, the highly conserved acidic C-terminal tail was also required for the ability of APLF to suppress cellular pADPr, despite the dispensability of this domain for pADPr binding. Consequently, while pADPr binding by APLF is most likely required for the suppression of cellular pADPr, it is unlikely to be sufficient for this activity. APLF promoted the release of up to 30% of pADPr from histone H1.2 in vitro (Fig. 4A), suggesting that polymer binding may destabilize some of the labile ester linkages that connect pADPr to histone (1, 2, 24, 26). The limited release of pADPr exhibited by His-APLF is reminiscent of the activity of mADPr lyase, an activity that cleaves a subset of ester bonds linking mADPr to protein (24, 26). However, we failed to detect the release of significant amounts of mADPr by APLF (unpublished observations). The limited activity of APLF in vitro, and particularly the absence of activity on PARP-1, which is the major poly(ADP-ribose)ylated protein in cells, suggests that pADPr release is unlikely to account for the impact of APLF on cellular pADPr levels, at least by itself. Another contributing factor may be the ability of APLF to inhibit pADPr synthesis by PARP-1 that was observed in this study. This activity was similarly dependent on an intact ZNF1 domain, suggesting that it too requires polymer binding. It is possible that tight binding by APLF to nascent pADPr chains affects access to the polymer terminus by PARP-1, thereby negatively regulating polymer extension.

It remains to be determined whether or not regulating the cellular levels of pADPr is a physiological role of APLF. However, such a role would be an important component of chromosomal DNA strand break repair, because pADPr levels are highly dynamic following DNA breakage and greatly affect the accumulation and/or stability of DNA repair protein complexes at chromosomal DNA strand breaks (9, 10, 25). This is illustrated by the observation that depletion of PARP-1 and/or PARG reduces global rates of SSBR to a similar extent (10). In addition, the poly(ADP-ribose)ylation of histones can relax higher-order chromatin folding and facilitate histone shuffling (8, 28, 30, 31), suggesting that the proper regulation of pADPr levels may be critical for controlling chromatin structure during cellular SSBR reactions.
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